

Introductions/Summery:

The three algorithms implemented in this project seems to be very easy by reading the mechanism of scheduling in the textbook, but in fact, when it comes to specific code implementation, we need to consider various conditions that might affect our top-level algorithm, which means, we need to do a lot of slight modifications during implementations.

Algorithms:

For the **FCFS algorithm**, my general idea of implementation is as we increase the clock time, which is the simulated by code, from 0 to a certain integer number, at each time spot, we need to

1. allocate CPUs to processes when CPUs is available.
2. enqueue processes to waiting queue when the CPU burst of current process running in one of our 4 processors is over.
3. enqueue processes to ready queue when I/O burst is finished.

This is the general workflow for us to implement FCFS algorithm, note that the main feature of FCFS algorithm is that when each CPU is allocated to a process, it will be released until the current CPU burst is finished, called non-preemptive. As mentioned in the textbook, this kind of algorithm will lead to convoy effect when a process with a large CPU burst, as a result, scheduling criteria in First Come First Serve algorithm has following characteristics, throughput can be low, since the CPU might hold process for a long time and there will be no context switch, turnaround time and waiting time can be high, additionally, there is no starvation.

For the **Round Robin algorithm**, the idea of implementation can be modified slightly from FCFS algorithm. In FCFS, the processes are move from CPUs to waiting queue only when current CPU burst is finished. However, in RR algorithm, given a fixed time quantum, if the processes at each CPU have run out of given quantum as clock time increases and the burst is not finished yet, here is where preemption needs to be performed, that is, the processes need to be push to the tail of ready queue and wait next available CPU allocation.

Scheduling criteria in RR are highly depend on the time quantum. If it is too small, then too many context switches will be generated and slowing the execution of processes accordingly. However, the time quantum cannot be too large as it will be pretty much FCFS as a result.

For **Multilevel Feedback Queue algorithm**. The idea is:

1. Divide the original ready queue into three queues with different priority, CPUs allocate processes from higher priority to lower priority among three queues, the processes in the lower-priority queue will not be allocated until higher-priority queue is empty.
2. Processes coming from different queue determines the running time that they will stay in the CPUs, namely, certain time quantum for processes dequeue from first two

queues. For the processes coming from the lowest-priority queue, they follow the FCFS algorithm, that is, they will release the CPUs until the rest of burst finished.

3. During the CPU bursting procedure in each CPU, if there are incoming processes from higher priority queue, then the current process running in the CPU from lower-priority queue need to be pre-empted, namely, give CPU away to the incoming processes. In my implementation, I push those processes to the head of its original queue.

By this algorithm, initially, if the processes take a long CPU burst, at least it will be allocated once, then it will be move to lower-priority queue, however, if it waits too long in the lower-priority queue, it will be move back to higher-priority queue again.

Experiments Summery:

The project I have done follows the certain template, the idea is we have a clock simulation, which is an always-true while loop, as the clock time loops over and over, check all the three stages: ready queue, waiting queue and CPUs.

For FCFS, it is easy to implement and nothing special expect for that if there are two or more processes have to be moved to certain places at a same time spot, we need to sort them by processes id.

For Round Robin implementation, pay attention to the condition where context switches might occur, namely, a process running in the CPU, if it is not finished but it has run out of time quantum set by the user, then it has to be pop out from CPU to the tail of ready queue. Note that at this condition, it is also possible that two or more processes (max 4 processes) need to be sorted and push back to ready queue. Additionally, the processes come out from waiting queue also need to be assigned a new time quantum for the next CPU allocation.

For Multilevel Feedback Queue algorithm, my result is slightly different from the examples posted on the course webpage. In my implementation, I added a block of code that determine how to allocate CPU to processes follow the priority of queue in ready queue to CPU function. In CPU running function implementation, I added a block of code that show how to move processes out of CPUs when there is priority collision.

Optimal Parameters:

RR:

Given all possibilities of the time quantum until the time when it degenerates FCFS, which is at clock time 130, from clock time 1 to 130, we found that at clock time 34, we have the minimal average waiting time: 17.10 and average turnaround time: 40486.50.

Conclusion: The optimal parameter is based on minimal average waiting time and average turnaround time.

FBQ:

By examining the possible pairs of time quantum, I found that the optimal pair is $q_1 = 7$, $q_2 = 22$. This is based on the minimal average waiting time and average turnaround time.