

2021

AP<sup>®</sup>

 CollegeBoard

---

# AP<sup>®</sup> European History

## Free-Response Questions

**EUROPEAN HISTORY**

**SECTION I, Part B**

**Time—40 minutes**

**Directions:** Answer Question 1 and Question 2. Answer either Question 3 or Question 4.

Write your responses in the Section I, Part B: Short-Answer Response booklet. You must write your response to each question on the lined page designated for that response. Each response is expected to fit within the space provided.

In your responses, be sure to address all parts of the questions you answer. Use complete sentences; an outline or bulleted list alone is not acceptable. You may plan your answers in this exam booklet, but no credit will be given for notes written in this booklet.

**Use the passage below to answer all parts of the question that follows.**

“The revolutionary period of England may be said to have lasted nearly 50 years, if we reckon from the beginning of the civil wars under Charles I to the accession of William III in 1688. The efforts of these 50 years had no other real and permanent object than the establishment of the current constitution, which is the finest monument of justice and moral greatness existing in Europe. The same movement in the minds of men that brought about the revolution in England was the cause of that of France in 1789. Both belong to a new era in the progress of social order—the establishment of representative government—a point toward which humanity is directing itself.”

Anne Louise Germain de Staël, author and historian, *Considerations on the Principal Events of the French Revolution*, published in 1818

1. a) Describe one argument the author makes regarding the revolutions discussed in the passage.
- b) Identify one piece of evidence not found in the source that would support the author’s claim regarding representative government.
- c) Explain one limitation of de Staël’s view of the French Revolution.

**GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE.**

Use the image below to answer all parts of the question that follows.

**Henri Testelin, French artist, *Colbert Presenting the Members of the Royal Academy of Science to Louis XIV*, 1667**



Château de Versailles, France/Bridgeman Images

2. a) Describe one way in which the image depicts a significant feature of the Scientific Revolution.
- b) Describe King Louis XIV's likely purpose in commissioning the painting.
- c) Explain one way in which developments shown in the image changed or continued during the Enlightenment.

**GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE.**

**Question 3 or 4**

**Directions:** Answer **either** Question 3 **or** Question 4.

**Answer (a), (b), and (c).**

3. a) Describe one specific example of a change in the role of religion in European daily life during the period 1450 to 1700.
- b) Describe one specific example of a continuity in the role of religion in European daily life during the period 1450 to 1700.
- c) Using a specific example from the period 1450 to 1700, explain how political authorities in Europe attempted to control religious beliefs or practice.

**Answer (a), (b), and (c).**

4. a) Describe one specific example of a change in the role of the state in European daily life during the period 1900 to 1945.
- b) Describe one specific example of a change in the role of the state in daily life in Western Europe during the period 1945 to 2000.
- c) Using a specific example from the period 1945 to 2000, explain how Eastern European states attempted to limit individual rights.

**GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE.**

**END OF SECTION I**

**EUROPEAN HISTORY**

**SECTION II**

**Total Time—1 hour and 40 minutes**

**Question 1 (Document-Based Question)**

**Suggested reading and writing time: 1 hour**

**It is suggested that you spend 15 minutes reading the documents and 45 minutes writing your response.**

**Note: You may begin writing your response before the reading period is over.**

**Directions:** Question 1 is based on the accompanying documents. The documents have been edited for the purpose of this exercise.

In your response you should do the following.

- Respond to the prompt with a historically defensible thesis or claim that establishes a line of reasoning.
- Describe a broader historical context relevant to the prompt.
- Support an argument in response to the prompt using at least six documents.
- Use at least one additional piece of specific historical evidence (beyond that found in the documents) relevant to an argument about the prompt.
- For at least three documents, explain how or why the document's point of view, purpose, historical situation, and/or audience is relevant to an argument.
- Use evidence to corroborate, qualify, or modify an argument that addresses the prompt.

---

**Begin your response to this question at the top of a new page in the separate Free Response booklet and fill in the appropriate circle at the top of each page to indicate the question number.**

**GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE.**

1. Evaluate whether or not British imperial rule in India during the 1800s was primarily influenced by liberalism.

**Document 1**

Source: Decision by Judge Henry Russell in the case of an English soldier accused of arson and murder, Calcutta, India, 1808

The hut of the poor man is equally entitled to the protection of the law as the mansion of the rich, and it stands in much more need of it. The natives are entitled to have their characters, property, and lives protected, and as long as they enjoy that protection from us, they give their affection and allegiance in return; but should the day ever arrive, God forbid, that they should be denied that protection, then I fear that as we should no longer deserve, so we should no longer enjoy their allegiance and attachment.

**GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE.**

**Document 2**

Source: William Cobbett, British essayist and political commentator, London, 1808

The recent news from India, or, “our Empire in the East,” is of a gloomy complexion, in my sight, only because it gives an account of the loss of a great number of English officers and soldiers. It may serve to make men reflect justly on the nature of the wars we carry on in India; and may lead them to the conclusion, so much to be desired, namely, that the possession of that country is a terrible evil. . . .

There is a constant, never-ceasing war in India. There is not always actual fighting; but, there are always preparations for fighting going on. What right, in God’s name, what right have we to do this? How is it possible to justify our conduct upon any principle of morality? Conquests in India are not at all necessary to either our safety or our comfort. There is no glory attending such conquests and their accompanying butcheries. We must be motivated by a sheer love of gain; a sheer love of plunder. I really believe that the history of the whole world does not afford an instance of a series of aggressions so completely unjustifiable and inexcusable.

**Document 3**

Source: Hyde Clark, British economist, “Railways in India,” newspaper article, Britain, 1845

Improved facilities of communication will bring these unfortunate people and their ways under the observation and influence of the civilized world. . . . Any measures that would promote the sale and transmission of the raw productions, particularly the staples of wool, silk, dyes, rice, sugar, etc., to the steam manufactories of England, would at once improve the condition of India in the most legitimate way, namely, by encouraging to the fullest extent the cultivation of the soil, now so direfully neglected. Once this is accomplished, it would be no hardship to the Hindus to be compelled to receive their cottons in a manufactured shape, at a less exchangeable cost of labour than they could make themselves.

**GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE.**



**Document 4**

Source: Ram Ghopal Ghose, Indian businessman and leader of the Young Bengal reform group, political pamphlet, 1850

Is it just? Is it fair? Is it honest? – that a hundred million of Her Majesty’s native subjects should be taxed so that the European lawbreaker from the most distant corners of the empire has the benefit of being judged by English laws? . . .

To tell the Hindu peasant that if you want any redress for the Englishman having broken your backbone, you must go down to Calcutta and present yourself before the Great Court where the language in use is English, where the laws administered are unknown . . . is to tell the Hindu that he must bear and be content that the Englishman is a superior being, that cannot be touched—and cannot be polluted by the contamination of the same laws which govern animals such as you . . . the Englishman is a privileged being.

**Document 5**


Source: Queen Victoria of Great Britain, proclamation replacing British East India Company rule with direct British rule in India, following a major rebellion in 1857–1858

Firmly relying ourselves on the truth of Christianity . . . we reject the right and the desire to impose our convictions on any of our subjects. We declare it to be our royal will and pleasure that none be in any way favored, none molested or disquieted by reason of their religious faith or observances; but that all shall alike enjoy the equal and impartial protection of the law. . . . And it is our further will that our subjects, of whatever race or creed, be freely and impartially admitted to offices in our service, the duties of which they may be qualified, by their education, ability, and integrity, duly to discharge.

**GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE.**

Document 6

Source: Lieutenant C. Pulley, British officer in the Indian Army, “The Nizam [prince] of Hyderabad presenting his ‘Nuzzar’ [ceremonial gift],” *Illustrated London News*, 1877



Private Collection Look and Learn / Illustrated Papers Collection / Bridgeman Images

*The Nizam is shown presenting his gift to the British governor of India, seated underneath a portrait of Queen Victoria. This ceremonial gathering, known as the Delhi Durbar, was held to honor Queen Victoria's assumption of the title of Empress of India.*

**GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE.**

**Document 7**

Source: Group of English-educated Indian journalists, editorial in an English-language newspaper in India, 1893

What does it matter if a Native dies? His life has no value. If a Native kills a European, nay, even if he does a slight injury to him, heaven and earth will be moved by Europeans to crush the Native. What is the moral to be drawn from this deplorable state of things? What does it point to? It is nothing else than this: that Europeans may take, with impunity, the lives of Natives. They may shoot them; they may beat them; they may do anything with them they please. They have to fear nobody. The law is powerless to bring them within its clutches. The Magistrates, who are their brethren, will connive with them as much as possible. They can raise every possible defense and it will be most favorably entertained. They may safely claim . . . that they mistook him for an animal; that they acted in self-defense; that they were not in their right mind; that they were intoxicated. In short, whatever defence they raise will be sufficient to save them. This is how the law lies. The question is how long is this deplorable state of things to continue?

**END OF DOCUMENTS FOR QUESTION 1**

**GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE.**



**Question 2, 3, or 4 (Long Essay)**  
**Suggested writing time: 40 minutes**

**Directions:** Answer Question 2 or Question 3 or Question 4.

In your response you should do the following.

- Respond to the prompt with a historically defensible thesis or claim that establishes a line of reasoning.
- Describe a broader historical context relevant to the prompt.
- Support an argument in response to the prompt using specific and relevant examples of evidence.
- Use historical reasoning (e.g., comparison, causation, continuity or change over time) to frame or structure an argument that addresses the prompt.
- Use evidence to corroborate, qualify, or modify an argument that addresses the prompt.

2. Evaluate the most significant effect of the printing press during the period 1450 to 1650.
3. Evaluate the most significant effect of the Enlightenment on European society during the period 1688 to 1815.
4. Evaluate the most significant cultural effect of the First World War during the period 1918 to 1939.

---

**Begin your response to this question at the top of a new page in the separate Free Response booklet and fill in the appropriate circle at the top of each page to indicate the question number.**

**WHEN YOU FINISH WRITING, CHECK YOUR WORK ON SECTION II IF TIME PERMITS.**

**GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE.**

**STOP**

**END OF EXAM**