

# Recitation 4

#### [Definitions used today]

Topkis theorem, Supermodularity, Increasing Differences

# Question 1

Suppose that a firm with production function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  such that f(0) = 0 chooses its production plan (x; z) at prices  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n_{++}$  of inputs and  $q \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$  of the output in such a way that minimizes the cost of producing z at prices w, and the marginal cost  $\frac{\partial C^*}{\partial z}(w; z)$  equals the output price q:

- a Under what conditions on f is the firm maximizing its production? Be as general as you can. Prove you answer.
- b Suppose that cost function  $C^*$  is strictly concave in z. Show that the firm makes a loss (strictly negative profit) when following the marginal cost rule whenever the output is non-zero.

# Solution 1

- a) f concave  $\to Y$  is convex so  $\pi(p) \in \partial Y$  or f concave  $\to C$  concave in z so  $\pi(q,w) = \sup_{z \ge 0} qz C(w,z)$  is convex (envelope)
- b) strict concavity means stric convexity of profit. It implies minimization of profit in FOCs gives profit below zero. Or from concavity of C in z and envelope for profit

$$0 \le C(w,0) \le C(w,z) - z \cdot \frac{\partial C^*}{\partial z}(w;z)$$
  $\pi(p) \le 0$ 

#### Question 2 [Topkis theorem]

If S is a lattice, f is supermodular in x, and f has nondecreasing differences in (x;t), then  $\varphi^*(t) = \arg\max_{x \in S} f(x,t)$  is monotone nondecreasing in t.

# Question 3 [Midterm 2017] or $\sim 82,89$ [II.1 Spring 2009 majors]

Consider a profit maximizing firm with single output and n inputs, with production function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  assumed strictly increasing, continuous (but possibly nondifferentiable), and f(0) = 0. Let  $q \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$  be the price of output and  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n_{++}$  be the vector of prices of inputs. The firm's profit maximization problem is

$$\max_{x \ge 0} [qf(x) - wx]$$

- a Show that if the production function f is supermodular, then the firm's input demand x is monotone non-increasing in input prices, that is if  $w \leq w'$  for  $w, w \in \mathbb{R}^N_{++}$  then  $x(w,q) \geq x(w,q)$ . You may assume that input demand x is single valued. Production function is strictly increasing but need not be differentiable.
- b Under what conditions on f is the solution x(w,q) unique? Be as general as you can and prove your answer
- c Give an example of strictly increasing function that is not supermodular.

#### Solution 3

Function f is assumed strictly increasing. If f is nondecreasing, then the objective function F(x,q) = qf(x) - wx has nondecreasing differences in (x;q). If f is supermodular, then F(x,q) is supermodular in x. Theorem ?? implies that input demand  $x^*(q)$  is monotone nondecreasing in output price q.

## Question 4

Consider a  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^L$ ,  $T \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Define function F in following way:

$$F: \mathbb{R}^L \times T \to \mathbb{R} \quad F(x,t) = \bar{F}(x) + f(x,t)$$

where  $f: \mathbb{R} \times T \to \mathbb{R}$  is supermodular and  $\bar{F}: \mathbb{R}^L \to \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that:

$$\forall \quad t'' > t' \quad x'' \in \operatorname*{argmax}_{x \in C} F(x,t'') \quad x' \in \operatorname*{argmax}_{x \in C} F(x,t')$$

- Recitation 4 2

Show that if  $x_i' > x_i''$  then

$$\forall t'' > t' \quad x'' \in \underset{x \in C}{\operatorname{argmax}} F(x, t') \quad x' \in \underset{x \in C}{\operatorname{argmax}} F(x, t'')$$

#### Solution 4

Let's take  $x_i' \ge x_i''$ ,  $t'' \ge t'$  and consider  $z' = (x_i', t')$  and  $z^{''} = (x_i'', t'')$  thus  $z'' \wedge z' = (x_i^{''}, t')$ ,  $z'' \vee z' = (x_i', t'')$ . From Supermodularity of  $f(x_i, t)$ :

$$f(z' \lor z'') + f(z \land z'') \ge f(z') + f(z'')$$
  
$$f(x'_i, t'') + f(x''_i, t') \ge f(x''_i, t'') + f(x'_i, t')$$

and add to both sides  $\bar{F}(x'') + \bar{F}(x')$ 

$$F(x'',t') + F(x',t'') \ge F(x',t') + F(x''+t'')$$
$$F(x'',t') - F(x',t') \ge F(x'',t'') - F(x',t'')$$

 $x' \in \operatorname{argmax} F(x, t')$  so  $F(x', t') \geq F(x'', t')$   $x'' \in \operatorname{argmax} F(x, t')$  so  $F(x'', t'') \geq F(x', t'')$ 

$$0 \ge F(x'', t') - F(x', t') \ge F(x'', t'') - F(x', t'') \ge 0$$

$$0 = F(x'', t') - F(x', t') = F(x'', t'') - F(x', t'') = 0$$

$$F(x'', t') = F(x', t') = F(x'', t'') = F(x', t'')$$

so  $x'' \in \operatorname{argmax} F(x, t')$  and  $x' \in \operatorname{argmax} F(x, t'')$ 

## Question 5

Let  $\{f(s,t)\}\ t\in T$  be a family of density functions on  $S\subset R$ . T is a poset (partially ordered set). Consider

$$v(x,t) = \int_{S} u(x,s)f(s,t)ds$$

Prove the following statement. Suppose u has increasing differences and that  $\{f(\cdot,t)\}\ t\in T$  are ordered with t by first order stochastic dominance. Then v has increasing differences in (x,t).

#### Solution 5

For x' > x and t' > t we define  $\gamma(s) := u(x', s) - u(x, s)$ . It is incresing function and look at difference of v (we have to prove that is increasing differences):

$$v(x',t') - v(x,t') = \int_{S} [u(x',s) - u(x,s)]f(s,t')ds = \int_{S} \gamma(s)f(s,t')$$

 $f(\cdot,t)$  is FOSD in t and  $\gamma$  is increasing so the value v(x',t')-v(x,t') itself is increasing in t, i.e.  $v(x',t')-v(x,t') \ge v(x',t)-v(x,t)$ .

Question 7 Suppose that utility function  $u: \mathbb{R}_+^{\ell} \to \mathbb{R}$  is supermodular, strictly concave, and locally non-satiated. Then the Walrasian demand function  $x^*(\cdot)$  is a nondecreasing function of income, i.e.,

$$x^*(p, w') \ge x^*(p, w), \ \forall w' \ge w \ge 0, \ \forall p \gg 0.$$

In other words, the demand for every good is normal.

#### Solution 7

If w=w', the proof is trivial. Let  $p\gg 0$ , let w>w', let  $x=x^*(p,w)$ , and let  $y=x^*(p,w')$ . Since u is locally non-satiated, we have  $p\cdot x=w$  and  $p\cdot y=w'$  (by lemma ??). Clearly,  $p\cdot [x\wedge y]\leq w$ . Since  $p\cdot y=w'>w$ ,  $\exists \lambda\in [0,1)$  such that

$$p \cdot (\lambda[x \wedge y] + (1 - \lambda)y) = w.$$

Let  $\underline{z}_{\lambda} = \lambda[x \wedge y] + (1 - \lambda)x$  and let  $\bar{z}_{\lambda} = \lambda[x \vee y] + (1 - \lambda)y$ . Note that

$$\underline{\mathbf{z}}_{\lambda} + \bar{\mathbf{z}}_{\lambda} = x + y$$

by the fact that  $x \wedge y + x \vee y = x + y$ . Then we have

$$p \cdot \underline{\mathbf{z}}_{\lambda} = w$$

and

$$p \cdot \bar{z}_{\lambda} = w'$$
.

Since x is the unique maximizer at w and  $\underline{z}_{\lambda}$  is affordable at w, it must be that  $u(x) \geq u(\underline{z}_{\lambda})$ . Then by lemma ??,  $u(\bar{z}_{\lambda}) \geq u(y)$ . But since y is the unique maximizer at w' and  $\bar{z}_{\lambda}$  is affordable at w', then it must be that  $u(y) \geq u(\bar{z}_{\lambda})$ . Then we have  $u(y) = u(\bar{z}_{\lambda})$  so  $y = \bar{z}_{\lambda}$ . Since  $\underline{z}_{\lambda} + \bar{z}_{\lambda} = x + y$ , this means that we also have  $x = \underline{z}_{\lambda}$ .