# Statistical and Mathematical Methods for Data Analysis

Dr. Faisal Bukhari

Punjab University College of Information Technology (PUCIT)

## **Textbooks**

- ☐ Probability & Statistics for Engineers & Scientists,
  Ninth Edition, Ronald E. Walpole, Raymond H.
  Myer
- ☐ Elementary Statistics: Picturing the World, 6<sup>th</sup> Edition, Ron Larson and Betsy Farber
- ☐ Elementary Statistics, 13<sup>th</sup> Edition, Mario F. Triola

## Reference books

- ☐ Probability and Statistical Inference, Ninth Edition, Robert V. Hogg, Elliot A. Tanis, Dale L. Zimmerman
- ☐ Probability Demystified, Allan G. Bluman
- □ Practical Statistics for Data Scientists: 50 Essential Concepts, Peter Bruce and Andrew Bruce
- ☐ Schaum's Outline of Probability, Second Edition, Seymour Lipschutz, Marc Lipson
- ☐ Python for Probability, Statistics, and Machine Learning, José Unpingco

## References

Readings for these lecture notes:

☐ Probability & Statistics for Engineers & Scientists, Ninth edition, Ronald E. Walpole, Raymond H. Myer

□ www.unm.edu/~marley/statppt/fall06/002/day12.ppt

These notes contain material from the above resources.

# Independent and Dependent Samples.

☐ Two samples are **independent** if the sample values selected from **one population** are **not related to or somehow paired or matched** with the sample values selected from the other population.

□ Two samples are **dependent** (or consist of **matched pairs**) if the members of one sample can be used to determine the members of the other sample. [Samples consisting of **matched pairs** (such as husband wife data) are **dependent**.

☐ In addition to matched pairs of sample data, dependence could also occur with samples related through associations such as family members.]

# Confidence Interval for $\mu_D = \mu_1 - \mu_2$ for Paired Observations

If  $\overline{d}$  and  $s_d$  are the mean and standard deviation, respectively, of the normally distributed differences of n random pairs of measurements, a  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence interval for  $\mu_D = \mu_1 - \mu_2$  is

$$\overline{d} - t_{(\alpha/2, n-1)} \frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n}} < \mu_d < \overline{d} + t_{(\alpha/2, n-1)} \frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Where,

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{s_d} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (\mathsf{d} - \overline{\mathsf{d}})^2}{n-1}} \, \, \mathsf{OR} \, \, \mathsf{s_d} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\mathsf{n}(\mathsf{n}-1)}} \{ \mathsf{n} \, \sum_{i=1}^n \mathsf{d}^2_i \, - (\sum_{i=1}^n \mathsf{d}^2_i)^2 \} \\ & s_d^2 = \frac{\sum (\mathsf{d} - \overline{\mathsf{d}})^2}{n-1} \, \, \mathsf{OR} \, s_d^2 = \frac{1}{\mathsf{n}(\mathsf{n}-1)} \{ \mathsf{n} \, \sum_{i=1}^n \mathsf{d}^2_i \, - (\sum_{i=1}^n \mathsf{d}^2_i)^2 \} \\ & \mathsf{d_i} = \mathsf{x_{1i}} - \mathsf{x_{2j}} \, \, \mathsf{OR} \, \mathsf{d_i} = \mathsf{x_{2i}} - \mathsf{x_{1j}}, \, \overline{\mathsf{d}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathsf{di}}{n} \end{split}$$

$H_0$	Value of Test Statistic	$H_1$	Critical Region
$\mu = \mu_0$	$z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}};  \sigma \text{ known}$	$\mu < \mu_0$ $\mu > \mu_0$ $\mu \neq \mu_0$	$z<-z_{\alpha} \ z>z_{\alpha} \ z<-z_{\alpha/2} \text{ or } z>z_{\alpha/2}$
$\mu = \mu_0$	$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}};  v = n - 1,$ $\sigma \text{ unknown}$	$\mu < \mu_0$ $\mu > \mu_0$ $\mu \neq \mu_0$	$t < -t_{\alpha}$ $t > t_{\alpha}$ $t < -t_{\alpha/2}$ or $t > t_{\alpha/2}$
$\mu_1 - \mu_2 = d_0$	$z = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - d_0}{\sqrt{\sigma_1^2/n_1 + \sigma_2^2/n_2}};$ $\sigma_1 \text{ and } \sigma_2 \text{ known}$	$\mu_1 - \mu_2 < d_0  \mu_1 - \mu_2 > d_0  \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq d_0$	$z < -z_{\alpha}$
$\mu_1 - \mu_2 = d_0$	$t = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - d_0}{s_p \sqrt{1/n_1 + 1/n_2}};$ $v = n_1 + n_2 - 2,$ $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \text{ but unknown,}$ $s_p^2 = \frac{(n_1 - 1)s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}$	$\mu_1 - \mu_2 < d_0 \\ \mu_1 - \mu_2 > d_0$	$t < -t_{\alpha}$
$\mu_1 - \mu_2 = d_0$	$t' = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - d_0}{\sqrt{s_1^2/n_1 + s_2^2/n_2}};$ $v = \frac{(s_1^2/n_1 + s_2^2/n_2)^2}{\frac{(s_1^2/n_1)^2}{n_1 - 1} + \frac{(s_2^2/n_2)^2}{n_2 - 1}};$ $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2 \text{ and unknown}$	$\mu_1 - \mu_2 < d_0$ $\mu_1 - \mu_2 > d_0$ $\mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq d_0$	
$ \mu_D = d_0 $ paired observations	$t = \frac{\overline{d} - d_0}{s_d / \sqrt{n}};$ $v = n - 1$	$\mu_D < d_0$ $\mu_D > d_0$ $\mu_D \neq d_0$	$t < -t_{\alpha}$ $t > t_{\alpha}$ $t < -t_{\alpha/2} \text{ or } t > t_{\alpha/2}$

# **Testing Hypothesis about Paired Observation**

a) 
$$H_o$$
:  $\mu_d = 0$   
 $H_1$ :  $\mu_d < 0$  (One tailed test)

b) 
$$H_o$$
:  $\mu_d = 0$   
 $H_1$ :  $\mu_d > 0$  (One tailed test)

c) 
$$H_o$$
:  $\mu_d = 0$   
 $H_1$ :  $\mu_d \neq 0$  (Two tailed test)

### **Test statistic:**

$$\mathbf{t}_{\mathsf{cal}} = \frac{\overline{d} - \mu_d}{\frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n}}},$$

Where  $d_i = x_{1i} - x_{2j}$  OR  $d_i = x_{2i} - x_{1j}$ 

$$\overline{d} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} di}{n}$$

$$s_{d} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (d - \overline{d})^{2}}{n - 1}} OR$$

$$s_d = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}} \{ n \sum_{i=1}^n d^2_i - (\sum_{i=1}^n d_i)^2 \}$$

# The *t* Test for Dependent Samples: An Example

Eight individuals indicated their attitudes toward socialized medicine before and after listening to a pro-socialized medicine lecture. Attitudes were assessed on a scale from 1 to 7, with higher scores indicating more positive attitudes. The attitudes before and after listening to the lecture were as indicated in the second and third columns of the table. Test for a relationship between the time of assessment and attitudes toward socialized medicine using a correlated groups *t* test.

Individual	Before speech	After speech
1	3	6
2	4	6
3	3	3
4	5	7
5	2	4
6	5	6
7	3	7
8	4	6

## Solution

$$\mu_D = 0$$

$$n = 8$$

$$\alpha = 0.05$$

$$\overline{d}$$
 = ?

$$s_d = ?$$

(Population mean)

(Sample size)

(Level of significance)

1. We state our hypothesis as:

$$H_o$$
:  $\mu_d = 0$ 

$$H_1$$
:  $\mu_d \neq 0$  (Two tailed test)

2. The level of significance is set  $\alpha = 0.05$ 

3. Test statistic to be used is

$$\mathbf{t}_{\mathsf{cal}} = \frac{\overline{d} - \mu_d}{\frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n}}}$$

4. Calculations:

Before speech	After speech	$d_i = x_{1i} - x_{2j}$	d² i
3	6	-3	9
4	6	-2	4
3	3	0	0
5	7	-2	4
2	4	-2	4
5	6	-1	1
3	7	-4	16
4	6	-2	4
Sum		$\sum_{i=1}^{n} di = -16$	$\sum_{i=1}^{n} d^2_i = 42$

$$s_d = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}} \{ n \sum_{i=1}^n d^2_i - (\sum_{i=1}^n d_i)^2 \}$$

$$\mathbf{s_d} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{8(8-1)}} \{8(42) - (-16)^2\} = \sqrt{\frac{80}{8(8-1)}} = 1.1952$$

$$\mathbf{t_{cal}} = \frac{\overline{d} - \mu_d}{\frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n}}} = \mathbf{t_{cal}} = \frac{-2 - 0}{\frac{1.1952}{\sqrt{8}}} = \frac{-2}{0.4226}$$

$$\mathbf{t_{cal}} = -4.7326$$

$$|\mathbf{t_{cal}}| = 4.7326$$

#### 5. Critical region:

$$|\mathbf{t}_{cal}| > \mathbf{t}_{tab}$$
, where  $\mathbf{t}_{tab} = \mathbf{t}_{(\alpha/2, n-1)}$   
Where  $\mathbf{t}_{tab} = \mathbf{t}_{(\alpha/2, n-1)} = \mathbf{t}_{(0.0250, 7)} = 2.365$ 

6. Conclusion: Since calculated value of  $t_{cal}$  is greater than  $t_{tab}$ , so we reject  $H_O$ 

## Interpret your results.

After the **pro-socialized medicine lecture**, individuals' attitudes toward **socialized medicine** were significantly more positive than before the lecture.

# Table A.4 Critical Values of the t-Distribution




Table A	.4 Critical Va	dues of the t	-Distribution
---------	----------------	---------------	---------------

				α			
v	0.40	0.30	0.20	0.15	0.10	0.05	0.025
1	0.325	0.727	1.376	1.963	3.078	6.314	12,706
2	0.289	0.617	1.061	1.386	1.886	2.920	4.303
3	0.277	0.584	0.978	1.250	1.638	2.353	3.182
4	0.271	0.569	0.941	1.190	1.533	2.132	2.77
5	0.267	0.559	0.920	1.156	1.476	2.015	2.57
6	0.265	0.553	0.906	1.134	1.440	1.943	2.44
7	0.263	0.549	0.896	1.119	1.415	1.895	2.36
8	0.262	0.546	0.889	1.108	1.397	1.860	2.30
9	0.261	0.543	0.883	1.100	1.383	1.833	2.263
10	0.260	0.542	0.879	1.093	1.372	1.812	2.22
11	0.260	0.540	0.876	1.088	1.363	1.796	2.20
12	0.259	0.539	0.873	1.083	1.356	1.782	2.17
13	0.259	0.538	0.870	1.079	1.350	1.771	2.16
14	0.258	0.537	0.868	1.076	1.345	1.761	2.14
15	0.258	0.536	0.866	1.074	1.341	1.753	2.13
16	0.258	0.535	0.865	1.071	1.337	1.746	2.12
17	0.257	0.534	0.863	1.069	1.333	1.740	2.11
18	0.257	0.534	0.862	1.067	1.330	1.734	2.10
19	0.257	0.533	0.861	1.066	1.328	1.729	2.093
20	0.257	0.533	0.860	1.064	1.325	1.725	2.08
21	0.257	0.532	0.859	1.063	1.323	1.721	2.08
22	0.256	0.532	0.858	1.061	1.321	1.717	2.07
23	0.256	0.532	0.858	1.060	1.319	1.714	2.06
24	0.256	0.531	0.857	1.059	1.318	1.711	2.06
25	0.256	0.531	0.856	1.058	1.316	1.708	2.06
26	0.256	0.531	0.856	1.058	1.315	1.706	2.05
27	0.256	0.531	0.855	1.057	1.314	1.703	2.05
28	0.256	0.530	0.855	1.056	1.313	1.701	2.04
29	0.256	0.530	0.854	1.055	1.311	1.699	2.04
30	0.256	0.530	0.854	1.055	1.310	1.697	2.043
40	0.255	0.529	0.851	1.050	1.303	1.684	2.02
60	0.254	0.527	0.848	1.045	1.296	1.671	2.00
20	0.254	0.526	0.845	1.041	1.289	1.658	1.98
00	0.253	0.524	0.842	1.036	1.282	1.645	1.960

Dr. Faisal Bukhari, PUCIT, PU, Lahore

# Table A.4 (continued) Critical Values of the t-Distribution

				α	α			
v	0.02	0.015	0.01	0.0075	0.005	0.0025	0.0008	
1	15.894	21.205	31.821	42.433	63,656	127.321	636.578	
2	4.849	5.643	6.965	8.073	9.925	14.089	31.600	
3	3.482	3.896	4.541	5.047	5.841	7.453	12.92	
4	2.999	3.298	3.747	4,088	4.604	5.598	8.610	
5	2.757	3.003	3.365	3.634	4.032	4.773	6.869	
6	2.612	2.829	3.143	3,372	3.707	4.317	5.95	
7	2.517	2.715	2.998	3, 203	3.499	4.029	5.40	
8	2.449	2.634	2.896	3.085	3.355	3.833	5.04	
9	2.398	2.574	2.821	2.998	3.250	3.690	4.78	
10	2.359	2.527	2.764	2.932	3.169	3.581	4.58	
11	2.328	2.491	2.718	2.879	3.106	3.497	4.43	
12	2.303	2.461	2.681	2.836	3.055	3.428	4.31	
13	2.282	2.436	2.650	2.801	3.012	3.372	4.22	
14	2.264	2.415	2.624	2.771	2.977	3.326	4.14	
15	2.249	2.397	2.602	2.746	2.947	3.286	4.07	
16	2.235	2.382	2.583	2.724	2.921	3.252	4.01	
17	2.224	2.368	2.567	2.706	2.898	3.222	3.96	
18	2.214	2.356	2.552	2.689	2.878	3.197	3.92	
19	2.205	2.346	2.539	2.674	2.861	3.174	3.88	
20	2.197	2.336	2.528	2.661	2.845	3.153	3.85	
21	2.189	2.328	2.518	2.649	2.831	3.135	3.81	
22	2.183	2.320	2.508	2.639	2.819	3.119	3.79	
23	2.177	2.313	2.500	2.629	2.807	3.104	3.76	
24	2.172	2.307	2.492	2.620	2.797	3.091	3.74	
25	2.167	2.301	2.485	2.612	2.787	3.078	3.72	
26	2.162	2.296	2.479	2.605	2.779	3.067	3.70	
27	2.158	2.291	2.473	2.598	2.771	3.057	3.68	
28	2.154	2.286	2.467	2.592	2.763	3.047	3.67	
29	2.150	2.282	2.462	2.586	2.756	3.038	3.66	
30	2.147	2.278	2.457	2.581	2.750	3.030	3.64	
40	2.123	2.250	2.423	2.542	2.704	2.971	3.55	
60	2.099	2.223	2.390	2.504	2.660	2.915	3.46	
120	2.076	2.196	2.358	2.468	2.617	2.860	3.37	
00	2.054	2.170	2.326	2.432	2.576	2.807	3.29	

## **Analysis of variance (ANOVA)**

☐ Analysis of variance (ANOVA) is a method of testing the equality of three or more population means by analyzing sample variances.

# One-Way Analysis of Variance: Completely Randomized Design (One-Way ANOVA)

Random samples of size *n* are selected from each of *k* populations. The *k* different populations are classified on the basis of a single criterion such as different treatments or groups.

Today the term **treatment** is used generally to refer to the **various classifications**, whether they be different **aggregates**, different **analysts**, different **fertilizers**, or different **regions** of the country.

# Assumptions and Hypotheses in One-Way ANOVA

It is assumed that the k populations are independent and normally distributed with means  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_k$  and common variance  $\sigma^2$ .

☐ We wish to derive appropriate methods for testing the hypothesis

 $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = ... = \mu_k$ 

 $H_1$ : At least two of the means are not equal

- Let  $y_{ij}$  denote the *j*th observation from the *i*th treatment and arrange the data as the table on next slide.
- Here,  $Y_i$  is the total of all observations in the sample from the *i*th treatment,  $\overline{y_i}$  is the mean of all observations in the sample from the *i*th treatment,
- $\square$  Y.. is the total of all  $n_k$  observations, and  $\overline{y_{i..}}$  is the mean of all  $n_k$  observations.

# k Random Samples

Treatment:	1	2	 i	• • •	$\boldsymbol{k}$	
	$y_{11}$	$y_{21}$	 $y_{i1}$		$y_{k1}$	
	$y_{12}$	$y_{22}$	 $y_{i2}$		$y_{k2}$	
	:	:	:		:	
	$y_{1n}$	$y_{2n}$	 $y_{in}$	• • •	$y_{kn}$	_
Total	$Y_{1.}$	$Y_{2.}$	 $Y_{i}$ .		$Y_k$ .	$Y_{}$
Mean	$\bar{y}_{1.}$	$\bar{y}_{2.}$	 $ar{y}_{i}$ .		$\bar{y}_{k}$ .	$ar{y}_{\cdot \cdot}$

## **Sum-of-Squares Identity**

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{..})^2 = n \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\bar{y}_{i.} - \bar{y}_{..})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{i.})^2$$

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{..})^2 = \text{total sum of squares},$$

$$SSA = n \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} (\bar{y}_{i.} - \bar{y}_{..})^2 = \text{treatment sum of squares},$$

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{i.})^2 = \text{error sum of squares.}$$

#### SST = SSA + SSE

# Treatment Mean Square vs Error Mean Square (MSE)

☐ Treatment Mean Square:

$$s^2 = \frac{SSA}{k-1}$$

☐ Error Mean Square (MSE):

$$s^2 = \frac{SSE}{k(n-1)}$$

## Use of F-Test in ANOVA

 $\Box$  The **estimate**  $s^2$  is **unbiased** regardless of the truth or falsity of the null hypothesis.

It is important to note that the sum-of-squares identity has partitioned not only the total variability of the data, but also the total number of degrees of freedom.

That is, nk - 1 = k - 1 + k(n - 1).

# F-Ratio for $s^2$ Testing Equality of Means

- □ When  $H_0$  is **true**, the ratio  $f_{cal} = \frac{s_1^2}{s^2}$  is a value of the random variable F having the F-distribution with k-1 and k(n-1) degrees of freedom.
- $\square$  Since  $s_1^2$  overestimates  $\sigma^2$  when  $H_0$  is false, we have a one-tailed test with the critical region entirely in the right tail of the distribution.
- The null hypothesis  $H_0$  is rejected at the  $\alpha$ -level of significance when  $f_{cal} > f_{\alpha}[k-1, k(n-1)]$ .

# **Analysis of Variance for the One-Way ANOVA**

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Square	$\begin{matrix} \text{Computed} \\ f \end{matrix}$
Treatments	SSA	k-1	$s_1^2 = \frac{SSA}{k-1}$	$\frac{s_1^2}{s^2}$
Error	SSE	k(n-1)	$s^2 = \frac{SSE}{k(n-1)}$	
Total	$\overline{SST}$	kn-1	. ,	

**Example 13.1:** Test the hypothesis  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_5$  at the 0.05 level of significance for the data of Table 13.1 on absorption of moisture by various types of cement aggregates.

Table 13.1: Absorption of Moisture in Concrete Aggregates

Aggregate:	1	2	3	4	5	
	551	595	639	417	563	
	457	580	615	449	631	
	450	508	511	517	522	
	731	583	573	438	613	
	499	633	648	415	656	
	632	517	677	555	679	
Total	3320	3416	3663	2791	3664	16,854
Mean	553.33	569.33	610 <i>.</i> 50	465.17	610.67	561.80

#### **Solution:**

1. We state our hypothesis as

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_5$$

 $H_1$ : At least two of the means are not equal

- 2. The level of significance is set  $\alpha = 0.05$ .
- 3. Test statistic to be used is

$$f_{cal} = \frac{s_1^2}{s^2}$$

4. Calculations:

## **Formulae**

- 1. SST =  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (y_{ij} \overline{y}_{i..})^2$  = total sum of squares
- 2. SSA =  $n \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\overline{y}_{i.} \overline{y}_{i..})^2$  = treatment sum of squares
- 3. SSE =  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (y_{ij} \overline{y}_{i.})^2$  = error sum of squares

Aggregate	1	2	3	4	5	
	551	595	639	417	563	
	457	580	615	449	631	
	450	508	511	517	522	
	731	583	573	438	613	
	499	633	648	415	656	
	632	517	677	555	679	
Total	3320	3416	3663	2791	3664	16854
Mean	553.33	569.33	610.50	465.17	610.67	561.8

$$\overline{y_{i..}}$$
 = 16854/30 = 561.80  
Or  $\overline{y_{i..}}$  = 2809/5 = 561.80

SSA = 
$$n \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\overline{y_{i}} - \overline{y_{i}})^2$$
 = treatment sum of squares

= 
$$6(553.33 - 561.80)^2 + 6(569.33 - 561.80)^2 + 6(610.50 - 561.80)^2 + 6(465.17 - 561.80)^2 + 6(610.50 - 561.80)^2$$

$$= 6(-6.47)^2 + 6(7.53)^2 + 6(48.7)^2 + 6(-96.63)^2 + 6(48.7)^2$$

# SSE = $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (y_{ij} - \overline{y_{i}})^2$ = error sum of squares

1	2	3	4	5
$(y_{ij} - \overline{y_{i.}})^2$				
$=(y_{ij}_{-}553.33)^2$	$= (y_{ij}_{-}569.33)^2$	$= (y_{ij}_{-}610.50)^2$	= $(y_{ij}_{-}465.17)^2$	= $(y_{ij}_{-}610.67)^2$
5.4289	658.9489	812.25	2320.349	2272.114
9279.469	113.8489	20.25	261.4689	413.4431
10677.09	3761.369	9900.25	2686.349	7861.784
31566.63	186.8689	1406.25	738.2089	5.444289
2951.749	4053.869	1406.25	2517.029	2055.108
6188.969	2738.429	4422.25	8069.429	4669.44
60669.33	11513.33	17967.5	16592.83	17277.33

SSE = 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (y_{ij} - \overline{y_{i}})^2$$

$$= 60669.33 + 11513.33 + 17967.5$$

**= 124020.3** 

SSE = 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (y_{ij} - \overline{y_{i}})^2$$
 = error sum of squares

$$SST = SSA + SSE$$

$$= 85075.7922 + 124020.3$$

= 209096.0922

#### Method 2

We can first find **SSA**. Then we can find **SST** using formula given below:

SST = 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (y_{ij} - \overline{y_{i..}})^2$$
 = total sum of squares

After finding **SSA** and **SST**, we will use the following formula to find **SSE**:

$$SST = SSA + SSE$$
  
 $\Rightarrow SSE = SST - SSA$ 

# **Analysis of Variance for the One-Way**

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	Degree of Freedom	Mean Square	Calculated f
Treatments	SSA = 209377	k-1=5-1 =4	$s_1^2 = \frac{\text{SSA}}{k-1}$ $= \frac{209377}{4}$ $= 21339.1167$	$f_{cal} = \frac{s_1^2}{s^2}$ $= \frac{21339.1167}{4960.8133}$ $= 4.30$
Error	SSE = 124021	k(n - 1) = 5 (6-1) = 25	$s^2 = \frac{124021}{25}$ = 4960.8133	
Total	SST = 209096.0922	kn -1 = (5)(6) -1 = 29 r. Faisal Bukhari, PUCIT, PU, Laho	re	

#### 5. Critical region:

$$f_{cal} > f_{\alpha}[k-1, k(n-1)]$$

$$f_{tab} = f_{\alpha}[k - 1, k(n - 1)] = f_{0.05}[4, 25]$$
  
= 2.76  
4.30 > 2.76

### 6. Conclusion: Reject H<sub>0</sub>

Table A.6 Critical Values of the F-Distribution

	$f_{0.05}(v_1,v_2)$									
	$v_1$									
$v_2$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
1	161.45	199.50	215.71	224.58	230.16	233.99	236.77	238.88	240.54	
2	18.51	19.00	19.16	19.25	19.30	19.33	19.35	19.37	19.38	
3	10.13	9.55	9.28	9.12	9.01	8.94	8.89	8.85	8.81	
4	7.71	6.94	6.59	6.39	6.26	6.16	6.09	6.04	6.00	
5	6.61	5.79	5.41	5.19	5.05	4.95	4.88	4.82	4.77	
6	5.99	5.14	4.76	4.53	4.39	4.28	4.21	4.15	4.10	
7	5.59	4.74	4.35	4.12	3.97	3 <i>.</i> 87	3.79	3.73	3.68	
8	5.32	4.46	4.07	3.84	3.69	3.58	3.50	3.44	3.39	
8	5.12	4.26	3.86	3.63	3.48	3.37	3.29	3.23	3 <i>.</i> 18	
10	4.96	4.10	3.71	3.48	3.33	3.22	3.14	3.07	3.02	
11	4.84	3.98	3.59	3.36	3.20	3.09	3.01	2.95	2.90	
12	4.75	3.89	3.49	3.26	3 <i>.</i> 11	3.00	2.91	2.85	2.80	
13	4.67	3.81	3.41	3.18	3.03	2.92	2.83	2.77	2.71	
14	4.60	3.74	3.34	3.11	2.96	2.85	2.76	2.70	2.65	
15	4.54	3.68	3.29	3.06	2.90	2.79	2.71	2.64	2.59	
16	4.49	3.63	3.24	3.01	2.85	2.74	2.66	2.59	2.54	
<b>17</b>	4.45	3.59	3.20	2.96	2.81	2.70	2.61	2.55	2.49	
18	4.41	3.55	3.16	2.93	2.77	2.66	2.58	2.51	2.46	
19	4.38	3.52	3.13	2.90	2.74	2.63	2.54	2.48	2.42	
20	4.35	3.49	3.10	2.87	2.71	2.60	2.51	2.45	2.39	
21	4.32	3.47	3.07	2.84	2.68	2.57	2.49	2.42	2.37	
22	4.30	3.44	3.05	2.82	2.66	2.55	2.46	2.40	2.34	
<b>23</b>	4.28	3.42	3.03	2.80	2.64	2.53	2.44	2.37	2.32	
<b>24</b>	4.26	3.40	3.01	2.78	2.62	2.51	2.42	2.36	2.30	
25	4.24	3.39	2.99	2.76	2.60	2.49	2.40	2.34	2.28	
26	4.23	3.37	2.98	2.74	2.59	2.47	2.39	2.32	2.27	
27	4.21	3.35	2.96	2.73	2.57	2.46	2.37	2.31	2.25	
28	4.20	3.34	2.95	2.71	2.56	2.45	2.36	2.29	2.24	
29	4.18	3.33	2.93	2.70	2.55	2.43	2.35	2.28	2.22	
30	4.17	3.32	2.92	2.69	2.53	2.42	2.33	2.27	2.21	
40	4.08	3.23	2.84	2.61	2.45	2.34	2.25	2.18	2.12	
60	4.00	3.15	2.76	2.53	2.37	2.25	2.17	2.10	2.04	
120	3.92	3.07	2.68	2.45	2.29	2.18	2.09	2.02	1.96	
$\infty$	3.84	3.00	2.60	2.37	2.21	2.10	2.01	1.94	1.88	

Dr. Faisal Bukhari, PUCIT, PU, Lahore

Table A.6 (continued) Critical Values of the F-Distribution

	$f_{0.05}(v_1,v_2)$									
	$v_1$									
$v_2$	10	12	15	20	24	30	40	60	120	$\infty$
1	241.88	243.91	245.95	248.01	249.05	250.10	251.14	252.20	253.25	254.31
2	19.40	19.41	19.43	19.45	19.45	19.46	19.47	19.48	19.49	19.50
3	8.79	8.74	8.70	8.66	8.64	8.62	8.59	8.57	8.55	8.53
4	5.96	5.91	5.86	5.80	5.77	5.75	5.72	5.69	5.66	5.63
5	4.74	4.68	4.62	4.56	4.53	4.50	4.46	4.43	4.40	4.36
6	4.06	4.00	3.94	3.87	3.84	3.81	3.77	3.74	3.70	3.67
7	3.64	3.57	3.51	3.44	3.41	3.38	3.34	3.30	3.27	3.23
8	3.35	3.28	3.22	3.15	3.12	3.08	3.04	3.01	2.97	2.93
9	3.14	3.07	3.01	2.94	2.90	2.86	2.83	2.79	2.75	2.71
10	2.98	2.91	2.85	2.77	2.74	2.70	2.66	2.62	2.58	2.54
11	2.85	2.79	2.72	2.65	2.61	2.57	2.53	2.49	2.45	2.40
12	2.75	2.69	2.62	2.54	2.51	2.47	2.43	2.38	2.34	2.30
13	2.67	2.60	2.53	2.46	2.42	2.38	2.34	2.30	2.25	2.21
14	2.60	2.53	2.46	2.39	2.35	2.31	2.27	2.22	2.18	2.13
15	2.54	2.48	2.40	2.33	2.29	2.25	2.20	2.16	2.11	2.07
16	2.49	2.42	2.35	2.28	2.24	2.19	2.15	2.11	2.06	2.01
17	2.45	2.38	2.31	2.23	2.19	2.15	2.10	2.06	2.01	1.96
18	2.41	2.34	2.27	2.19	2.15	2.11	2.06	2.02	1.97	1.92
19	2.38	2.31	2.23	2.16	2.11	2.07	2.03	1.98	1.93	1.88
<b>2</b> 0	2.35	2.28	2.20	2.12	2.08	2.04	1.99	1.95	1.90	1.84
21	2.32	2.25	2.18	2.10	2.05	2.01	1.96	1.92	1.87	1.81
22	2.30	2.23	2.15	2.07	2.03	1.98	1.94	1.89	1.84	1.78
23	2.27	2.20	2.13	2.05	2.01	1.96	1.91	1.86	1.81	1.76
24	2.25	2.18	2.11	2.03	1.98	1.94	1.89	1.84	1.79	1.73
25	2.24	2.16	2.09	2.01	1.96	1.92	1.87	1.82	1.77	1.71
26	2.22	2.15	2.07	1.99	1.95	1.90	1.85	1.80	1.75	1.69
27	2.20	2.13	2.06	1.97	1.93	1.88	1.84	1.79	1.73	1.67
28	2.19	2.12	2.04	1.96	1.91	1.87	1.82	1.77	1.71	1.65
29	2.18	2.10	2.03	1.94	1.90	1.85	1.81	1.75	1.70	1.64
<b>3</b> 0	2.16	2.09	2.01	1.93	1.89	1.84	1.79	1.74	1.68	1.62
40	2.08	2.00	1.92	1.84	1.79	1.74	1.69	1.64	1.58	1.51
60	1.99	1.92	1.84	1.75	1.70	1.65	1.59	1.53	1.47	1.39
120	1.91	1.83	1.75	1.66	1.61	1.55	1.50	1.43	1.35	1.25
$\infty$	1.83	1.75	1.67	1.57	1.52	1.46	1.39	1.32	1.22	1.00

Table A.6 (continued) Critical Values of the F-Distribution

	$f_{0.01}(v_1,v_2)$									
	$v_1$									
$v_2$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
1	4052.18	4999.50	5403.35	5624.58	5763.65	5858.99	5928.36	5981.07	6022.47	
2	98.50	99.00	99.17	99.25	99.30	99.33	99.36	99.37	99.39	
3	34.12	30.82	29.46	28.71	28.24	27.91	27.67	27.49	27.35	
4	21.20	18.00	16.69	15.98	15.52	15.21	14.98	14.80	14.66	
5	16.26	13.27	12.06	11.39	10.97	10.67	10.46	10.29	10.16	
6	13.75	10.92	9.78	9.15	8.75	8.47	8.26	8.10	7.98	
7	12.25	9.55	8.45	7.85	7.46	7.19	6.99	6.84	6.72	
8	11.26	8.65	7.59	7.01	6.63	6.37	6.18	6.03	5.91	
9	10.56	8.02	6.99	6.42	6.06	5 <i>.</i> 80	5.61	5.47	5 <i>.</i> 35	
10	10.04	7.56	6.55	5.99	5.64	5.39	5.20	5.06	4.94	
11	9.65	7.21	6.22	5.67	5.32	5.07	4.89	4.74	4.63	
12	9.33	6.93	5.95	5.41	5.06	4.82	4.64	4.50	4.39	
13	9.07	6.70	5.74	5.21	4.86	4.62	4.44	4.30	4.19	
14	8.86	6.51	5.56	5.04	4.69	4.46	4.28	4.14	4.03	
15	8.68	6.36	5.42	4.89	4.56	4.32	4.14	4.00	3.89	
16	8.53	6.23	5.29	4.77	4.44	4.20	4.03	3.89	3 <i>.</i> 78	
17	8.40	6.11	5.18	4.67	4.34	4.10	3.93	3.79	3.68	
18	8.29	6.01	5.09	4.58	4.25	4.01	3.84	3.71	3.60	
19	8.18	5.93	5.01	4.50	4.17	3.94	3.77	3.63	3.52	
<b>2</b> 0	8.10	5.85	4.94	4.43	4.10	3.87	3.70	3.56	3.46	
21	8.02	5.78	4.87	4.37	4.04	3 <i>.</i> 81	3.64	3.51	3 <i>.</i> 40	
$^{22}$	7.95	5.72	4.82	4.31	3.99	3.76	3.59	3.45	3.35	
23	7.88	5.66	4.76	4.26	3.94	3 <i>.</i> 71	3.54	3.41	3 <i>.</i> 30	
${\bf 24}$	7.82	5.61	4.72	4.22	3.90	3.67	3.50	3.36	3.26	
25	7.77	5.57	4.68	4.18	3.85	3.63	3.46	3.32	3.22	
26	7.72	5.53	4.64	4.14	3.82	3.59	3.42	3.29	3.18	
27	7.68	5.49	4.60	4.11	3.78	3.56	3.39	3.26	3.15	
28	7.64	5.45	4.57	4.07	3 <i>.</i> 75	3 <i>.</i> 53	3.36	3.23	3.12	
29	7.60	5.42	4.54	4.04	3 <i>.</i> 73	3 <i>.</i> 50	3.33	3.20	3.09	
30	7.56	5.39	4.51	4.02	3.70	3.47	3.30	3.17	3.07	
40	7.31	5.18	4.31	3.83	3.51	3.29	3.12	2.99	2.89	
60	7.08	4.98	4.13	3.65	3.34	3.12	2.95	2.82	2.72	
120	6.85	4.79	3.95	3.48	3.17	2.96	2.79	2.66	2.56	
$\infty$	6.63	4.61	3 <i>.</i> 78	3.32	3.02	2.80	2.64	2.51	2.41	

Table A.6 (continued) Critical Values of the F-Distribution

	$f_{0.01}(v_1,v_2)$									
	$v_1$									
$v_2$	10	12	15	20	24	30	40	60	120	$\infty$
1	6055.85	6106.32	6157.28	6208.73	6234.63	6260.65	6286.78	6313.03	6339.39	6365.86
2	99.40	99.42	99.43	99.45	99.46	99.47	99.47	99.48	99.49	99.50
3	27.23	27.05	26.87	26.69	26.60	26.50	26.41	26.32	26.22	26.13
4	14.55	14.37	14.20	14.02	13.93	13.84	13.75	13.65	13.56	13.46
5	10.05	9.89	9.72	9.55	9.47	9.38	9.29	9.20	9.11	9.02
6	7.87	7.72	7.56	7.40	7.31	7.23	7.14	7.06	6.97	6.88
7	6.62	6.47	6.31	6.16	6.07	5.99	5.91	5.82	5.74	5.65
8	5.81	5.67	5.52	5.36	5.28	5.20	5.12	5.03	4.95	4.86
9	5.26	5.11	4.96	4.81	4.73	4.65	4.57	4.48	4.40	4.31
10	4.85	4.71	4.56	4.41	4.33	4.25	4.17	4.08	4.00	3.91
11	4.54	4.40	4.25	4.10	4.02	3.94	3.86	3 <i>.</i> 78	3.69	3.60
12	4.30	4.16	4.01	3.86	3 <i>.</i> 78	3 <i>.</i> 70	3.62	3.54	3.45	3.36
13	4.10	3.96	3.82	3.66	3.59	3.51	3.43	3.34	3.25	3.17
14	3.94	3.80	3.66	3.51	3.43	3.35	3.27	3.18	3.09	3.00
15	3.80	3.67	3.52	3.37	3.29	3.21	3.13	3.05	2.96	2.87
16	3.69	3.55	3.41	3.26	3.18	3.10	3.02	2.93	2.84	2.75
17	3.59	3.46	3.31	3.16	3.08	3.00	2.92	2.83	2.75	2.65
18	3.51	3.37	3.23	3.08	3.00	2.92	2.84	2.75	2.66	2.57
19	3.43	3.30	3.15	3.00	2.92	2.84	2.76	2.67	2.58	2.49
<b>2</b> 0	3.37	3.23	3.09	2.94	2.86	2.78	2.69	2.61	2.52	2.42
21	3.31	3.17	3.03	2.88	2.80	2.72	2.64	2.55	2.46	2.36
22	3.26	3.12	2.98	2.83	2.75	2.67	2.58	2.50	2.40	2.31
23	3.21	3.07	2.93	2.78	2.70	2.62	2.54	2.45	2.35	2.26
24	3.17	3.03	2.89	2.74	2.66	2.58	2.49	2.40	2.31	2.21
25	3.13	2.99	2.85	2.70	2.62	2.54	2.45	2.36	2.27	2.17
26	3.09	2.96	2.81	2.66	2.58	2.50	2.42	2.33	2.23	2.13
27	3.06	2.93	2.78	2.63	2.55	2.47	2.38	2.29	2.20	2.10
28	3.03	2.90	2.75	2.60	2.52	2.44	2.35	2.26	2.17	2.06
<b>29</b>	3.00	2.87	2.73	2.57	2.49	2.41	2.33	2.23	2.14	2.03
30	2.98	2.84	2.70	2.55	2.47	2.39	2.30	2.21	2.11	2.01
40	2.80	2.66	2.52	2.37	2.29	2.20	2.11	2.02	1.92	1 <i>.</i> 80
60	2.63	2.50	2.35	2.20	2.12	2.03	1.94	1.84	1.73	1.60
120	2.47	2.34	2.19	2.03	1.95	1.86	1.76	1.66	1.53	1.38
$\infty$	2.32	2.18	2.04	1.88	1.79	1.70	1.59	1.47	1.32	1.00