## MAST90105: Lab and Workshop Problems for Week 11

The Lab and Workshop this week covers problems arising from Module 7.5 and 8.1. The problems have been assigned to groups this week.

## 1 Lab

1. Let  $X \sim U(0,1)$  and consider a random sample of size 11 from X. Recall that if m is the median and  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$  are the order statistics then

$$P(Y_i < m < Y_j) = \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-k}.$$

We will check this formula using R by computing some confidence intervals for the median of X.

a. Use the R command:

```
qbinom(c(0.025, 0.975), size = 11, prob = 0.5)
```

to compute quantiles of the binomial (11,0.5) distribution. (Ie. in the first case we find  $\pi_{0.975}$  so that  $P(X \leq \pi_{0.975}) \approx 0.975$ . It is approximate as the distribution is discrete. However, it gives a guide to the endpoints of the confidence interval.)

b. Being careful about the correct evaluation points, use the *pbinom* command in R determine  $P(Y_2 < m < Y_9)$ ?

```
pbinom(8, 11, 0.5) - pbinom(1, 11, 0.5)
```

c. Use the R command:

```
X <- runif(11)</pre>
```

to simulate 11 observations from X.

- d. Use the *sort* command to compute the order statistics and store them in a new variable Y and hence compute  $Y_2$  and  $Y_9$ .
- e. Automate this in a function and check f(11) to see that it works:

```
f = function(n) {
    X = runif(n)
    Y = sort(X)
    c(Y[2], Y[9])
}
f(11)
```

Enter f(11) to check it works.

f. Enter the following R commands:

```
t = as.matrix(rep(11, 100)) #t needs to be a matrix for apply to work. C = t(apply(t, 1, f)) #this is a trick to avoid programming matplot(C, type = "l") abline(c(0.5, 0)) sum((C[, 1] < 0.5) & (C[, 2] > 0.5))/nrow(C)
```

and hence compute the proportion of your simulated samples that contain the true mean value 1/2. Is this close to your answer in (b)? (The apply command applies the function f to each row in t and t(A) computes the transpose of the matrix A).

g. To get more precision, repeat with

```
t = as.matrix(rep(11, 1000))
C = t(apply(t, 1, f))  #this is a trick to avoid programming
matplot(C, type = "l")
abline(c(0.5, 0))
sum((C[, 1] < 0.5) & (C[, 2] > 0.5))/nrow(C)
```

2. The following 25 observations give the time in seconds between submissions of computer programs to a printer queue.

 $79\ 315\ 445\ 350\ 136\ 723\ 198\ 75\ 161\ 13\ 215\ 24\ 57\ 152\ 238\ 288\ 272\ 9\ 315\ 11\ 51\ 98\ 620$   $244\ 34$ 

a. The cumulative distribution function allows us to use graphical methods to approximate the percentiles. Store the above data a vector X in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and use the command

```
X <- c(79, 315, 445, 350, 136, 723, 198, 75, 161, 13,
      215, 24, 57, 152, 238, 288, 272, 9, 315, 11, 51,
      98, 620, 244, 34)
plot(ecdf(X))
```

to plot the cumulative distribution function. Use the plot to give approximate point estimates of  $\pi_{0.25}$ , m and  $\pi_{0.75}$ .

b. Use the command

```
qqplot(X, qexp(ppoints(100), 1/mean(X)))
```

to obtain a quantile-quantile plot of X for the exponential distribution. What do you think?

c. Use the command

```
qqplot(X, qexp(ppoints(100), 1))
```

to obtain a quantile-quantile plot of X for the exponential distribution. How does this differ from your previous plot?

d. Use the command

```
qqnorm(X)
```

to obtain a normal quantile-quantile plot of X. What do you think?

e. Give point estimates of  $\pi_{0.25}$ , m and  $\pi_{0.75}$ . (Use the command:

```
quantile(X, c(0.25, 0.5, 0.75), type = 6)
```

for the 25th percentile)

- f. Find the following confidence intervals and give the confidence level.
  - i.  $(y_3, y_{10})$ , a confidence interval for  $\pi_{0.25}$ .
  - ii.  $(y_9, y_{17})$ , a confidence interval for the median m.
  - iii.  $(y_{16}, y_{23})$ , a confidence interval for  $\pi_{0.75}$ .
- g. Find a t interval for the mean  $\mu$  of the same confidence as that constructed for the median. Compare these two confidence intervals. Are the results surprising? (Your quantile plots and a histogram or stem and leaf plot may help).
- 3. The data is in the file Lab11.RData in the LMS and Lab Folder. Let p be the proportion of yellow lollies in a packet of mixed colours. It is claimed that p = 0.2.
  - a. Define a test statistic and critical region with a significance level of  $\alpha = 0.05$  to test  $H_0: p = 0.2$  against  $H_1: p \neq 0.2$ .
  - b. To perform the test, each of 20 students counted the number of yellow lollies and the total number of lollies in a 48.1 gram packet. The results were:

У	n	У	n
8.00	56.00	10.00	57.00
13.00	55.00	8.00	59.00
12.00	58.00	10.00	54.00
13.00	56.00	11.00	55.00
14.00	57.00	12.00	56.00
5.00	54.00	11.00	57.00
14.00	56.00	6.00	54.00
15.00	57.00	7.00	58.00
11.00	54.00	12.00	58.00
13.00	55.00	14.00	58.00

If each student made a test of  $H_0: p = 0.2$  at the 5% level of significance, what proportion of students rejected the null hypothesis?

- c. If the null hypothesis were true, what proportion of students do you expect to reject the null hypothesis at the 5% level of significance?
- d. For each of the 20 ratios in part (b) an approximate 95% confidence interval can be constructed. What proportion of these intervals contains p = 0.2?
- e. If the 20 results are pooled do we reject  $H_0: p = 0.2$ ?
- 4. Let  $X \sim \text{binomial}(1, p)$  and let  $X_1, \ldots, X_{10}$  be a random sample of size 10. Consider a test of  $H_0: p = 0.5$  against  $H_1: p = 0.25$ . Let  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i$ . Define the critical region as  $C = \{y: y < 3.5\}$ .
  - a. Find the value of  $\alpha$  the probability of a Type I error. Do not use a normal approximation. (Hint: Use pbinom).
  - b. Find the value of  $\beta$ , the probability of a Type II error. Do not use a normal approximation.
  - c. Simulate 200 observations on Y when p = 0.5. Find the proportion of cases when  $H_0$  was rejected. Is this close to  $\alpha$ ?
  - d. Simulate 200 observations on Y when p = 0.25. Find the proportion of cases when  $H_0$  was not rejected. Is this close to  $\beta$ ?

- 5. A ball is drawn from one of two bowls. Bowl A contains 100 red balls and 200 white balls; Bowl B contains 200 red balls and 100 white balls. Let p denote the probability of drawing a red ball from the bowl. Then p is unknown as we don't know which bowl is being used. To test the simple null hypothesis  $H_0: p=1/3$  against the simple alternative that p=2/3, three balls are drawn at random with replacement from the selected bowl. Let X be the number of red balls drawn. Let the critical region be  $C = \{x: x=2,3\}$ . Using R, what are the probabilities  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively of Type I and Type II errors?
- 6. Let  $Y \sim \text{binomial}(100, p)$ . To test  $H_0: p = 0.08$  against  $H_1: p < 0.08$ , we reject  $H_0$  and accept  $H_1$  if and only if  $Y \leq 6$ . Using R or Mathematica,
  - a. Determine the significance level  $\alpha$  of the test.
  - b. Find the probability of a Type II error if in fact p = 0.04.
- 7. Let p be the probability a tennis player's first serve is good. The player takes lessons to increase p. After the lessons he wishes to test the null hypothesis  $H_0: p = 0.4$  against the alternative  $H_1: p > 0.4$ . Let y be the number out of n = 25 serves that are good, and let the critical region be defined by  $C = \{y: y \ge 13\}$ .
  - a. Define the power function to be  $K(p) = P(Y \ge 13; p)$ . Graph this function for 0 .
  - b. Find the value of  $\alpha = K(0.40)$
  - c. Find the value of  $\beta$  when p = 0.6,  $(\beta = 1 K(0.6))$

## 2 Workshop

- 8. Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_{10}$  be a random sample of size n = 10 from a distribution with p.d.f.  $f(x; \theta) = \exp(-(x \theta)), \theta \le x < \infty$ .
  - a. Show that  $Y_1 = \min(X_i)$  is the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\theta$ .
  - b. Find the p.d.f. of  $Y_1$  and show that  $E(Y_1) = \theta + 1/10$  so that  $Y_1 1/10$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ .
  - c. Compute  $P(\theta \leq Y_1 \leq \theta + c)$  and use this to construct a 95% confidence interval for  $\theta$ .
- 9. A random variable X is said to have a Pareto distribution with parameters,  $x_0$  and  $\beta$ , if its cdf is

$$F_X(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \left(\frac{x_0}{x}\right)^{\beta} & x > x_0 \\ 0 & x \le x_0 \end{cases}$$

a. What is the pdf of X?

- b. Suppose  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  are a random sample from the uniform distribution on (0, X) where X is the unknown parameter. Suppose that X has a Pareto prior distribution with parameters  $x_0, \beta$ . Calculate the posterior distribution of X. (Hint: Consider carefully the values of the posterior pdf which are strictly positive, noting that both the joint distribution of the sample and the prior distribution pdf's have to be positive.)
- c. Find a  $100(1-\alpha)$  % posterior probability interval for X.
- 10. If a newborn baby has a birth weight that is less than 2500 grams we say the baby has a low birth weight. The proportion of babies with birth weight is an indicator of nutrition for the mothers. In the USA approximately 7% of babies have a low birth weight. Let p be the proportion of babies born in the Sudan with low birth weight. Test the null hypothesis  $H_0: p=0.07$  against the alternative  $H_1: p>0.07$ . If y=23 babies out of a random sample of n=209 babies had low birth weight, , using a suitable approximation, what is your conclusion at the significance levels

```
a. \alpha = 0.05?
```

- b.  $\alpha = 0.01$ ?
- c. Find the p-value of this test. (Recall the p-value is the probability of the observed value or something more extreme when the null hypothesis is true).

## $Helpful\ R\ output$

```
qnorm(c(0.95, 0.99))
## [1] 1.644854 2.326348
pnorm(2.269)
## [1] 0.9883658
```

11. Let  $p_m$  and  $p_f$  be the respective proportions of male and female white crowned sparrows that return to their hatching site. Give the endpoints for a 95% confidence interval for  $p_m - p_f$ , given that 124 out of 894 males and 70 out of 700 females returned. (The Condor, 1992 pp.117-133.). Does this agree with the conclusion of the test of  $H_0: p_m = p_f$  against  $H_1: p_m \neq p_f$  with  $\alpha = 0.05$ ?