Lecture 9: Hashing II

Lecture Overview

- Table Resizing
- Amortization
- String Matching and Karp-Rabin
- Rolling Hash

Recall:

Hashing with Chaining:



Figure 1: Hashing with Chaining

Expected cost (insert/delete/search): $\Theta(1+\alpha)$, assuming simple uniform hashing *OR* universal hashing & hash function h takes $O(1)$ time.

Division Method:

$$h(k) = k \mod m$$

where m is ideally prime

Multiplication Method:

$$h(k) = [(a \cdot k) \bmod 2^w] \gg (w - r)$$

where a is a random odd integer between 2^{w-1} and 2^w, k is given by w bits, and m = table size $= 2^r$.

How Large should Table be?

- want $m = \Theta(n)$ at all times
- don't know how large n will get at creation
- m too small $\implies$ slow; m too big $\implies$ wasteful

Idea:

Start small (constant) and grow (or shrink) as necessary.

Rehashing:

To grow or shrink table hash function must change (m, r)

$\implies$ must rebuild hash table from scratch
for item in old table: → for each slot, for item in slot
insert into new table
$\implies$ $\Theta(n + m)$ time $= \Theta(n)$ if $m = \Theta(n)$

How fast to grow?

When n reaches m, say

- $m+ = 1$?
  $\implies$ rebuild every step
  $\implies$ n inserts cost $\Theta(1 + 2 + \cdots + n) = \Theta(n^2)$
- $m* = 2$? $m = \Theta(n)$ still $(r+ = 1)$
  $\implies$ rebuild at insertion 2^i
  $\implies$ n inserts cost $\Theta(1 + 2 + 4 + 8 + \cdots + n)$ where n is really the next power of 2
  $= \Theta(n)$
- a few inserts cost linear time, but $\Theta(1)$ "on average".

Amortized Analysis

This is a common technique in data structures — like paying rent: \$1500/month ≈ \$50/day

- operation has amortized cost $T(n)$ if k operations cost $\leq k \cdot T(n)$
- "$T(n)$ amortized" roughly means $T(n)$ "on average", but averaged over all ops.
- e.g. inserting into a hash table takes $O(1)$ amortized time.

Back to Hashing:

Maintain $m = \Theta(n) \implies \alpha = \Theta(1) \implies$ support search in $O(1)$ expected time (assuming simple uniform or universal hashing)

Delete:

Also $O(1)$ expected as is.

- space can get big with respect to n e.g. $n\times$ insert, $n\times$ delete
- solution: when n decreases to $m/4$, shrink to half the size $\implies O(1)$ amortized cost for both insert and delete — analysis is harder; see CLRS 17.4.

Resizable Arrays:

- same trick solves Python "list" (array)
- $\implies$ list.append and list.pop in $O(1)$ amortized



Figure 2: Resizeable Arrays

String Matching

Given two strings s and t, does s occur as a substring of t? (and if so, where and how many times?)
E.g. $s =$ '6.006' and $t =$ your entire INBOX ('grep' on UNIX)

Simple Algorithm:

any($s == t[i : i + \text{len(s)}]$ for i in range(len(t) − len(s)))
— $O(|s|)$ time for each substring comparison
$\implies O(|s|) \cdot (|t| - |s|))$ time
$= O(|s| \cdot |t|)$ potentially quadratic



Figure 3: Illustration of Simple Algorithm for the String Matching Problem

Karp-Rabin Algorithm:

- Compare $h(s) == h(t[i : i + \text{len(s)}])$
- If hash values match, likely so do strings
  - can check $s == t[i : i + \text{len(s)}]$ to be sure $\sim$ cost $O(|s|)$
  - if yes, found match — done
  - if no, happened with probability $< \frac{1}{|s|}$
    $\Longrightarrow$ expected cost is $O(1)$ per i.
- need suitable hash function.
- expected time $= O(|s| + |t| \cdot \text{cost(h)})$.
  - naively $h(x)$ costs $|x|$
  - we'll achieve $O(1)$!
  - idea: $t[i : i + len(s)] \approx t[i + 1 : i + 1 + len(s)]$.

Rolling Hash ADT

Maintain string x subject to

- $r()$: reasonable hash function $h(x)$ on string x
- r.append(c): add letter c to end of string x
- r.skip(c): remove front letter from string x, assuming it is c

Karp-Rabin Application:

```
for c in s: rs.append(c)
for c in t[:len(s)]: rt.append(c)
if rs() == rt(): ...
```

This first block of code is $O(|s|)$

```
for i in range(len(s), len(t)):
   rt.skip(t[i-len(s)])
   rt.append(t[i])
   if rs() == rt(): ...
```

The second block of code is $O(|t|) + O(\#\text{ matches} - |s|)$ to verify.

Data Structure:

Treat string x as a multidigit number u in base a where a denotes the alphabet size, e.g., 256

- $r() = u \bmod p$ for (ideally random) prime $p \approx |s|$ or $|t|$ (division method)
- r stores $u \bmod p$ and $|x|$ (really $a^{|x|}$), not u

  $\implies$ smaller and faster to work with ($u \bmod p$ fits in one machine word)
- r.append(c): $(u \cdot a + \text{ord}(c)) \bmod p = [(u \bmod p) \cdot a + \text{ord}(c)] \bmod p$
- r.skip(c): $[u - \text{ord}(c) \cdot (a^{|u|-1} \bmod p)] \bmod p$

  $= [(u \bmod p) - \text{ord}(c) \cdot (a^{|x-1|} \bmod p)] \bmod p$

MIT OpenCourseWare
http://ocw.mit.edu

6.006 Introduction to Algorithms
Fall 2011

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.