



Feedback for RRMP Literature Review Summative

Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram	Student number: 305068
Details of any extensions etc:	Submission Attempt: First submission Second submission

Criteria and weighting	Level	Comments
Knowledge and understanding of the topic/ issues under consideration (30%) To provide an overview of current knowledge on the chosen topic	Very Good	Looking at the submission holistically there is very good general intrinsic knowledge with appropriate theoretical knowledge being drawn through. It is the very good general knowledge that has enabled a 'very good' category to be awarded here. However, your assignment moves around a great deal, looking at one aspect connected to a broad COVID context and then moving onto another aspect of discussion. Your content needs to be much more focused moving forward.
Criticality (30%) To highlight similar and contrasting views on your chosen topic	Satisfactory	There is a tendency for a very descriptive academic writing style, with your research being spread across several different areas of discussion, which means that critical writing is very limited. Moving forward, you need to pick a core aspect of your topic, [and for future you need a distinct title at the head of your assignment] that relates to your assignment title, [which is not in evidence for this summative]. This approach gives a focused response to any given assignment, and a better chance of achieving critical discussion, and so please bear this in mind moving forward.
Use of relevant sources (20%) To demonstrate an awareness of relevant, current literature	Satisfactory	There is satisfactory use of relevant research resources. Whilst that list is appropriate regarding the amount of references for an assignment of this nature, the research isn't targeted or focused enough. This means that there are several points of separate discussion occurring in the assignment, which discuss separate issues, [as opposed to synthesising discussion which is what is supposed to happen in an academic assignment]. Take time to plan your assignment and research approach moving forward.
Structure and Presentation (as detailed in the assessment guidance)	Satisfactory	The assignment presentation requires some attention to detail. We discussed academic assignment structure in some detail in seminars, [with support information also being available on the online student hub], and so do pay a little more attention to this aspect of the your assignment moving



<p>(10%) To showcase your research and writing skills.</p>		<p>forward. The default academic writing style is Arial [font size] 12. Some of your paragraphs need to be broken down more, in some instances paragraphs are shallower than others, and so try to work for that consistency in content. The assignment would have benefitted from a title page, and a contents page. We spoke extensively about assignment structure in seminars and there is also guidance on the online unit hub.</p>
<p>Academic integrity (10%) To showcase your research and writing skills.</p>	<p>Weak</p>	<p>The assignment is over word count and a 10% penalty has been applied to your final grade. The word count is 2,000, +10% variance, but your word count is 2,493 which goes over the +10% allowance and so do please take time in future to plan your assignment to allow better time management. Your assignment doesn't have a title on the document, as such the reader of your content has to guess and reflect whether the context of your assignment reflects the list of options given by the University of Essex. COVID and pandemic contexts were part of Literature Review options, but this isn't clear until the viewer starts to read the assignment. It is a basic requirement of academic conventions that a title is given to an assignment and you will need to observe this point for your future Capstone project. Time management appears to be an issue when completing this summative. Your academic writing style tends to be descriptive and has a tendency to interpret research as opposed to debating outcomes. A generally weak outcome here, and so please do pay attention regarding areas for development when you engage with your Capstone project.</p>
Overall		
<p>Positives:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is generally very good intrinsic knowledge linked to theory, [although this should have been much more focused] • There are some satisfactory outcomes • The assignment was handed in on time 		
<p>Points for development:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Much more time planning is needed for future assignments • A title must to be applied to future assignment • A title gives focus to research and context in academic writing; your assignment tends to move around topic a lot and as such lacks focus and criticality • The assignment is over word count and a 10% penalty has been applied to the grade 		

