### AME 60614: Numerical Methods Fall 2021

#### Problem Set 1

Qihao Zhuo

All codes are submitted to Sakai, and only their file names will be mentioned in this report when they occurs.

### 1 Finite-Difference Schemes

### 1.1 $f_{i-2}, f_{i-1}, f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}$

With the MATLAB scripts  $p1\_1.m$ , coefficients solved by corresponding matrix equation are shown in the Tab. 1.

Table 1: Output of First Group

	$a_1$	$a_2$	$a_3$	$a_4$	$a_5$	Truncation Error	Accuracy
$f_i^{''}$	$\frac{-1}{12h^2}$	$\frac{4}{3h^2}$	$\frac{-5}{2h^2}$	$\frac{4}{3h^2}$	$\frac{-1}{12h^2}$	$rac{h^4}{90}f_i^6$	$O\left(h^4\right)$
$f_i^{iv}$	$\frac{1}{h^4}$	$\frac{-4}{h^4}$	$\frac{6}{h^4}$	$\frac{-4}{h^4}$	$\frac{1}{h^4}$	$\frac{-h^2}{6}f_i^6$	$O\left(h^2\right)$
$f_{i}^{^{\prime\prime\prime}}-3f_{i}^{^{\prime}}$	$\frac{-\left(h^2+2\right)}{4h^3}$	$\frac{2h^2+1}{h^3}$	0	$\frac{-\left(h^2+1\right)}{h^3}$	$\frac{h^2+2}{4h^3}$	$\left(\frac{2h^2(h^2+2)}{15} - \frac{h^2(2h^2+1)}{60}\right)f_i^5$	$O\left(h^2\right)$

### 1.2 $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+4}$

With the MATLAB scripts  $p1_2.m$ , coefficients are shown in the Tab. 2.

Table 2: Output of Second Group

	$a_1$	$a_2$	$a_3$	$a_4$	$a_5$	Truncation Error	Accuracy
$f_i^{''}$	$\frac{35}{12h^2}$	$\frac{-26}{3h^2}$	$\frac{19}{2h^2}$	$\frac{-14}{3h^2}$	$\frac{11}{12h^2}$	$-\frac{5h^3}{6}f_i^5$	$O(h^3)$
$f_i^{iv}$	$\frac{1}{h^4}$	$\frac{-4}{h^4}$	$\frac{6}{h^4}$	$\frac{-4}{h^4}$	$\frac{1}{h^4}$	$-2hf_i^5$	O(h)
$f_{i}^{'''}-3f_{i}^{'}$	$\frac{5\left(5h^2-2\right)}{4h^3}$	$\frac{-3(4h^2-3)}{h^3}$	$\frac{3\left(3h^2-4\right)}{h^3}$	$\frac{-\left(4h^2-7\right)}{h^3}$	$\frac{3(h^2-2)}{4h^3}$	Eq. 1	$O\left(h^2\right)$

$$TE = -\left(\frac{32h^2(h^2 - 2)}{5} + \frac{4h^2(3h^2 - 4)}{5} - \frac{h^2(4h^2 - 3)}{40} - \frac{81h^2(4h^2 - 7)}{40}\right)f_i^5 \tag{1}$$

## **1.3** $f_{i-4}, f_{i-3}, f_{i-2}, f_{i-1}, f_i$

With the MATLAB scripts  $p1_3.m$ , coefficients are shown in the Tab. 3

$$TE = -\left(\frac{32h^2(h^2 - 2)}{5} + \frac{4h^2(3h^2 - 4)}{5} - \frac{h^2(4h^2 - 3)}{40} - \frac{81h^2(4h^2 - 7)}{40}\right)f_i^5 \tag{2}$$

	$a_1$	$a_2$	$a_3$	$a_4$	$a_5$	Truncation Error	Accuracy
$f_{i}^{''}$	$\frac{11}{12h^2}$	$\frac{-14}{3h^2}$	$\frac{19}{2h^2}$	$\frac{-26}{3h^2}$	$\frac{35}{12h^2}$	$\frac{5h^3}{6}f_i^5$	$O(h^3)$
$f_i^{iv}$	$\frac{1}{h^4}$	$\frac{-4}{h^4}$	$\frac{6}{6}$	$\frac{-4}{h^4}$	$\frac{1}{h4}$	$2hf_i^5$	O(h)
$f_{i}^{'''} - 3f_{i}^{'}$	$\frac{-3\binom{h^2-2}{h^2-2}}{4h^3}$	$\frac{4h^2-7}{h^3}$	$\frac{-3(3h^2-4)}{h^3}$	$\frac{3(4h^2-3)}{13}$	$\frac{-5(5h^2-2)}{4h^3}$	Eq. 2	$O(h^2)$

Table 3: Output of Third Group

# **1.4** $f_{i-1}, f_i, f_{i+1}, f'_{i-1}, f'_i, f'_{i+1}$

With the MATLAB scripts  $p1_4.m$ , coefficients are shown in the Tab. 4.

Table 4: Output of Fourth Group

	$a_1$	$a_2$	$a_3$	$a_4$	$a_5$	$a_6$	Truncation Error	Accuracy
$f_i^{''}$	$\frac{2}{h^2}$	$\frac{-4}{h^2}$	$\frac{2}{2h^2}$	$\frac{1}{2h}$	0	$\frac{-1}{2h}$	$\frac{h^4}{360}f_i^6$	$O\left(h^4\right)$
$f_i^{iv}$	$\frac{-12}{h^4}$	$\frac{24}{h^4}$	$\frac{-12}{h^4}$	$\frac{-6}{h^3}$	0	$\frac{6}{h^3}$	$-rac{h^{2}}{15}f_{i}^{6}$	$O\left(h^2\right)$
$f_{i}^{'''}-3f_{i}^{'}$	$\frac{15}{2h^3}$	0	$\frac{15}{2h^3}$	$\frac{-3}{2h^2}$	$\frac{-3(h^2+4)}{h^2}$	$\frac{-3}{2h^2}$	$\frac{h^4}{840}f_i^7$	$O\left(h^4\right)$

## 2 Richardson Extrapolation

For fourth-order central-difference scheme, fivr points are required. Modifying codes in Sec. 1 to solve the scheme with points of x - 2h, x - h, x + h, x + 2h.

$$\frac{1}{12h}f_{i-2} - \frac{2}{3}f_{i-1} + \frac{2}{3}f_{i+1} - \frac{1}{12}f_{i+2} = f_{i}^{'} - \frac{h^{4}}{30} - \frac{h^{6}}{252} - \frac{h^{8}}{4320} - \frac{17h^{10}}{1995840}$$

Under central-difference scheme, the odd-order terms are zero. So to get sixth-, eighth- and tenth- order schemes, solving corresponding linear equations need two, three and four expressions. Those expressions are from  $p2\_0\_*.mlx$ .

$$\begin{split} f_{1}^{'} &= \frac{1}{6h} f_{i-2} - \frac{4}{3h} f_{i-1} + \frac{4}{3h} f_{i+1} - \frac{1}{6h} f_{i+2} = f_{i}^{'} - \frac{h^{4}}{480} - \frac{h^{6}}{16128} - \frac{h^{8}}{1105920} - \frac{17h^{10}}{2043740160} \\ f_{2}^{'} &= \frac{1}{12h} f_{i-2} - \frac{2}{3h} f_{i-1} + \frac{2}{3h} f_{i+1} - \frac{1}{12h} f_{i+2} = f_{i}^{'} - \frac{h^{4}}{30} - \frac{h^{6}}{252} - \frac{h^{8}}{4320} - \frac{17h^{10}}{1995840} \\ f_{3}^{'} &= \frac{1}{24h} f_{i-2} - \frac{1}{3h} f_{i-1} + \frac{1}{3h} f_{i+1} - \frac{1}{24h} f_{i+2} = f_{i}^{'} - \frac{8h^{4}}{15} - \frac{16h^{6}}{63} - \frac{8h^{8}}{135} - \frac{272h^{10}}{31185} \\ f_{4}^{'} &= \frac{1}{48h} f_{i-2} - \frac{1}{6h} f_{i-1} + \frac{1}{6h} f_{i+1} - \frac{1}{48h} f_{i+2} = f_{i}^{'} - \frac{128h^{4}}{15} - \frac{1024h^{6}}{63} - \frac{2048h^{8}}{135} - \frac{278528h^{10}}{31185} \end{split}$$

Through p2 1.mlx, Richardson Extrapolation Algorithms are derived.

$$\begin{split} f^{'}\left(x\right) &= \frac{16}{15}f_{4}^{'} - \frac{1}{15}f_{3}^{'} + O\left(h^{6}\right) \\ f^{'}\left(x\right) &= \frac{1024}{945}f_{4}^{'} - \frac{16}{189}f_{3}^{'} + \frac{1}{945}f_{2}^{'} + O\left(h^{6}\right) \\ f^{'}\left(x\right) &= \frac{724762624}{665713083}f_{4}^{'} - \frac{385839104}{4279584105}f_{3}^{'} + \frac{1251248}{855916821}f_{2}^{'} - \frac{149297}{29957088735}f_{1}^{'} + O\left(h^{6}\right) \end{split}$$

#### 2.1 b

With  $p2\_2.f90$ , output of different schemes is shown in Tab. 5.

Table 5: Output of Richardson Extrapolation

	$I_{numerical}$	$I_{exact}$	Ab solute Error
4-th	-0.73598998070968713	-0.73575888234288467	2.3109836680246243E-004
6-th	-0.73600395924846174	-0.73575888234288467	$2.4507690557706852 \hbox{E-}004$
8-th	-0.73600748822810591	-0.73575888234288467	$2.4860588522124250 \hbox{E-}004$
10-th	-0.73600855032649926	-0.73575888234288467	$2.4966798361458764 \hbox{E-}004$

It seems the higher the scheme, the output is approaching another value rather than the exact value. It might because of the range limits on complicated fractions.

## 3 Integral Equations

#### 3.1 a

With the trapezoid method, the integral term could be approximated,

$$\int_{0}^{x} K(x,t) f(t) dt \approx \frac{\Delta t}{2} \left[ K(x,t_{0}) f(t_{0}) + 2K(x,t_{1}) f(t_{1}) + \dots + 2K(x,t_{n-1}) f(t_{n-1} + K(x,t_{n}) f(t_{n})) \right]$$

Taking  $f(t_i) = f_i$ ,  $g(x_i) = g_i$  and  $K_{ij} = K(x_i, t_j)$ .

$$f_0 = g_0$$
 
$$f_1 + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \left( K_{10} f_0 + K_{11} f_1 \right) = g_1$$
 
$$f_2 + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \left( K_{20} f_0 + 2K_{21} f_1 + K_{22} f_2 \right) = g_2$$
 ... 
$$f_n + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \left( K_{n0} f_0 + 2K_{n1} f_1 + \dots + 2K_{n,n-1} f_{n-1} + K_{n,n} f_n \right) = g_n$$

Those equations could be seen as a linear equations system.

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{f} + oldsymbol{M} oldsymbol{f} &= oldsymbol{g} \ oldsymbol{f} &= oldsymbol{(I+M)}^{-1} oldsymbol{g} \ \end{aligned}$$

Through solving such matrix equation, a discret set of approximate values of f(x) will be given. The trapezoid method has a accuracy of  $O(h^2)$ . From the matrix equation, accuracy of f(x) also has the accuracy of  $O(h^2)$ .

$$Error = \frac{h^2 (b-a)}{12} f^2(x) \approx 0.0017$$

#### 3.2 b

Using  $p3\_1.mlx$ , with 10 intervals in [0,1], the output is shown below.

```
\begin{array}{c} I+M=&1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\\ 0.0005\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\\ 0.002\ 0.001\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\\ 0.0045\ 0.004\ 0.001\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\\ 0.008\ 0.009\ 0.004\ 0.001\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\\ 0.0125\ 0.016\ 0.009\ 0.004\ 0.001\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\\ 0.018\ 0.025\ 0.016\ 0.009\ 0.004\ 0.001\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\\ 0.0245\ 0.036\ 0.025\ 0.016\ 0.009\ 0.004\ 0.001\ 1\ 0\ 0\\ 0.032\ 0.049\ 0.036\ 0.025\ 0.016\ 0.009\ 0.004\ 0.001\ 1\ 0\ 0\\ 0.0405\ 0.064\ 0.049\ 0.036\ 0.025\ 0.016\ 0.009\ 0.004\ 0.001\ 1\ 0\\ 0.05\ 0.081\ 0.064\ 0.049\ 0.036\ 0.025\ 0.016\ 0.009\ 0.004\ 0.009\ 0.004\ 0.001\ 1\end{array}
```

```
 \begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{g} &= (1.0000\ 0.8010\ 0.2969\ -0.2824\ -0.6894\ -0.7788\ -0.5644\ -0.1893\ 0.1629\ 0.3599\ 0.3679) \\ \boldsymbol{f} &= (1.0000\ 0.8005\ 0.2941\ -0.2904\ -0.7055\ -0.8049\ -0.6009\ -0.2352\ 0.1096\ 0.3010\ 0.3050) \end{aligned}
```

From g and f, the absolute error magnitude is of 0.001. So the accuracy approximation in Problem 3.a is valified.

# 4 Gauss-Hermite Quadrature

#### 4.1 a

With a simple code  $p4\_0.f90$ ,  $x^4 \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \right] \approx 7.6945986 \times 10^{-19}$  when x = 10. Therefore the integral on  $[-\infty, \infty]$  could be replaced by integral on [-10, 10].

With code of Simpson's rule, p4 1.f90, the three numerical integrals are shown in Tab. 6.

Table 6: Simpson method for different moments

	$I_{Simpson}$	$I_{exact}$	Ab solute Error	Relative Error	h
1	0.99999999945724893	1	5.4275106631251901E-010	5.4275106631251901E-010	0.1
$x^2$	0.99999999945724838	1	5.4275162142403133E-010	5.4275162142403133E-010	0.1
$x^4$	2.9999999983717434	3	$1.6282566406289334\mathrm{E}\text{-}009$	$5.4275221354297776\hbox{E-}010$	0.1

For  $f(x) = x^4$ ,  $f^4 = 24$ .

$$\frac{24 \times 20}{180n^4} \le 0.000001$$

$$n \ge \left(\frac{8}{3} \times 10^6\right)^{1/4} \approx 40.41$$
(3)

So to get an absolute error of  $10^{-6}$ , in my case 41 points is the least.

#### 4.2 b

The general form of Gauss-Hermite Quadrature is using  $e^{-x^2}$ , so a variable changing of  $x = \sqrt{2}t$  is used to modify the function form.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{-x^2}{2}} \right] dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{-x^2}{2}} \right] dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2t^2 e^{-t^2} dt$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^4 \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{-x^2}{2}} \right] dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 4t^4 e^{-t^2} dt$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos x \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{-x^2}{2}} \right] dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos \left( \sqrt{2}t \right) e^{-t^2} dt$$

For  $f(x) = x^2$ ,  $2N + 1 = 2 \Rightarrow N + 1 = 1.5$ . So 2 points would be required to exactly compute it.

For  $f(x) = x^4$ ,  $2N + 1 = 4 \Rightarrow N + 1 = 2.5$ . So 3 points would be required to exactly compute it.

The output of Gauss-Hermite Quadrature of second moments with 2 points and fourth moments with 4 points is shown in Tab. 7. The conclusion is <u>verified</u>.

Table 7: Gauss-Hermite Quadrature for different moments

	$I_{G-H}$	$I_{exact}$	Ab solute Error	Quadrature Points
$x^2$	1	1	0	2
$x^4$	3	3	0	3

#### 4.3 c

For  $f(x) = \cos x$ ,  $f^4(x) = \cos x$ .

$$\frac{1 \times 20}{180n^4} \le 10^{-6}$$

$$n \ge \left(\frac{10^6}{9}\right)^{1/4} \approx 18.26$$
(4)

So to get an absolute error of  $10^{-6}$ , in my case 19 points is the least.

For  $f(x) = \cos x$ ,  $f(t) = \frac{\cos(\sqrt{2}t)}{\sqrt{\pi}}$ . And the error formula for Gauss-Hermite is  $error = \frac{n!\sqrt{\pi}}{2^n(2n)!}f^{2n}(\epsilon)$ . to get an absolute error of  $10^{-6}$ ,  $\frac{6}{2}$  points is the least.

Modifying p4 2.mlx and p4 1.f90, output is shown in Tab. 8 and the two conclusions are valified.

Table 8: Two Numerical Integrals of  $\cos x$ 

	$I_{numerical}$	$I_{exact}$	Ab solute Error	Quadrature Points
Simpson	0.60652631055192174	$e^{-1/2}$	4.3557993966159003E-006	19
Gauss-Hermite	0.606556817612611	$e^{-1/2}$	1.18710329088945E-006	6