

20140174 Hyungrok Kim

CS 204

Discrete Mathematics

Fall 2016

Homework 3(85 pts)

Sungwon Kang

Due date

Oct 6, 2016

1. (10 pts) Consider the following definition of the " \triangleleft " symbol.

Definition. Let x and y be integers. Write $x \triangleleft y$ if $3x + 5y = 7k$ for some integer k .

- (a) Show that $1 \triangleleft 5$, $3 \triangleleft 1$, and $0 \triangleleft 7$.

i) $x=1, y=5$
 $7k = 3+25=28$
 $k=4$

ii) $x=3, y=1$
 $k = \frac{9+5}{7} = 2$

iii) $x=0, y=7$
 $k = \frac{35}{7} = 5$

- (b) Find a counterexample to the following statement:

If $a \triangleleft b$ and $c \triangleleft d$, then $a \cdot c \triangleleft b \cdot d$.

if) $a=2, b=3, c=5, d=4$
 $3a+5b=7k_1$
 $3c+5d=7k_2$
 $3a+5b=7k_3$
 $a \triangleleft b, c \triangleleft d$ but $a \cdot c \not\triangleleft b \cdot d$
 $ac=10, bd=12$
 $10 \times 3 + 5 \times 12 = 90 \neq 7k$

2. (10 pts) Let the following statements be given.

Definition. A triangle is scalene if all of its sides have different lengths.

Theorem. A triangle is scalene if it is a right triangle that is not isosceles.

$P(x)$: A triangle is scalene.
 $Q(x)$: All sides of a triangle have different lengths.
 $R(x)$: A triangle is a right triangle.

Suppose $\triangle ABC$ is a scalene triangle. Which of the following conclusions are valid?

Why or why not?

- (a) All of the sides of $\triangle ABC$ have different lengths.

- (b) $\triangle ABC$ is a right triangle that is not isosceles.

given statements are

$P(x) \leftrightarrow Q(x)$
 $(R(x) \wedge Q(x)) \rightarrow P(x)$

(a) $! P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)$

(a) is valid

(b) $! P(x) \rightarrow (R(x) \wedge Q(x))$

(b) is not valid, it's not given in the statements.

3. (12 pts) Let $P(n, x, y, z)$ be the predicate " $x^n + y^n = z^n$ ".

- (a) Write the following statement in predicate logic, using positive integers as the domain.

For every positive integer n , there exist positive integers x, y , and z such that $x^n + y^n = z^n$.

$(\forall n)(\exists x)(\exists y)(\exists z) P(n, x, y, z)$

- (b) Formally negate your predicate logic statement from part (a). Simplify so

that no quantifier lies within the scope of a negation.

$(\exists n)(\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z) \neg P(n, x, y, z)$

- (c) In order to produce a counterexample to the statement in part (a), what, specially, would you have to find among x, y, z and n ?

Find a counterexample to the negated statement which is (b) if $n \geq 3$

n, x, y positive integer $x^n + y^n = z^n$ has no solution (proven by Andrew Wiles)

4. (9 pts) Consider the following theorem.

Theorem. Let x be a wamel. If x has been schlumped, then x is a borfin.

Answer the following questions.

- (a) Give the converse of this theorem.

Let x be a wamel, If x has not been schlumped, then x is not a borfin.

- (b) Give the contrapositive of this theorem.

- (c) Which statement, (a) or (b), is logically equivalent to the Theorem?

(b) Let x be a wamel, If x is not a borfin, then x has not been schlumped.

(c) (b) is logically equivalent to the Theorem.

5. (8 pts) In four-point geometry discussed in class, do triangles exist? In other words, is it possible to have three distinct points, not on the same line, such that a line passes through each pair of points? Why or why not?

let's say there're distinct point x, y, z ,

and x, y on l_1 , y, z on l_2 , x, z on l_3 (l_i is a line).

by axiom 4, z is not on l_1 , x is not on l_2 , y is not on l_3 , x, y, z are distinct, make a triangle

6. (6 pts) Give a direct proof.

Let a, b , and c be integers. If $a \mid b$ and $b \mid c$, then $a \mid c$.

K_1, K_2 integers, $a \mid b \Rightarrow b = K_1 a$
 K_3

$b \mid c \Rightarrow c = K_2 b = K_1 K_2 a$ (by Def). $K_1 \cdot K_2$ is an integer K_3 (by axiom)

$$c = K_3 a \Rightarrow a \mid c$$

7. (8 pts) Consider the following definition.

Definition. An integer n is sane if $3 \mid (n^2 + 2n)$.

- (a) Give a counterexample to the following: All odd integers are sane.

if $n=5$

$$n^2 + 2n = 35$$

which is not $3K$ (K : integer)

- (b) Give a direct proof of the following: If $3 \mid n$, then n is sane.

$$3 \mid n \Rightarrow n = 3K \quad (K: \text{integer})$$

$$n^2 + 2n = 9K^2 + 6K = 3(3K^2 + 2K) = 3K' \quad \text{so } n \text{ is sane.}$$

8. (6 pts) Prove that the rational numbers are closed under addition. That is, prove that, if a and b are rational numbers, then $a + b$ is a rational number.

by saying l is a rational number $\Rightarrow l = \frac{K_1}{K_2}$ (K_1, K_2 : integers)

if a, b is rational $a = \frac{K_1}{K_2}$, $b = \frac{K_3}{K_4}$ (K_n : integers)

$$a + b = \frac{K_1 K_4 + K_2 K_3}{K_2 K_4} = \frac{K_5}{K_6} \quad (K_5 = K_1 K_4 + K_2 K_3, K_6 = K_2 K_4, \text{ integers})$$

so $a + b$ is a rational number.

9. (8 pts) Recall the Badda-Bing axiomatic system discussed in class. Prove:

If q and r are distinct bings, both of which are hit by baddas x and y , then $x = y$.

if $x \neq y$, when x, y is hit by q , by axiom 3 there's no more bings that hit both x & y .
This conflict the supposition so $x = y$

10. (8 pts) In the axiomatic system for four-point geometry, prove the following assertion using a proof by contradiction.

Suppose that a and b are distinct points on line u . Let v be a line such that $u \neq v$.
Then a is not on v or b is not on v .

$u \neq v$, if a and b are on v ,
by axiom 1, there's only one line having
 a and b on it, this means $u = v$
so a and b cannot be on v at once,
 a is not on v or b is not on v .