

APPENDIX A  
PROOF OF THEOREM 1

*Proof.* We present the formal proof using a sequence of games from the real security game  $G_0$  to the random game  $G_9$ . The games run in time at most  $t$ , and involve at most  $n_p$  honest parties. We also define an event  $\xi_i (0 \leq i \leq 9)$  as  $\mathcal{A}$  winning game  $G_i$  by breaching the semantic security of LLRA. Additionally, we assume  $\mathcal{S}$  is the solver of ECCDHP and  $\mathcal{T}$  is the solver of ECDLP.

We also define an event  $\xi_i (0 \leq i \leq 9)$  as  $\mathcal{A}$  winning game  $G_i$  by breaching the semantic security of the LLRA. Note that event  $Z$ , which is independent of  $\xi_i$ , may occur during  $\mathcal{A}$ 's computation. Games  $G_i$  and  $G_{i+1}$  are indistinguishable unless  $Z$  occurs. Thus, we have:

$$|\text{Prob}[\xi_{i+1}] - \text{Prob}[\xi_i]| \leq \text{Prob}[Z]$$

**Game  $G_0$ :** This game corresponds to a real attack scenario where all oracle queries are answered honestly in accordance with the protocol specifications. Based on the security definition, we obtain:

$$\text{Adv}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{LLRA}}(k) = |2 \Pr[b' = b] - 1|$$

**Game  $G_1$ :** This game simulates the hash oracle  $H$ . The execution of the *Reveal*, *Send*, *Corrupt*, and *Test* queries in this game is equivalent to executing an actual attack. Thus, we have:

$$\text{Prob}[\xi_1] = \text{Prob}[\xi_0]$$

**Game  $G_2$ :** In this game  $\mathcal{A}$  replaces  $Y_A$  with the value  $x_{Y_A}$  chosen uniformly at random. The protocol runs honestly to generate  $x_{Y_A}$ . By the ECDLP problem,  $\mathcal{A}$  executes  $n_s$  times with probability  $\text{Adv}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ECDLP}}(\mathcal{T})$ , the value  $Y_A$  and  $x_{Y_A}$  are indistinguishable. Then, we have:

$$|\text{Prob}[\xi_2] - \text{Prob}[\xi_1]| \leq n_s \text{Adv}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ECDLP}}(\mathcal{T})$$

**Game  $G_3$ :** In this game,  $m = 1$ , the condition  $n > 20$  guarantees that  $n > 20 \cdot m$ ,  $n \geq m/3$  and  $n > 16$ . The leakage-resilient storage  $\Lambda_{Z_q^*}^{n,1}$  is  $(2\lambda, \epsilon)$ -secure leakage-resilient and the refreshing protocol  $\text{Refresh}_{Z_q^*}^{n,1}$  is  $(l, \lambda, \epsilon')$ ,  $l \in N$ ,  $\epsilon$  and  $\epsilon'$  are negligible, and  $\lambda = (0.15n \log q, 0.15n \log q)$ . Thus, we have:

$$|\text{Prob}[\xi_3] - \text{Prob}[\xi_2]| \leq n_p \cdot \epsilon'$$

**Game  $G_4$ :** In this game, a collision occurs based on the birthday attack. The probability of collisions in the content simulation is at most  $\binom{n_e + n_s}{2}$  events, each of which occurs with a probability of  $\frac{1}{n_p}$ . The probability of collisions in the hash oracle simulation is at most  $\binom{n_h}{2}$  events, each of which occurs with probability  $\frac{1}{2^l}$ . Thus, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Prob}[\xi_4] - \text{Prob}[\xi_3]| &\leq \binom{n_e + n_s}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n_p} + \binom{n_h}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2^l} \\ &\leq \frac{(n_e + n_s)^2}{2n_p} + \frac{n_h^2}{2^{l+1}} \end{aligned}$$

**Game  $G_5$ :** In this game, the ciphertext  $E_A$  is replaced with an encryption of  $hw_A$ . If  $E_A$  is a valid ciphertext, sets the

session key identical to that of  $S_B^j$ ; else, sets the session key as a uniformly chosen element from dictionary  $|X|$ . There are  $n_s + n_h$  events in total, each of which occurs with probability  $\frac{1}{|X|}$ . Under the semantic security of authenticated encryption, we have:

$$|\text{Prob}[\xi_5] - \text{Prob}[\xi_4]| \leq \frac{n_s + n_h}{|X|}$$

**Game  $G_6$ :** In this game, the ciphertext  $E_B$  is replaced with an encryption of  $hw'_B$ . If the  $\mathcal{A}$  can distinguish game  $G_6$  from game  $G_5$ , then the semantic security of authenticated encryption will be broken at most  $n_e + n_h$  with probability  $\frac{1}{|X|}$ . Thus, we have:

$$|\text{Prob}[\xi_6] - \text{Prob}[\xi_5]| \leq \frac{n_e + n_h}{|X|}$$

**Game  $G_7$ :** In this game, we show that the adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  can distinguish a real session key from a random number if the following situations occur.

- $\mathcal{A}$  made *Corrupt*( $U_A^i$ ) query but no *Send*( $S_B^j$ ) query.  
If an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  successfully forges a valid message  $E_A$ , they can obtain  $hw_A$  and  $D_A$  by issuing  $n_c$  *Corrupt* query on  $U_A^i$ . However,  $\mathcal{A}$  cannot retrieve any identity information or data about  $y_A$  from  $X_A$ ,  $hw_A$ ,  $D_A$ , and  $Y_A$ . Therefore, if  $\mathcal{A}$  can correctly calculate the value  $sk_A$  with negligible advantage, they can forge a valid ciphertext with the same advantage.
- $\mathcal{A}$  made *Corrupt*( $U_A^i$ ) query and *Send*( $S_B^j$ ) query.  
Assuming the adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  successfully forges a valid message  $E_A$  and obtains  $hw_A$  and  $D_A$  of  $U_A^i$  by issuing a *Corrupt* query, they can set  $x_{y_A}$  and  $x_{u_A}$  and request a valid message  $msg'_2$  using the *Send*( $S_B^j, msg'_1$ ) query. To forge a valid  $E_A$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  must accurately calculate the value  $x_{sk_A} = X_A \oplus X_B \oplus H_3(Y_B \cdot x_{u_A})$ . If the adversary executes  $n_s - 1$  *Send* queries to guess  $ID_A$ , the probability that  $\mathcal{A}$  produces a valid  $E_A$  is bounded by  $\frac{1}{|X|}$ .

Therefore, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Prob}[\xi_7] - \text{Prob}[\xi_6]| &\leq \frac{n_s - 1}{|X|} + n_c (\text{Adv}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ECDLP}}(\mathcal{T}) + \text{Adv}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ECCDH}}(\mathcal{S})) \end{aligned}$$

**Game  $G_8$ :** In this game, the adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  issues a *Corrupt*( $S_B^j$ ) query and then requests a valid message  $msg_1 = (X_A, Y_A, T_A, C_A, Auth)$  by using the *Send*( $U_A^i, S_B^j$ ) query. Assuming that  $\mathcal{A}$  successfully forges a valid ciphertext  $E_B$ , they can distinguish between a real session key and a random number. After obtaining  $hw_B$  and  $D_B$  of  $S_B^j$  via the *Corrupt* query,  $\mathcal{A}$  sets  $x_{y_B}$  and  $x_{u_B}$  and computes  $x_{sk_B} = X_A \oplus X_B \oplus H_3(Y_A \cdot x_{u_B})$ . To forge a valid  $E_B$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  must correctly calculate the value  $x_{sk_B}$ , as well as know the identity  $ID_B$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  executes  $n_s - 1$  *Send* queries to guess  $ID_B$ , the probability that  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs a valid  $E_B$  is bounded by  $\frac{1}{|X|}$ . Therefore, we obtain:

$$|\text{Prob}[\xi_8] - \text{Prob}[\xi_7]| \leq \frac{n_s - 1}{|X|}$$

**Game  $G_9$ :** This game serves as a bridging step where the advantage of  $\mathcal{A}$  in guessing  $b$  is completely eliminated. This

is achieved by making the outputs of  $Test(\cdot, \cdot)$  queries indistinguishable in the previous sequence of games, unless the game is halted. Therefore, we obtain:

$$\text{Prob}[\xi_9] = \text{Prob}[\xi_8] = \frac{1}{2}$$

Thus, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Adv}_{\mathcal{A}}^{LLRA}(k) &\leq 2n_p \cdot \epsilon' + \frac{(n_e + n_s)^2}{n_p} + \frac{n_h^2}{2^l} \\ &+ 2\left(\frac{n_s + n_h}{|X|} + \frac{n_e + n_h}{|X|}\right) + \frac{4(n_s - 1)}{|X|} \\ &+ 2(n_s + n_c)\mathbf{Adv}_{\mathcal{A}}^{ECDLP}(\mathcal{T}) + 2n_c\mathbf{Adv}_{\mathcal{A}}^{ECDH}(\mathcal{S}) \end{aligned}$$

□