

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATICS


TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN

Bachelor's Thesis in Informatics: Game Engineering

Thesis title

Ruilin Qi





DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATICS

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN

Bachelor's Thesis in Informatics: Game Engineering

Thesis title

Titel der Abschlussarbeit

Author:	Ruilin Qi
Supervisor:	Supervisor
Advisor:	Stepan Vanecek
Submission Date:	15th March 2022



I confirm that this bachelor's thesis in informatics: game engineering is my own work and I have documented all sources and material used.

Munich, 15th March 2022

Ruilin Qi

Acknowledgments

Abstract

Contents

Acknowledgments	iii
Abstract	iv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background and Motivation	1
1.2 Goals and Aims	1
1.3 Delimitation	1
1.4 Structure and Approach	1
2 Background	2
2.1 PCI-Express	2
2.1.1 Key Features	2
2.1.2 Functionality	3
2.1.3 Topology and Communication	4
2.1.4 Revisions and Further Specifications	4
2.2 Graphics Processing Units	4
2.2.1 What are GPUs	4
2.2.2 Why even use GPUs	5
2.3 CUDA	5
3 Bandwidth Benchmark	6
3.1 Concept	6
3.2 Implementation	6
3.3 Results	6
3.4 Discussion	6
3.4.1 successes	6
3.4.2 shortcomings	6
4 NVML Counters	7
4.1 Concept	7
4.2 Implementation	7
4.3 Results	7

Contents

4.4	Discussion	7
4.4.1	successes	7
4.4.2	shortcomings	7
5	Link Saturation	8
5.1	Concept	8
5.2	Implementation	8
5.3	Results	8
5.4	Discussion	8
5.4.1	successes	8
5.4.2	shortcomings	8
6	Summary	9
	List of Figures	10
	List of Tables	11

1 Introduction

1.1 Background and Motivation

1.2 Goals and Aims

- develop lightweight tool that has few requirements to gain insight to data movements in a PCIe link

1.3 Delimitation

- Heterogeneous systems have many different interconnects - Focus on PCIe-interface in this thesis - (why)? not sure yet, figure something out

1.4 Structure and Approach

- three approaches / benchmarks - bandwidth: for measuring the raw bandwidth capacity of the system - nvml: for measuring pcie link activity - copy: for measuring pcie link activity

2 Background

2.1 PCI-Express

PCIe, or PCI-Express, shorthand for Peripheral Component Interconnect Express,

PCIe is designed to replace the ageing PCI (Peripheral Component Interconnect), PCI-X (Peripheral Component Interconnect Extended), and AGP (Accelerated Graphics Port) standards. [cite here: verma/dahiya] These standards are developed, defined, and maintained by the PCI-SIG group, which is a nonprofit organization with 800+ member companies based in Beaverton, Oregon. [cite here: pcisig page] This chapter will briefly introduce the key features and functionality of PCI-Express.

2.1.1 Key Features

- host to device point-to-point connection
- serial bus
- full-duplex link - transmits to and from the device are handled separately
- scalability of link - double link width equals double bandwidth
- backwards compatible with PCI
- same memory, i/o, config address space
- better error handling than PCI
- backwards compatible with previous PCIe generations
- theoretical maximum bandwidth of each generation of PCIe doubles

[note: further expand, cite sources, write text or enumeration?]

2.1.2 Functionality

packet

PCIe, similar to IPv4 or IPv6, utilizes packets to communicate between the host - the CPU - and the device. As shown in figure [figure here], the packet consists of a few different elements, which will be further expanded upon below.

- Start: this is the start component which signals the begin of a packet to the physical layer.
- Sequence: This two-byte sequence is used by the Data Link Layer to determine the sequence of the packets.
- Header: The 12 to 16 Byte header will be discussed in further detail in subsection [reference to header]. This component belongs to the Transaction layer.
- Payload: The PCIe payload. This is optional, however any memory transferred via memory copy operations will have the memory as payload. This also is a part of the Transaction layer.
- ECRC: a CRC code for error-checking purposes used by the transaction layer.
- LCRC: a CRC code for error-checking purposes used by the Data Link Layer.

header

As with IPv4 or IPv6, PCI-Express uses headers to determine the purpose and target of each TLP (Transaction Layer Packet) However, instead of using IP-addresses, stored in the header, to determine the sender and the receiver, PCIe uses the Requester ID to determine the sender. The Address determines the receiver of the intended packet, as the device memory is memory-mapped into the host address domain to enable the processor's native load or store instructions to work with PCIe devices. [cite oracle website] Below is an example PCI-Express header [reference figure, insert graphic].

As seen in [ref figure], the header comes with a set format, similar to an IPv4 or v6 header. The fields are briefly explained below.

- TC: Traffic Class: this denotes the priority of the packet, with ascending priority. [cite book]
- TD: The TLP Digest field. If TD is set to 1, it indicates that there is additional CRC data in the TLP data. [cite xillybus]

- Length: more or less self-explanatory: length denotes the length of the payload in Double Words. [cite xillybus]
- Requester ID: self-explanatory: the ID of the device that requested or sent the packet. [cite xillybus]
- Tag: The Tag field has the function of a tracking number, as for read requests, the device must copy this value to its response. All outstanding tags must be unique to ensure data integrity. [cite xillybus]
- DW BE fields: DW BE stands for Double-Word Byte Enable. This denotes which of the bytes in the first / last DWs are valid. [cite xillybus]
- Address: self-explanatory: The Address to which this packet is addressed, as explained above. Additionally, for read and write requests, this denotes the starting address of the read or write. [cite xillybus]
- The EP and Attr fields are not further elaborated upon as they are rarely used by PCIe endpoint devices. [cite xillybus]

[note: a lot of the specifications are inaccessible to me due to them being locked behind PCI SIG membership, so sources are difficult to find.] [note2: xillybus is a website source, not sure if it is safe to use. Link: <http://xillybus.com/tutorials/pci-express-tlp-pcie-primer-tutorial-guide-1>]

2.1.3 Topology and Communication

- root complex
-

2.1.4 Revisions and Further Specifications

- mention gen 5 and 6
- gen6 paper
- other formfactors: thunderbolt / nvm-express

2.2 Graphics Processing Units

2.2.1 What are GPUs

-

2.2.2 Why even use GPUs

-

2.3 CUDA

- nvidia's programming-API
- closed-source
- offers way to communicate with nvidia GPUs

3 Bandwidth Benchmark

3.1 Concept

- Measures raw theoretical maximum bandwidth of pcie link by measuring the duration of memory copies of various chunk sizes - Checks at which chunk sizes the bandwidth of the link is fully saturated

3.2 Implementation

- Compensates for delay - 1 packet with 4B measured as delay - Pageable and pinned memory benchmarks measured - Warmup-feature: first transfer usually has some sort of longer delay, compensates for that (windows-finding, verify on p6000)

3.3 Results

- Transfer durations don't really increase until 8kb - Due to the nature of the PCI-E packet having a max payload of 4kb - First transfer usually has a bit longer delay (warmup?) - On windows: not executable that calls functions, but rather nvcuda64.dll - requires compiling on windows and then using a profiling tool like AMDUprof to look at the program

3.4 Discussion

3.4.1 successes

- gives accurate reading of pcie bandwidths - non-linear scaling of packet transfer durations (2 packets does not equal double the duration of one packet)

3.4.2 shortcomings

- does not really compensate for other bottlenecks, as seen on time-x with gen4 link bandwidth speeds

4 NVML Counters

4.1 Concept

- nvidia has hardware counters, accessible via nvml library - counters measure average bandwidth over the last 20ms, in kb/sec - Transmit and Receive have separate counters

4.2 Implementation

- method call to read counters takes about 20ms - to increase data granularity and measurement consistency, measuring of TX and RX was done in parallel

4.3 Results

- graphs

4.4 Discussion

4.4.1 successes

- measures bandwidths accurately to some degree - introduces little overhead (probably, still to be measured)

4.4.2 shortcomings

- granularity of method calls prevent more accurate readings -> short memory transfers may be not detected - black box approach of nvidia's source code doesn't allow for proper sanity-checking

5 Link Saturation

5.1 Concept

- if bandwidth is saturated, copy operations should slow down - full duplex, so HtoD and DtoH both need measuring

5.2 Implementation

- Started as a thread that just continuously monitored the counters for set duration of time and printed output into console - Added wrapper and file output in subsequent versions to simplify data-gathering - Added chunk size options for the buffer copy chunks to get as little overhead as possible while getting most consistent data gathering
- Delays are measured, no clear correlation between delay and bandwidth - Overhead yet to be properly assessed, however, introduces somewhat significant overhead. TODO: ASSESS OVERHEAD - Measure transmit and receive in the same thread, sequentially

5.3 Results

- graphs - descriptors of graphs

5.4 Discussion

5.4.1 successes

- gives somewhat detailed going-on about PCIe link activities

5.4.2 shortcomings

- introduces some overhead due to occupying PCIe link - Delay compensation sometimes leads to negative values due to delay inconsistencies

6 Summary

Outlook

List of Figures

List of Tables