

1. Normal distribution

A set of grades on a Discrete Math examination in an inferior school (not UC!) are approximately normally distributed with a mean of 64 and a standard deviation of 7.1.

(Note: you may assume that if X is normal with mean 0 and variance 1, then $\Pr[X \leq 1.3] \approx 0.9$ and $\Pr[X \leq 1.65] \approx 0.95$.)

- a. Find the lowest passing grade if the bottom 5% of the students fail the class.

Answer: Let X denote the grade distribution of the class. Then, $\frac{X-64}{7.1}$ is a standard normal variable. Since the normal distribution is symmetric about μ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr\left[\frac{X-64}{7.1} \leq 1.65\right] &\approx 0.95 \\ \Pr\left[\frac{X-64}{7.1} \geq -1.65\right] &\approx 0.95 \\ \Pr[X \geq 64 - 1.65 \cdot 7.1] &\approx 0.95 \\ \Pr[X \geq 52.285] &\approx 0.95\end{aligned}$$

The lowest passing grade is approximately 52.285.

- b. Find the grade of the highest B if the top 10% of the students are given A's.

Answer: Similarly to part a,

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr\left[\frac{X-64}{7.1} \leq 1.3\right] &\approx 0.9 \\ \Pr[X \leq 64 + 1.3 \cdot 7.1] &\approx 0.9 \\ \Pr[X \leq 73.23] &\approx 0.9\end{aligned}$$

The highest B is approximately 73.23.

2. Guessing Age

You meet someone at a party, and judging by how he looks, you guess that he is either 19, 20, or 21 with probability $1/2$, $1/3$, and $1/6$ respectively. He then tells you that he is a 3rd-year, and you know that 30% of 19-year-olds, 60% of 20-year-olds, and 40% of 21-year-olds are 3rd-years. What is the MAP estimate for his age?

Answer: Let θ be his age, and $\Theta = \{19, 20, 21\}$ because he is either 19, 20, or 21 years old. Let X be a random variable corresponding to what year he is in college. We are given that $X = 3$, and the following probabilities:

$$\begin{aligned}P_{19}(X = 3) &= .3 \\ P_{20}(X = 3) &= .6 \\ P_{21}(X = 3) &= .4\end{aligned}$$

To find the MAP estimator, we use

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{MAP}} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}(X) \cdot \Pr(\theta)$$

Plugging in our three values of θ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} P_{19}(X) \cdot \Pr(\theta = 19) &= .3 \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{3}{20} \\ P_{20}(X) \cdot \Pr(\theta = 20) &= .6 \times \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{5} \\ P_{21}(X) \cdot \Pr(\theta = 21) &= .4 \times \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{15} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we find that $\theta = 20$ maximizes the above expression, so $\hat{\theta}_{\text{MAP}} = 20$.

3. MAP Estimation with Coins

- a. Suppose you have a coin that you suspect to be biased, i.e. there is some p such that $\Pr(\text{Heads}) = p$. However, you have no information about how biased the coin is, meaning that your belief about the bias is that it is uniform on the interval $[0, 1]$. You flip the coin and it comes up heads. What is the MAP estimate for p ? Recall that in the continuous case,

$$\hat{p}_{\text{MAP}} = \operatorname{argmax}_{p \in [0,1]} \Pr(X | p) \cdot f(p)$$

where $f(p)$ is the probability density function of your belief about p .

Answer: $\Pr(X | p) = p$ because the probability of getting heads given p is just p . Since $f(p) = 1$, as our belief about p is just a uniform distribution, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p}_{\text{MAP}} &= \operatorname{argmax}_{p \in [0,1]} \Pr(X | p) \cdot f(p) \\ &= \operatorname{argmax}_{p \in [0,1]} p \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Intuitively, we believe that since the coin came up heads, and we have no other information about its bias, it will always come up heads.

- b. Your friend tells you that the likelihood of the bias decreases linearly to 0 as p moves away from $\frac{1}{2}$. In other words,

$$f(p) = 1 - 2 \left| p - \frac{1}{2} \right|$$

What is the MAP estimate for p ?

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p}_{\text{MAP}} &= \operatorname{argmax}_{p \in [0,1]} \Pr(X | p) \cdot f(p) \\ &= \operatorname{argmax}_{p \in [0,1]} p \left(1 - 2 \left| p - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right) \end{aligned}$$

This is maximized by $p = \frac{1}{2}$.

4. Vegas

On the planet Vegas, everyone carries a coin. Many people are honest and carry a fair coin (heads on one side and tails on the other), but a fraction p of them cheat and carry a trick coin with heads on both sides. You want to estimate p with the following experiment: you pick a random sample of n people and ask each one to flip his or her coin. Assume that each person is independently likely to carry a fair or a trick coin.

- a. Suppose you find through your experiment that a fraction q of n coin flip are heads, how should you use this to estimate p ?

Answer:

For a coin to come up tails, we need to pick a non-cheating person as well as get heads on the flip. The chance of this happening is $\frac{1-p}{2}$. Thus the chance of getting heads is $1 - \frac{1-p}{2} = 1/2 + p/2$, which is the same as flipping a coin biased with this probability. This is now similar to the estimation problem described in Note 18, we can then estimate this probability with $q = 1/2 + p/2$, thus $p = 2q - 1$.

- b. How many people do you need to ask to be 95% sure that your answer is off by at most 0.05? Compute this using two different methods, i.e. Central Limit Theorem and Chebyshev's inequality, and then compare the answers.

Note: If Z is a standard normal random variable, $\Pr[Z \leq 1.96] \approx 0.975$.

Answer:

1. Using Central Limit Theorem:

Let X_i 's be i.i.d. coin flips with bias $1/2 + p/2$. Clearly, $E[X_i] = 1/2 + p/2$ and $\text{Var}[X_i] = E[X_i^2] - E[X_i]^2 = 1/4 - p^2/4$. By the central limit theorem, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the fraction of heads $q = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ converges to a normal distribution with mean $\mu = 1/2 + p/2$ and variance $\sigma^2 = (1/4 - p^2/4)/n$. We are asking for n such that $\Pr[|\hat{p} - p| \leq 0.05] \geq 0.95$, where $\hat{p} = 2q - 1$ is our estimate of p . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[|\hat{p} - p| \leq 0.05] &= \Pr[|2q - 1 - p| \leq 0.05] = \Pr[|2q - 2\mu| \leq 0.05] = \Pr[2|q - \mu| \leq 0.05] \\ &= \Pr[|q - \mu| \leq 0.025] = \Pr\left[\frac{|q - \mu|}{\sigma} \leq \frac{0.025}{\sigma}\right] = \Pr\left[-\frac{0.025}{\sigma} \leq \frac{q - \mu}{\sigma} \leq \frac{0.025}{\sigma}\right] \\ &= 1 - 2\Pr\left[\frac{q - \mu}{\sigma} > \frac{0.025}{\sigma}\right] \geq 0.95, \end{aligned}$$

so we are looking for n such that $\Pr[\frac{q - \mu}{\sigma} > \frac{0.025}{\sigma}] \leq 0.025$. Since $\frac{q - \mu}{\sigma}$ is approximately the standard normal random variable Z , this is equivalent to $\Pr[Z > \frac{0.025\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{1/4 - p^2/4}}] \leq 0.025$. While we do not know the value of p , it would suffice to show that $\Pr[Z > \frac{0.025\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{1/4}}] \leq 0.025$ because $\Pr[Z > \frac{0.025\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{1/4 - p^2/4}}] \leq \Pr[Z > \frac{0.025\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{1/4}}]$ for any $p \in [0, 1]$. Hence, we need $0.05\sqrt{n} \approx 1.96$, so $n \approx 1537$.

2. Using Chebyshev:

Again, we want $\Pr[|\hat{p} - p| \leq 0.05] = \Pr[|q - \mu| \leq 0.025] \geq 0.95$. Using Chebyshev,

$$\Pr[|q - \mu| > 0.025] \leq \frac{\text{Var}[q]}{(0.025)^2} = \frac{1/4 - p^2/4}{n(0.025)^2} \leq \frac{1/4}{n(0.025)^2} = \frac{400}{n}.$$

Setting $\frac{400}{n} = 0.05$, we get $n = 8000$. We can see that the normal approximation provides a much tighter bound than Chebyshev's inequality.