Quantitative Methods I: Final Project Guide HAD 5744

The final project provides you with an opportunity to undertake an investigation of a research question of your own choosing, applying the methods of causal inference to further knowledge on the subject. Your topic should be relatively self-contained in either health economics or health services research.

The main goals of the project are to give you experience (1) identifying and honing a research question, (2) selecting and executing appropriate methods for causal inference analysis, and (3) communicating the assumptions, methods, results, and interpretations in a clear way to an academic audience. Hence, the paper should follow the structure of either a health economics or health services research journal manuscript. **You should complete your paper in groups** of 2-4.

This document outlines the relevant expectations and grading structure for the assignment. Remember that overall, the final project counts for 40% of the final semester grade. The final project will be graded out of 100 points.

- 1. **Project proposal** (10 points). The structure of the proposal should be:
 - *Introduction (2 points):* What your research question is, and why it is important (e.g., what contributions you will be making to the literature). Importantly, what is the causal question your paper is attempting to answer?
 - Literature Review (2 points): What previous work has been done to address this question (e.g., how your contributions fit into an ongoing discussion)
 - *Data (3 points):* What data sources will be used? What will your key variables be? If available, provide summary statistics on the variables, sample size, and any other relevant information of interest.
 - *Methods (3 points):* What methods will allow you to recover the causal parameters of interest? How will those methods be employed? What assumptions need to be satisfied for these methods to be used convincingly? How will you defend or test these assumptions?

In general, you are not expected to have all of the answers or content for each of these sections yet (particularly for the Methods section). You should aim for your project to include at least one design-based method from the second half of the course (e.g., from Matching on). Your proposal should be <u>no more than 6 pages (double-spaced) long, (excluding tables, figures, and references).</u>¹

2. <u>Project presentation (15 points; in class)</u>. The in-class presentation will give you experience explaining and defending in your assumptions in a seminar-style setting. You should prepare slides with the following (approximate structure):

-

¹ Note that appendices are not required by any means.

- *Introduction* (1-2 slides) if you must include some lit review, do it here, but don't spend too much time on other people's work at the expense of discussing your own!
- Data and Summary Stats (1-2 slides)
- *Methods* (4-5 slides) this should be the heart of the presentation. Focus on what parameters you are trying to recover, how you went about identifying it, and what assumptions you used.
- Results (1-2 slides) give us a quick preview of what you are finding, but the point of this seminar is to focus on the methods, not discussing policy implications or major conclusions (yet).

The entire presentation should last <u>no more than 15 minutes</u>. The grade for this portion will be determined based on presentation skills (10 points) and handling audience questions (5 points).

- 3. Participation in presentations of other groups (5 points, in class). In addition to your own presentation, you are responsible to (1) have reviewed class members' presentation slides in advance of the class; (2) listen attentively to presentations; and (3) engage in a discussion of the strengths and weaknesses of each other's projects.
- 4. <u>Final paper (70 points)</u>. The final paper should include (completed) sections from the proposal above (Intro, Lit Review, Data, Methods), as well as new sections (1) an abstract at the beginning of the paper; (2) a results section explaining your results; (3) providing discussions and, if relevant, policy implications, and (4) conclusions. The final paper will be graded based on the rubric on the following page.

Your final paper should be no more than 30 pages, double-spaced (excluding tables, figures, references, and any appendices).²

.

² Note that appendices are not required by any means.

Aspect	Score	Comments
Abstract (0 - 5 points)		
Maximum of 200 words		
• Identifies key research questions, methods, results,		
and discussion		
Reading the abstract alone is enough to get the "major"		
takeaways" of the paper, including		
analytical/methodological approaches		
Can be in the format of a clinical or economics journal		
Introduction (0 - 5 points)		
Topic stated clearly		
Question clear		
Contributions situated well		
Literature review (0 - 3 points)		
Organized well		
Relevance of literature to question is clear		
 Focuses on questions at hand 		
 Clearly explains contributions relative to past work 		
Data (0 - 5 points)		
Data source clearly spelled out		
Summary statistics provide sufficient information on		
context at hand		
 Key variables identified and discussed 		
 Descriptive statistics and/or evidence moves forward 		
discussion of research question		
Model & Methods (0 - 10 points)		
 Causal parameter of interest identified 		
 Discussion of threats to identification 		
• Express something in form of a (regression) equation		
 Good discussion of assumptions needed for 		
identification		
 Good defense of assumptions in context of question 		
Analysis (0 - 10 points)		
 Analysis done properly (code review) 		
 Writeup of analysis makes it clear what was done 		
 Discuss results in clear & compelling way 		
Robustness checks identified (even if not performed)		
Discussion (0 - 10 points)		
 Relate analytical results to research question 		
 Broader context discussion – what is the 		
external/construct/etc. validity of the results?		
 Policy recommendations based on results, if 		
applicable		
Conclusion (0 - 3 points)		

 Conclusion clearly sums up results Conclusion identifies directions for further research Conclusion correctly identifies shortcomings of current research 	
Conclusion correctly identifies shortcomings of	
ourrant recearch	
Tables and Figures (0 - 10 points)	
Tables and figures are easy to follow, well formatted	
(including notes with all relevant information)	
Selection of tables/figures and order of presentation is	
sufficient to convey research questions and results	
based only on introduction and abstract	
General writing and editing (0 - 5 points)	
Paper mimics a journal outline and language	
Clear argument from beginning to end	
Spelling and grammar correct	
Proper length	
Writing conveys and motivates ideas	
Bibliography (0 - 4 points)	
Sources are appropriately provided	
Code citations (e.g., packages) are also included &	
source notes	
NOTE: Plagiarism results in a 0 on entire paper	
Total # of points (70 possible on paper)	
Proposal Grade	
Proposal Grade Presentation Grade	
Presentation Grade	
Presentation Grade Participation Grade	
Presentation Grade Participation Grade	
Presentation Grade Participation Grade Total Grade (100 possible total)	
Presentation Grade Participation Grade Total Grade (100 possible total)	
Presentation Grade Participation Grade Total Grade (100 possible total)	
Presentation Grade Participation Grade Total Grade (100 possible total)	
Presentation Grade Participation Grade Total Grade (100 possible total)	
Presentation Grade Participation Grade Total Grade (100 possible total)	
Presentation Grade Participation Grade Total Grade (100 possible total)	