

Quadratic Sorting


Review

- Insertion Sort with an array
- Selection Sort with an array
- Bubblesort with an array

Insertion Sort (array)







---- Complexity

Insertion sort for arrays

Number of comparisons:

And with Sequence implemented with linked list?


Complexity Insertion sort for arrays

Number of movements:


Same as comparisons

And with Sequence implemented with linked list?

Selection Sort (array)



Selection Sort (array)



Complexity of Selection Sort (array)

Comparisons (Does not depend on the initial order of the elements)

$$c = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (n-1-i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (n-i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i$$

$$= n(n-1) - n(n-1) / 2$$

$$= n(n-1) / 2$$

$$= O(n^2)$$

Complexity of Selection Sort (array)

Movements

MIN (already in order)
$$= O(n)$$

MAX (in reverse order)

$$(D_i)_{max} = O(n^2)$$


And with Sequence implemented with linked list?

Bubble Sort


- Traverse a collection of elements
 - Move from the front to the end
 - "Bubble" the largest value to the end using pair-wise comparisons and swapping

1	2	3	4	5	6
77	42	35	12	101	5


- Traverse a collection of elements
 - Move from the front to the end
 - "Bubble" the largest value to the end using pair-wise comparisons and




- Traverse a collection of elements
 - Move from the front to the end
 - "Bubble" the largest value to the end using pair-wise comparisons and



- Traverse a collection of elements
 - Move from the front to the end
 - "Bubble" the largest value to the end using pair-wise comparisons and




- Traverse a collection of elements
 - Move from the front to the end
 - "Bubble" the largest value to the end using pair-wise comparisons and




No need to swap

- Traverse a collection of elements
 - Move from the front to the end
 - "Bubble" the largest value to the end using pair-wise comparisons and




- Traverse a collection of elements
 - Move from the front to the end
 - "Bubble" the largest value to the end using pair-wise comparisons and swapping



Largest value correctly placed

Items of Interest

- Notice that only the largest value is correctly placed
- All other values are still out of order
- So we need to repeat this process



Largest value correctly placed

Repeat "Bubble Up" How Many Times?

If we have N elements...

 And if each time we bubble an element, we place it in its correct location...

Then we repeat the "bubble up" process N

 1 times.

 This guarantees we'll correctly place all N elements.

"Bubbling" All the Elements

_	0	1	2	3	4	5
	42	35	12	77	5	101
	0	1	2	3	4	5
	35	12	42	5	77	101
	0	1	2	3	4	5
\prec	12	35	5	42	77	101
	0	1	2	3	4	5
	12	5	35	42	77	101
	0	1	2	3	4	5
	5	12	35	42	77	101

Complexity of Bubblesort (arrays)

And with Sequence implemented with linked list?
Comparisons

$$c = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (n-i) = \frac{n}{2} (n-1) = O(n^2)$$

Movements

$$D_{min} = 0$$
 (already in order)

$$D_{\text{max}} = 3 \cdot C = O(n^2)$$
 (in reverse order)

Already Sorted Collections?

- What if the collection was already sorted?
- What if only a few elements were out of place and after a couple of "bubble ups," the collection was sorted?
- We want to be able to detect this and "stop early"!

0	1	2	3	4	5
5	12	35	42	77	101

Using a Boolean "Flag"

- We can use a boolean variable to determine if any swapping occurred during the "bubble up."
- If no swapping occurred, then we know that the collection is already sorted!
- This boolean "flag" needs to be reset after each "bubble up."

Bubblesort algorithm

```
j \leftarrow 0
swapped ← true
while (swapped)
  swapped \leftarrow false
  j ← j+1
  for i=0 to n-j-1
     if A[i].key > A[i+1].key
         tmp= A[i]
         A[i] = A[i+1]
         A[i+1] = tmp
         swapped ← true
```