

Optimistic Concurrency Control in a Distributed NameNode Architecture for Hadoop Distributed File System

Qi Qi

Thesis to obtain the Master of Science Degree in

Information Systems and Computer Engineering

Supervisor: Doctor Luís Manuel Antunes Veiga

Examination Committee

Chairperson: Doctor Luís Eduardo Teixeira Rodrigues
Supervisor: Doctor Luís Manuel Antunes Veiga

Member of the Committee: Doctor Nuno Preguiça

Acknowledgments

The work presented is delivered as final thesis report at Instituto Superior Técnico - IST (Lis-

bon, Portugal). It is in partial fulfillment of the European Master in Distributed Computing -

EMDC program 2012-2014. Royal Institute of Technology - KTH (Stockholm, Sweden) is the

coordinator for this Erasmus Mundus master program. The study track has been composed

of a first two semesters at IST, 3rd semester at KTH, and for this work and 4th semester, a de-

gree project in Computer Systems Laboratory at Swedish Institute of Computer Science - SICS

(Stockholm, Sweden).

Special thanks to my advisor Dr. Jim Dowling for his support throughout the project. With

more than ten years' professional industry experience, Jim is always patient to help. He's the

cool guy who gives answers faster than Google and StackOverFlow.

Thanks to Salman Niazi and Mahmoud Ismail for all the practical help. Without them I might

have to spend quite a long time studying the code base of the precedent work.

I'm also grateful to my supervisor Prof. Luís Antunes Veiga for his continuous support and

encouragement. When I was in IST, I liked staying in the classroom after his class and chatted

with him for a while. Veiga was like a big brother there taking care of us.

I would like to thank the good friends I met in Portugal and Sweden, who leveled me up during

these two years. Without you guys, this journey wouldn't have been such a legendary in my

life.

I am truly thankful to my family for nursing me with all their affections and love.

Last, special appreciation to this young man, Qi Qi, who always has the guts to go on any

adventure in his life.

September 6, 2014, Stockholm

Qi Qi

Dedication

To my father, a man of integrity, who supports all my adventurous decisions so that I can live outside of the box.

Resumo

[To be added] Portuguese Abstract

Abstract

The *Hadoop Distributed File System* (HDFS) is the storage layer for Apache Hadoop ecosystem, persisting large data sets across multiple machines. However, the overall storage capacity is limited since the metadata is stored in-memory on a single server, called the *NameNode*. The heap size of the NameNode restricts the number of data files and addressable blocks persisted in the file system.

The *Hadoop Open Platform-as-a-service* (Hop) is an open platform-as-a-Service (PaaS) support of the Hadoop ecosystem on existing cloud platforms including Amazon Web Service and Open-Stack. The storage layer of Hop, called the Hop-HDFS, is a highly available implementation of HDFS, based on storing the metadata in a distributed, in-memory, replicated database, called the *MySQL Cluster*. It aims to overcome the NameNode's limitation while maintaining the strong consistency semantics of HDFS so that applications written for HDFS can run on Hop-HDFS without modifications.

Precedent thesis works have contributed for a transaction model for Hop-HDFS. From system-level coarse grained locking to row-level fine grained locking, the strong consistency semantics have been ensured in Hop-HDFS, but the overall performance is restricted compared to the original HDFS.

In this thesis, we first analyze the limitation of HDFS NameNode implementation and provide an overview of Hop-HDFS illustrating how we overcome those problems. Then we give a systematic assessment on precedent works for Hop-HDFS comparing to HDFS, and also analyze the restriction when using pessimistic locking mechanisms to ensure the strong consistency semantics. Finally, as a proof of concept, we demonstrate how to improve the performance by designing a new model based on optimistic concurrency control with snapshot isolation. The evaluation shows the significant improvement of this new model. The correctness of our implementation has been validated by 300+ Apache HDFS unit tests passing.

Palavras Chave Keywords

Palavras Chave [To be corrected by native Portuguese speaker]

Speakerj
HDFS
MySQL Cluster
Controle de Concorrência
Snapshot Isolation
Transação
Vazão
Keywords
HDFS
MySQL Cluster
Concurrency Control
Snapshot Isolation
Transaction

Throughput

Index

I	Introduction and Background	1
1	Introduction	3
	1.1 A	3
	1.2 B	3
	1.3 C	3
	1.4 D	3
2	Background	5
	2.1 A	5
	2.2 B	5
	2.3 C	5
	2.4 D	5
II	Assessment in Hop-HDFS	7
3	Limitation on Pessimistic Locking Mechanism	9
	3.1 A	9
	3.2 B	9
	3.2.1 B1	9
	3.2.2 B2	9

	3.3	C	9
	3.4	D	9
4	Syst	tematic Assessment of Hop-HDFS Performance	11
	4.1	A	11
	4.2	$B \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	11
		4.2.1 B1	11
		4.2.2 B2	11
	4.3	C	11
	4.4	D	11
II	I So	olution	13
5	Des	ign	15
	5.1	A	15
	5.2	B	15
		5.2.1 B1	15
		5.2.2 B2	15
	5.3	C	15
	5.4	$D \ \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	15
6	Imp	lementation	17
	6.1	A	17
	6.2	B	17
		6.2.1 B1	17

	6.3 C	. 17
	6.4 D	. 17
IV	V Evaluation and Conclusion	19
7	Evaluation	21
	7.1 A	. 21
	7.2 B	. 21
	7.2.1 B1	. 21
	7.2.2 B2	. 21
	7.3 C	. 21
	7.4 D	. 21
8	Conclusion	23
	8.1 A	. 23
	8.2 B	. 23
	8.2.1 B1	. 23
	8.2.2 B2	. 23
	8.3 C	. 23
	8.4 D	. 23
V	Appendices	27
A	Apache HDFS Unit Tests Passing List	29

List of Figures

List of Tables



Introduction and Background

Introduction

1.1 A

AAA

1.2 B

BBB

1.3 C

CCC

1.4 D

Background

2.1 A

AAA

2.2 B

BBB

2.3 C

CCC

2.4 D

Assessment in Hop-HDFS

Limitation on Pessimistic Locking Mechanism

3.1 A

AAA

3.2 B

BBB

3.2.1 B1

BBB1

3.2.2 B2

BBB2

3.3 C

CCC

3.4 D

Systematic Assessment of Hop-HDFS Performance

Neque porro quisquam est qui dolorem ipsum quia dolor sit amet, consectetur, adipisci velit...

– Cerico

4.1 A

AAA

4.2 B

BBB

4.2.1 B1

BBB1

4.2.2 B2

BBB2

4.3 C

CCC

4.4 D



5.1 A

AAA

5.2 B

BBB

5.2.1 B1

BBB1

5.2.2 B2

BBB2

5.3 C

CCC

5.4 D

Implementation

6.1 A

AAA

6.2 B

BBB

6.2.1 B1

BBB1

6.2.2 B2

BBB2

6.3 C

CCC

6.4 D

DDD

Evaluation and Conclusion

Evaluation

7.1 A

AAA

7.2 B

BBB

7.2.1 B1

BBB1

7.2.2 B2

BBB2

7.3 C

CCC

7.4 D

DDD

Conclusion

8.1 A

AAA

8.2 B

BBB

8.2.1 B1

BBB1

8.2.2 B2

BBB2

8.3 C

CCC

8.4 D

DDD

Bibliography

Shvachko, K. V. (2010). Hdfs scalability: The limits to growth. *login* 35(2), 6–16.

26 BIBLIOGRAPHY

Appendices

Apache HDFS Unit Tests Passing List