

# Previous finals

## Question 1 [Final 2017]

Suppose in an I agent, 2 good world, prices are normalized such that  $p_1+p_2=1$ , where both  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are non-negative, and excess demand for good  $1x_1(p_1):(0,1)\to\mathbb{R}$  is continuous. Let  $P_1(p_1)\subset[0,1]$  specify Debreu's correspondence for proving existence.

- a) What is the set of  $P_1(0)$ ? What is the set of  $P_1(1)$ ?
- b) What is the set  $P_1(p_1)$  if  $x_1(p_1) > 0$ ? What is the set  $P_1(p_1)$  if  $x_1(p_1) < 0$ ?
- c) What is the set  $P_1(p_1)$  if  $x_1(p_1) = 0$ ?
- d) Graph the correspondence assuming  $x_1(p_1) > 0$  for some  $p_1 \in (0,1), x_1(p_1) < 0$  for some  $p_1 \in (0,1)$  and  $x_1(\cdot)$  is decreasing. Is this enough for the existence of a fixed point?

## Question 2 [Final 2017]

Consider a 2 good, 2 agent world. Good one, x denotes oranges. Good two, y, denotes orange juice. Agent i = 1 has utility function  $u_1(x, y)$  and agent i = 1 has a utility function  $u_2(x, y)$ . Suppose each agent is endowed with 2 orange and no orange juice. Further assume there exist two identical firms which can turn oranges into orange juice according to the production function f(x) = x.

- a) Define a competitive equilibrium.
- b) Assuming  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  satisfy the usual properties (strictly increasing in both arguments, concave, differentiable), derive a set of necessary equations for equilibrium (do not try to solve the system).
- c) Discuss which object s will be determined in equilibrium and which will not.

#### Question 3 [Final 2017]

Consider a complete markets economy with I agents, T+1 dates (form t=0 to t=T), where at each date, a publicly observable random variable  $s \in S$  is realized. Each agent i 's endowment of the single consumption good at date t depends only on the realization of s at date t. If  $c_{it}(s^t)$  denotes agent's i consumption at date t after history  $s^t = (s_0, \ldots, s_t)$ , his preferences are represented by  $\sum_{t=1}^T \beta^t \sum_{s^t} \pi(s^t) u_i(c_{it}(s^t))$ 

- a) Define a feasible allocation.
- b) Sketch out what is necessary for the FWT to hold.
- c) Assuming the FWT holds and that the utility possibilities set is strictly convex, show that in any equilibrium if two agents have the same preferences, if agent i consumes more than agent j for any date t and history  $s^t$  then agent i consumes more than agent j at every date t and history  $s^t$ .

#### Question 4 [Final 2018]

Consider an I agent, M good world, with prices are normalized such that  $\sum_m p_m = 1$  (with all  $p_m$  non-negative). Debreu's existence proof showed, under certain conditions, that a particular correspondence, P(p), mapping  $p \in \Delta^{M-1}$  to subsets of  $\Delta^{M-1}$  was guaranteed to have a fixed point  $p^*$  such that  $p^* \in P(p^*)$  and that  $x_m(p^*) = 0$  for all goods m, where  $x_m(p^*)$  is the excess demand for good m.

- a) Suppose  $p_m > 0$  for all m except good 1, with  $p_1 = 0$ . What is P(p)?
- b) Suppose  $p_m > 0$  for all m and that  $x_1(p) \neq x_m(p)$  for all  $m \neq 1$ . What is P(p)?
- c) Debreu assumes preferences are such that if one considers a sequence of price vectors such that the price of one good goes to zero (say good 1), while the prices of all other goods stay positive,  $x_1(p)$  goes to infinity (while  $x_m(p)$  does not for all m > 1). What role does this assumption play in the proof?

- Previous finals 2

## Question 5 [Final 2018]

Consider a 3 good, I agent, 4 firm world. Firm 1 can turn good 1 into good 2 such that if it destroys x units of good 1, it produces x units of good 2. Firm 2 can turn good 1 into good 2 such that if it destroys x units of good 1, it produces 2x units of good 2. Firms 3 and 4 can turn good 1 into good 3 such that if they destroy x units of good 1, they produce  $\sqrt{x}$  units of good 3. Each agent is endowed with 1 unit of good 1, no units of good 2 or 3, and owns an equal share of each firm.

- a) Carefully define a Competitive Equilibrium.
- b) Discuss which objects will be determined in equilibrium and which won't.

#### Question 6 [Final 2018]

Consider a complete markets economy with 2 agents  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , a single consumption good, and T+1 dates (t=0 to t=T). Agent 1's preferences are represented by  $\sum_{t=0}^{T} \beta^t \sum_{s^t} \pi_t(s^t) c_{1,t}(s^t)$ . Agent 2's preferences are represented by  $\sum_{t=0}^{T} \delta^t \sum_{s^t} \pi_t(s^t) \log(c_{2,t}(s^t))$ , where  $\delta < \beta < 1$  and  $c_{i,t}(s^t)$  represents agent i's consumption at date t after history  $s^t$ .

- a) Suppose agent 1's endowment  $e_{1,t} = 2$  for all t, while agent 2's endowment  $e_{2,t} = 0$  with probability .5 and  $e_{2,t} = 4$  with probability .5 at each date, i.i.d. Assume endowments are observable and there is no ability to store the good over time.
  - a) Define a feasible allocation in terms of transfers.
  - b) Characterize the set of efficient allocations.
- b) Now suppose agent 2's endowment  $e_{2,t} = 2$  for all t, while agent 1's endowment  $e_{1,t} = 0$  with probability .5 and  $e_{1,t} = 4$  with probability .5 at each date, i.i.d. (and still assume endowments are observable and there is no ability to store the good over time.).
  - a) Define a feasible allocation in terms of transfers.
  - b) Characterize the set of efficient allocations.
- c) Now again suppose agent 2's endowment  $e_{2,t} = 2$  for all t, while agent 1's endowment  $e_{1,t} = 0$  with probability .5 and  $e_{1,t} = 4$  with probability .5 at each date, i.i.d., and still assume there is no ability to store the good over time, but that agent 1's endowment realization is private.
  - a) Define an incentive compatible allocation in terms of transfers.
  - b) Characterize the set of efficient allocations. (hint: what does incentive compatibility at period T imply? What then does incentive compatibility in period T-1 imply?

### Question 7 [Final 2019]

Suppose (c, y, p) is a Competitive Equilibrium with production given initial endowments  $\left\{\{e_{i,m}\}_{m=1}^{\lambda i}\right\}_{i=1}^{l}$  and ownership of firms  $\left\{\{\theta_{i,h}\}_{h=1}^{H}\right\}_{i=1}^{I}$ . Further  $\sum_{m} p_{m}e_{i,m} + \sum_{h} \theta_{i,h} \sum_{m} p_{m}y_{h,m}$  for all i. Define a Competitive Equilibrium and a Pareto Efficient Allocation with production. Show that (c, y) must be a Pareto efficient allocation.

#### Question 8 [Final 2019]

(25 points) Consider a 2 good, 2 agent world, Good one, x, denotes oranges and good two, y denotes orange juice. Each agent has utility function  $u(x,y) = \ln(x) + \ln(y)$ . Suppose each agent is endowed with 1 orange and no orange juice. Further assume there exist two identical firms which can turn oranges into orange juice according to the production function f(x) = x

- a) Draw the production sets for each firm.
- b) Define and find ALL competitive equilibrium over all possible specifications of firm ownership.

- Previous finals 3

# Question 9 [Final 2019]

Consider an economy with a finite I number of agents, each of whom receives an endowment of 1 with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$  and an endowment of 2 with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ , independent of the realizations of the other agents. (That is, each flips his own coin.) Let the state of the world m specify the endowment realization of each agent and let  $c_{i,m}$  and  $e_{i,m}$  denote the consumption and endowment of agent i in state of the world m, respectively, with  $c_i = (c_i, 1, \dots, c_{i,M})$  and  $e_i = (e_{i,1}, \dots, e_{i,M})$ . Suppose each person i ranks consumption vectors  $c_i$  such that  $c_i \succeq_i \hat{c}_i$  if and only if  $\sum_m \pi_m \frac{c_1^{1-c}}{1-\sigma} \ge \sum_m \pi_m \frac{\hat{c}_{i,m}^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$ , where  $\pi_m$  denotes the probability of the state of the world m.

- a) Define an allocation, being careful about the number of states of the world. When is such an allocation feasible?
- b) Characterize the set of Pareto efficient allocations. In particular, prove that each agent's consumption is a constant fraction of the aggregate endowment in any Pareto efficient allocation.
- c) Finally assume every agent's endowment of potatoes is private to him. Under what conditions (if any) is a Pareto efficient allocation assuming full information (or full observability) incentive compatible if endownents are, in fact, not answer). observable? (Justify your