MS-CS Master Course Note

Mark Zhou

$March\ 2024$

This is my course note on "Algorithms for Sorting, Searching and Indexing" provided by Colorado University of Boulder. This is a non-credit prep course for an MS-CS degree.

Contents

1	\mathbf{Big}	Omega, Big Theta, and Examples	3
	1.1	$f(n) = \Omega(g)$	3
	1.2	$f(n) = \Theta(g) \dots \dots$	3
	1.3	Examples of Asymptotic Notations	4
2	Bin	ary Search	5
	2.1	what do we need to do in a binary search?	5
	2.2	Define a Func binarySearchHelper()	7
	2.3	Running Time Analysis of Binary Search Algo	7
3	Merge Sort		8
	3.1	Mergesort: Correctness and Running Time	8
	3.2	Running Time of Merge Procedure	10
4	Hea	ap, Min Heap and Max Heap	12
	4.1	Basic Understanding of Heap	12
	4.2	Heap Primatives: Bubble up	14

1 Big Omega, Big Theta, and Examples

1.1
$$f(n) = \Omega(g)$$

f is asymptotically lower bounded by g (n): that is to say, after a certain point N_0 , the output of the function f (n) is bigger or equal to the output of the function g (n). Since O () and Ω () are the opposite, if f (n) = O (g), then $g(n) = \Omega(f)$.

1.2
$$f(n) = \Theta(g)$$

f is asymptotically equal to g (n). This looks like a Bollinger band in technical analysis in some ways.

$$\exists k_1, k_2, \forall n \ge N_0, k_1(g) \ge g \ge k_2(g)$$

If $f = \Theta(g)$, it means f is asymptotically equal to $\Theta(g)$, which we can say,

$$f = O(g), f = \Omega(g)$$

When dealing with functions, we will typically get rid of constant figures in the function, however,

we cannot ignore the exponent constant such as the 2 and 4 in 2 to the power of 4x.

 2^{4x}

Here is an example of big theta.

$$f(n) = 2n + 3\log_2^n + 5$$

$$g(n) = 15n + 35\log_2^n + \sqrt{n} + 17$$

In the above equations, n is the only thing that matters. Therefore we can ignore the log and square root elements by considering them as constants.

1.3 Examples of Asymptotic Notations

Here are five functions;

$$f(n) = 2n^2 + 3\log_2^n + 4\sqrt{n} + 15$$

$$g(n) = 200\sqrt{n} + 15\log_2^n + 14n\sqrt{n}$$

$$h(n) = n^2 + 2n + 3\log^{\log n}$$

$$l(n) = n^3 + 15n^2 + 2.5n$$

$$m(n) = 4n^2 + 13n^2 \log_2^n$$

Let's find out which element with n is the BIGGER one.

$$n^2 = n*n > nlogn > \sqrt{n}$$

Before we can compare these functions, we should determine the dominating term of each one:

 $f:n^2$

 $g: n^{1.5}$

 $h:n^2$

 $l:n^3$

 $m: n^2 log n$

In this case, we can place these functions in such order, l>m>f> h>g

The smaller one is the Omega of the bigger one, and vice versa. For example, $l(n)=\Omega(m),\ m=O(l),$ and so on.

2 Binary Search

2.1 what do we need to do in a binary search?

We need to check if a given element, say 6, is located in the given list. The prerequisite is that the list must be sorted to do a binary search.

Let's assume the list is sorted in ascending order. First, we need to find the middle element of the list by calculating the index number of left and right border elements, a.k.a. the first and last one in the list.

Here is how we do it:

$$mid = (left + right)//2$$

In the equation, // means floor divided, which will return exact the middle element with odd number list and the smaller one of the middle two elements in even number list.

Now we will check if the middle one equals to the given element. If so, problem solved! If not, and the given one is smaller than the middle, we will focus on the left side of the list, a.k.a. the elements located on the left of the middle one. In this case, if the first middle has index n, then the new "right" border element will have the index n-1.

If the middle element is smaller, of course we will focus on the right portion of the original list since this is an ascendibg ordered list. And in this case, if the first middle element's index is n, the new "left" will have the index n+1. Then we will conduct the calculation by finding the new middle on the left/right part of the list (a.k.a. the new range with the updated left or right border). We will compare the given value with the new middle.

We will repeat the process untill either find the element in the list, returning True, or not, which is a little bit complecated:

By the end of the process, the updated left border index will be bigger than the right border index in an ascending ordered list, which is not possible to find a valid range. This means the given element is not in the list.

For example, there is a list [1,4,9,15,20], and the given element is 8. First we will find out the mid one by calculating the indexes:

$$(0+4)//2=2$$

The value of index 2 is 9, which is bigger than the given value 8. Then we will focus on the left part.

The updated right border will be index 1, therefore the new mid will be:

$$(0+1)//2 = 0$$

Now the equation gives us element value 1. This is smaller than 8. The current right border index is 1, and the updated left border is 1 as well. So the new range will gives us;

$$(1+1)//2 = 1$$

a.k.a. the value of index 1 is 4 which is smaller than 8.

And now we enter a crucial moment. The current right border index is 1, but since 4 > 8, the updated left border index will be changed from 1 to 2.

Left index=2, right index =1, which is not valid.

Therefore, the given element 8 is not located in the given list. Problem solved.

2.2 Define a Func binarySearchHelper()

Here is the code implemented of the fuc binarySearchHelper().

This function will work as a recursion since it will continuously call a new binarySearchHelper() inside the current one until finished.

How to prove correctness?

The main property of binary search: if we can find the element in the list, it must be in the searching range.

2.3 Running Time Analysis of Binary Search Algo

For the running time analysis, we first assume this list has the length of:

$$n=2^k$$

Each time when we check the middle element, we halve the list in half, then the updated list will have a new length of

$$n/2 = 2^{k-1}$$

By the end, the list will have a length of

$$2^{k-k} = 1$$

Now we narrow down the checking range to only one element and check it with the given value. If they are not the same, we will try to find a new middle for more time, which will produce an invalid range as we mentioned before.

That is to say, we will at most run the program k+1 times until finished. So by the end, the largest running time will be:

$$\log_2^n + 1$$

Binary search is very efficient. If we have a list of 1 million values, we may only need to search for approximately 21 times.

3 Merge Sort

3.1 Mergesort: Correctness and Running Time

In order to do a mergesort, firstly we will divide the given array into two parts with the middle element as a separator.

For example, we have an array

$$1, 3, -3, 4, -1, 2$$

We will divide it into

$$1, 3, -2$$

and

$$4, -1, 2$$

Then we will further divide these two into four parts. By now, we have some array contain one one item while others contain two. For those with two items, we will do a swap if they are in the wrong order.

These kinds of very small divided array are called base cases, since they are somehow the base unit of this division process.

Then we will merge back all those sorted base case arrays, all the way to the top, aka one merged array.

Here is a pseudocode of mergesort. Let's get a closer look at how does it work.

At the very beginning, the algo will compare left and right element and check if they are in a valid form, that is to say, whether left (index of the value) is smaller than right (index of the value). If not, the range formed by the left and right index is invalid hence algo ended. Second, we will determine whether the array formed by left and right contains only two elements. If left + 1 = right, that means these are adjacent indices. If this is the case, we will swap the elements if they have the wrong order.

At last, we enter a position in which the range is larger than two elements array. Now we will simply find the mid element by performing a floor division and do merge sort on left side of the array which is ended by mid and right side which begins with mid+1. This procedure will sort this two subarrays. After that, we will MERGE all the subarray in the order of left, mid and right.

The merge procedure is the next big thing. After this step, all the elements of the array will be sorted.

First thing in Merge function, we will assign an initial value to two looping variables, i and j, which are the index of each subarray, by assigning left to i and mid+1 to j. This is to make sure the two loops will begin from the first item of each sub array, aka the left array and right array.

We will introduce a temp storage variable tem_store to maintain the sorted result and then write back to the original array. Although there are in-place algos that will do the work, it is much easier to just include a third array for storage.

The following is straight forward. While i and j are still within the range of each subarray, aka $i \leq mid$ and $j \leq right$, we will compare each i and j pair and store the smaller one to the tem_store. Then we

add one to the index of the subarray in which the value just compared is smaller, that is to say, move on to the next item. In the meantime, the other array remain the same index, waiting to compare in the next round.

After all these steps and iterations, we will find a well sorted tem_store array. Then we simply write it back to the original array and problem solved.

3.2 Running Time of Merge Procedure

The running time of merge procedure is precisely the length of the two arrays since each element will be added to the temp store once. We assume each step cost 1, then the total time cost will be len(array A+array B).

It can be written as right - left + 1.

Now let's look at it at higher level.

Suppose the original array has lenth of n.

The first split will be two n/2 arrays, then the split goes on until it reaches the base unit.

For each step, the cost will be the array's count mutiply by the base unit, for example, for the 1st level, the cost will be 2*(n/2), for the 2nd, it will be 4*(n/4) and so on.

Now we can try to conclude a general equation for the time cost of merge sort.

Let us assume that $n = 2^k$;

Since for each level, the number of level is n, n/2, n/4, ..., 1;

We can write it as $2^{k-1}, 2^{k-2}, \dots, 2^{k-k}$.

For each level, the time cost is the base unit of that level mutiply by

that level's amount of subarrays in that level. For example, the base unit of the 1st level after n is n/2. Then the time cost will be 2*(n/2). Therefore, the generalized time cost will be n*No.(level). Now let's find out what's the number of level.

We have already seen this above: $2^{k-1}, 2^{k-2}, \dots, 2^{k-k}$, corresponding to level 1, level 2, ..., last level. We can derive the number of level from this array, $1, 2, \dots, k$.

That is to say, the number of level is k. Ergo n*No.(level) = n*k. Since $n=2^k, k=\log_2^n$.

The final equation will be:

$$Cost = n * \log_2^n$$

If we using the Big O quatation to address to this problem, we get $Mergesort = \Theta(n * \log_2^n)$

And we can get rid of the constant 2 and get

 $Mergesort = O(n * \log^n)$.

4 Heap, Min Heap and Max Heap

4.1 Basic Understanding of Heap

Heap is a type of array that has certain properties. There are the concepts of min heap and max heap and we will go through these soon.

First here's a concept called left/right child. Let's say we have a heap of 9 elements. For element number 1, the element number 2 will be called the left child of element 1, and element 3 will be called the right child.

While for element 2, actually element 4 will be the left child and 5 the right child.

Therefore we have the equation of it:

 $\forall i, leftchild = 2i, rightchild = 2i + 1$

For certain element in the array, If the left/right child element is not inside the current array, then the original one doesn't have left/right child.

For example, element 6 has not such child since element 12 and 13 are not in the array of size 9.

We can say i element is the parent of 2i and 2i + 1.

for element j, j/2 is the parent. If the division gives a fraction of number then we just round it down (floor division).

Element 1 has not parent, and we call it the root.

If we write the heap array in a parent-child structure, it actually looks like a tree. Yes, heap is also a special binary tree (laid out as an array).

Here are some properties:

1. If a node in a heap has a right child, it must have a left child.

- 2. Heap is an array of size n. $\forall A[i], 2i \leq n, A[2i] = leftchild$
- **3.** $\forall A[i], 2i+1 \leq n, A[2i+1] = rightchild$

And here is the Min Heap Property:

The value of the parent node must be less than or equal to the value of children nodes.

 $Min Heap \rightarrow ParrentValue \leq ChildrenValues$

If we turn to the opposite side, we get the Max Heap Property: The value of the parent node must be larger than or equal to the value of children nodes.

 $Max\ Heap \rightarrow ParentValue \geq ChildrenValues$

So for any array, we can simply check whether they meet min heap property or max heap property or not in order to determine their identity.

Why do we care about min heap or max heap? Here is a basic idea; For any min heap A, we can say A[1], which is the root, is the smallest element of the entire array.

We can solve this problem with induction.

The Base Case: The root element is the smallest one for Heap depth = 1.

And we have the induction hypothesis: Let the result from base case hold for all $depth \leq d$, and then prove it for depth of d + 1.

Illustrated as a binary tree, we can see each triangle (consisting of three nodes) as a "sub-heap". The node in the upper level of the sub-heap is the root of it. For every level from 1 to d, the upper node is always the root of each sub-heap. Therefore the root of level 1 is the smallest in all level from 1 to d.

Then we can say that's the same case for level d+1.

That is to say, for any min heap A, we can say A[1], the root of it is the smallest element of the entire heap.

4.2 Heap Primatives: Bubble up

We are interested in the following operations on a heap:

- 1. Inserting elements into a heap
- 2. Deleting one from a heap

The main primitives of heap are called bubble up and bubble down. We will explain them later.

For now, lets assume there is a heap with only one point of failure. Here is an example of such min heap.

In the above array, the 6th element, which value is also 6, is smaller than its parrent element 3, with a value of 7. Besides that, the entire array fit the definition of min heap.

We can say in this case, the 6th element is in a wrong relation with its parent. It should be moved up in order to make this array a valid min heap.

The operation that will acheive this is called bubble up.

Bubble Up: the swap of a value with its parrent value if they are in the wrong relation.

After we sway the misplaced value with its parent, now we have a new parrent of value 6 and its left child 7. Since the parrent is also less than or equal to its right child. The array is now a valid min heap.

Here is another example. We need to bubble up 3 times in order to make it a min heap.

In the above array, every elements except the last but one are in the right position. Element 8 with value of 1, is smaller than its parrent. Then we need to bubble up value 1 three times into position 4, 2, and 1 to make it work. Now value 1 become the root.

We can write a pseudocode for bubble up:

```
bubble up (A,j): if j \leq 1: return (Do nothing) else if: A[j] < A[j//2] \text{: (// means floor divided)} swap (A[j], A[j//2]) bubble up (A,j//2) (Yes, this line makes this function recursive.) return
```

This is a recursive program that will bubble up the misplaced element until it is in the right place. If we try to estimate the running time of bubble up, the worse case would be O (depth of heap), since the element will be a leaf (the one without child) and it lays on the bottom level of the heap.

Then how about θ ? Let's assume a heap has n element. If the leaf needed to be bubble up all the way to root position, the steps will be:

 $n, n/2, n/4, \ldots, 1$

Then the steps will be \log_2^n , a.k.a. $\theta(\log_2^n)$.

If we have a heap of $n=10^6$, which is 2^{19} , then the total operation required for bubble up is 19.