# **Exercise Sheet 5**

due: 01.12.2022 at 23:55

# Validation & Regularization

#### **Exercise T5.1: Validation**

(tutorial)

- (a) What is validation and why is it needed?
- (b) What is the difference between overfitting and underfitting?
- (c) Discuss the techniques test set method and cross validation to perform validation.
- (d) How can hyperparameters (e.g. number of layers/neurons, regularization strength) of a model be selected using these techniques, and how can the resulting model be validated?

# **Exercise T5.2: Regularization**

(tutorial)

(a) What is the effect of the following alternative regularization terms, when minimizing the total training cost function ("risk"),  $R_{[\underline{\mathbf{w}}]} = E_{[\mathbf{w}]}^T + \lambda E_{[\mathbf{w}]}^R$  for d-dim. parameters  $\underline{\mathbf{w}}$ ?

$$\begin{array}{lcl} E_{[\underline{\mathbf{w}}]}^R & = & \frac{1}{2p} \, ||\underline{\mathbf{w}}||_2^2 = \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{i=1}^d w_i^2 & (L_2 \text{ norm regularization: "weight decay"}) \\ E_{[\underline{\mathbf{w}}]}^R & = & \frac{1}{p} \, ||\underline{\mathbf{w}}||_1 = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^d |w_i| & (L_1 \text{ norm regularization: "sparsify" / "Lasso"}) \end{array}$$

(b) What is the optimal weight parameter vector  $\underline{\mathbf{w}}^*$  with minimal risk  $R_{[\underline{\mathbf{w}}]}$  for a linear neuron with a quadratic training cost function and weight decay regularization?

### **Exercise T5.3:** Nonlinear basis functions

(tutorial)

In order to fit highly non-linear functions, many machine learning approaches use a linear neuron on an alternate representation of the input samples  $\underline{\mathbf{x}}$ . This representation is an "expansion" of  $\underline{\mathbf{x}}$  by non-linear basis functions  $\phi_i(\underline{\mathbf{x}})$ , i.e.,  $y(\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \sum_{i=1}^d w_i \, \phi_i(\underline{\mathbf{x}})$ . Here we want to discuss the set of all monomials up to some order.

- (a) What are monomials and how is a linear combination of monomials called?
- (b) Monomials can grow very large in magnitude for large input values. To standardize the input space, one often *spheres* the data before performing the expansion. How is "sphering" or "whitening" performed?
- (c) Monomial basis functions can be regularized by weight decay.
- (d) What is the optimal weight parameter vector  $\underline{\mathbf{w}}^*$  with minimal risk  $R_{[\underline{\mathbf{w}}]}$  for a linear neuron with basis functions  $\phi_i$  with a quadratic training cost function and weight decay regularization?

## **Exercise H5.1: Cross-validation**

## (homework, 10 points)

This exercise asks you to assess the impact of a regularization penalty on the parameters of a linear connectionist neuron to solve a regression task with a quadratic cost function. We will only consider a quadratic regularization term for this exercise.

#### The Data:

The file <code>TrainingRidge.csv</code> contains the *training set*, with 200 observations and corresponding target values (ground truth/labels)  $\{(\underline{\mathbf{x}}^{(\alpha)}, y_T^{(\alpha)})\}$ . The two input variables for each observation  $\underline{\mathbf{x}}^{(\alpha)} = (x_1^{(\alpha)}, x_2^{(\alpha)})^{\mathsf{T}}$  appear in the first 2 columns. The target values  $y_T^{(\alpha)}$  appear in the last column.

The data contained in the second file ValidationRidge.csv serves as the *validation set*. It follows the same format as above. The *validation set* contains 1476 pairs  $\{(\underline{\mathbf{x}}^{(\beta)}, y_T^{(\beta)})\}$ . The values of  $\underline{\mathbf{x}}^{(\beta)} = (x_1^{(\beta)}, x_2^{(\beta)})^{\top}$  form a  $36 \times 41$  grid in input space.

(a) (3 point) **Preprocessing**: Monomials (see details below in (b)) can grow very large in magnitude for bigger input values. Perform *sphering* of the training data, such that the resulting input samples are decorrelated, have zero mean and unit variance. The sphered data is given by

$$\{\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathrm{sphered}}^{(\alpha)}\}_{\alpha=1}^{p} \quad \mathrm{with} \quad \underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathrm{sphered}}^{(\alpha)} = \underbrace{\underline{\mathbf{\Lambda}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\underline{\mathbf{E}}^{\top}}_{\substack{\mathrm{sphering} \\ \mathrm{transformation}}} \underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathrm{centered}}^{(\alpha)}.$$

Here

 $\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathrm{centered}}^{(\alpha)} = \underline{\mathbf{x}}^{(\alpha)} - \langle \underline{\mathbf{x}} \rangle$  denotes the centered data point  $\alpha$  w.r.t. the center of the training data  $\langle \underline{\mathbf{x}} \rangle = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p} \underline{\mathbf{x}}^{(\alpha)}$ ,

 $\underline{\mathbf{E}} = (\underline{\mathbf{e}}_1, \dots, \underline{\mathbf{e}}_N)$  is the eigenvector matrix and  $\underline{\mathbf{\Lambda}} = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$  is the eigenvalue matrix for the eigendecomposition

$$\underline{\mathbf{C}}\,\underline{\mathbf{e}}_i = \lambda_i\underline{\mathbf{e}}_i$$

of the covariance matrix  $\underline{\mathbf{C}}$  with  $C_{ij} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p} x_{\text{centered},i}^{(\alpha)} x_{\text{centered},j}^{(\alpha)}$ .

<u>Deliverables</u>: Plot the sphered training and validation sets using two separate scatter-plots. Color the points according to their label.

## **Important:**

- Use the same  $\langle \underline{\mathbf{x}} \rangle$  computed from the training data for centering the validation data.
- Use the same sphering transformation obtained from the eigendecomposition of the centered *training* data's covariance matrix to sphere the validation set (i.e., do not compute a separate sphering transformation for the validation set).

(b) (2 points) Feature Expansion: A single linear neuron is not able to predict the target labels very well. To increase the representational power of the model class, *expand* the sphered 2D input to all possible monomials up to degree 9.

Here, a monomial of order k corresponds to a term  $x_1^l x_2^m$  with l + m = k.

The model should contain all 55 terms  $x_1^l x_2^m$  with l+m=k for k=0,1,...,9. These monomials can be enumerated by  $i=1,\ldots,d=55$  defining  $\phi_i(\underline{\mathbf{x}})$ . The prediction function which feeds into the quadratic cost measure  $E_{[\mathbf{w}]}^T$  is given by

$$y(\underline{\mathbf{x}};\underline{\mathbf{w}}) = \underline{\mathbf{w}}^{\top} \underline{\phi}(\underline{\mathbf{x}}), \quad \text{with} \quad \underline{\mathbf{w}}^* = (\underline{\Phi} \underline{\Phi}^{\top})^{-1} \underline{\Phi} \underline{\mathbf{y}}_T^{\top}$$

with input matrix  $\underline{\Phi} \in \mathbb{R}^{d,p}$  [having components  $\Phi_{i,\alpha} = \phi_i(\underline{\mathbf{x}}^{(\alpha)})$ ] and a label vector  $\underline{\mathbf{y}}_T \in \mathbb{R}^{1,p}$  (with components  $y_T^{(\alpha)}$ ).

Deliverables: Using the validation set, produce the following plots:

- (i) The first 10 monomials  $\phi_i(\mathbf{x})$  ( $i \in [0, 9]$ ) as a function of  $x_1, x_2$ . Visalize each monomial separately. You can visualize each monomial by using either a scatter plot or a  $36 \times 41$  "heatmap"<sup>1</sup>.
- (ii) The predicted function  $y(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w})$  as a function of  $x_1, x_2$ , also as a scatter plot or "heatmap" where the colors indicate the prediction value.
- (c) (3 points) To avoid over-fitting when using the polynomial expansion above, we apply regularization using a weight-decay term, i.e., the risk  $R_{[\underline{\mathbf{w}}]} = E_{[\underline{\mathbf{w}}]}^T + \lambda_{\frac{1}{2}} ||\underline{\mathbf{w}}||_2^2$  has to be minimized. For a regularization strength  $\lambda > 0$ , an input matrix  $\underline{\Phi} \in \mathbb{R}^{d,p}$  and a label vector  $\mathbf{y}_T \in \mathbb{R}^{1,p}$ (as above), the prediction function is

$$y(\underline{\mathbf{x}};\underline{\mathbf{w}}) = \underline{\mathbf{w}}^{\top} \phi(\underline{\mathbf{x}}), \quad \text{with} \quad \underline{\mathbf{w}}^* = (\underline{\mathbf{\Phi}} \underline{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\top} + \lambda \underline{\mathbf{I}})^{-1} \underline{\mathbf{\Phi}} \underline{\mathbf{y}}_T^{\top},$$

where **I** denotes the identity matrix.

To find the best value for the regularization coefficient, perform a 10-fold cross-validation with the training set for all  $\lambda \in \{10^z \mid z \in \{-4, -3.9, -3.8, \dots, 3.9, 4\}\}$ . Each fold splits the original training set into a smaller training set and a test set.

# Deliverables:

- (i) Plot the average and standard deviation of the MSE (mean squared error, i.e., average quadratic cost of the predictions) over the *test* set for all folds against  $\lambda$  (as an error-bar plot with a logarithmic x-axis for  $\lambda$ ).
- (ii) Idenitfy the value of the best regularization coefficient  $\lambda_T^*$ , which has the minimal average MSE over all test folds.
- (iii) Train the model using the entire original training set regularized by  $\lambda_T^*$ . Plot the true labels of the validation set alongside your model's predictions. What is the MSE of the model on the validation set?
- (d) (2 points) To compare these empirical estimates of bias and variance with the true generalization error, repeat (c) with the same polynomial expansion of the validation set. That is:
  - (i) Replace your original training set with the validation set and treat this as your new training set.

 $<sup>^{1}36 \</sup>times 41 = 1476$  is the number of observations in the validation set.

- (ii) Keep the same sphering transformation as before. Reuse the same matrix of eigenvectors  $\underline{\mathbf{E}}$  and matrix of eigenvalues  $\underline{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}$  that you used to preprocess the data in (a).
- (iii) Perform the same expansion as in (b) nd cross validation in order to identify the best regularization coefficient  $\leadsto \lambda_G^*$  using this data.
- (iv) What is the MSE of the model on the entire original *validation set*? Keep in mind that this is data you actually used for training the model.
- (e) Is  $\lambda_G^*$  different from  $\lambda_T^*$ ? Compare by plotting the function learned in (c) using  $\lambda_T^*$  with the function that is learned in (d) using  $\lambda_G^*$  on
  - (i) the original training set and
  - (ii) the original validation set.