

# AP<sup>®</sup> World History 2016 Scoring Guidelines

© 2016 The College Board. College Board, Advanced Placement Program, AP, AP Central, and the acorn logo are registered trademarks of the College Board.

Visit the College Board on the Web: www.collegeboard.org.

AP Central is the official online home for the AP Program: apcentral.collegeboard.org.



### Question 1

## **BASIC CORE** (competence)

0-7 Points

## 1. Has acceptable thesis

#### 1 Point

- The thesis must address at least two relationships between gender and politics in Latin America in the twentieth century plausibly drawn from the documents.
- The thesis must be explicitly stated in the introduction or specified in the conclusion of the essay.
- The thesis may appear as one sentence or as multiple consecutive sentences.
- A thesis that merely restates the prompt is unacceptable.
- The thesis **CANNOT** count in any other category.

### 2. Understands the basic meaning of documents

1 Point

1-2 Points

- Students must address <u>all 10</u> of the documents.
- Students must demonstrate an understanding of the basic meaning of <u>at least nine</u> documents.
- Students may demonstrate the basic meaning of a document by grouping it in regard to a <u>relationship</u> between gender and politics.
- Merely restating verbatim or quoting the content of the documents without context does not adequately demonstrate an understanding of basic meaning.

### 3. Supports thesis with appropriate evidence from all or all but one document

### For 2 points:

- Specific and accurate evidence of a <u>relationship</u> between gender and politics must be explicitly drawn from a minimum of **nine** documents.
- A document that is simply listed does not count as using the document as evidence.

### For 1 point:

- Specific and accurate evidence of a <u>relationship</u> between gender and politics must be explicitly drawn from a minimum of **eight** documents.
- A document that is simply listed does not count as using the document as evidence.

### 4. Analyzes point of view in at least two documents

1 Point

- Students must correctly analyze point of view in at least two documents.
- Point of view explains why this individual might have this opinion or what feature informs the author's point of view.
- Students must move beyond mere description, perhaps by explaining a document's tone, the characteristics of the author, the intended audience, or how the intended outcome may have influenced the author's opinion (or some combination of these).
- Students may challenge the veracity of the author's opinion or point of view, but they must move beyond a mere statement that the author is "biased" by providing some plausible analysis of how or why.
- Mere attribution is not sufficient. Attribution is copying verbatim or only repeating information from the source line of the document.

### Question 1 (continued)

## 5. Analyzes documents by grouping them in three ways

1 Point

• Students must explicitly and correctly group the documents in **three** ways demonstrating a <u>relationship</u> between gender and politics.

# 6. Identifies and explains the need for one type of appropriate additional document or source

1 Point

• Students must identify an appropriate additional document, source, or voice **and** explain how or why the document or source will contribute to analysis of a relationship between gender and politics.

Subtotal 7 Points

## **EXPANDED CORE** (excellence)

0-2 Points

Expands beyond basic core of 1–7 points. A student must earn **7 points** in the basic core area before earning points in the expanded core area.

## Examples:

- Provides consistent discussion of many relationships between gender and politics.
- Has a clear, analytical, and comprehensive thesis that goes well beyond the minimally acceptable thesis.
- Shows careful and insightful analysis of the documents.
  - o Recognizes the historical context of the documents.
  - o Analyzes all 10 documents.
  - o Explains corroboration and links between documents.
- Uses documents persuasively as evidence.
- Analyzes point of view in the more than the required number of documents.
- Provides thoughtful analysis of author's background, intended audience, tone, or historical context.
- Includes appropriate groupings beyond the three required.
- Brings in accurate and relevant "outside" historical context.
- Explains why additional types of document(s) or sources are needed.
  - o Identifies more than one type of appropriate additional document.
  - o Provides a sophisticated explanation of why the additional document will contribute to analysis of a relationship.
  - o Request for additional document(s) is woven into the essay and integrated into a broader analysis.

Subtotal 2 Points

TOTAL 9 Points

#### **Question 2**

## **BASIC CORE** (competence)

0-7 Points

## 1. Has acceptable thesis

1 Point

- The thesis accurately addresses or identifies at least one <u>economic</u> continuity and at least one <u>economic</u> change in trade networks within Afro-Eurasia in the period circa 600 C.E. to 1450 C.E.
- A continuity must be appropriate for the majority of the time period; a change may occur at any point within the time period.
- A thesis that applies only to Africa or only to Eurasia **IS** acceptable.
- The thesis must be explicitly stated in the introduction or specified in the conclusion of the essay.
- The thesis may appear as one or as multiple consecutive sentences.
- A thesis split among multiple paragraphs or merely restating the prompt is unacceptable.
- The thesis may **NOT** be counted for credit in any other category.

# 2. Addresses all parts of the question, though not necessarily evenly or thoroughly

1-2 Points

### For 2 points:

- The essay addresses or identifies one <u>economic</u> continuity **AND** one <u>economic</u> change in trade networks within Afro-Eurasia in the period circa 600 C.E. to 1450 C.E.
- A continuity must be appropriate for the majority of the time period; a change may occur at any point within the time period.
- A continuity or change that applies only to Africa or only to Eurasia **IS** acceptable.

### For 1 point:

• The essay addresses or identifies one <u>economic</u> continuity **OR** one <u>economic</u> change in trade networks within Afro-Eurasia in the period circa 600 C.E. to 1450 C.E.

### 3. Substantiates thesis with appropriate historical evidence

1-2 Points

- Factual evidence must apply to aspects or consequences of trade networks, but may be economic or noneconomic.
- Factual evidence may apply to either continuity or change.

### For 2 points:

 The essay provides a minimum of eight pieces of evidence to support the discussion of economic continuity AND/OR change in trade networks within Afro-Eurasia in the period circa 600 C.E. to 1450 C.E.

#### For 1 point:

The essay provides a minimum of **five** pieces of evidence to support the discussion
of economic continuity **AND/OR** change in trade networks within Afro-Eurasia in
the period circa 600 C.E. to 1450 C.E.

### Question 2 (continued)

# 4. Uses relevant world historical context effectively to explain change over time 1 Point and/or continuity

The essay provides context that extends geographically outside of Afro-Eurasia,
 OR the essay provides context that extends chronologically outside of the period 600 c.e. to 1450 c.e.

### 5. Analyzes the process of continuity and change over time

1 Point

 The essay explains a cause helping to shape <u>economic continuity</u> **AND** a cause helping to shape <u>economic change</u> in Afro-Eurasian trade networks in the period circa 600 C.E. to 1450 C.E.

Subtotal 7 Points

### **EXPANDED CORE** (excellence)

0-2 Points

9 Points

Expands beyond basic core of 1–7 points. A student must earn **7 points** in the basic core area before earning points in the expanded core area.

### **Examples:**

**TOTAL** 

- Has a clear, analytical, and comprehensive thesis
- Addresses all parts of the question thoroughly (as relevant): comparisons, chronology, causation, connections, themes, interactions, and content
- Provides even and ample evidence of <u>economic</u> change and continuity in Afro-Eurasian trade networks
- Analyzes both change and continuity throughout the essay
- Provides ample world historical context

Subtotal 2 Points

#### **Question 3**

0-7 Points

7 Points

# **BASIC CORE** (competence) 1. Has acceptable thesis 1 Point The thesis must include **BOTH** a similarity **AND** a difference between the CAUSES of any two of the specified revolutions. • The thesis must be explicitly stated in the introduction or the specified conclusion of the essay. • The thesis may appear as one sentence or as multiple sentences. A thesis that is split among multiple paragraphs or merely restates the prompt is unacceptable. The thesis **CANNOT** count for any other point. 2. Addresses all parts of the question, though not necessarily evenly or 1-2 Points thoroughly For 2 points: • Identifies at least one similarity **AND** one difference in the CAUSES of two identified revolutions. For 1 point: • Identifies one similarity **OR** one difference in the CAUSES of two identified revolutions 1-2 Points 3. Substantiates thesis with appropriate historical evidence For 2 points: Must provide at least six pieces of relevant and accurate evidence related to TWO specified revolutions. For 1 point: Must provide at least **four** pieces of relevant and accurate evidence related to ONE or TWO specified revolutions. 1 Point 4. Makes at least one direct, relevant comparison between the revolutions Provides an additional difference <u>OR</u> similarity in the CAUSES of the identified revolutions beyond that stated for Core Point 2 5. Analyzes at least one reason for a similarity or a difference identified in a 1 Point

direct comparison

Subtotal

### Question 3 (continued)

## **EXPANDED CORE** (excellence)

0 - 2 Points

Expands beyond the basic core of 1–7 points. A student **must** earn **7 points** in the basic core area before earning points in the expanded core area.

### **Examples:**

- Has a clear, analytical, and comprehensive thesis.
- Addresses all parts of the question thoroughly (as relevant): comparisons, chronology, causation, connections, themes, interactions, and content.
- Provides ample historical evidence to substantiate thesis.
- Relates comparisons to larger global context.
- Makes several direct, relevant comparisons between or among revolution causes.
- Consistently analyzes relevant similarities and differences in causes of revolutions.
- Applies relevant knowledge of other regions or world historical processes.
- Recognizes nuances within revolution causes.

Subtotal 2 Points

TOTAL 9 Points