

Academic Transcript

Given name: Jianxin

Family name: HE

Date of Birth: 1996-02-20

Student No.: 202028009408004

Master's Programme in Nanoscience and Technology

From/to: 2020-09-01 / 2023-01-12

The student has graduated.

Course Title	Result in Danish scale	Result in Chinese scale	ECTS	Date
Unifying Concept in Nanoscience	12	95	15	2020-11-06
Nanocharacterization	7	80	10	2021-02-17
Nanobiotechnology	12	95	5	2021-02-08
Synthesis and Fabrication	12	95	10	2021-04-26
Nanoelectronics	4	74	5	2021-04-29
Business, Innovation and Entrepreneurship	10	92	5	2021-07-20
Nano Energy Materials	10	91	5	2021-06-30
Bionanomaterials	12	95	5	2021-08-03
Thesis: Long Timescale Simulation Study of the Self-Assembly of Biomolecules	12	-	60	2023-01-12

Sino-Danish Center
January 12, 2023

Supplementary Information:

♦ Degree

The Sino-Danish Center for Education and Research (SDC) is a partnership between University of Chinese Academy of Sciences (UCAS) and the Danish universities.

The primaries responsible for this programme are UCAS and University of Copenhagen (KU). When completing the programme UCAS will award a degree named *Master of Nanoscience and Technology* and KU a degree named *Master of Science in Nanoscience and Technology*.

♦ Grading Scales

For each exam, students in SDC will be graded according to both Chinese and Danish grading scales as shown below. University of Chinese Academy of Sciences (UCAS) uses a grading system based on the score on a numerical 100-point scale. At the same time, result in 7-scale is given according to Danish grading system.

Danish scale	Chinese scale	Description	Comments
12	95-100	Excellent	For an excellent performance displaying a high level of command of all aspects of the relevant material, with no or only few minor weaknesses.
10	90-94	Very good	For a very good performance displaying a high level of command of most aspects of the relevant material, with only minor weaknesses.
07	76-89	Good	For a good performance displaying good command of the relevant material but also some weaknesses.
04	61-75	Fair	For a fair performance displaying some command of the relevant material but also some major weaknesses.
02	60	Adequate	For a performance meeting only the minimum requirements for acceptance.
00	40-59	Inadequate	For a performance which does not meet the minimum requirements for acceptance.
-3	0-39	Unacceptable	For a performance which is unacceptable in all respects.

====End====