Numerical Analysis homework week1

谭希 3220100027 *

(数学与应用数学 2201), Zhejiang University

Due time: 2024 年 10 月 4 日

- I. Consider the bisection method starting with the initial interval [1.5, 3.5]. In the following questions "the interval" refers to the bisection interval whose width changes across different loops.
- I-a What is the width of the interval at the nth step?

The initial interval is [1.5, 3.5], and its width is:

$$b-a=3.5-1.5=2$$

At each iteration, the width is halved. Therefore, at the nth step, the interval width is:

$$d = \frac{2}{2^{n-1}}$$

I-b What is the supremum of the distance between the root r and the midpoint of the interval?

At the *n*-th step, the current interval width is $\frac{2}{2^{n-1}}$, and the midpoint is located at the center of this interval. The root r can be at any position within the interval, and the farthest distance from the midpoint is half of the current interval width. If the current interval width is $\frac{2}{2^{n-1}}$, the maximum distance is:

$$d_n = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{2}{2^n} = \frac{1}{2^n}$$

Therefore, at the *n*-th step, the supremum of the distance between the root r and the midpoint of the interval is $\frac{1}{2^n}$.

II. In using the bisection algorithm with its initial interval as $[a_0, b_0]$ with $a_0 > 0$, we want to determine the root with its relative error no greater than. Prove that this goal of accuracy is guaranteed by the following choice of the number of steps,

$$n \ge \frac{\log(b_0 - a_0) - \log \epsilon - \log a_0}{\log 2} - 1.$$

^{*}Electronic address: 3220100027@zju.edu.cn

To ensure that the relative error of the root is no greater than ϵ using the bisection method with an initial interval $[a_0, b_0]$ where $a_0 > 0$, we need to choose the number of steps n appropriately.

The length of the interval after n steps is:

$$\frac{b_0 - a_0}{2^n}$$

We want this length to be at most $\epsilon \cdot a_0$, so :

$$\frac{b_0 - a_0}{2^n} \le \epsilon \cdot a_0$$

Taking the logarithm of both sides:

$$\log\left(\frac{b_0 - a_0}{2^n}\right) \le \log(\epsilon \cdot a_0)$$

$$\log(b_0 - a_0) - n\log 2 \le \log \epsilon + \log a_0$$

$$-n\log 2 \le \log \epsilon + \log a_0 - \log(b_0 - a_0)$$

$$n \ge \frac{\log(b_0 - a_0) - \log \epsilon - \log a_0}{\log 2}$$

steps must be integers, the final number of steps n should be:

$$n \ge \frac{\log(b_0 - a_0) - \log \epsilon - \log a_0}{\log 2} - 1$$

This ensures that the relative error of the root is no greater than ϵ .

III. Perform four iterations of Newton's method for the polynomial equation $p(x) = 4x^3 - 2x^2 + 3 = 0$ with the starting point $x_0 = -1$. Use a hand calculator and organize results of the iterations in a table.

Calculate the function p(x) and its derivative p'(x), then use Newton's method iteration formula:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{p(x_n)}{p'(x_n)}$$

$$p(x) = 4x^3 - 2x^2 + 3$$

$$p'(x) = 12x^2 - 4x$$

First Iteration: $x_0 = -1$

$$p(-1) = 4(-1)^3 - 2(-1)^2 + 3 = -4 - 2 + 3 = -3$$

$$p'(-1) = 12(-1)^2 - 4(-1) = 12 + 4 = 16$$

$$x_1 = -1 - \frac{-3}{16} = -1 + \frac{3}{16} = -\frac{13}{16} \approx -0.8125$$

Second Iteration: $x_1 = -0.8125$

$$p(-0.8125) = 4(-0.8125)^3 - 2(-0.8125)^2 + 3$$

so:

$$p(-0.8125) = -2.14453125 - 1.3125 + 3 = -0.4658$$

$$x_2 = -0.8125 - \frac{-0.45703125}{11.125} = -0.8125 + 0.041 \approx -0.7708$$

Similarly, other values can be calculated iteratively. Below is the table summarizing the results:

Iteration	x_n	$p(x_n)$	$p'(x_n)$
0	-1.0000	-3.0000	16
1	-0.8125	-0.4658	11.1719
2	-0.7708	-0.0201	10.2129
3	-0.7688	-4.3708e-05	10.1686
4	-0.7688	-2.0741e-10	10.1685

IV. Consider a variation of Newton's method in which only the derivative at x_0 is used,

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_0)}.$$

Find C and s such that $e_{n+1} = Ce_n^s$, where en is the error of Newton's method at step n, s is a constant, and C may depend on x_n , the true solution α , and the derivative of the function f.

Let the error at step n be defined as $e_n = x_n - \alpha$, where α is the true root of f(x) = 0. Using the modified Newton's method formula, we get:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_0)}.$$

$$x_{n+1} - \alpha = x_n - \alpha - \frac{f'(\xi_n)(x_n - \alpha)}{f'(x_0)}$$

$$x_{n+1} - \alpha = (1 - \frac{f'(\xi_n)}{f'(x_0)}(x_n - \alpha))$$

$$e_{n+1} \approx (1 - \frac{f'(x_n)}{f'(x_0)})e_n$$

$$s = 1, C = 1 - \frac{f'(\alpha)}{f'(x_0)}$$

Thus:

V. Within $\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$, will the iteration $x_{n+1} = \tan^{-1} x_n$ converge?

Within the interval $\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$, f(x) is continuous and monotonically increasing.

We need to analyze the convergence of the iteration $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$. To check for convergence, we can find the fixed point of the function, which satisfies the equation:

$$x = \tan^{-1} x$$

Let $x^* = \tan^{-1} x^*$. By analyzing this equation, we find that the solution is $x^* = 0$, since $\tan^{-1}(0) = 0$.

Next, we analyze the convergence of the iteration. According to the fixed-point convergence theorem, if f is a contraction mapping near the fixed point, the iterative sequence will converge.

We compute f'(x) to check its behavior near the fixed point $x^* = 0$:

$$f'(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2}$$

Within the interval $\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$, f'(x) is always positive and less than 1. Specifically, we have:

$$f'(0) = 1$$

To ensure that f is a contraction near the fixed point, we note that:

$$f'(x) < 1$$
 for $x \neq 0$

Since f is monotonically increasing and the slope near the fixed point is less than 1, we conclude that the iteration $x_{n+1} = \tan^{-1} x_n$ will converge to the fixed point $x^* = 0$.

The iteration $x_{n+1} = \tan^{-1} x_n$ converges within the interval $\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$.

VI. Let p > 1. What is the value of the following continued fraction?

$$x = \frac{1}{p + \frac{1}{p + \frac{1}{n + \dots}}}$$

Prove that the sequence of values converges. (Hint: this can be interpreted as $x = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n$, where $x_1 = \frac{1}{p}$, $x_2 = \frac{1}{p + \frac{1}{p}}$, $x_3 = \frac{1}{p + \frac{1}{p + \frac{1}{p}}}$, and so

forth. Formulate x as a fixed point of some function.)

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{p}$$
, $x_2 = \frac{1}{p+x_1}$, $x_3 = \frac{1}{p+x_2}$, ...

express the general term x_n as:

$$x_n = \frac{1}{p + x_{n-1}}$$

Assuming the limit

$$x = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n$$

exists, substituting x into the recurrence gives:

$$x = \frac{1}{p+x}$$

multiply both sides by p + x:

$$x(p+x) = 1$$

$$px + x^2 = 1$$

$$x^2 + px - 1 = 0$$

then:

$$x = \frac{-p \pm \sqrt{p^2 + 4}}{2}$$

Since p > 1:

$$x = \frac{-p + \sqrt{p^2 + 4}}{2}$$

Next, we need to prove that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges.

Boundedness:

To proof $x_n > 0$. By induction: - For n = 1, $x_1 = \frac{1}{p} > 0$ since p > 1. - Suppose $x_n > 0$. Then $x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{p+x_n} > 0$ because $p + x_n > p > 0$.

Therefore, by induction, $x_n > 0$ for all n.

Next, we show that $x_n < \frac{1}{p-1}$: - For n = 1:

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{p} < \frac{1}{p-1}$$
 (since $p > 1$)

- Assume $x_n < \frac{1}{p-1}$. Then:

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{p+x_n} > \frac{1}{p+\frac{1}{p-1}} = \frac{1}{\frac{p(p-1)+1}{p-1}} = \frac{p-1}{p^2-p+1}$$

because $p^2 - 2p + 1 > 0, \frac{1}{p + \frac{1}{p-1}} < \frac{1}{p-1}$. This is true for p > 1.

Thus, x_n is bounded above by $\frac{1}{p-1}$.

Monotonicity:

To prove that x_n is decreasing, we show $x_{n+1} < x_n$:

$$\frac{1}{p+x_n} < x_n \implies 1 < x_n(p+x_n) \implies 1 < px_n + x_n^2$$

This will hold true if we can show that $x_n^2 + px_n - 1 > 0$.

Given the roots of $x^2 + px - 1 = 0$ are $\frac{-p \pm \sqrt{p^2 + 4}}{2}$ and knowing that $x_n > 0$ for sufficiently large n, the quadratic $x^2 + px - 1$ is positive for $x > \frac{-p + \sqrt{p^2 + 4}}{2}$, which is valid for large n.

Thus, x_n is decreasing and bounded below by 0. Therefore, by the Monotone Convergence Theorem, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges.

In conclusion, the value of the continued fraction is:

$$x = \frac{-p + \sqrt{p^2 + 4}}{2}$$

VII. What happens in problem II if $a_0 < 0 < b_0$? Derive an inequality of the number of steps similar to that in II. In this case, is the relative error still an appropriate measure?

zaizhelimianbuyeshiyiyangzidem keyia najiuanzhaonisou shoude

According to Problem II:

$$\frac{b_n - a_n}{2^n} \le \epsilon |r|$$

$$n \ge \frac{\log(b_0 - a_0) - \log \epsilon - \log a_0}{\log 2} - 1.$$

If the root is 0 or so close to 0, the relative error may become too large or undefined so, the relative error isn't an appropriate measure, absolute error is better.

VIII. (*) Consider solving f(x) = 0 (where $f \in C^{k+1}$) by Newton's method with the starting point x_0 close to a root of multiplicity k. Note that α is a zero of multiplicity k of the function f if and only if $f^{(k)}(\alpha) \neq 0$ and $f^{(i)}(\alpha) = 0$ for all i < k.

VIII-a How can a multiple zero be detected by examining the behavior of the points $(x_n, f(x_n))$?

The standard Newton's iteration is

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$$

As x_n approaches to a multiple zero, $f(x_n)$ may be always near to zero for several iterations and the iterates x_n remain very close together.

VIII-b Prove that if r is a zero of multiplicity k of the function f, then quadratic convergence in Newton's iteration will be restored by making this modification:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$$

In the case of a multiple root, can modify it to:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{kf(x_n)}{f^{(k)}(x_n)}$$

Since r is a multiple root of k, we can represent f(x) near r as:

$$f(x) = (x - r)^k g(x)$$

where $g(r) \neq 0$ (since $f^{(k)}(r) \neq 0$).

$$f'(x) = k(x-r)^{k-1}g(x) + (x-r)^k g'(x)$$

substitute x_n close to r:

$$f(x_n) = (x_n - r)^k g(x_n)$$

and

$$f'(x_n) \approx k(x_n - r)^{k-1}g(r)$$

when x_n is close to r, and $g(x_n) \approx g(r)$. So:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{kf(x_n)}{f^{(k)}(x_n)} = x_n - \frac{k(x_n - r)^k g(x_n)}{g(r)}$$

Suppose x_n is close enough to r:

$$x_{n+1} - r = x_n - r - \frac{k(x_n - r)^k g(r)}{g(r)} = x_n - r - (x_n - r)^k$$

As n becomes large enough, $(x_n - r)^k$ will become very small. The quadratic term will yield:

$$|x_{n+1} - r| \approx C|x_n - r|^2$$

where C is a constant, thus confirming that x_n converges to r at a quadratic rate.