

# QGIS PSC Meeting 7th July 2020

## Present

- Marco
- Jürgen
- Alessandro

#### **Excused:**

- Tim
- Anita
- Paolo

Chair: Marco Secretary: Marco

## Video / Audio Channel

https://meet.jit.si/QGIS-PSC

## Previous meeting minutes

20200512-QGIS-PSC-Meeting-12-May-2020

## Next meetings

See calendar

17:00 -18:30 TODO: +marco fix calendar

## **Tickets**

https://github.com/qgis/PSC/issues/

#### Past resolutions in

https://github.com/qgis/QGIS-Website/blob/master/source/site/getinvolved/governance/resolutions/2020 resolutions.rst

## Agenda

- 5.6K Grant leftover usage
  - o Andreas: no budget to go over now, we could revisit in autumn
  - 3K mac Packaging
  - 2.6K setting registry (50% core part only), 1.4K sponsored by opengis.ch
  - Vote to fund mac packaging with 3K and the core part of settings registry with
     2.6K (OPENGIS. Will sponsor 1.4K):
    - Andreas +1
    - Marco +1
    - Jürgen +1
    - Alessandro +1
    - Anita +1
    - Tim +1
    - Paolo +0
- LasTools
  - Marco will followup with Angelos, Jeff and Tim,
  - Marco will try to get in touch with Martin
  - Win -> no LasTools
  - Linux ->
  - Ask Peter about mac
- Gatekeepers, Community roles
  - TODO Marco prepares a draft
- Contact information on our website:

we should have an official contact e-mail (something like info@qgis.org) and one for the "certification program" (certification@qgis.org with Kurt + Tim). Andreas receives a lot of questions on finance@qgis.org or stripe@qgis.org that are unrelated to finances. TODO: Andreas to add certification@qgis.org, board@qgis.org and security@qgis.org to the website.

## **OLD** Agenda

\_

## Next meeting:

#### **QGIS-LTR**

Discussion: 2-years of support for LT-version.

See LTR usage survey results (see separate tab)

#### Reasons

- Larger organizations are slow at moving to new versions. Once they move, there is hardly any bug-fixing window left for them.
- Organizations and companies often create plugins and need a longer stability of life-span of the LT version
- Also interesting for training and universities who need a stable base for their training material

#### Problems:

- library dependencies
- more maintenance work
- harder to backport issues over time

#### Suggestion:

- QGIS is not investing anymore into bug fixes of LT versions >1 year (customers of support companies pay for backports of older LT versions)
- but the Github branch remains open for commits from support companies
- QGIS continues to package QGIS for up to 2 years
- 64bit only ;-)
- Windows only

## **OLD** Agenda

#### New address for QGIS.ORG

Will be moved to Laax TODO Andreas & Marco

#### **Extend documentation contract with Larissa?**

Got feedback from Matteo and Harissou TODO Andreas <a href="https://github.com/lajunek">https://github.com/lajunek</a>

#### Clarify who can participate in paid bug fixing

In the past, we voted on every individual developer <u>QGISPaidDevelopers</u> See <u>QGIS paid bug fixing program</u> for people who participated **Motion:** Paid bugfixing round participants have to be core committers

- Anita +1
- Andreas +1
- Jürgen +1
- Marco +1
- Paolo +1
- Alessandro +1

#### Clarify process for becoming core committers

https://github.com/ggis/PSC/issues/41

Not all PSC members can judge the quality of C++ code.

Marco suggests to let the other core committers decide on new candidates.

**Motion:** existing core committers vote on new core committers (PSC reserves veto rights) Requirements: nomination by core committer + 5 core committers support the nomination

- Anita +1
- Jürgen +1
- Andreas +1
- Marco +1
- Paolo +1
- Alessandro +1

Andreas suggests to contact core committers that have not contributed for an extended period (1y of inactivity). Devs who don't respond should be removed from the list of core committers.

**Motion:** fund QGIS Server documentation enhancement - grant proposal Grant-2020 #184 opened 4 days ago by vpicavet and <u>Documentation Grant Proposal</u> Grant-2020 #183 opened 8 days ago by ghtmtt directly from the documentation budget:

- Marco +1
- Jürgen +1
- Anita +1
- Andreas +1
- Alessandro +1
- Paolo +1

#### How to vote on grant proposals?

https://lists.osgeo.org/pipermail/ggis-psc/2020-May/008789.html

Current rule: voting members decide and give 1-6 points

Andreas suggests that each voting member could select up to 6 proposals they want to support (each proposal gets 1 point)

Additional rules for limiting who can vote on which proposal (e.g. no voting on proposals by own company) were discussed but won't be implemented.

**Motion:** voting members can select up to 6 proposals they want to support (each proposal gets 1 point) with no ranking of the selected ones

- Marco +1
- Jürgen +1
- Anita +1
- Andreas +1
- Alessandro +1
- Paolo +0

#### Other notes from AGM:

- TODO Marco: PSC ticket for refining the PSC / board election scoring https://github.com/ggis/PSC/issues/39
- TODO-Andreas: Update website with new PSC members ... Done https://qgis.org/en/site/getinvolved/governance/governance.html
- TODO Tim: Write official AGM minutes document
- TODO Paolo: Sign official AGM minutes document Approved and signed https://qgis.org/en/site/getinvolved/governance/annual\_general\_meetings/index.html
- TODO: Andreas: Translate AGM minutes to German
- TODO Andreas: Reflect new chair/co-chair in trade registry
- TODO Andreas: add the environmental policy to our website

  https://qgis.org/en/site/getinvolved/governance/codeofconduct/environmentalpolicy.html?
  highlight=environmental
- TODO-Paolo: Review and publish AGM 2020 blog post Done
   http://blog.qgis.org/2020/05/06/qgis-annual-general-meeting-2020/

#### Complaint from OSMF about QGIS loading too many OSM tiles

- See ticket https://github.com/ggis/QGIS/issues/34813
  - The biggest issue is that we load large extents of tiles outside the current map extent. → Look into it during the bug fixing round?
  - Alternative ideas: move to vector tiles? OSM mirror?
- On other channels (through german FOSSGIS) they ask if QGIS can either:
  - Run their own OSM tile servers and use that
  - Or that we help them out financially (costs unclear)

#### Discussion around LTR support for 2 years

- See results of survey at <a href="https://drive.google.com/open?id=1N0KrMIOsv2-uJLnJQ7pVR1kQfoyv9piqqGS5BMuals-y">https://drive.google.com/open?id=1N0KrMIOsv2-uJLnJQ7pVR1kQfoyv9piqqGS5BMuals-y</a>
  - 1 year LTR is still most popular even if larger organizations start to favor longer LTR
  - Additional results for other topics will follow

- Further discussion postponed to meeting with Jürgen
  - TODO Andreas: organize a meeting with Jürgen, Nyall, ???
- Maybe ask sustaining members about their opinion

