# GEOM-GCN: GEOMETRIC GRAPH CONVOLUTIONAL NETWORKS



# Term Project 2020-2022

Submitted to: Dr. Ali Ahmed

**Submitted by:** 

Aiman Younas- MSDS20087

Qazi Danish Ayub -MSDS20075

**Muhammad Mubashir Ali -MSDS20085** 

Hira Saleem - MSDS20102

Mahnoor Imran -MSDS20088

#### Introduction

Message passing neural networks such as Graphical convolution network, Gated graph neural network, and graph neural network are used for learning different applications based on graphs. the general working of the MPNNS is defined as the node sends features as a message to the nodes in its neighborhood and then updates its features by accumulating the features it receives as a message. Although MPNNs is already successfully implemented in different scenarios it has its own fundamental weakness that this paper addresses. The goal of this research is to offer a new GCN framework that addresses the shortcomings of existing GCN techniques, especially the loss of structural neighbor information and the failure to preserve important dependencies amongst remote nodes.

### Methodology

The study uses the concept of structural neighborhood in which it defines the neighbors of a node in a graph and latent space through embedding methods. space mapping to create a second kind of neighborhood in latent space: comparing the distance between nodes with the parameter, where the parameter's magnitude rises from 0 to the number of neighborhoods in graph space. For each node v, the researchers used a two-stage convolution approach.

- 1) The nodes with the same relation with v are combined for each neighborhood type
- 2) The resulting nodes are combined again into a new feature vector. This method helps you to get around the concerns mentioned above. The results reveal that the approach outperforms previous GCN solutions in the vast majority of circumstances, often by a significant margin.

## **Objective Functions**

$$\begin{split} e_{(i,r)}^{v,l+1} &= \, p(\{h_u^l | u \in N_i(v), \tau(z_v \,, z_u) = \, r\}) \,, \forall_i \in \, \{g,s\}, \forall_r \in \, R \\ \\ m_v^{l+1} &= \, q_{i \in \, \{g,s\}, \, r \in R} \, ((\, e_{(i,r)}^{v,l+1} \,, \, (i,r))) \\ \\ h_v^{l+1} &= \, \sigma(W_l \,. \, m_v^{l+1}) \end{split}$$

# **Optimizer**

Adam optimizer

# **Experiments**

## **Experiments on Optimizers**

We have used an Adabound this optimizer to generate the results on our datasets. For constructing state-of-the-art deep learning models, an optimizer that trains as fast as Adam and as efficiently as SGD is given as Adabound [1].

#### **Result on SGD Optimizer:**

Gave very bad validation accuracy.

### **Result on Adabound Optimizer:**

Adabound: Gave very good validation accuracy on the 10 splits of dataset as well as performed very well on the test set.

#### **Results for GCN**

Datasets	Test Loss	Test Accuracy	Max(Validation Accuracy)	Min(Validation Loss)	Total Time
Cora	64%	82%	82%	63%	31 min
Citeseer	90%	74%	74%	90%	28 min
Pubmed	37%	86%	85%	37%	1hr 20min

#### **Results for GAT**

Datasets	Test Loss	Test Accuracy	Max(Validation Accuracy)	Min(Validation Loss)	Total Time
Cora	65%	83%	84%	63%	1 hr
Citeseer	124%	72%	75%	120%	1hr 33min
Pubmed	33%	87%	88%	32%	1hr 50min

## **GEOM GCN Model**

As far as this model is concerned, we had difficulty putting it into practice. And, after reviewing certain official complaints about the code, we came to the conclusion that the offered code had some flaws that must be addressed. We attempted to resolve some of the difficulties, but there were still some that needed to be addressed.

To compensate, we read the article and ran a dry run of the code to have a better understanding of the problem and to identify concerns that can assist in the improvement of this model.

#### 1) Smart way of determining a node's radius in Latent space:

Radius is, in fact, a critical hyper-parameter for Geom-GCN. The radius defines the proximity of that node, if the radius is small then the neighborhood in latent space becomes too small, and relevant information cannot be gathered effectively. When the radius increases too much the proximity of that node increases, too much unwanted information becomes part of the relevant information. We recommend that they should run some radius sensibility testing on each dataset to select a good radius.

#### 2) Effective ways for selecting Node Embeddings:

We can see that some variations with neighborhoods defined by only one embedded space perform better than the original Geom-GCN. On the other side, there are a lot of versions that perform poorly. That is, the effectiveness of Geom-GCN would be determined by the embedded spaces chosen. As a result, we believe that developing an end-to-end system that is capable of automatically determining the appropriate embedding spaces for Geom-GCN is critical for future work.

In [2], Non local aggregation framework for GNNs has been introduced that allows non-local aggregation using convolution and efficient attention-guided sorting. Depending on the disassortative graphs in hand, we can build different non-local GNNs with either MLP or GNNs as the local embedding step. That helps to quickly create non-local GNNs with minimal computational overhead. Spectral clustering (SC) is implemented into GNNs in [3] this can also be used to capture long-range graph dependencies.

#### References

- [1] Adaptive Gradient Methods with Dynamic Bound of Learning Rate -Liangchen Luo, Yuanhao Xiong, Yan Liu, Xu Sun- ICLR 2019 Conference
- [2] Non-Local Graph Neural Networks, Meng Liu\* Zhengyang Wang\* Shuiwang Ji Department of Computer Science & Engineering Texas A&M University College Station, TX
- [3] GCN-SL: Graph Convolutional Networks with Structure Learning for Graphs under Heterophily, Mengying Jiang 1 Guizhong Liu 1 Yuanchao Su 2 Xinliang Wu 1-2021