# The Calculus of Linear Constructions — Technical Report

## Qiancheng Fu

## December 29, 2021

## Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Syntax of CLC (clc_ast.v)	2
3	Reduction and Equality of CLC (clc_ast.v)	2
4	Confluence of CLC (clc_confluence.v)  4.1 Parallel Reduction  4.2 Reduction Lemmas  4.3 Equality Lemmas  4.4 Parallel Reduction Lemmas  4.5 Confluence Theorem  4.6 Corollaries of Confluence	3 4 4 5
5	Context of CLC (clc_context.v) 5.1 Merge Lemmas	
6	Subtyping of CLC (clc_subtype.v) 6.1 Subtyping Lemmas	8
7	Typing of CLC (clc_typing.v)	ę
8	Inversion Lemmas of CLC (clc_inversion.v)	10
9	Weakening Lemmas of CLC (clc_weakening.v) 9.1 Properties of agreeR	
10	Substitution Lemmas of CLC (clc_substitution.v)  10.1 Properties of agreeS	12
11	Typing Validity of CLC (clc_validity.v)	13
12	Subject Reduction of CLC (clc_soundness.v)	13

#### 1 Introduction

This extended report is meant to accompany our paper of the same title. Here, we describe the meta-theory of CLC and CILC and their proofs in detail. All the results presented here have been formalized and proven correct in the Coq Proof Assistant.

## 2 Syntax of CLC (clc\_ast.v)

$$\begin{array}{lll} i & & ::= \ 0 \ | \ 1 \ | \ 2 \ ... & & \text{universe levels} \\ \\ s,t & & ::= \ U \ | \ L & & \text{sorts} \\ \\ m,n,A,B,M & & ::= \ U_i \ | \ L_i \ | \ x & & \text{expressions} \\ & | \ (x:_s \ A) \to B & & \\ & | \ (x:_s \ A) \multimap B & & \\ & | \ \lambda x:_s \ A.n & & \\ & | \ m \ n & & \end{array}$$

## 3 Reduction and Equality of CLC (clc ast.v)

$$\frac{m_1 \leadsto^* n \quad m_2 \leadsto^* n}{m_1 \equiv m_2 : A} \text{Join} \qquad \frac{(\lambda x :_s A.m) \ n \leadsto m[n/x]}{(\lambda x :_s A.m) \ n \leadsto m[n/x]} \text{Step-}\beta \qquad \frac{A \leadsto A'}{\lambda x :_s A.m \leadsto \lambda x :_s A'.m} \text{Step-}\lambda L$$

$$\frac{m \leadsto m'}{\lambda x :_s A.m \leadsto \lambda x :_s A.m'} \text{Step-}\lambda R \qquad \frac{A \leadsto_p A'}{(x :_s A) \to B \leadsto (x :_s A') \to B} \text{Step-}L \to$$

$$\frac{B \leadsto_p B'}{(x :_s A) \to B \leadsto (x :_s A) \to B'} \text{Step-}R \to \qquad \frac{A \leadsto_p A'}{(x :_s A) \multimap B \leadsto (x :_s A') \multimap B} \text{Step-}L \to$$

$$\frac{B \leadsto_p B'}{(x :_s A) \multimap B \leadsto_p (x :_s A) \multimap B'} \text{Step-}R \to \qquad \frac{m \leadsto m'}{m \ n \leadsto m' \ n} \text{Step-}AppR$$

## 4 Confluence of CLC (clc\_confluence.v)

#### 4.1 Parallel Reduction

To prove the confluence property of CLC, we employ the standard technique utilizing parallel reductions.

$$\frac{A \leadsto_{p} A' \qquad m \leadsto_{p} m'}{\lambda x :_{s} A.m \leadsto_{p} \lambda x :_{s} A'.m'} PSTEP-\lambda$$

$$\frac{m \leadsto_{p} m' \qquad n \leadsto_{p} n'}{m \ n \leadsto_{p} m' \ n'} PSTEP-\lambda PP \qquad \frac{m \leadsto_{p} m' \qquad n \leadsto_{p} n'}{(\lambda x :_{s} A.m) \ n \leadsto_{p} m' [n'/x]} PSTEP-\beta$$

$$\frac{A \leadsto_{p} A' \qquad B \leadsto_{p} B'}{(x :_{s} A) \to B \leadsto_{p} (x :_{s} A') \to B'} PSTEP \to \qquad \frac{A \leadsto_{p} A' \qquad B \leadsto_{p} B'}{(x :_{s} A) \multimap_{p} B \leadsto_{p} (x :_{s} A') \multimap_{p} B'} PSTEP-\delta$$

#### 4.2 Reduction Lemmas

Here, we prove some simple lemmas concerning  $\rightsquigarrow$ ,  $\rightsquigarrow^*$  and substitution.

**Definition 4.1.** For a term m and a map  $\sigma$  from variables to terms, let  $m[\sigma]$  be the term obtained by applying  $\sigma$  uniformly to all free variables in m.

**Definition 4.2.** For maps  $\sigma, \tau$  from variables to terms, we say that  $\sigma$  reduces to  $\tau$  if for any variable x there exists a reduction  $(\sigma x) \rightsquigarrow^* (\tau x)$ . We write  $\sigma \rightsquigarrow^* \tau$  when it is clear from context that  $\sigma, \tau$  are maps and not terms.

**Lemma 4.1.** For terms m, n and a map  $\sigma$  from variables to terms, if there exist a step  $m \rightsquigarrow n$ , then there exists a step  $m[\sigma] \rightsquigarrow n[\sigma]$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of  $m \rightsquigarrow n$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** For terms  $m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2$ , if these exists reductions  $m_1 \rightsquigarrow^* m_2$  and  $n_1 \rightsquigarrow^* n_2$ , then there exists reduction  $(m_1 \ n_1) \rightsquigarrow^* (m_2 \ n_2)$ .

*Proof.* By transitivity of →\* and applying rules Step-AppL, Step-AppR.

**Lemma 4.3.** For terms  $A_1, A_2, m_1, m_2$  and sort s, if there exists reductions  $A_1 \leadsto^* A_2$  and  $m_1 \leadsto^* m_2$ , then there exists reduction  $\lambda x :_s A_1.m_1 \leadsto^* \lambda x :_s A_2.m_2$ .

*Proof.* By transitivity of  $\rightsquigarrow^*$  and applying rules Step- $\lambda$ L, Step- $\lambda$ R.

**Lemma 4.4.** For terms  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2$  and sort s, if there exists reductions  $A_1 \rightsquigarrow^* A_2$  and  $B_1 \rightsquigarrow^* B_2$ , then there exists reduction  $(x :_s A_1) \to B_1 \rightsquigarrow^* (x :_s A_2) \to B_2$ .

*Proof.* By transitivity of  $\leadsto^*$  and applying rules Step-L $\to$ , Step-R $\to$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** For terms  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2$  and sort s, if there exists reductions  $A_1 \rightsquigarrow^* A_2$  and  $B_1 \rightsquigarrow^* B_2$ , then there exists reduction  $(x :_s A_1) \multimap B_1 \rightsquigarrow^* (x :_s A_2) \multimap B_2$ .

*Proof.* By transitivity of  $\leadsto^*$  and applying rules Step-L $\multimap$ , Step-R $\multimap$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** For terms m, n and a map  $\sigma$  from variables to terms, if there exist a reduction  $m \rightsquigarrow^* n$ , then there exists a reduction  $m[\sigma] \rightsquigarrow^* n[\sigma]$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of  $\rightsquigarrow^*$ , the transitivity of  $\rightsquigarrow^*$  and Lemma 4.1.

**Lemma 4.7.** For maps  $\sigma, \tau$  from variables to terms, if there is a map reduction  $\sigma \leadsto^* \tau$ , then for any term m these is a reduction  $m[\sigma] \leadsto^* m[\tau]$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the structure of m, applying Lemmas 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5.

#### 4.3 Equality Lemmas

Here, we prove some simple lemmas concerning  $\rightsquigarrow^*$ ,  $\equiv$  and substitution.

**Definition 4.3.** For maps  $\sigma, \tau$  from variables to terms, we say that  $\sigma$  is equal to  $\tau$  if for any variable x there exists an equality  $(\sigma x) \equiv (\tau x)$ . We write  $\sigma \equiv \tau$  when it is clear from context that  $\sigma, \tau$  are maps and not terms.

**Lemma 4.8.** For any map f from terms to terms, if for any terms m, n such that  $m \rightsquigarrow n$  implies f  $m \equiv f$  n, then for any terms m, n equality  $m \equiv n$  implies f  $m \equiv f$  n.

*Proof.* By the properties of the transitive reflexive closure  $\rightsquigarrow^*$  and that  $\equiv$  is an equivalence relation.

**Lemma 4.9.** For terms  $m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2$ , if there exists equalities  $m_1 \equiv m_2$  and  $n_1 \equiv n_2$ , then there exists equality  $(m_1 \ n_1) \equiv (m_2 \ n_2)$ .

*Proof.* By transitivity of  $\equiv$  and applying rules Join, Step-AppL, Step-AppR.

**Lemma 4.10.** For terms  $A_1, A_2, m_1, m_2$  and sort s, if there exists equalities  $A_1 \equiv A_2$  and  $m_1 \equiv m_2$ , then there exists equality  $\lambda x :_s A_1.m_1 \equiv \lambda x :_s A_2.m_2$ .

*Proof.* By transitivity of  $\equiv$  and applying rules Join, Step- $\lambda$ R.

**Lemma 4.11.** For terms  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2$  and sort s, if there exists equalities  $A_1 \equiv A_2$  and  $B_1 \equiv B_2$ , then there exists equality  $(x :_s A_1) \to B_1 \equiv (x :_s A_2) \to B_2$ .

*Proof.* By transitivity of  $\equiv$  and applying rules Join, Step-L $\rightarrow$ , Step-R $\rightarrow$ .

**Lemma 4.12.** For terms  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2$  and sort s, if there exists equalities  $A_1 \equiv A_2$  and  $B_1 \equiv B_2$ , then there exists equality  $(x :_s A_1) \multimap B_1 \equiv (x :_s A_2) \multimap B_2$ .

*Proof.* By transitivity of  $\equiv$  and applying rules Join, Step-L $\rightarrow$ , Step-R $\rightarrow$ .

**Lemma 4.13.** For terms m, n and map  $\sigma$  from variables to terms, if there is equality  $m \equiv n$ , then there is equality  $m[\sigma] \equiv n[\sigma]$ .

*Proof.* By Lemmas 4.8 and 4.1.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 4.14.** For maps  $\sigma, \tau$  from variables to terms and term m, if these is map equality  $\sigma \equiv \tau$ , then there is equality  $m[\sigma] \equiv m[\tau]$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the structure of m, applying Lemmas 4.9, 4.10, 4.11, 4.12.

**Lemma 4.15.** For terms  $m_1, m_2, n$ , if there is equality  $m_1 \equiv m_2$ , then there is equality  $n[m_1/x] \equiv n[m_2/x]$  for any variable  $x \in FV(n)$ .

*Proof.* This is a special case of Lemma 4.14 where  $\sigma$  maps x to  $m_1$  and  $\tau$  maps x to  $m_2$ .

#### 4.4 Parallel Reduction Lemmas

**Definition 4.4.** For maps  $\sigma, \tau$  from variables to terms, we say  $\sigma$  parallel reduces to  $\tau$  if for any variable x there exists a parallel reduction  $(\sigma x) \leadsto_p (\tau x)$ . We write  $\sigma \leadsto_p \tau$  when it is clear from context that  $\sigma, \tau$  are maps and not terms.

**Lemma 4.16.** For any term m, there exists a reflexive parallel reduction  $m \leadsto_p m$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the structure of m.

**Lemma 4.17.** For any map  $\sigma$  from variables to terms, there exists a reflexive parallel map reduction  $\sigma \leadsto_n \sigma$ .

*Proof.* By Definition 4.4 and Lemma 4.16.

**Lemma 4.18.** For any terms m, n, if there exists step  $m \leadsto n$ , then there exists a parallel reduction  $m \leadsto_p n$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of  $m \rightsquigarrow n$  and Lemma 4.16. **Lemma 4.19.** For terms m, n, if there exists parallel reduction  $m \leadsto_p n$ , then there exists a reduction  $m \leadsto^* n$ . *Proof.* By induction on the derivation of  $m \leadsto_p n$ , utilizing the transitive property of  $\leadsto^*$  and Lemmas 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6, 4.7. **Lemma 4.20.** For terms m, n and map  $\sigma$  from variables to terms, if there exists parallel reduction  $m \leadsto_p n$ , there exists parallel reduction  $m[\sigma] \leadsto_{p} n[\sigma]$ . *Proof.* By induction on the derivation of  $m \leadsto_p n$  and Lemma 4.16. **Lemma 4.21.** For terms m, n and maps  $\sigma, \tau$  from variables to terms, if there exists parallel reduction  $m \leadsto_p n$  and parallel map reduction  $\sigma \leadsto_p \tau$ , there exists parallel reduction  $m[\sigma] \leadsto_p n[\tau]$ . *Proof.* By induction on the derivation of  $m \leadsto_p n$ . **Lemma 4.22.** For terms  $m_1, m_2, n$ , if there is parallel reduction  $m_1 \leadsto_p m_2$ , then there is parallel reduction  $n[m_1/x] \leadsto_p n[m_2/x]$  for any variable  $x \in FV(n)$ . *Proof.* By Lemma 4.16, this is a special case of Lemma 4.21 where  $\sigma$  maps x to  $m_1$  and  $\tau$  maps x to  $m_2$ .  $\square$ 4.5 Confluence Theorem

We first show that  $\leadsto_p$  satisfies the diamond property. Using the diamond property, we ultimately prove the confluence theorem.

**Lemma 4.23.** CLC term reduction has the diamond property. For terms  $m, m_1, m_2$ , if there are parallel reductions  $m \leadsto_p m_1$  and  $m \leadsto_p m_2$ , then there exists term m' such that  $m_1 \leadsto_p m'$  and  $m_2 \leadsto_p m'$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of  $m \leadsto_p m_1$ . Each case in the induction specializes m appearing in  $m \leadsto_p m_2$ , allowing one to invert its derivation in a syntax directed way and apply the induction hypothesis. The difficult cases are due to PSTEP- $\beta$  as it concerns substitution, so Lemma 4.21 is used to push these cases through. 

**Lemma 4.24.** Strip lemma. For terms  $m, m_1, m_2$ , if there is parallel reduction  $m \leadsto_p m_1$  and reduction  $m \rightsquigarrow^* m_2$ , then there exists term m' such that  $m_1 \rightsquigarrow^* m'$  and  $m_2 \rightsquigarrow_p m'$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of  $m \leadsto_p m_1$ , utilizing transitivity of  $\leadsto^*$  and Lemmas 4.18, 4.19, 4.23.

**Theorem 4.25.** CLC term reduction is confluent. For terms  $m, m_1, m_2$ , if there are reductions  $m \rightsquigarrow^* m_1$ and  $m \rightsquigarrow^* m_2$ , then there exists term m' such that  $m_1 \rightsquigarrow^* m'$  and  $m_2 \rightsquigarrow^* m'$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of  $m \rightsquigarrow^* m_1$ , utilizing transitivity of  $\rightsquigarrow^*$  and Lemmas 4.18, 4.19, 4.24.

#### 4.6 Corollaries of Confluence

The following results are all corollaries of confluence, proven using a combination of induction, transitivity and confluence. These corollaries allow us to refute false reductions and equalities in future proofs.

Corollary 4.25.1. For a universe  $s_i$  and term m, if there is reduction  $s_i \rightsquigarrow^* m$ , then  $m = s_i$ .

**Corollary 4.25.2.** For variable x and term m, if there is reduction  $x \rightsquigarrow^* m$ , then m = x.

Corollary 4.25.3. For terms A, B, m and sort s, if there is reduction  $(x :_s A) \to B \rightsquigarrow^* m$ , then there exists A', B' such that there are reductions  $A \leadsto^* A', B \leadsto^* B'$  and  $m = (x :_s A') \to B'$ .

**Corollary 4.25.4.** For terms A, B, m and sort s, if there is reduction  $(x :_s A) \multimap B \leadsto^* m$ , then there exists A', B' such that there are reductions  $A \leadsto^* A'$ ,  $B \leadsto^* B'$  and  $m = (x :_s A') \multimap B'$ .

**Corollary 4.25.5.** For terms A, m, n and sort s, if there is reduction  $\lambda x :_s A.m \rightsquigarrow^* n$ , then there exists A', m' such that there are reductions  $A \rightsquigarrow^* A', m \rightsquigarrow^* m'$  and  $n = \lambda x :_s A'.m'$ .

Corollary 4.25.6. For sorts s, t and levels i, j, if there is equality  $s_i \equiv t_j$ , then there is s = t and i = j.

**Corollary 4.25.7.** For terms  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2$  and sorts s, t, if there is equality  $(x :_s A_1) \to B_1 \equiv (x :_t A_2) \to B_2$ , then there are equalities  $A_1 \equiv A_2, B_1 \equiv B_2$  and s = t.

**Corollary 4.25.8.** For terms  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2$  and sorts s, t, if there is equality  $(x :_s A_1) \multimap B_1 \equiv (x :_t A_2) \multimap B_2$ , then there are equalities  $A_1 \equiv A_2, B_1 \equiv B_2$  and s = t.

## 5 Context of CLC (clc context.v)

Contexts of CLC are of the form  $x_1 :_{s_1} A_1, x_2 :_{s_2} A_2, ... x_k :_{s_k} A_k$  where each free variable  $x_i$  is assigned a type  $A_i$  and sort  $s_i$ . Contexts will be referred to by meta variables  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$ .

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \overline{\Gamma} \vdash A : U_i}{\Gamma, x :_U A \vdash} \text{Wf-U} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \overline{\Gamma} \vdash A : L_i}{\Gamma, x :_L A \vdash} \text{Wf-L}$$

$$\frac{|\Gamma| \qquad \Gamma \vdash A : U_i}{|\Gamma, x :_U A|} \text{Pure-U}$$

$$\frac{|\Gamma| \qquad \Gamma \vdash A : U_i}{|\Gamma, x :_U A|} \text{Pure-U}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma}{\Gamma_1, x :_U A \ddagger \Gamma_2, x :_U A \ddagger \Gamma, x :_U A} \text{Merge-U}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma \qquad x \notin \Gamma_2}{\Gamma_1, x :_L A \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma, x :_L A} \text{Merge-L1}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma \qquad x \notin \Gamma_1}{\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2, x :_L A \ddagger \Gamma, x :_L A} \text{Merge-L2}$$

#### 5.1 Merge Lemmas

Since weakening and contraction rules will not be allowed on restricted variables, it is necessary to have lemmas that enable the manipulation of contexts.

**Lemma 5.1.** For contexts  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma$ , if there is  $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$ , then there is  $\Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of  $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** For any context  $\Gamma$ , if there is  $|\Gamma|$ , then there is  $\Gamma \ddagger \Gamma \ddagger \Gamma$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of  $|\Gamma|$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** For any context  $\Gamma$ , there is  $\overline{\Gamma} \ddagger \Gamma \ddagger \Gamma$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the structure of  $\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** For any context  $\Gamma$ , there is  $\Gamma \ddagger \overline{\Gamma} \ddagger \Gamma$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the structure of  $\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** For contexts  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma$ , if there is  $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$  and  $|\Gamma|$ , then there is  $|\Gamma_1|$  and  $|\Gamma_2|$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of  $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$ .

<b>Lemma 5.6.</b> For contexts $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma$ , if there is $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$ and $ \Gamma_1 $ , then there is $\Gamma = \Gamma_2$ .	
<i>Proof.</i> By induction on the derivation of $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$ .	
<b>Lemma 5.7.</b> For contexts $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma$ , if there is $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$ and $ \Gamma_2 $ , then there is $\Gamma = \Gamma_1$ .	
<i>Proof.</i> By induction on the derivation of $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$ .	
<b>Lemma 5.8.</b> For contexts $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma$ , if there is $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$ , and also $ \Gamma_1 $ , $ \Gamma_2 $ , then there is $ \Gamma $ .	
<i>Proof.</i> By induction on the derivation of $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$ .	
<b>Lemma 5.9.</b> For contexts $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma$ , if there is $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$ , and also $ \Gamma_1 $ , $ \Gamma_2 $ , then there is $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2$ .	
<i>Proof.</i> By induction on the derivation of $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$ .	
<b>Lemma 5.10.</b> For contexts $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma$ , if there is $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$ , then there is $\overline{\Gamma_1} = \overline{\Gamma}$ and $\overline{\Gamma_2} = \overline{\Gamma}$ .	
<i>Proof.</i> By induction on the derivation of $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$ .	
<b>Lemma 5.11.</b> For any context $\Gamma$ , there is $\overline{\Gamma} \ddagger \overline{\Gamma} \ddagger \overline{\Gamma}$ .	
<i>Proof.</i> By induction on the structure of $\Gamma$ .	
5.2 Restriction and Purity Lemmas	
<b>Lemma 5.12.</b> For any context $\Gamma$ , there is $\overline{\Gamma} = \overline{\overline{\Gamma}}$ .	
<i>Proof.</i> By induction on the structure of $\Gamma$ .	
<b>Lemma 5.13.</b> For any context $\Gamma$ , if there is $ \Gamma $ , then there is $\Gamma = \overline{\Gamma}$ .	
<i>Proof.</i> By induction on the structure of $\Gamma$ .	
<b>Lemma 5.14.</b> For any context $\Gamma$ , there is $ \overline{\Gamma} $ .	
<i>Proof.</i> By induction on the structure of $\Gamma$ .	
<b>Lemma 5.15.</b> For any context $\Gamma$ , variable $x$ and type $A$ , if there is $x :_U A \in \Gamma$ , then there is $x :_U A \in \overline{\Gamma}$	
<i>Proof.</i> By induction on the derivation of $x:_U A \in \Gamma$ .	
<b>Lemma 5.16.</b> For any context $\Gamma$ , variable $x$ and type $A$ , there is $x :_L A \notin \overline{\Gamma}$ .	
<i>Proof.</i> By induction on the structure of $\Gamma$ .	
<b>Lemma 5.17.</b> For contexts $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma, \Delta_1, \Delta_2$ , if there is $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$ and $\Delta_1 \ddagger \Delta_2 \ddagger \Gamma_1$ , then there exists such that $\Delta_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Delta$ and $\Delta \ddagger \Delta_2 \ddagger \Gamma$ .	Α
<i>Proof.</i> By induction on the derivation of $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$ .	
<b>Lemma 5.18.</b> For contexts $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma, \Delta_1, \Delta_2$ , if there is $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$ and $\Delta_1 \ddagger \Delta_2 \ddagger \Gamma_1$ , then there exists such that $\Delta_2 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Delta$ and $\Delta_1 \ddagger \Delta \ddagger \Gamma$ .	Α
<i>Proof.</i> By induction on the derivation of $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$ .	

## 6 Subtyping of CLC (clc subtype.v)

The cumulativity relation  $(\preceq)$  is the smallest binary relation over terms such that

- 1.  $\leq$  is a partial order with respect to equality.
  - (a) If  $A \equiv B$ , then  $A \leq B$ .
  - (b) If  $A \leq B$  and  $B \leq A$ , then  $A \equiv B$ .
  - (c) If  $A \leq B$  and  $B \leq C$ , then  $A \leq B$ .
- 2.  $U_0 \leq U_1 \leq U_2 \leq \cdots$
- 3.  $L_0 \leq L_1 \leq L_2 \leq \cdots$
- 4. If  $A_1 \equiv A_2$  and  $B_1 \leq B_2$ , then  $(x :_s A_1) \to B_1 \leq (x :_s A_2) \to B_2$
- 5. If  $A_1 \equiv A_2$  and  $B_1 \preceq B_2$ , then  $(x:_s A_1) \multimap B_1 \preceq (x:_s A_2) \multimap B_2$

Here, we give an inductive definition of the cumulativity relation  $(\preceq)$  that is suitable for writing proofs.

$$\frac{i_{1} \leq i_{2}}{A \prec A} \prec \text{-Refl} \qquad \frac{i_{1} \leq i_{2}}{s_{i_{1}} \prec s_{i_{2}}} \prec \text{-Sort} \qquad \frac{B_{1} \prec B_{2}}{(x :_{s} A) \to B_{1} \prec (x :_{s} A) \to B_{2}} \prec \to$$

$$\frac{B_{1} \prec B_{2}}{(x :_{s} A) \multimap B_{1} \prec (x :_{s} A) \multimap B_{2}} \prec \to$$

$$\frac{A' \prec B' \qquad A \equiv A' \qquad B \equiv B'}{A \preceq B} \prec \to$$

## 6.1 Subtyping Lemmas

**Lemma 6.1.** For terms A, B, if there is  $A \prec B$ , then there is  $A \leq B$ .

*Proof.* By  $\prec$ - $\leq$  and the reflexivity of equality  $\equiv$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** For terms A, B, C, if there is  $A \prec B$  and  $B \equiv C$ , then there is  $A \leq C$ .

*Proof.* By  $\prec$ - $\preceq$  and the transitivity of equality  $\equiv$ .

**Lemma 6.3.** For terms A, B, C, if there is  $A \equiv B$  and  $B \prec C$ , then there is  $A \preceq C$ .

*Proof.* By  $\prec$ - $\preceq$  and the transitivity of equality  $\equiv$ .

**Lemma 6.4.** For terms A, B, if there is  $A \equiv B$ , then there is  $A \leq B$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.3 and  $\prec$ -Refl.

**Lemma 6.5.** For term A, there is  $A \prec A$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.1 and  $\prec$ -Refl.

**Lemma 6.6.** For natural numbers i, j and sort s such that  $i \leq j$ , there is  $s_i \leq s_j$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.1 and  $\prec$ -Sort.

**Lemma 6.7.** For terms A, B, C, D, if there is  $A \prec B$ ,  $B \equiv C$  and  $C \prec D$ , then there is  $A \leq D$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of  $A \prec B$ , definition of  $\prec$  and Lemmas 6.1, 6.2, 6.3.

**Lemma 6.8.** For terms A, B, C, if there is  $A \leq B$  and  $B \leq C$ , then there is  $A \leq C$ .

*Proof.* By transitivity of  $\equiv$ , rule  $\prec$ - $\preceq$  and Lemma 6.7.

**Lemma 6.9.** For sorts s, t and natural numbers i, j, if there is  $s_i \leq t_j$ , then there is s = t and  $i \leq j$ .

*Proof.* By transitivity of  $\equiv$  and Corollary 4.25.6.

**Lemma 6.10.** For terms  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2$  and sorts s, t, if there is  $(x :_s A_1) \to B_1 \preceq (x :_t A_2) \to B_2$ , then there is  $A_1 \equiv A_2$  and  $B_1 \preceq B_2$  and s = t.

*Proof.* By transitivity of  $\equiv$  and Corollary 4.25.7.

**Lemma 6.11.** For terms  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2$  and sorts s, t, if there is  $(x :_s A_1) \multimap B_1 \preceq (x :_t A_2) \multimap B_2$ , then there is  $A_1 \equiv A_2$  and  $B_1 \preceq B_2$  and s = t.

*Proof.* By transitivity of  $\equiv$  and Corollary 4.25.8.

**Lemma 6.12.** For terms A, B and map  $\sigma$  from variables to terms, if there is  $A \prec B$ , then there is  $A[\sigma] \prec B[\sigma]$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of  $A \prec B$  and the definition of  $\prec$ .

**Lemma 6.13.** For terms A, B and map  $\sigma$  from variables to terms, if there is  $A \leq B$ , then there is  $A[\sigma] \leq B[\sigma]$ .

*Proof.* By rule  $\prec$ - $\preceq$  and Lemmas 4.13, 6.12.

## 7 Typing of CLC (clc\_typing.v)

The following rules define well-formed contexts.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \overline{\Gamma} \vdash A : U_i}{\Gamma, x :_U A \vdash} \text{U-Ok} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \overline{\Gamma} \vdash A : L_i}{\Gamma, x :_L A \vdash} \text{L-Ok}$$

The typing rules of CLC are presented below.

$$\frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash s_i : U_{i+1}} \text{Sort-Axiom} \qquad \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash A : U_i} \frac{\Gamma, x :_{U} A \vdash B : s_i}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : U_i} \text{U} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash A : L_i} \frac{\Gamma \vdash B : s_i}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{L} A) \to B : U_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{U} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{U} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : L_i} \text{L} \to \frac{|\Gamma|}{\Gamma$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash m : (x :_U A) \multimap B \qquad |\Gamma_2| \qquad \Gamma_2 \vdash n : A \qquad \Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash m \ n : B[n/x]}_{\text{APP-U} \multimap}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash m : (x :_L A) \multimap B \qquad \Gamma_2 \vdash n : A \qquad \Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash m \ n : B[n/x]}_{\text{APP-L} \multimap}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash m : A \qquad \overline{\Gamma} \vdash B : s_i \qquad A \preceq B}{\Gamma \vdash m : B}_{\text{CONVERSION}}$$

## 8 Inversion Lemmas of CLC (clc inversion.v)

**Lemma 8.1.** For any context  $\Gamma$  and terms A, B, s, if there is  $\Gamma \vdash (x :_U A) \rightarrow B : s$ , then there exists sort t and natural number i such that  $\Gamma \vdash A : U_i$  and  $\Gamma, x :_U A \vdash B : t_i$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of  $\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \to B : s$ .

**Lemma 8.2.** For any context  $\Gamma$  and terms A, B, s, if there is  $\Gamma \vdash (x :_L A) \rightarrow B : s$ , then there exists sort t and natural number i such that  $\Gamma \vdash A : L_i$  and  $\Gamma \vdash B : t_i$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of  $\Gamma \vdash (x : A) \rightarrow B : s$ .

**Lemma 8.3.** For any context  $\Gamma$  and terms A, B, s, if there is  $\Gamma \vdash (x :_U A) \multimap B : s$ , then there exists sort t and natural number i such that  $\Gamma \vdash A : U_i$  and  $\Gamma, x :_U A \vdash B : t_i$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of  $\Gamma \vdash (x :_{U} A) \multimap B : s$ .

**Lemma 8.4.** For any context  $\Gamma$  and terms A, B, s, if there is  $\Gamma \vdash (x :_L A) \multimap B : s$ , then there exists sort t and natural number i such that  $\Gamma \vdash A : L_i$  and  $\Gamma \vdash B : t_i$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of  $\Gamma \vdash (x :_{L} A) \multimap B : s$ .

**Lemma 8.5.** For any context  $\Gamma$ , terms A, n, C and sort s, if there is  $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x :_s A.n : C$ , then for all terms A', B, sorts s', t and natural number i such that  $C \preceq (x :_{s'} A') \rightarrow B$  and  $\overline{\Gamma}, x :_{s'} \overline{A'} \vdash B : t_i$ , there is  $\Gamma, x :_{s'} A' \vdash n : B$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of  $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x :_s A.n : C$  and Lemmas 8.1, 8.2.

**Lemma 8.6.** For any context  $\Gamma$ , terms A, n, C and sort s, if there is  $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x :_s A.n : C$ , then for all terms A', B, sorts s', t and natural number i such that  $C \preceq (x :_{s'} A') \multimap B$  and  $\overline{\Gamma}, x :_{s'} \overline{A'} \vdash B : t_i$ , there is  $\Gamma, x :_{s'} A' \vdash n : B$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of  $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x :_s A.n : C$  and Lemmas 8.3, 8.4.

**Lemma 8.7.** For any context  $\Gamma$ , terms A, A', B, n, sorts s, s', t and natural number i, if there is  $\overline{\Gamma} \vdash (x :_{s'} A') \rightarrow B : t_i \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash \lambda x :_s A.n : (x :_{s'} A') \rightarrow B$ , then there is  $\Gamma, x :_{s'} A' \vdash n : B$ .

*Proof.* Direct consequence of Lemmas 8.1, 8.2 and 8.5.

**Lemma 8.8.** For any context  $\Gamma$ , terms A, A', B, n, sorts s, s', t and natural number i, if there is  $\overline{\Gamma} \vdash (x :_{s'} A') \multimap B : t_i$  and  $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x :_s A :_{s'} A') \multimap B$ , then there is  $\Gamma, x :_{s'} A' \vdash n : B$ .

*Proof.* Direct consequence of Lemmas 8.3, 8.4 and 8.6.  $\Box$ 

## 9 Weakening Lemmas of CLC (clc weakening.v)

Weakening for non-linear types is admissible in CLC. To prove this, we first define an agreeR relation between two contexts  $\Gamma, \Gamma'$  and a mapping  $\xi$  from variables to variables.

$$\frac{agreeR \; \xi \; \Gamma \; \Gamma' \qquad x \notin FV(\Gamma) \cup FV(\Gamma')}{agreeR \; (\xi \cup (x,x)) \; (\Gamma,x:_{_{U}}A)(\Gamma',x:_{_{U}}A[\xi])} \text{^{AGREER-U}}$$

$$\frac{agreeR\ \xi\ \Gamma\ \Gamma' \qquad x\notin FV(\Gamma)\cup FV(\Gamma')}{agreeR\ (\xi\cup(x,x))\ (\Gamma,x:_LA)(\Gamma',x:_LA[\xi])} \text{\tiny AGREER-L} \qquad \frac{agreeR\ \xi\ \Gamma\ \Gamma' \qquad x\notin FV(\Gamma)\cup FV(\Gamma')}{agreeR\ \xi\ \Gamma\ (\Gamma',x:_UA)} \text{\tiny AGREER-WK}$$

### 9.1 Properties of agreeR

**Lemma 9.1.** For any context  $\Gamma$  and the identity map id from variables to variables, agree R id  $\Gamma$   $\Gamma$  is always true.

*Proof.* By induction on the structure of  $\Gamma$  and the definition of agree R.

**Lemma 9.2.** For contexts  $\Gamma, \Gamma'$  and mapping  $\xi$ , if there is agree  $R \xi \Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $|\Gamma|$ , then there is  $|\Gamma'|$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of  $agreeR \xi \Gamma \Gamma'$ .

**Lemma 9.3.** For contexts  $\Gamma, \Gamma'$  and mapping  $\xi$ , if there is agree  $\xi \Gamma'$ , then there is agree  $\xi \Gamma'$ .

### 9.2 Weakening Theorem

**Lemma 9.4.** For contexts  $\Gamma, \Gamma', \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  and mapping  $\xi$ , if there is agreeR  $\xi \Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$ , then there exists  $\Gamma'_1, \Gamma'_2$  such that  $\Gamma'_1 \ddagger \Gamma'_2 \ddagger \Gamma'$ , and agreeR  $\xi \Gamma_1 \Gamma'_1$  and agreeR  $\xi \Gamma_2 \Gamma'_2$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of agree  $R \xi \Gamma'$  and lemmas in Section 9.1.

**Lemma 9.5.** For context  $\Gamma, \Gamma'$ , terms m, A and mapping  $\xi$ , if there is  $\Gamma \vdash m : A$  and agreeR  $\xi \Gamma \Gamma'$ , then there is  $\Gamma' \vdash m[\xi] : A[\xi]$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of  $\Gamma \vdash m : A$ . We shall only discuss the application case in detail, as the other cases are proven by application of the induction hypothesis and the lemmas in Section 9.1.

- For the APP-U $\rightarrow$  case, Lemma 9.4 is applied to split the context  $\Gamma$  into two contexts  $\Gamma'_1$  and  $\Gamma'_2$  such that there is  $\Gamma'_1 \ddagger \Gamma'_2 \ddagger \Gamma'$  and  $agreeR \notin \Gamma_1 \Gamma'_1$  and  $agreeR \notin \Gamma_2 \Gamma'_2$ . From  $|\Gamma_2|$  and Lemma 9.2 we know that there is  $|\Gamma'_2|$ . At this point, the induction hypothesis allows us to apply APP-U $\rightarrow$  to prove the goal.
- For the APP-L $\rightarrow$  case, Lemma 9.4 is applied to split the context  $\Gamma$  into two contexts  $\Gamma'_1$  and  $\Gamma'_2$  such that there is  $\Gamma'_1 \ddagger \Gamma'_2 \ddagger \Gamma'$  and  $agreeR \xi \Gamma_1 \Gamma'_1$  and  $agreeR \xi \Gamma_2 \Gamma'_2$ . At this point, the induction hypothesis allows us to apply APP-L $\rightarrow$  to prove the goal.
- For the APP-U $\multimap$  case, Lemma 9.4 is applied to split the context  $\Gamma$  into two contexts  $\Gamma'_1$  and  $\Gamma'_2$  such that there is  $\Gamma'_1 \ddagger \Gamma'_2 \ddagger \Gamma'$  and  $agreeR \notin \Gamma_1 \Gamma'_1$  and  $agreeR \notin \Gamma_2 \Gamma'_2$ . From  $|\Gamma_2|$  and Lemma 9.2 we know that there is  $|\Gamma'_2|$ . At this point, the induction hypothesis allows us to apply APP-U $\multimap$  to prove the goal.
- For the APP-L $\rightarrow$  case, Lemma 9.4 is applied to split the context  $\Gamma$  into two contexts  $\Gamma'_1$  and  $\Gamma'_2$  such that there is  $\Gamma'_1 \ddagger \Gamma'_2 \ddagger \Gamma'$  and  $agreeR \xi \Gamma_1 \Gamma'_1$  and  $agreeR \xi \Gamma_2 \Gamma'_2$ . At this point, the induction hypothesis allows us to apply APP-L $\rightarrow$  to prove the goal.

**Theorem 9.6.** Weakening is admissible for CLC variables of non-linear type. For context  $\Gamma$  and terms m, A, B, if there is  $\Gamma \vdash m : A$ , then there is  $\Gamma, x :_{U} B \vdash m : A$ .

*Proof.* Using AGREER-WK and Lemma 9.1 a proof of agreeR id  $\Gamma$   $(\Gamma, x :_U B)$  can be constructed. Then by Lemma 9.5, the theorem can be proven.

## 10 Substitution Lemmas of CLC (clc substitution.v)

Similar to the proof of weakening, we first define an agreeS relation between two contexts  $\Gamma, \Delta$  and a mapping  $\sigma$  from variables to terms.

$$\frac{agreeS \ \sigma \ \Delta \ \Gamma \qquad x \notin FV(\Delta) \cup FV(\Gamma)}{agreeS \ \sigma \ \Delta \ \Gamma \qquad x \notin FV(\Delta) \cup FV(\Gamma)}_{\text{AGREES-U}}$$

$$\frac{agreeS \ \sigma \ \Delta \ \Gamma \qquad x \notin FV(\Delta) \cup FV(\Gamma)}{agreeS \ (\sigma \cup (x,x)) \ (\Delta,x:_L A[\sigma]) \ (\Gamma,x:_L A)}_{\text{AGREES-L}}$$

$$\frac{agreeS \ \sigma \ \Delta \ \Gamma \qquad \overline{\Delta} \vdash n : A[\sigma] \qquad x \notin FV(\Delta) \cup FV(\Gamma)}_{\text{AGREES-WKU}}$$

$$\frac{agreeS \ \sigma \ \Delta \ \Gamma \qquad \overline{\Delta} \vdash n : A[\sigma] \qquad x \notin FV(\Delta) \cup FV(\Gamma)}_{\text{AGREES-WKU}}$$

$$\frac{agreeS \ \sigma \ \Delta \ \Gamma \qquad \overline{\Delta} \vdash n : A[\sigma] \qquad x \notin FV(\Delta) \cup FV(\Gamma)}_{\text{AGREES-WKL}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta_1 \ddagger \Delta_2 \ddagger \Delta \qquad agreeS \ \sigma \ \Delta_1 \ \Gamma \qquad \Delta_2 \vdash n : A[\sigma] \qquad x \notin FV(\Delta) \cup FV(\Gamma)}_{\text{AGREES-WKL}}$$

$$\frac{agreeS \ \sigma \ \Delta_1 \ \Gamma \qquad \Delta_2 \vdash n : A[\sigma] \qquad x \notin FV(\Delta) \cup FV(\Gamma)}_{\text{AGREES-CONVU}}$$

$$\frac{A \preceq B \qquad \overline{\Delta} \vdash B[\sigma] : U_i \qquad agreeS \ \sigma \ \Delta_i \ \Gamma, x :_L A}_{\text{AGREES-CONVU}}$$

$$\frac{A \preceq B \qquad \overline{\Delta} \vdash B[\sigma] : L_i \qquad \overline{\Gamma} \vdash B : L_i \qquad agreeS \ \sigma \ \Delta_i \ \Gamma, x :_L A}_{\text{AGREES-CONVU}}$$

$$\frac{A \preceq B \qquad \overline{\Delta} \vdash B[\sigma] : L_i \qquad \overline{\Gamma} \vdash B : L_i \qquad agreeS \ \sigma \ \Delta_i \ \Gamma, x :_L A}_{\text{AGREES-CONVU}}$$

### 10.1 Properties of agreeS

**Lemma 10.1.** For any context  $\Gamma$  and identity mapping id, there is agree S id  $\Gamma$   $\Gamma$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the structure of  $\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 10.2.** For contexts  $\Delta$ ,  $\Gamma$  and mapping  $\sigma$ , if there is agreeS  $\sigma$   $\Delta$   $\Gamma$ , then there is agreeS  $\sigma$   $\overline{\Delta}$   $\overline{\Gamma}$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of agreeS  $\sigma \Delta \Gamma$ .

#### 10.2 Substitution Lemma

**Lemma 10.3.** For contexts  $\Delta$ ,  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma_1$ ,  $\Gamma_2$  and mapping  $\sigma$ , if there is agreeS  $\sigma$   $\Delta$   $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$ , then there exists contexts  $\Delta_1$ ,  $\Delta_2$  such that  $\Delta_1 \ddagger \Delta_2 \ddagger \Delta$  and agreeS  $\sigma$   $\Delta_1$   $\Gamma_1$  and agreeS  $\sigma$   $\Delta_2$   $\Gamma_2$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of agreeS  $\sigma$   $\Delta$   $\Gamma$  and lemmas in Section 10.1.

**Lemma 10.4.** Generalized Substitution Lemma. For context  $\Gamma, \Delta$ , terms m, A and mapping  $\sigma$ , if there is  $\Gamma \vdash m : A$  and agreeS  $\sigma \Delta \Gamma$ , then there is  $\Delta \vdash m[\sigma] : A[\sigma]$ .

*Proof.* The proof proceeds by induction on the derivation of  $\Gamma \vdash m : A$ . Similar to the proof of Lemma 9.5, the interesting cases are the application cases where Lemma 10.3 must be utilized to split the  $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$  judgments for use in the induction hypothesis.

#### 10.3 Corollaries of Substitution

**Corollary 10.4.1.** For contexts  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma$  and terms A, B, m, n, if there is  $\Gamma_1, x :_U A \vdash m : B$  and  $|\Gamma_2|$  and  $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_2 \vdash n : A$ , then there is  $\Gamma \vdash m[n/x] : B[n/x]$ .

**Corollary 10.4.2.** For contexts  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma$  and terms A, B, m, n, if there is  $\Gamma_1, x :_L A \vdash m : B$  and  $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_2 \vdash n : A$ , then there is  $\Gamma \vdash m[n/x] : B[n/x]$ .

**Corollary 10.4.3.** For context  $\Gamma$ , terms m, A, B, C and natural number i, if there is  $B \equiv A$  and  $\overline{\Gamma} \vdash A : U_i$  and  $\Gamma, x :_U A \vdash m : C$ , then there is  $\Gamma, x :_U B \vdash m : C$ .

**Corollary 10.4.4.** For context  $\Gamma$ , terms m, A, B, C and natural number i, if there is  $B \equiv A$  and  $\overline{\Gamma} \vdash A : L_i$  and  $\Gamma, x :_L A \vdash m : C$ , then there is  $\Gamma, x :_L B \vdash m : C$ .

## 11 Typing Validity of CLC (clc validity.v)

In this section, we prove that the types of all CLC terms are themselves well-sorted.

**Lemma 11.1.** For contexts  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma$ , if there is  $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$  and  $\Gamma \vdash$ , then there is  $\Gamma_1 \vdash$  and  $\Gamma_2 \vdash$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of  $\Gamma_1 \ddagger \Gamma_2 \ddagger \Gamma$  and the properties of  $\_\ddagger \_\ddagger \_$  discussed in Section 5.1

**Theorem 11.2.** The validity of typing theorem. For any context  $\Gamma$  and terms m, A, if there is  $\Gamma \vdash$  and  $\Gamma \vdash m : A$ , then there exists sort s and natural number i such that  $\overline{\Gamma} \vdash A : s_i$ .

## 12 Subject Reduction of CLC (clc\_soundness.v)

**Theorem 12.1.** For any context  $\Gamma$  and terms m, n, A, if  $\Gamma \vdash$  and  $\Gamma \vdash m : A$  and  $m \leadsto n$ , then there is  $\Gamma \vdash n : A$ .

*Proof.* The proof proceeds by induction on the derivation of  $\Gamma \vdash m : A$ . The interesting cases are the application cases which we shall discuss in detail.

• For the App-U $\rightarrow$  case,