# STAT3035/4035 Tutorial 1

Marco Li

Contact: qingyue.li@anu.edu.au Slides can be downloaded at: https://qingyue-li.github.io/

Marco Li Risk Theory 1/28

### Outline

1 Introduction

2 Review

Questions

### Consultation time

• Time:

• Location: CBE

# Some useful suggestions

- Attend lectures or watch the recordings
- Read the lecture slides
- Do tutorial questions before coming to tutorial

# Tutorial plan

- Review related lecture materials
- Tutorial questions (selected)
- Q&A (time permitted)

### Outline

Introduction

2 Review

Questions

# Probability theory

- pdf/pmf of known distributions
- Moments (raw)  $EX^n$
- Quantiles median

### Statistics - Estimation

- MOM
- Solve system:

$$E_{\theta}(X) = \bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$

$$\vdots$$

$$E_{\theta}(X^k) = \overline{x^k} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^k$$

where k is number of parameters.

- MOP
- Solve system:

$$x_{p_1} = \hat{x}_{p_1}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_{p_k} = \hat{x}_{p_k}$$

where  $\hat{x}_p$  is observed  $p^{\text{th}}$  percentile of data, for some choice of  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$ 

#### Statistics - Estimation

- MLE
- Likelihood Function:  $L(\theta; x_1, ..., x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_X(x_i; \theta)$
- Log-Likelihood Function:  $l(\theta) = \ln \{L(\theta; x_1, \dots, x_n)\}$
- Maximum Likelihood Estimate,  $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$ , solves:

$$\frac{\partial l(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i} = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le k$$

• Maximum Likelihood Theorem: For large samples,

$$\Pr_{\theta} \left\{ \frac{\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta}{\sqrt{I^{-1} \left(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}\right)}} \le t \right\} \approx \Phi(t)$$

where  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is the standard normal CDF and  $I(\theta) = -E_{\theta} \{l''(\theta)\}$ 

• So, we can use  $\hat{\theta}_{MLE} \pm 1.96 \sqrt{I^{-1} \left(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}\right)}$  as an approximate 95% confidence interval for  $\theta$ 

Marco Li Risk Theory 9 / 2a

# Statistics - Goodness of fit testing

- Pearson Chi-Squared Test
- Idea:
  - Data is n iid observations classified into k categories
  - $O_i$  = number of observations in category i
  - "Theory":  $p_i = \Pr(\text{ obs. in cat. } i)$
  - $E_i = np_i = \text{expected } \# \text{ of obs. in ith category}$
  - Measure discrepancy using test statistic:

$$X^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(O_{i} - E_{i})^{2}}{E_{i}}$$

which has an approximate  $\chi^2$  -distribution with a number of degrees of freedom equal to:

$$df = k - 1 - (\# \text{ parameters estimated in determining } p_i)$$

- Implementation:
  - For continuous data, need to "discretise" using bins
  - Choose 5 to 15 bins
  - Could use histogram bins (equal width)

Marco Li Risk Theory 10 / 28

### Outline

Introduction

2 Review

3 Questions

Marco Li Risk Theory 11/28

Let X be normally distributed with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Find the moment generating function of  $X, m_X(t) = \mathbb{E}\left(e^{tX}\right)$ .

Marco Li Risk Theory 12 / 28

By using the technique discussed in the above remark we have

$$\begin{split} m_X(t) &:= \mathbb{E}\left(e^{tX}\right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{tx} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2 - 2(\mu + \sigma^2 t)x + \mu^2}{2\sigma^2} dx} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{\left[x - (\mu + \sigma^2 t)\right]^2 - 2\mu\sigma^2t - \sigma^4t^2}{2\sigma^2} dx} \\ &= e^{\mu t + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{\left[x - (\mu + \sigma^2 t)\right]^2}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}} dx \\ &= e^{\mu t + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2t^2} \end{split}$$

Marco Li Risk Theory 13 / 28

(Indicator Functions and Reinsurance) Let X be an exponential random variable with mean  $\lambda^{-1}$ .

- (a) It is straightforward to show that the moment generation function of X is  $m_X(t) = \lambda(\lambda t)^{-1}$  for  $t < \lambda$ . Use this fact to find the moment generating function of Y := X m for a fixed constant m.
- (b) Define the new random variable

$$Z := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} X & \text{if} & X \leq M \\ M & \text{if} & X > M \end{array} \right.$$

for a fixed constant M. Note that an equivalent definition of Z is  $Z = XI_{(X \leq M)} + MI_{(X > M)}$ , where the function  $I_{(\cdot)}$  is called an indicator function and is defined to be 1 if its argument is true and 0 other wise. Find the moment generating function of Z.

Marco Li Risk Theory 14/28

(a) As an exercise, prove the moment generating function formula given in the question. With the moment generating function given in the question, one can simply get the following

result.

$$m_Y(t) = \mathbb{E}e^{tY} = \mathbb{E}e^{t(X-m)} = e^{-tm}\mathbb{E}e^{tX} = \frac{e^{-tm}\lambda}{\lambda - t}, t < \lambda$$

(b) Apart from the new notation of indicator functions, this question is simply beer and skittle.

$$m_{Z}(t) = \mathbb{E}e^{tZ} = \mathbb{E}e^{t\left(XI_{\{X \leq M\}} + MI_{\{X > M\}}\right)}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{t\left(xI_{\{x \leq M\}} + MI_{\{x > M\}}\right)} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx$$

$$= \int_{0}^{M} e^{tx} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx + \int_{M}^{\infty} e^{tM} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx$$

$$= \frac{\lambda e^{(t-\lambda)M}}{t-\lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{t-\lambda} + e^{(t-\lambda)M}$$

$$= \frac{te^{(t-\lambda)M}}{t-\lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{t-\lambda}$$

Marco Li Risk Theory 15/28

(Distribution of a function of random variables) Let X be a continuous random variable having the following density function:

$$f(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right), \quad x > 0$$

The distribution having this density is often referred to as the "folded normal". Let  $Y = X^2$ . Find the density function of Y. Do you recognise the density you found?

Marco Li Risk Theory 16 / 28

First find cumulative distribution function and then find the corresponding density function by taking derivative.

CDF: 
$$F_Y(y) = \mathbb{P}(Y \le y) = \mathbb{P}(X^2 \le y) = \mathbb{P}(X \le \sqrt{y}) = F_X(\sqrt{y})$$
  
PDF:

$$f_y(y) = \frac{d}{dy} F_Y(y) = \frac{d}{dy} F_X(\sqrt{y}) = f_X(\sqrt{y}) \frac{d}{dy} \sqrt{y}$$
$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} e^{-\frac{y}{2}} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{y}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi y}} e^{-\frac{y}{2}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\sqrt{2y}} e^{-\frac{y}{2}}$$

Hence,  $Y \sim \text{Gamma}\left(k = \frac{1}{2}, \theta = 2\right) = \chi^2_{(1)}$ 

(Moment Generating Functions and Independency)

- (a) Suppose that  $X_1,\ldots,X_k$  are independent random variables with Gamma distributions having shape parameters  $\alpha_i (i=1,\ldots,k)$ , respectively, and common scale parameter  $\theta$ . Define  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i$  Prove that  $X = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i$  has a Gamma distribution with parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\theta$ .
- (b)\* Suppose that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent random variables with Gamma distributions having common shape parameter  $\alpha$  and scale parameters  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ , respectively. Assuming that  $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$  do you think that  $X := X_1 + X_2$  has a Gamma distribution? Why or why not?

(a) We note that the moment generating function of  $X_i$  is given by  $m_{X_i}(t) = (1 - \theta t)^{-\alpha_i}$  for  $t < \theta^{-1}$ . This then implies that the moment generating function of X is:

$$m_X(t) = \mathbb{E}\left(e^{tX}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left(t\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^n e^{tX_i}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^n E\left(e^{tX_i}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^k m_{X_i}(t)$$
$$= (1 - \theta t)^{-\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i} = (1 - \theta t)^{-\alpha}, \quad t < \theta^{-1}$$

where the fourth equality follows from the assumed independence of the  $X_i$  's. In the current case, we can easily recognise the calculated moment generating function as that of a Gamma distribution. So, X must be Gamma distributed with parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\theta$ .

(b) Let's examine the moment generating function of X, which has the form:

$$m_X(t) = m_{X_1}(t)m_{X_2}(t) = \{(1 - \theta_1 t)(1 - \theta_2 t)\}^{-\alpha}, \quad t < \min(\theta_1^{-1}, \theta_2^{-1})$$

This certainly does not appear to have the form of the moment generating function of a Gamma distribution in general.

Marco Li Risk Theory 19/28

(Joint Distributions and Independency) Let X and Y be discrete random variables each taking values in the sample space  $S = \{0, 1, 2\}$  and having a joint probability mass funct ion given by the following table:

			Y	
		0	1	2
	0	0.10	0.10	0.20
X	1	0.25	0.00	0.20
	2	0.05	0.05	0.05

- (a)\* Find the probability mass function and cumulative distribution function of U := X + Y.
- (b) Find the marginal probability mass functions, a.k.a unconditional probability mass functions, of both X and Y. Are X and Y independent?

(Joint Distributions and Independency) Let X and Y be discrete random variables each taking values in the sample space  $S = \{0, 1, 2\}$  and having a joint probability mass funct ion given by the following table:

			Y	
		0	1	2
	0	0.10	0.10	0.20
X	1	0.25	0.00	0.20
	2	0.05	0.05	0.05

- (c)\* Let  $X_1$  be a discrete random variable having a probability mass function equal to the marginal probability mass function of X calculated in part (b). Similarly, let  $Y_1$  be a discrete random variable having a probability mass function equal to the marginal probability mass function of Y calculated in part (b). Also, let  $X_1$  and  $Y_1$  be independent. Construct a table similar to the one above giving the joint probability mass function of  $X_1$  and  $Y_1$ .
- (d)\* Using your result from part (c), calculate the probability mass function of the random variable  $U_1 := X_1 + Y_1$ . Compare this probability mass function with the one you calculated in part (a).
- (e)\* Compute  $\mathbb{E}X$  and  $\mathbb{V}Y$ .

(a) Clearly the sample space for U is  $S_U = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , and we can easily calculate:

$$p_U(0) = \mathbb{P}(U=0) = \mathbb{P}\{(X=0, Y=0)\} = 0.1$$

$$p_U(1) = \mathbb{P}(U=1) = \mathbb{P}\{(X=0, Y=1) \text{ or } (X=1, Y=0)\} = 0.1 + 0.25 = 0.35$$

$$p_U(2) = \mathbb{P}(U=2) = \mathbb{P}\{(X=0, Y=2) \text{ or } (X=1, Y=1) \text{ or } (X=2, Y=0)\} = 0.2 + 0.05 = 0.25$$

$$p_U(3) = \mathbb{P}(U=3) = \mathbb{P}\{(X=1, Y=2) \text{ or } (X=2, Y=1)\} = 0.2 + 0.05 = 0.25$$
  
 $p_U(4) = \mathbb{P}(U=4) = \mathbb{P}\{(X=2, Y=2)\} = 0.05$ 

(b) The marginal probability mass function of 
$$X$$
 is:

$$p_X(0) = \mathbb{P}(X = 0) = \mathbb{P}(X = 0, 0 \le Y \le 2) = 0.10 + 0.10 + 0.20 = 0.40$$

$$p_X(1) = \mathbb{P}(X = 1) = \mathbb{P}(X = 1, 0 \le Y \le 2) = 0.25 + 0.00 + 0.20 = 0.45$$

$$p_X(2) = \mathbb{P}(X=2) = \mathbb{P}(X=2, 0 \le Y \le 2) = 0.05 + 0.05 + 0.05 = 0.15$$

And similarly the probability mass function of Y is:

$$p_Y(0) = \mathbb{P}(Y = 0) = \mathbb{P}(Y = 0, 0 \le X \le 2) = 0.10 + 0.25 + 0.05 = 0.40$$

$$p_Y(1) = \mathbb{P}(Y = 1) = \mathbb{P}(Y = 1, 0 \le X \le 2) = 0.10 + 0.00 + 0.05 = 0.15$$

$$p_Y(2) = \mathbb{P}(Y = 2) = \mathbb{P}(Y = 2, 0 \le X \le 2) = 0.20 + 0.20 + 0.05 = 0.45$$

Now, clearly X and Y are not independent since, for example,

$$\mathbb{P}(X = 0, Y = 0) = 0.1 \neq 0.16 = \mathbb{P}(X = 0)\mathbb{P}(Y = 0)$$

Marco Li Risk Theory 22/28

(c) Solution: Using the multiplication rule for independent random variables, we have:

			Y	
		0	1	2
	0	$0.40 \times 0.40 = 0.16$	$0.40 \times 0.15 = 0.0600$	$0.40 \times 0.45 = 0.1800$
X	1	$0.45 \times 0.40 = 0.18$	$0.45 \times 0.15 = 0.0675$	$0.45 \times 0.45 = 0.2025$
	2	$0.15 \times 0.40 = 0.06$	$0.15 \times 0.15 = 0.0225$	$0.15 \times 0.45 = 0.0675$

(d) Solution: Similar to part (a), the probability mass function of  $U_1$  is calculated as:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{U_1}(0) &= \mathbb{P}\left(U_1=0\right) = \mathbb{P}\left\{(X_1=0,Y_1=0)\right\} = 0.16; \\ p_{U_1}(1) &= \mathbb{P}\left(U_1=1\right) = \mathbb{P}\left\{(X_1=0,Y_1=1) \text{ or } (X_1=1,Y_1=0)\right\} = 0.06 + 0.18 = 0.24 \\ p_{U_1}(2) &= \mathbb{P}\left(U_1=2\right) = \mathbb{P}\left\{(X_1=0,Y_1=2) \text{ or } (X_1=1,Y_1=1) \text{ or } (X_1=2,Y_1=0)\right\} \\ &= 0.18 + 0.0675 + 0.06 = 0.3075 \\ p_{U_1}(3) &= \mathbb{P}\left(U_1=3\right) = \mathbb{P}\left\{(X_1=1,Y_1=2) \text{ or } (X_1=2,Y_1=1)\right\} = 0.2025 + 0.0225 = 0.225 \\ p_{U_1}(4) &= \mathbb{P}\left(U_1=4\right) = \mathbb{P}\left\{(X_1=2,Y_1=2)\right\} = 0.0675 \end{aligned}$$

This is different from the probability mass function of U, despite the equality of the marginal distributions of the components of  $U_1$  and U. Thus, the joint distribution is necessary in determining the distribution of the sum (or any multi-variable function) of random variables.

(e) By definition we calculate:

$$\mathbb{E}X = \sum_{i=0}^{2} i p_X(i) = 0 \times 0.4 + 1 \times 0.45 + 2 \times 0.15 = 0.75$$

$$\mathbb{E}Y = \sum_{i=0}^{2} i p_Y(i) = 0 \times 0.4 + 1 \times 0.15 + 2 \times 0.45 = 1.05$$

$$\mathbb{E}Y^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{2} i^2 p_Y(i) = 0^2 \times 0.4 + 1^2 \times 0.15 + 2^2 \times 0.45 = 1.95$$

$$\mathbb{V}Y = \mathbb{E}Y^2 - (\mathbb{E}Y)^2 = 1.95 - 1.05^2 = 0.8475.$$

Marco Li Risk Theory 24 / 28

(Joint Distributions and Independency) Let X and Y be discrete random variables each taking values in the sample space  $S = \{0, 1, 2\}$  and having a joint probability mass funct ion given by the following table:

			Y	
		0	1	2
	0	0.10	0.10	0.20
X	1	0.25	0.00	0.20
	2	0.05	0.05	0.05

(f) Calculate the probability mass function of the random variable  $\mathbb{E}(X|Y)$  by finding  $\mathbb{E}(X|Y=y)$  and calculate  $\mathbb{P}[\mathbb{E}(X|Y)=\mathbb{E}(X|Y=y)]$  for each of y=0,1,2. Verify the identity  $\mathbb{E}(X)=\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(X|Y)]$  for these two random variables.

(g) In addition to (f), verify the identity  $\mathbb{V}X = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{V}(X|Y)] + \mathbb{V}[\mathbb{E}(X|Y)]$  for these two random variables.

(f) We note that  $\mathbb{E}(X|Y=y)=\sum_{i=0}^2 ip_{X|Y}(i|y)$  where  $p_{X|Y}(i|y)$  is the conditional probability mass function of X given Y=y which we can calculate for all possible pairs (x,y) as:

$$\begin{split} p_{X|Y}(0|0) &= \mathbb{P}(X=0|Y=0) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X=0,Y=0)}{\mathbb{P}(Y=0)} = \frac{0.10}{0.40} = 0.250 \\ p_{X|Y}(1|0) &= \mathbb{P}(X=1|Y=0) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X=1,Y=0)}{\mathbb{P}(Y=0)} = \frac{0.05}{0.40} = 0.625 \\ p_{X|Y}(2|0) &= \mathbb{P}(X=2|Y=0) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X=2,Y=0)}{\mathbb{P}(Y=0)} = \frac{0.05}{0.40} = 0.125 \\ p_{X|Y}(0|1) &= \mathbb{P}(X=0|Y=1) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X=0,Y=1)}{\mathbb{P}(Y=1)} = \frac{0.10}{0.15} = 0.667 \\ p_{X|Y}(1|1) &= \mathbb{P}(X=1|Y=1) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X=1,Y=1)}{\mathbb{P}(Y=1)} = \frac{0}{0.15} = 0.000 \\ p_{X|Y}(2|1) &= \mathbb{P}(X=2|Y=1) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X=2,Y=1)}{\mathbb{P}(Y=1)} = \frac{0.05}{0.15} = 0.333 \\ p_{X|Y}(0|2) &= \mathbb{P}(X=0|Y=2) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X=0,Y=2)}{\mathbb{P}(Y=2)} = \frac{0.20}{0.45} = 0.444 \\ p_{X|Y}(1|2) &= \mathbb{P}(X=1|Y=2) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X=1,Y=2)}{\mathbb{P}(Y=2)} = \frac{0.20}{0.45} = 0.444 \\ p_{X|Y}(2|2) &= \mathbb{P}(X=2|Y=2) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X=2,Y=2)}{\mathbb{P}(Y=2)} = \frac{0.05}{0.45} = 0.111 \\ \mathbb{P}(X=1,Y=2) &= \mathbb{P}(X=1,Y=2) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X=2,Y=2)}{\mathbb{P}(Y=2)} = \frac{0.05}{0.45} = 0.111 \\ \mathbb{P}(X=1,Y=2) &= \mathbb{P}(X=1,Y=2) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X=2,Y=2)}{\mathbb{P}(Y=2)} = \frac{0.05}{0.45} = 0.111 \\ \mathbb{P}(X=1,Y=2) &= \mathbb{P}(X=1,Y=2) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X=2,Y=2)}{\mathbb{P}(Y=2)} = \frac{0.05}{0.45} = 0.111 \\ \mathbb{P}(X=1,Y=2) &= \mathbb{P}(X=1,Y=2) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X=2,Y=2)}{\mathbb{P}(Y=2)} = \frac{0.05}{0.45} = 0.111 \\ \mathbb{P}(X=1,Y=2) &= \mathbb{P}(X=1,Y=2) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X=2,Y=2)}{\mathbb{P}(Y=2)} = \frac{0.05}{0.45} = 0.111 \\ \mathbb{P}(X=1,Y=2) &= \mathbb{P}(X=1,Y=2) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X=2,Y=2)}{\mathbb{P}(Y=2)} = \frac{0.05}{0.45} = 0.111 \\ \mathbb{P}(X=1,Y=2) &= \mathbb{P}(X=1,Y=2) = \mathbb{P}(X=1,Y=2) = 0.05 \\ \mathbb{P}(X=1,Y=2) &= \mathbb{P}(X=1,Y=2) = 0.05 \\ \mathbb{P}(X=1,Y=2) &= 0.05 \\ \mathbb{P}($$

Thus, we can calculate

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}(X|Y=0) &= 0 \times 0.250 + 1 \times 0.625 + 2 \times 0.125 = 0.875 \\ \mathbb{E}(X|Y=1) &= 0 \times 0.667 + 1 \times 0.000 + 2 \times 0.333 = 0.667 \\ \mathbb{E}(X|Y=2) &= 0 \times 0.444 + 1 \times 0.444 + 2 \times 0.111 = 0.667 \end{split}$$

Finally, then, we see that

 $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(X|Y)] = \sum_{i=0}^{2} \mathbb{E}(X|Y=i)p_{Y}(i) = 0.875 \times 0.4 + 0.667 \times 0.15 + 0.667 \times 0.45 = 0.75$  which is the same as  $\mathbb{E}(X)$  which we calculated in part (e).

Marco Li Risk Theory 26 / 28

(g) First by (e) and definition we have

VX = 0.477 + 0.01042 = 0.4875

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}X &= 0.75 \\ \mathbb{E}X^2 &= \sum_{i=0}^2 i^2 p_X(i) = 0^2 \times 0.15 + 1^2 \times 0.45 + 2^2 \times 0.45 = 1.05 \\ \mathbb{V}X &= \mathbb{E}X^2 - (\mathbb{E}X)^2 = 1.05 - 0.75^2 = 0.4875 \end{split}$$

Now by (f) and definition we have 
$$\mathbb{E}\left(X^2|Y=0\right) = 0^2 \times 0.250 + 1^2 \times 0.625 + 2^2 \times 0.125 = 1.125$$
 
$$\mathbb{E}\left(X^2|Y=1\right) = 0^2 \times 0.667 + 1^2 \times 0.000 + 2^2 \times 0.333 = 1.333$$
 
$$\mathbb{E}\left(X^2|Y=2\right) = 0^2 \times 0.444 + 1^2 \times 0.444 + 2^2 \times 0.111 = 0.888$$
 
$$\mathbb{V}(X|Y=0) = \mathbb{E}\left(X^2|Y=0\right) - [\mathbb{E}(X|Y=0)]^2 = 1.125 - 0.875^2 = 0.359$$
 
$$\mathbb{V}(X|Y=1) = \mathbb{E}\left(X^2|Y=1\right) - [\mathbb{E}(X|Y=1)]^2 = 1.333 - 0.667^2 = 0.889$$
 
$$\mathbb{V}(X|Y=2) = \mathbb{E}\left(X^2|Y=2\right) - [\mathbb{E}(X|Y=2)]^2 = 0.888 - 0.667^2 = 0.444$$
 
$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{V}(X|Y)] = \sum_{i=0}^2 \mathbb{V}(X|Y=i)p_Y(i) = 0.359 \times 0.4 + 0.889 \times 0.15 + 0.444 \times 0.45 = 0.477$$
 
$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(X|Y)]^2 = \sum_{i=0}^2 [\mathbb{E}(X|Y=i)]^2 p_Y(i) = 0.875^2 \times 0.4 + 0.667^2 \times 0.15 + 0.667^2 \times 0.45 = 0.57292$$
 
$$\mathbb{V}[\mathbb{E}(X|Y)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(X|Y)]^2 - \{\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(X|Y)]\}^2 = 0.57292 - 0.75^2 = 0.01042$$

Marco Li Risk Theory 27 / 2a

### Paragraphs

```
\begin{itemize}
\item One item
\item Another item
\end{itemize}
\begin{enumerate}
\item First item
\item Second item
\end{enumerate}
\begin{description}
\item[Lion] A mammal
\item[Shark] A fish
\end{description}
\begin{itemize}
\item A list inside a list
\begin{enumerate}
\item Lists
\item can be
\item recursive
\end{enumerate}
\end{itemize}
```

- One item
- Another item
- 1 First item
- 2 Second item

Lion A mammal Shark A fish

- A list inside a list
  - 1 Lists
  - 2 can be
  - 3 recursive