MAT-INF4130 MAT-INF4130

Ivar Stangeby

October 18, 2017

1 Introduction

Given a set of points $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ and an integer $k \leq m$, we are interested in finding the k-dimensional subspace W that minimizes the squared distance:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{dist}(\boldsymbol{x}_i - W)^2. \tag{1}$$

To this end we first establish some notation. Let $X := [x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be the matrix with ijth element denoted x_{ij} . If W is any k-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^m and $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^k$ is an orthonormal basis for W, we may extend this basis to an orthonormal basis $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^m$ for \mathbb{R}^m by the Grahm-Schmidt algorithm. We can therefore decompose the space \mathbb{R}^m into W and its orthogonal complement W^{\perp} :

$$\mathbb{R}^m = W \oplus W^{\perp}. \tag{2}$$

For a vector $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, denote by $\operatorname{proj}_{W^{\perp}}(\boldsymbol{x})$, its orthogonal projection onto W^{\perp} . Furthermore, recall that the Frobenius norm of a matrix $\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is defined as:

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\mathrm{F}} \coloneqq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{ij}|^2\right)^{1/2}$$
 (3)

and that this is equivalent to the 2-norm of the vector $\text{Vec}(\boldsymbol{A})$ formed by stacking the columns of \boldsymbol{A} on top of each other. We denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^m defined by $\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \coloneqq \boldsymbol{y}^T \boldsymbol{x}$.

Exercise 1

We start by showing that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| \operatorname{proj}_{W^{\perp}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}) \right\|_{2}^{2} = \left\| \boldsymbol{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{W} \right\|_{F}^{2}.$$

$$(4)$$

where \boldsymbol{W} is the $m \times (m-k)$ matrix defined as $\boldsymbol{W} := [\boldsymbol{w}_{k+1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{w}_m]$. Since $\{\boldsymbol{w}_{k+1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{w}_m\}$ is a basis for W^{\perp} , we can write $\operatorname{proj}_{W^{\perp}}(\boldsymbol{x}_i) = \sum_{j=k+1}^m c_i \boldsymbol{w}_i$ where $c_j = \langle \boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{w}_j \rangle$. Expanding the left hand side of eq. (4) yields:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\operatorname{proj}_{W^{\perp}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i})\|_{2}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \operatorname{proj}_{W^{\perp}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}), \operatorname{proj}_{W^{\perp}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}) \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=k+1}^{m} \sum_{\ell=k+1}^{m} \langle \boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{w}_{j} \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{w}_{\ell} \rangle \delta_{j,\ell}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=k+1}^{m} |\langle \boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{w}_{j} \rangle|^{2}.$$
(5)

Since we are working over the reals, we may write $\langle \boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{w}_j \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{w}_j, \boldsymbol{x}_i \rangle$, hence the equality follows in eq. (4).

Exercise 2

Let $X = U\Sigma V^T$ be a singular value decomposition of X. We wish to show that

$$\|\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{W}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 = \|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^T \boldsymbol{U}^T \boldsymbol{W}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2. \tag{6}$$

This can easily be shown by noting that for arbitrary unitary matrix B and matrix A we have

$$\|\boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{A}\|_{\mathrm{F}} = \|\boldsymbol{A}\|_{\mathrm{F}}.\tag{7}$$

This follows from the fact that the Frobenius norm on $\mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$ is the same as the 2-norm on the vector space \mathbb{C}^{mn} and that $\|\boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{x}\|_2 = \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2$ for all \boldsymbol{x} . Indeed, we have

$$\|\boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{A}\|_{\mathrm{F}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|\boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{a}_{:j}\|_{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|\boldsymbol{a}_{:j}\|_{2} = \|\boldsymbol{A}\|_{\mathrm{F}}.$$
 (8)

Setting $\mathbf{B} := \mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{A} := \mathbf{\Sigma}^T \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{W}$ in eq. (7) and squaring proves the result. We now wish to show that

$$\|\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{W}\|_{\mathrm{F}} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i^2 \|\operatorname{proj}_{W^{\perp}}(\boldsymbol{u}_i)\|_2^2$$
(9)

Expanding the matrix U^TW tells us that the ijth entry is given by

$$(\boldsymbol{U}^T \boldsymbol{W})_{ij} = \langle \boldsymbol{u}_i, \boldsymbol{w}_{j+k} \rangle \tag{10}$$

for i = 1, ..., m and j = 1, ..., m - k. Pre-multiplying by Σ^T gives

$$(\mathbf{\Sigma}^T \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{W})_{ij} = \sigma_i \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{w}_{j+k} \rangle$$
 (11)

We can therefore write the Frobenius norm of this matrix as

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^T \boldsymbol{U}^T \boldsymbol{W}\right\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i^2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-k} \langle \boldsymbol{u}_i, \boldsymbol{w}_{j+k} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i^2 \|\mathrm{proj}_{W^{\perp}}(\boldsymbol{u}_i)\|_2^2$$

as we wanted to show. Note that the singular values are ordered by convention $\sigma_1 \geq \dots \sigma_m \geq 0$.

We also have the property that since the columns of \boldsymbol{W} are orthonormal, and since there are m-k such columns, that

$$\|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 = m - k. \tag{12}$$

Since U^T is unitary, we have that

$$\|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^{2} = \|\boldsymbol{U}^{T}\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=k+1}^{m} \langle \boldsymbol{u}_{i}, \boldsymbol{w}_{j} \rangle^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \|\operatorname{proj}_{W^{\perp}}(\boldsymbol{u}_{i})\|_{2}^{2}.$$
 (13)

Exercise 3

We can now find the "distance to the best subspace" $\|\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{W}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2$ by solving the minimization problem

$$\min \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sigma_i^2 \kappa_i \tag{14}$$

where $0 \le \kappa_i \le 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m \kappa_i = m - k$. Indeed, we have

$$\|\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{W}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i^2 \|\mathrm{proj}_{W^{\perp}}(\boldsymbol{u}_i)\|_2^2$$
(15)

where setting $\kappa_i := \operatorname{proj}_{W^{\perp}}(\boldsymbol{u}_i)$ yields the above sum. Furthermore, we have that $0 \le \kappa_i \le 1$ since the \boldsymbol{u}_i 's are orthonormal, and we showed above that $\sum_{i=1}^m \kappa_i = m - k$.

The solution to this problem is obtained by setting $\kappa_1 = \ldots = \kappa_k = 0$ and $\kappa_{k+1} = \ldots = \kappa_m = 1$, since the σ_i 's are ordered by magnitude. Indeed, the sum is minimized if we chose the vectors such that W is perpendicular to $\mathrm{Span}(\boldsymbol{u}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_k)$. Since $0 \le \kappa_i \le 1$, this means in order for $\sum_{i=1}^m = m - k$, we must have $\kappa_{k+1} = \ldots = \kappa_m = 1$.

We can therefore choose the matrix W to consist of the last m-k vectors u_{k+1}, \ldots, u_m and let $W^{\perp} = \operatorname{Span}(u_{k+1}, \ldots, u_m)$. This set of vectors satisfies the minimization problem discussed above. We implement a routine for solving this minimization problem in PYTHON.

Exercise 4