Feedback for RRMP Literature Review Summative

Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram	Student number: 311840
Details of any extensions etc:	**Submission Attempt:** First submission Second submission

Criteria and weighting	Level	Comments:
Knowledge and Understanding weighted 25%, Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly?	**Very Good**	There is very good intrinsic knowledge of the subject, and whilst your transcription of the summative does have citation to show where research came from, this approach is not reflected in the power point/oral presentation itself. There is theory and practice discussed in the summative [as evidenced in the transcript]. However, this evidence of theory does also need to be under pinned in the actual presentation itself, regarding where research came from.
Criticality weighted at 25%, Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design?	**Satisfactory**	The content is very descriptive and lacks analysis and evaluative features that look at in-depth pros and cons of the discussion for example. Criticality of this nature should be taking place all the way through the summative, and so although technically there is an informative overview of the subject, it is very descriptive in nature.
Structure and Presentation weighted at 10%: Is the presentation well organised?	**Very Good**	The structure and presentation of the actual power is generally very good, and the flow and content has been considered. There are info graphics to support text and the slides are professionally structured and aesthetically pleasing. You do however have to add citation to your slides, and so please remember this for future
Presentation and Communication Skills weighted at 30%: Is the presentation clearly introduced and concluded? Is the presentation well-paced and timed? Is the information presented clearly and concisely? Is the presentation style lively, fluent and engaging?	**Satisfactory**	Your oral presentation style is extremely hurried and you need to slow down through the discussion. The content tends to be a descriptive overview of your topic and you would benefit from taking time to analyse and evaluate research and pinpoint core discussion of the topic, and present this in more consider manner, with a slower delivery. If you were presenting to a room full of people, they would have a problem keeping up with speed of this narrative and understanding the nuances of the discussion. This is how you have to think when presenting academically; it has to be the same principals of delivery, as if you were presenting to a room full of professionals/ peers.

Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%: Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly? **Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%:** Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design?	**Satisfactory**	Whilst regular citation occurs in your transcript, there is no citation on your power slides or via your oral presentation. Noted there is a list of references at the end of the power point slide, but we discussed through Seminars, and also through module announcement that citation needs to occur in the actual power point/and/or oral presentation itself. You have to consider that if presenting to an audience, all they can see is the power point and then your oral presentation to support that power point. On the power point you have to cite to show where your references are coming from. Its essential to bear this in mind for future academic presentations.

Overall

Positives:
- Very good overall knowledge of the topic
- Very good presentation and structure of the power point, [although the slides lacked citation]
- The majority of outcomes are satisfactory, however

Points for development:
- Citation needed to occur on presentation materials, [not just on the transcript]
- Your presentation style is extremely hurried and so you need to slow your oral presentation down
- Whilst your presentation was detailed, it was inclined to be descriptive, and so do use more critically evaluative discussion for future all the way through your summative