

## Theory of Computation

**Release Date.** Monday Mar 7 2022

**Due Date.** Monday March 21 2022, 23.50 Canberra time

**Maximum credit.** 50

### Exercise 1

#### Revisiting the Myhill-Nerode Theorem

(5+5 credits)

Let  $L$  be a language over an alphabet  $\Sigma$ . Define the relation  $R_L \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*$  by  $(u, w) \in R_L$  if the following holds:

$$\forall x \in \Sigma^* (ux \in L \iff wx \in L).$$

We have seen in the tutorials that  $R_L$  is in fact an equivalence relation. We denote the equivalence class of  $x \in \Sigma^*$  under this relation by  $[x] = \{y \in \Sigma^* \mid (x, y) \in R_L\}$ . The Myhill-Nerode theorem states the following:

**Myhill-Nerode Theorem.** For any language  $L$  we have:

$L$  is regular if and only if  $R_L$  has a finite number of equivalence classes.

In Tutorial 2 we have proved the direction from left to right. We will now prove the converse direction.

- (a) Let  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  be a language and suppose that  $R_L$  has finitely many equivalence classes. Define the DFA  $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \{[x] \mid x \in \Sigma^*\} & \delta([x], a) &= [xa] \\ q_0 &= [\epsilon] & F &= \{[x] \mid x \in L\} \end{aligned}$$

and note that  $Q$  is finite by assumption.

- (i) Show that  $\delta$  is well defined, i.e. if  $[x] = [y]$ , then  $[xa] = [ya]$  for all  $a \in \Sigma$ .  
(ii) Prove by induction that  $\widehat{\delta}([\epsilon], x) \in F$  if and only if  $x \in L$ .
- (b) Prove that the DFA obtained in Part (a) is minimal. That is, given regular language  $L$  and a DFA  $A$  that accepts precisely  $L$ , the state set  $Q$  of  $A$  has at least as many states as the automaton constructed from  $R_L$  in Part (a).

### Exercise 2

#### Failure of Pumping Lemma

(5+5 credits)

Unlike the Pumping Lemma, the Myhill-Nerode theorem exactly characterises the regular languages. As an illustration, consider the following language:

$$L = \{a^j c^k a^\ell b^m \mid 0 \leq j \leq 5 \text{ and } k, \ell, m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } (k \geq 2 \Rightarrow \ell \neq m)\}.$$

- (a) Prove that the Pumping Lemma fails to demonstrate that  $L$  is not regular. In detail, demonstrate that there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that any string  $w \in L$  with  $|w| \geq n$  can be written as  $w = xyz$  with  $|xy| \leq n$ ,  $|y| > 0$  and  $xy^i z \in L$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .
- (b) Use the Myhill-Nerode theorem to prove that  $L$  is not regular.

### Exercise 3

#### DFA-homomorphisms

(8 + 2 credits)

In the lectures, we have talked about homomorphisms between *languages*. Here, we discuss homomorphisms of *automata*.

**Definition.** Let  $P = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  and  $P' = (Q', \Sigma, \delta', q'_0, F')$  be two DFAs with the same alphabet  $\Sigma$ . A DFA-homomorphism  $f$  from  $P$  to  $P'$  is a function  $f : Q \rightarrow Q'$  which satisfies

- (1)  $f(q_0) = q'_0$ ;
- (2)  $q \in F$  if and only if  $f(q) \in F'$ , for all  $q \in Q$ ;
- (3)  $f(\delta(q, a)) = \delta'(f(q), a)$  for all  $q \in Q$  and all  $a \in \Sigma$ .

- (a) For a given automaton  $P$  as above, let  $\mathcal{L}(P, q) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid \widehat{\delta}(q, w) \in F\}$  denote the words that  $P$  accepts when started in state  $q \in Q$ .

Show that if  $f$  is a DFA-homomorphism as above, then  $\mathcal{L}(P, q) = \mathcal{L}(P', f(q))$  for all  $q \in Q$ .

- (b) Hence, or otherwise, conclude that  $L(P) = L(P')$  if there exists a DFA-homomorphism from  $P$  to  $P'$ , where  $L(P)$  denotes the language of  $P$ .

#### Exercise 4

#### Algebra of Regular Expressions

(2 + 5 + 3 credits)

We say that an equation  $r = s$  between regular expressions is *valid*, if  $L(r) = L(s)$ . Show the validity of the following equations between regular expressions.

- $(r^*)^* = r^*$
- $(r + s)^* = (r^*s)^*r^*$
- $(rs)^* = \epsilon + r(sr)^*s$

You may use the fact that  $L^k \cdot L^* \subseteq L^*$  for any  $k \geq 0$  and all  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  as well as associativity, i.e.  $(L \cdot K) \cdot M = L \cdot (K \cdot M)$  and the fact that  $\{\epsilon\}$  is neutral with respect to concatenation, i.e.  $\{\epsilon\} \cdot L = L = L \cdot \{\epsilon\}$ , where  $L, K, M \subseteq \Sigma^*$  are languages. You should state and prove any other laws that you might require.

#### Exercise 5

#### Buffalo

(3 + 4 + 3 credits)

This question is based on the fun fact that the English word “buffalo” has three meanings:

- A proper noun (always capitalised as “Buffalo”), referring to a location in New York
- A transitive verb, meaning “to bully”
- A common noun, meaning the animal, bison (or a group of such animals)

Because of its versatility, the following string is a grammatically correct English sentence:

“Buffalo buffalo Buffalo buffalo buffalo buffalo Buffalo buffalo.”

It means: “New York bison, that other New York bison bully, also bully New York bison.”

In the following, we are considering a context free grammar  $G$  over the alphabet  $\{a, b\}$  that derives the above sentence by writing “Buffalo” for  $a$  and “buffalo” for  $b$ , initially without paying attention to the fact that the first word of a sentence is capitalised, which you are asked to remedy in part (c).

Formally, the grammar  $G$  is given by the following productions:

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow NVN \\ N &\rightarrow NNV \\ N &\rightarrow ab \\ N &\rightarrow b \\ V &\rightarrow b \end{aligned}$$

(a) Construct parse trees for the following string:

(i)  $bbab$

(ii)  $ababbabbbab$

(iii)  $ababbbab$

(b) Is the above grammar ambiguous? Either prove that every  $w \in L(G)$  only has one parse tree, or demonstrate that  $G$  is ambiguous by giving a string  $w \in L(G)$  and two different parse trees with yield  $w$ .

(c) Define a grammar  $G'$  such that  $L(G') = \{aw \mid aw \in L(G) \text{ or } bw \in L(G)\}$ . That is,  $L(G')$  arises from  $L(G)$  by replacing the first letter of a string in  $L(G)$  by the letter 'a'. You are just required to state the grammar, and a proof of  $L(G) = L(G')$  is not required.