

# Userinface first intents:

## Table of Contents

Introduction:.....	1
Usecase and, as parameters.....	1
#Features.....	2
Tdd Elegancy within User-Tester-interaction.....	2
Usecase Mudanca feature:.....	2
Subfeature: “Fresh machines abc”.....	2
Feature: It is “ vernünftig”.....	2
Feature(s): Simplicity, Contributibility, crash course practice bash.....	3
Feature: “console xterm user command lines” to “program execution`s code”.....	3
FAQ:.....	3
4 +5 +x stages?.....	3
How is development of this program then taking place?.....	4

# 1. Inhalt

## Table of Contents

1.Introduction:.....	2
Usecase and, as parameters.....	2
#Features.....	3
Tdd Elegancy within User-Tester-interaction.....	3
Usecase Mudanca feature:.....	3
1Subfeature: “Fresh machines abc”.....	3
Feature(s): Simplicity, Contributibility, crash course practice bash.....	3
Feature: “console xterm user command lines” to “program execution`s code”.....	4
FAQ:.....	4
4 +5 +x stages?.....	4
How is development of this program then taking place?.....	4
Usecases cognition theory.....	4

## 1. Introduction:

Dear user, be welcome to the program qemuburo; well it's mostly up to, that you understand this tdd between, to be best accommodated. Even so, you could expect this an ordinary scalable “on key” program, for which a demos out of any tdd's “as-is documentation” is at reach.

## Usecase and, as parameters

- other vms, using virsh xml, other vm images, physical install by usb, physical install by cd
- other installmedia: mini.iso, initrd, netinstall
- other distros/oses: Ubuntu and Debian of several versions
- preseed file (hundred of entries), be x interfaces, about 70 preseed files actually tested in debian jenkins
- cl iu:
- debug use cases as ours, that is try to by pass security hurdles,[1] in order to reduce testing delay.
- using the result on physical data carrier to a physical machine abc usecase, e.g. when using a mastered cd or mastered usb boot strategy vs their vm usecases counterparts
- medicalreadme Extrablatt usecases: coming up using a simple process stage variable.

# #Features

## Tdd Elegancy within User-Tester-interaction

offers minimalized developer packaging effort; hardly formal handbook work is to be written manually.. And for sure there are at the same time multiples advantages at that are to be recognized. The point in the suggestion is, that you

have the user at the stand of an engineer, more special: of a software tester.

The user`s aim is then

to grasp the mentality of the tester, in order to

drive his stand.

One could add: “,

meanwhile he has gone out for a tee.”

Note: We are those of: The user gets to testers boat, which is his playground actually. There might exist, happening the exchange of a plus of fantasy, which is a: Plus, one would deem.

So you got here a few points about the tdd approach.

## Usecase Mudanca feature:

lets consider these filenames. You get anything between ssh-ready to the capability of being ready to install on the users next computer.-therefore its feature name: Mudanca. The invoke time is that of a VMs-boot. (lets say 100 s)

Okt 19 16:46 debian-8.6.0-amd64-netinst.iso

Okt 21 22:41 debian.qcow1\_700er\_icewm\_lightdm\_dillo

Okt 23 07:38 debian.qcow1\_900\_Chromium\_slow

Okt 21 03:38 debian.qcow\_ssh\_ready\_defunct\_600

Okt 20 16:38 debian.qcow\_ssh\_ready\_runnable\_600Vernünfig-Feature

Here lately a user these days should give, where could he get such a -beautiful- orchestration these days more than here. Downside is a non-interactive first installation time of: 20h, and any amount of disk space? 3-4G for mudanca on debian these days? Forget it. Think of 8, in a plain install of five or more images.  $(5+x)*8 = 40G$ . So consider to free 40 G for /tmp. (;

### 1 Subfeatures

-Move to a different distro on a another partition on the same computer!

-Move to another computer!

### 2 Subfeature: “Fresh machines abc”

is another naming of these usecases. You can use it for anonymous sessions a)

a1) for depersonalized surfing in the internet

a2) for depersonalized presentations, such as screenshot

and b) for the testing of

c) what ever undertaking one could think of.

## **Feature(s): Simplicity, Contributibility, crash course practice bash.**

This is effectively what comes from test realm into the users realm.

So imagine if you are a user, that ignores a directory structure at computer's filesystems. Is he recommended for usage of geumburo?

Answer: Yes. This is designed to be a good occasion to learn, in a particular opinion of something anybody should know these days. Another prosper advantage is to recognize that user doing so are pretty nice near to contribute to the code.

You should by design have at least

## **Feature: "console xterm user command lines" to "program execution`s code"**

intergrated the role swap "console xterm user command lines" to "program execution`s code".

A design meant to be helpful to the ongoing study of bash in many cases even more.

## **FAQ:**

### **4 +5 +x stages?**

Let us say in a corresponding worker's driven process we got lets say 10 stages. So we define Stages so on 100, 200, 300 happening following the evocation. There are problems around unshredable units they are: 1. download a first „medium.“ 2. base-install-to-ready-touse image 3.reinstall\_for\_further\_installation\_to\_first\_usage. 4. stage: execution start to it's end. By this count you got theoretically at least 4 +5 +x stages. So in the end any our usecases could be labeled as with start and end stage.

## **How is development of this program then taking place?**

Answer: Fill any feature request list, to propose that. This comes actually with the whole amount of bugs anywhen found to make an so called

oracle out of it. So this

"feature requests" lists sorted individually are out to become reality next along some possible user vote.

"Business as usual" this could be named.

## **Usecases cognition theory**

1. Note that you got two sets of usecases and their numbers are vast:
  - Launch Usecases:Hardware/Base system usecase (hundreds)
  - After Launch Usecases: "Setting up any application in the whole World individually-"usecases (infinite)
2. Well, seen it the cognition-theoretical way, and we dare to do so, one get humans, in a privacy, industrial world, as users among others. But one thing in here, you

have to tackle with, is „time“; an other one „space“, disk space in our case. Lets imagine the time: Such an unattended installation process (of 10 maybe parallel stages) costs you about 20 hours on a typical hardware. This equals as well with your maximal invoke time of a command such as starting the internet-browser in that machine. However, when the VM is just loaded, you got a reaction time over ssh of a less then ten seconds. So if you got a stage's VM-File anywhere saved, your shortcut grows to even the time to make a copy out of it, of 10 GB it could be up to 1h, if the bus is narrow such as usb2.0. So imagine yourself surrounded by several dozens of disk space dwarfs and time fairies . Then the promise is: if you manage the timetable and a topographical map you could fairly navigate through that. So, if you load that “there are stages” and that “there are shortcut”, your are mainly through..

3. Feature: It is “ vernünftig”.

This software reflects (e.g. out of responsibility) the true reality within its design and exposition against the user.

The true reality of a user is to be found to some extend by let us say -“economic” classified-influence to it,

even let us say measurable economic influence at it.

Therefore we cannot do other than to assume a “prominence” in it.

That is experiences of a nowadays but often barbarian threat to each,

- now it comes: -

that

– and that is finding -

in other form creates: Aberglaube.

Usecases or qemuburo are not

unlike, however, those that where the user experiences shock with these themes the Aberglaube inflicts

at, that is

– lately -

the usage time.

These usecases should be by policy intended to some degree.

It is fundamentallly true that these use cases are lately pretty much the same as the ware here shipped:

That what the user should not imagine to get to, because he might was threatened before, should be seen as a offering a: product out of, but to him.

This could be labeled as the idea behind our market exposition.

One could -seriously- think of even pathologically relevant use cases, so in this cases what?

Last but not least:

We offer a medical FAQ in questions related to “Aberglaube”.

Most likely we are:

Setting up the user to play in a league using public domain tools.

And not to forget to mention the mandatory hint: along with the “vernünftig” goes the overall wish of helping humans around, in this case: the user.

(User should be pointed, to do enough, here to “Extrablatt” in Minima Moralia)