

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/338515542>

Some properties of the eigenvalues of the net Laplacian matrix of a signed graph

Preprint · January 2020

CITATIONS

0

READS

45

1 author:



Zoran Stanić

University of Belgrade

105 PUBLICATIONS 284 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Spectra of Signed Graphs [View project](#)



Controllability of Graphs [View project](#)

4 **SOME PROPERTIES OF THE EIGENVALUES OF THE NET**
5 **LAPLACIAN MATRIX OF A SIGNED GRAPH**

6 ZORAN STANIĆ

7 *Faculty of Mathematics, University of Belgrade,*
8 *Studentski trg 16, 11 000 Belgrade, Serbia.*

9 **e-mail:** zstanic@math.rs

10 **Abstract**

11 Given a signed graph \dot{G} , let $A_{\dot{G}}$ and $D_{\dot{G}}^{\pm}$ denote its standard adjacency
12 matrix and the diagonal matrix of net-degrees, respectively. The net Lapla-
13 cian matrix of \dot{G} is defined to be $N_{\dot{G}} = D_{\dot{G}}^{\pm} - A_{\dot{G}}$. In this study we give some
14 properties of eigenvalues of $N_{\dot{G}}$. In particular, we consider their behaviour
15 under some edge perturbations, establish some relations between them and
16 the eigenvalues of the standard Laplacian matrix and give some lower and
17 upper bounds for the largest eigenvalue of $N_{\dot{G}}$.

18 **Keywords:** (Net) Laplacian matrix; Edge perturbations; Largest eigen-
19 value; Net-degree.

20 **2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C22, 05C50.

21 **1. INTRODUCTION**

22 A *signed graph* \dot{G} is a pair (G, σ) , where $G = (V, E)$ is an (unsigned) graph, called
23 the *underlying graph*, and $\sigma: E \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ is the *sign function*. The edge set
24 of a signed graph is composed of subsets of positive edges E^+ and the subset of
25 negative edges E^- . Throughout the paper we interpret a graph as a signed graph
26 with all the edges being positive. We denote the number of vertices of a signed
27 graph by n .

28 The *degree* d_i of a vertex i of \dot{G} is the number of its neighbours. The *positive*
29 *degree* d_i^+ is the number of positive neighbours of i (i.e., those adjacent to i
30 by a positive edge). In the similar way, we define the *negative degree* d_i^- . The
31 *net-degree* of i is defined to be $d_i^{\pm} = d_i^+ - d_i^-$.

32 The adjacency matrix $A_{\dot{G}}$ is obtained from the standard adjacency matrix of
33 its underlying graph by reversing the sign of all 1's that correspond to negative

edges. The Laplacian matrix is defined to be $L_{\dot{G}} = D_{\dot{G}} - A_{\dot{G}}$, where $D_{\dot{G}}$ is the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees. The *net Laplacian matrix* by $N_{\dot{G}} = D_{\dot{G}}^{\pm} - A_{\dot{G}}$, where $D_{\dot{G}}^{\pm}$ is the diagonal matrix of net-degrees. We denote the eigenvalues (with repetition) of these matrices by $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$, $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$ and $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n$, respectively. In the majority of this paper we also assume that they are indexed non-increasingly. An exception occurs in the forthcoming Lemma 6. To ease language, in the sequel we abbreviate the spectrum, the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of $N_{\dot{G}}$ as the *spectrum*, the *eigenvalues* and the *eigenvectors* of \dot{G} .

A significance of the spectrum of the net Laplacian matrix in control theory was recognized in [3]. The same topic is considered from a graph theoretic perspective in [5]. In [6] we considered some advantages of use of the net Laplacian matrix instead of the Laplacian matrix (in study of signed graphs). In this paper we continue our research on the eigenvalues of $N_{\dot{G}}$. Apart from some particular results, we consider how they change when we apply some standard edge perturbations and give certain relations between them and the eigenvalues of $L_{\dot{G}}$. We pay a special attention to the largest eigenvalue and derive a formula for it (based on the Rayleigh principle), which in fact gives a way for constructing lower bounds for this eigenvalue. We also establish an upper bound expressed in terms of certain structural parameters.

In Section 2 we give some terminology and notation. Our contribution is reported in Sections 3 and 4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We use \mathbf{j} and $\mathbf{0}$ to denote the all-1 and the all-0 vector, respectively, and I and J to denote the identity and the all-1 matrix, respectively. We say that a signed graph is *bipartite* or *regular* if the same holds for its underlying graph. A signed graph is said to be *net-regular* if the net-degree is a constant on the vertex set. A *trivial* (signed) graph consists of a single vertex. The *negation* $-\dot{G}$ of \dot{G} is obtained by reversing the sign of all edges of \dot{G} .

By N_i we denote the (open) neighbourhood of a vertex i . A *walk* in a signed graph is defined in the same way as the walk in a graph. A walk is *positive* if the number of negative edges contained (counted with their repetition) is even; otherwise, it is *negative*. In particular, we use $w_2(i, j)$ to denote the difference between the numbers of positive and negative walks of length 2 starting at i and terminating at j . The number of positive (resp. negative) walks of length 2 is denoted by $w_2^+(i, j)$ (resp. $w_2^-(i, j)$). The *vertex connectivity* $c_v(\dot{G})$ of \dot{G} is the minimum number of vertices whose removal results in a trivial or disconnected signed graph.

If $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T$ is an eigenvector associated with an eigenvalue ν

(of $N_{\dot{G}}$), then the *eigenvalue equation* related to ν at vertex u reads

$$(d_i^\pm - \nu)x_i = \sum_{ij \in E(\dot{G})} \sigma(ij)x_j. \quad (1)$$

Conversely, if (1) holds for some non-zero vector \mathbf{x} , real number ν and all the vertices of \dot{G} , then ν is an eigenvalue of \dot{G} and \mathbf{x} is an associated eigenvector.

3. GENERAL RESULTS

Observe that 0 is an eigenvalue of $N_{\dot{G}}$ for every signed graph \dot{G} . The corresponding eigenspace contains the all-1 vector \mathbf{j} . In general, $N_{\dot{G}}$ can have both positive and negative eigenvalues. Here is a necessary condition for the non-existence of negative ones.

Lemma 1. *If the eigenvalues of a connected signed graph \dot{G} are non-negative, then $d_i^\pm > 0$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Proof. We assume to the contrary and use the Sylvester's criterion which states that a Hermitian matrix is positive semidefinite if and only if all principal minors are non-negative.

For $d_j^\pm < 0$, the corresponding minor (of the 1×1 principal submatrix) is negative, and so \dot{G} has a negative eigenvalue. For $d_j^\pm = 0$, since \dot{G} is connected, there is a vertex, say u , adjacent to j . With a suitable labelling of vertices, we get that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma(ju) \\ -\sigma(ju) & d_u^\pm \end{pmatrix}$$

is a minor of the corresponding matrix. Since it is negative, we complete the proof. \blacksquare

We proceed with a Fiedler-like formula (cf. [2]) based on the coordinates of an associated eigenvector.

Theorem 2. *For the largest eigenvalue ν of $N_{\dot{G}}$ associated with a non-constant eigenvector, we have*

$$\nu(\dot{G}) = 2n \max_{\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}, \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{j} \rangle = 0} \frac{\sum_{ij \in E^+(\dot{G})} (x_i - x_j)^2 - \sum_{ij \in E^-(\dot{G})} (x_i - x_j)^2}{\sum_{i,j \in V(\dot{G})} (x_i - x_j)^2}. \quad (2)$$

Proof. According to the Rayleigh principle, we have

$$\nu(\dot{G}) = \max_{\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}, \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{j} \rangle = 0} \frac{\mathbf{x}^T N_{\dot{G}} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}}.$$

Computing the nominator, we get

$$\mathbf{x}^T N_{\dot{G}} \mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^{\pm} x_i^2 - 2 \sum_{ij \in E(\dot{G})} \sigma(ij) x_i x_j.$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^{\pm} x_i^2 = \sum_{ij \in E^+(\dot{G})} (x_i^2 + x_j^2) - \sum_{ij \in E^-(\dot{G})} (x_i^2 + x_j^2)$$

and

$$2 \sum_{ij \in E(\dot{G})} \sigma(ij) x_i x_j = 2 \left(\sum_{ij \in E^+(\dot{G})} x_i x_j - \sum_{ij \in E^-(\dot{G})} x_i x_j \right),$$

we deduce

$$\mathbf{x}^T N_{\dot{G}} \mathbf{x} = \sum_{ij \in E^+(\dot{G})} (x_i - x_j)^2 - \sum_{ij \in E^-(\dot{G})} (x_i - x_j)^2. \quad (3)$$

For the denominator, we have

$$\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \in V(\dot{G})} (x_i - x_j)^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^2 \right),$$

where the latter equality follows by the Lagrange's identity. Since $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{j} \rangle = 0$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$, and thus

$$\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i,j \in V(\dot{G})} (x_i - x_j)^2. \quad (4)$$

87 Now, (2) is completed by (3) and (4). ■

88 Since $N_{-\dot{G}} = -N_{\dot{G}}$, the least eigenvalue of $N_{\dot{G}}$ associated with a non-constant
89 eigenvector is obtained by replacing max with min in (2).

Example 3.1. Clearly, if $\nu(\dot{G}) > 0$, then the assumption (of Theorem 2) that an associated eigenvector is non-constant is satisfied automatically. Observe that the possibility $\nu(\dot{G}) = 0$ may occur, and the least eigenvalue associated with a

non-constant eigenvector can also be zero. Indeed, the eigenvalues of the signed graph \dot{G} determined by

$$N_{\dot{G}} = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -3 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

are 0 with multiplicity 2 and -4 with multiplicity 2. Thus, its largest eigenvalue is 0 and has a non-constant eigenvector. For the least eigenvalue equal to 0, we consider the negation of \dot{G} .

This example is not obtained accidentally, since by [6], if $\dot{G}_1 \nabla^- \dot{G}_2$ is the negative join of \dot{G}_1 and \dot{G}_2 and the number of vertices of \dot{G}_2 appear as an eigenvalue of \dot{G}_1 , then 0 is an eigenvalue of $\dot{G}_1 \nabla^- \dot{G}_2$ with multiplicity at least 2.

Since $N_{\dot{G}}$ is similar to a diagonal matrix with the eigenvalues on the diagonal, we deduce that all the eigenvalues of \dot{G} are zero if and only if $E(\dot{G}) = \emptyset$. For $E(\dot{G}) \neq \emptyset$, up to taking the negation of a signed graph, we can always assure that $\nu(\dot{G})$ of Theorem 2 is the largest eigenvalue $\nu_1(\dot{G})$. In fact, this theorem gives a tool for computing lower bounds for $\nu_1(\dot{G})$ as any choice for \mathbf{x} gives one of them.

In what follows we consider the behaviour of the eigenvalues under certain edge perturbations.

Lemma 3. *For a signed graph \dot{G} , if \dot{H} be obtained from \dot{G} either by*

- (i) *adding at least one positive edge,*
 - (ii) *removing at least one negative edge or*
 - (iii) *reversing the sign of at least one negative edge,*
- we have*

$$\nu_i(\dot{H}) \geq \nu_i(\dot{G}), \tag{5}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The inequality is strict for at least one i .

Proof. For (i) and (ii) we have $N_{\dot{H}} = N_{\dot{G}} + L_F$, where L_F is the Laplacian matrix of a graph induced either by positive edges added to \dot{H} or negative edges removed from \dot{G} . Using the Courant-Weyl inequalities [4, Theorem 1.3], we get $\nu_i(\dot{H}) \geq \nu_i(\dot{G}) + \mu_n(F) = \nu_i(\dot{G}) + 0$, which gives (5).

For (iii), let L_F be the Laplacian matrix of a graph induced by negative edges whose sign is reversed. Then $N_{\dot{H}} = N_{\dot{G}} + 2L_F$, and (5) follows in the same way as before.

Since in all three cases the trace of \dot{H} is strictly greater than the trace of \dot{G} , we conclude that the inequality is strict for at least one i . ■

119 Here is a natural consequence.

Corollary 3.1. For a signed graph \dot{G} , we have

$$\nu_i(\dot{G}) \leq \mu_i(G),$$

120 for $1 \leq i \leq n$, where G is its underlying graph. If $\dot{G} \not\cong G$, then the inequality is
121 strict for at least one i .

122 **Proof.** The result follows since G is obtained from \dot{G} by operation described in
123 Lemma 3(iii). ■

124 Now, we consider a relation between the net Laplacian eigenvalues and the
125 Laplacian eigenvalues.

Lemma 4. For a signed graph \dot{G} , we have

$$\nu_i(\dot{G}) \leq \mu_i(\dot{G}), \quad (6)$$

126 for $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $\dot{G} \not\cong G$, the inequality is strict for at least one i . If every vertex
127 of \dot{G} is incident with at least one negative edge, the inequality is strict for every i .

Proof. Let $D_{\dot{G}}^-$ be the diagonal matrix of negative vertex degrees. It holds
 $L_{\dot{G}} = N_{\dot{G}} + 2D_{\dot{G}}^-$, and so

$$\mu_i(\dot{G}) \geq \nu_i(\dot{G}) + \delta_n(D_{\dot{G}}^-), \quad (7)$$

128 where $\delta_n(D_{\dot{G}}^-)$ denotes the least eigenvalue of $D_{\dot{G}}^-$. Since $D_{\dot{G}}^-$ is diagonal dom-
129 inant with non-negative main diagonal, it is positive semidefinite, which yields
130 $\lambda_n(D_{\dot{G}}^-) \geq 0$, and we get (6).

131 If $\dot{G} \not\cong G$, considering traces of $N_{\dot{G}}$ and $L_{\dot{G}}$, we get the strict inequality for
132 at least one i .

133 If every vertex of \dot{G} is incident with at least one negative edge, the main
134 diagonal of $D_{\dot{G}}^-$ is positive, which means that this matrix is positive definite, and
135 so $\delta_n(D_{\dot{G}}^-) > 0$, which together with (7), gives the assertion. ■

136 Obviously, if \dot{G} is net-regular with net degree d^\pm , then $N_{\dot{G}} = A_{\dot{G}} + d^\pm I$, which
137 means that $\nu_i(\dot{G}) = \lambda_i(\dot{G}) + d^\pm$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. In what follows we consider
138 signed graphs with constant negative vertex degree. The following definitions are
139 needed.

140 For a signed graph \dot{G} , we introduce the vertex-edge orientation $\eta: V(\dot{G}) \times$
141 $E(\dot{G}) \rightarrow \{1, 0, -1\}$ formed by obeying the following rules: (1) $\eta(i, jk) = 0$ if
142 $i \notin \{j, k\}$, (2) $\eta(i, ij) = 1$ or $\eta(i, ij) = -1$ and (3) $\eta(i, ij)\eta(j, ij) = -\sigma(ij)$.
143 Then \dot{G}_η consists of \dot{G} together with the orientation, so it is the pair (\dot{G}, η) .

144 The (vertex-edge) incidence matrix B_η is the matrix whose rows and columns
 145 are indexed by $V(\dot{G})$ and $E(\dot{G})$ respectively, such that its (i, e) -entry is equal
 146 to $\eta(i, e)$.

147 Note that, regardless of the orientation chosen, we have $B_\eta B_\eta^T = L_{\dot{G}}$. Simi-
 148 larly, we have $B_\eta^T B_\eta = 2I + A_{L(\dot{G}_\eta)}$, where $L(\dot{G}_\eta)$ is taken to be the *signed line*
 149 *graph* of \dot{G}_η . It is not difficult to show that signed line graphs obtained by differ-
 150 ent orientations share the same spectrum (they are switching equivalent [7]), so
 151 we may say that there is a unique, up to the switching equivalence, signed line
 152 graph $L(\dot{G})$ of \dot{G} .

153 **Lemma 5.** *If a signed graph \dot{G} with n vertices has a constant negative vertex*
 154 *degree d^- , then*

- 155 (i) $\nu_i(\dot{G}) = \mu_i(\dot{G}) - 2d^-$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$;
 156 (ii) *Apart from possible eigenvalue $-2d^-$ of $N_{\dot{G}}$ and -2 of $A_{L(\dot{G})}$, the eigenval-*
 157 *ues (with repetitions) of $N_{\dot{G}}$ and $A_{L(\dot{G})}$ coincide.*

158 **Proof.** For (i) we have $N_{\dot{G}} = L_{\dot{G}} - 2d^-I$, which leads to the conclusion.

159 For (ii) Since $B_\eta B_\eta^T$ and $B_\eta^T B_\eta$ share the same non-zero eigenvalues and both
 160 are positive semidefinite, we get the assertion. ■

161 Of course, if \dot{G} is regular and net-regular, then the negative vertex degree
 162 is constant on the vertex set, and we have $N_{\dot{G}} = A_{\dot{G}} + d^\pm I = L_{\dot{G}} - 2d^-I$. In
 163 other words, the eigenvalues of $N_{\dot{G}}$ are fully determined by the eigenvalues of $A_{\dot{G}}$
 164 (or $L_{\dot{G}}$).

165 We conclude this section by considering an effect (on eigenvalues) of removing
 166 of specified vertices.

167 **Lemma 6.** *Assume that a signed graph \dot{H} with $n+k$ vertices contains k vertices,*
 168 *such that none of them is incident with a negative edge. If \dot{G} is obtained by*
 169 *removing these vertices and $\nu_1(\dot{G}) \geq \nu_2(\dot{G}) \geq \dots \geq \nu_{n-1}(\dot{G}), \nu_n(\dot{G}) = 0$ are its*
 170 *eigenvalues, then there exist $n-1$ eigenvalues of \dot{H} , $\nu_{j_1}(\dot{H}) \geq \nu_{j_2}(\dot{H}) \geq \dots \geq$
 171 $\nu_{j_{n-1}}(\dot{H})$, such that $\nu_{j_i}(\dot{H}) \leq \nu_i(\dot{G}) + k$, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.*

172 *In particular, $\nu_{j_{n-1}}(\dot{H})$ may be taken to be the least eigenvalue associated*
 173 *with a non-constant eigenvector.*

Proof. Let \dot{H}' be obtained from \dot{H} by adding all possible positive edges such
 that at least one endpoint of each of them is one of the k vertices mentioned in
 the lemma. It holds

$$N_{\dot{H}'} = \begin{pmatrix} N_{\dot{G}} + kI_{n \times n} & -J_{n \times k} \\ -J_{k \times n} & (n+k)I_{k \times k} - J_{k \times k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, if \mathbf{x}_i is a non-constant eigenvector associated with $\nu_i(\dot{G})$, then

$$N_{\dot{H}'} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} = (\nu_i(\dot{G}) + k) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix},$$

as $\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{j} \rangle = 0$. Thus, $\nu_i(\dot{G}) + k$ is an eigenvalue of \dot{H}' . Hence, we may denote $\nu_{j_i}(\dot{H}') = \nu_i(\dot{G}) + k$, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. By Lemma 3(i), we have $v_{j_i}(\dot{H}) \leq v_{j_i}(\dot{H}')$, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, which gives the assertion.

The particular case follows since the least eigenvalue of \dot{H} associated with a non-constant eigenvector does not exceeds $\nu_{n-1}(\dot{H})$. ■

We extend a well-known result referred to Fiedler [1].

Corollary 3.2. For a non-complete signed graph \dot{G} with n vertices, we have $\nu_{n-1}(\dot{G}) \leq c_v(\dot{G})$, where $c_v(\dot{G})$ denotes the vertex connectivity of \dot{G} .

Proof. Clearly, we may assume that $\nu_{n-1}(\dot{G}) \geq 0$; otherwise, the statement is trivial.

First, if \dot{G} is disconnected, then $c_v(\dot{G}) = 0$ and also $\nu_{n-1}(\dot{G}) = 0$ (as 0 is an eigenvalue of multiplicity at least 2), and we are done.

Assume further that \dot{G} is connected, and let U denote the subset of vertices such that $c_v(\dot{G}) = |U|$. By Lemma 3(iii), $\nu_{n-1}(\dot{G}) \leq \nu_{n-1}(\dot{H})$, where \dot{H} is obtained by reversing the sign of every negative edge with at least one endpoint in U . Obviously, $c_v(\dot{H}) = |U|$. If \dot{H}' is obtained from \dot{H} by removing all vertices of U , then \dot{H}' is disconnected (since \dot{G} is non-complete), and so its least eigenvalue associated with a non-constant eigenvector is non-positive. By Lemma 6, we have $\nu_{n-1}(\dot{H}) \leq c_v(\dot{H})$, which gives the assertion. ■

4. AN UPPER BOUND FOR ν_1

Here we separate an upper bound for the largest eigenvalue ν_1 .

Theorem 7. For a connected signed graph \dot{G} ,

$$\nu_1 \leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(d_i^\pm + \sqrt{d_i^{\pm 2} + 4(m_i^\pm + n_i + 2t_i)} \right) : 1 \leq i \leq n \right\}, \quad (8)$$

where, for a vertex i , d_i^\pm denotes its net-degree, $m_i^\pm = \sum_{j \sim i} |d_j^\pm|$, $n_i = \sum_{j \sim i} (d_j - |N_j \cap N_i|)$ and t_i denotes the difference between the numbers of triangles passing through i whose edges are of the same sign and those whose edges incident with i are of the same sign, while third differs in sign.

Equality holds if and only if \dot{G} is bipartite regular with all edges being positive.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T$ be an eigenvector associated with the largest eigenvalue ν_1 of the net Laplacian matrix $N_{\dot{G}}$ of a signed graph \dot{G} . Let x_i be the largest coordinate of \mathbf{x} ; without loss of generality, we may assume that x_i is positive, and then we have $|x_j| \leq x_i$, for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Since $\nu_1 \mathbf{x} = N_{\dot{G}} \mathbf{x} = (D_{\dot{G}}^{\pm} - A_{\dot{G}}) \mathbf{x}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_1^2 \mathbf{x} &= (D_{\dot{G}}^{\pm} - A_{\dot{G}})^2 \mathbf{x} \\ &= D_{\dot{G}}^{\pm 2} \mathbf{x} - D_{\dot{G}}^{\pm} A_{\dot{G}} \mathbf{x} - A_{\dot{G}} D_{\dot{G}}^{\pm} \mathbf{x} + A_{\dot{G}}^2 \mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\nu_1^2 x_i = d_i^{\pm 2} x_i - d_i^{\pm} \sum_{ij \in E(\dot{G})} \sigma(ij) x_j - \sum_{ij \in E(\dot{G})} d_j^{\pm} \sigma(ij) x_j + \sum_{\substack{k=i \text{ or} \\ d(k,i)=2}} w_2(i, k) x_k.$$

By (1), we get

$$\nu_1^2 x_i = d_i^{\pm 2} x_i - d_i^{\pm} (d_i^{\pm} - \nu_1) x_i - \sum_{ij \in E(\dot{G})} d_j^{\pm} \sigma(ij) x_j + \sum_{\substack{k=i \text{ or} \\ d(k,i)=2}} w_2(i, k) x_k,$$

i.e.,

$$(\nu_1^2 - d_i^{\pm} \nu_1) x_i = - \sum_{ij \in E(\dot{G})} d_j^{\pm} \sigma(ij) x_j + \sum_{\substack{k=i \text{ or} \\ d(k,i)=2}} w_2(i, k) x_k. \quad (9)$$

Considering the first term of the right-hand side, we get

$$- \sum_{ij \in E(\dot{G})} d_j^{\pm} \sigma(ij) x_j \leq \left| \sum_{ij \in E(\dot{G})} d_j^{\pm} \sigma(ij) x_j \right| \leq \sum_{ij \in E(\dot{G})} |d_j^{\pm}| x_i = m_i^{\pm} x_i. \quad (10)$$

For the second term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{k=i \text{ or} \\ d(k,i)=2}} w_2(i, k) x_k &= \sum_{\substack{k=i \text{ or} \\ d(k,i)=2}} w_2^+(i, k) x_k - \sum_{\substack{k=i \text{ or} \\ d(k,i)=2}} w_2^-(i, k) x_k \\ &= \sum_{ik \in E(\dot{G})} w_2^+(i, k) x_k + \sum_{ik \notin E(\dot{G})} w_2^+(i, k) x_k \\ &\quad - \sum_{ik \in E(\dot{G})} w_2^-(i, k) x_k - \sum_{ik \notin E(\dot{G})} w_2^-(i, k) x_k. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the terms on the right-hand side of the previous equality. For the first and the third, we have

$$\sum_{ik \in E(\dot{G})} w_2^+(i, k) x_k - \sum_{ik \in E(\dot{G})} w_2^-(i, k) x_k = \sum_{ik \in E(\dot{G})} (w_2^+(i, k) - w_2^-(i, k)) x_k = 2t_i x_k \leq 2t_i x_i.$$

For the second and the fourth term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{ik \notin E(\dot{G})} w_2^+(i, k)x_k - \sum_{ik \notin E(\dot{G})} w_2^-(i, k)x_k &\leq \sum_{ik \notin E(\dot{G})} (w_2^+(i, k)x_k + w_2^-(i, k)x_i) \quad (11) \\ &= \sum_{ij \in E(\dot{G})} (d_j - |N_j \cap N_i|)x_i = n_i x_i, \end{aligned}$$

Inserting the previous inequalities in (9), we get

$$(\nu_1^2 - d_i^\pm \nu_1)x_i \leq m_i^\pm x_i + 2t_i x_i + n_i x_i,$$

205 which gives (8).

206 Consider the equality in (8). If \dot{G} is bipartite regular with all edges being
207 positive, then $d_i^\pm = d_i$, $m_i^\pm = n_i = d_i^2$ and $t_i = 0$, and so the right-hand side
208 of (8) reduces to $2d_i$, and this is exactly the largest eigenvalue of the Laplacian
209 matrix of a regular graph of degree d_i .

210 Assume now that equality in (8) holds. Then, there are equalities in (11),
211 which means that $x_j = -x_i$ for all edges ij and $d_j^\pm = d_j$ (i.e., all edges incident
212 with j are positive). Interchanging i and j in the proof (we can do this, since x_j is
213 largest in modulus, as well as x_i), we conclude that \dot{G} does not contain negative
214 edges nor any triangles and that $x_s = -x_t$ holds for every edge st . We also
215 have equality in (1), which means that $x_s = x_t$ holds for every pair of vertices at
216 distance 2. This leads to the conclusion that \dot{G} does not contain a cycle of an
217 odd length (since the last equality cannot hold for all vertices of such a cycle).
218 Thus, \dot{G} is bipartite. Its regularity (of degree d_i) follows from (1). ■

Corollary 4.1. Under the notation of Theorem 7, if \dot{G} is triangle-free, then

$$\nu_1 \leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(d_i^\pm + \sqrt{d_i^{\pm 2} + 4 \sum_{ij \in E(\dot{G})} (|d_j^\pm| + d_j)} \right) : 1 \leq i \leq n \right\}.$$

219 **Proof.** If \dot{G} is triangle-free, then $n_i = \sum_{ij \in E(\dot{G})} d_j$ (as $|N_i \cap N_j| = 0$, for $ij \in$
220 $E(\dot{G})$) and $t_i = 0$, which leads to the result. ■

221

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

222 Research is partially supported by Serbian Ministry of Education, Science and
223 Technological Development, Projects 174012 and 174033.

224

REFERENCES

- 225 [1] M. Fiedler, Algebraic connectivity of graphs, Czech. Math. J., 23 (1973)
226 298–305.
- 227 [2] M. Fiedler, A property of eigenvectors of nonnegative symmetric matrices
228 and its application to graph theory, Czech. Math. J., 25 (1975) 619–633.
- 229 [3] H. Gao, Z. Ji, T. Hou, Equitable Partitions in the Controllability of Undi-
230 rected Signed Graphs, in Proceedings of IEEE 14th International Conference
231 on Control and Automation (ICCA), Piscataway NJ, IEEE, 2018, pp. 532–
232 537.
- 233 [4] Z. Stanić, Inequalities for Graph Eigenvalues, Cambridge University Press,
234 Cambridge, 2015.
- 235 [5] Z. Stanić, Net Laplacian controllability of joins of signed graphs, Appl. Math.
236 Comput., submitted.
237 [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/336022734_Net_Laplacian_](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/336022734_Net_Laplacian_controllability_for_joins_of_signed_graphs)
238 [controllability_for_joins_of_signed_graphs](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/336022734_Net_Laplacian_controllability_for_joins_of_signed_graphs)
- 239 [6] Z. Stanić, On the spectrum of the net Laplacian matrix of a signed graph,
240 Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie, submitted.
241 [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/335703229_On_the_spectrum_of_](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/335703229_On_the_spectrum_of_the_net_Laplacian_matrix_of_a_signed_graph)
242 [the_net_Laplacian_matrix_of_a_signed_graph](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/335703229_On_the_spectrum_of_the_net_Laplacian_matrix_of_a_signed_graph)
- 243 [7] T. Zaslavsky, Matrices in the theory of signed simple graphs, in B.D.
244 Acharya, G.O.H. Katona, J. Nešetřil (eds.), Advances in Discrete Math-
245 ematics and Applications: Mysore 2008, Ramanujan Math. Soc., Mysore,
246 2010, pp. 207–229.