

Homework 6: on product measure and mode of convergence (49/50)

Some of the following questions will be graded. Do them, and do hand them in.

Order of integration: $\int_0^\infty \int_x^\infty e^{-y^2/2} dy dx = 1$

Use Tonelli's Theorem and 1-variable calculus to give a rigorous proof for the equality

$$\int_0^\infty \int_x^\infty e^{-y^2/2} dy dx = 1$$

Proof Define

$$f(x, y) := \begin{cases} e^{-y^2/2}, & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq y, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_x^\infty e^{-y^2/2} dy dx = \int \left[\int f(x, y) dm(y) \right] dm(x)$$

Since $f(x, y) = e^{-y^2/2}$ is **nonnegative** and **continuous**, it is measurable and thus in $L^+(X \times Y)$, where $X = Y = (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}, m)$ is σ -finite.

Thus we can apply Tonelli's theorem:

$$\int \left[\int f(x, y) dm(y) \right] dm(x) = \int f d(m(x) \times m(y)) \quad (6.1)$$

$$= \int \left[\int f(x, y) dm(x) \right] dm(y) \quad (6.2)$$

$$= \int \left[\int f(x, y) dm(x) \right] dm(y) \quad (6.3)$$

$$= \int \left[\int e^{-y^2/2} dm(x) \right] dm(y) \quad (6.4)$$

Where

$$\int e^{-y^2/2} dm(x) = \int_{[0, y]} e^{-y^2/2} dx = ye^{-y^2/2}$$

Thus

$$\int \left[\int f(x, y) dm(y) \right] dm(x) = \int \left[\int e^{-y^2/2} dm(x) \right] dm(y) \quad (6.5)$$

$$= \int ye^{-y^2/2} dm(y) \quad (6.6)$$

$$= \int_{[0, \infty)} ye^{-y^2/2} dy \quad (6.7)$$

Make the substitution $t = \frac{y^2}{2}$, then we have

$$\int_0^\infty ye^{-y^2/2} dy = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} dt = \left[-e^{-t} \right]_0^\infty = 1$$

This finishes the proof that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_x^\infty e^{-y^2/2} dy dx = 1$$

integration of a function = Area under the curve

Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a σ -finite measure space, and let $f \in L^+(X)$. Consider the subset $G_f \subset X \times [0, \infty)$ consisting of all points (x, y) with $y < f(x)$.

(a) Prove that G_f is $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -measurable.

(b) Prove that $(\mu \otimes m)(G_f) = \int f d\mu$.

Remark 这个 G_f 即为 $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ 的 graph 下的 area,

Proof of 2(a):

$$y < f(x) \iff \exists q \in \mathbb{Q}, y < q < f(x)$$

Hence

$$G_f = \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}, q > 0} \left(\{x : f(x) > q\} \times \{y : y < q\} \right)$$

Since $\{x : f(x) > q\} \in \mathcal{A}$ (by the measurability of f) and $\{y : y < q\} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$, each set in the union is a measurable rectangle, thus measurable in the product measurable space $X \times \mathbb{R}$. Since a countable union of measurable sets is measurable in the product σ -algebra, We have

$$G_f \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$$

Proof of 2(b):

Since $f \geq 0$, and σ -finiteness of X is assumed, σ -finiteness of Y is known, we can apply Tonelli's theorem to compute:

$$(\mu \otimes m)(G_f) = \int_{X \times [0, \infty)} \chi_{G_f}(x, y) d(\mu \otimes m) \quad (6.8)$$

$$= \int_X \left[\int_{[0, \infty)} \chi_{G_f}(x, y) dm(y) \right] d\mu(x) \quad (6.9)$$

By definition of G_f , $\chi_{G_f}(x, y) = 1$ if and only if $y < f(x)$, and 0 otherwise. Hence, for each fixed x ,

$$\int_{[0, \infty)} \chi_{G_f}(x, y) dm(y) = \int_{[0, \infty)} \chi_{\{y < f(x)\}} dm(y) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{if } f(x) < \infty, \\ \infty, & \text{if } f(x) = \infty \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\int_{[0, \infty)} \chi_{G_f}(x, y) dm(y) = f(x) \text{ a.e.}$$

Applying Tonelli's theorem again yields

$$(\mu \otimes m)(G_f) = \int_X \left[\int_{[0, \infty)} \chi_{G_f}(x, y) dm(y) \right] d\mu(x) = \int_X f(x) d\mu(x)$$

Thus we conclude that

$$(\mu \otimes m)(G_f) = \int_X f d\mu$$

Oscillations: $f_n(x) = (\sin(\pi nx))^n \rightarrow f = 0$ in measure

Consider the sequence $f_n(x) = (\sin(\pi nx))^n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, on the interval $[0, 1]$. Prove that there exists a set $E \subset [0, 1]$ such that $m(E^c) \leq 2^{-597}$ and a sequence $1 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ such that $|f_{n_j}(x)| \leq j^{-597}$ for all $x \in E$ and all $j \geq 1$. *Hint: use E. Consider convergence in measure*

Proof Claim 1: It suffices to show that f_n converges in measure.

Proof of Claim 1: Suppose f_n converges in measure to $f = 0$, then by Folland 2.30, there exists a subseq $(f_{n_k}) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} f = 0$ a.e. And since $[0, 1]$ has **finite measure 1**, by **Egoroff's Theorem**, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $E \subset [0, 1]$ s.t. $\mu(E^c) < \epsilon$ and $(f_{n_k}) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} f = 0$ **uniformly** on E .

Then we take $\epsilon := 2^{-597}$ and corresponding E .

And for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $\delta_j = j^{-597}$. By the uniform convergence property of (f_{n_k}) , we can take N_j s.t. $|f_{n_k}(x)| < \delta_j$ for all $x \in E$ whenever $n_k \geq N_j$.

Therefore, E and the sequence (f_{N_j}) satisfy the requirements in the context.

This shows that, **as long as we can show (f_n) converges in measure** to $f = 0$, the statement is proved.

Let $f_n(x) := \sin(n\pi x)^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Claim 2: f_n converges in measure.

Proof of Claim 2: The idea is that the exponent n makes the sequence converge faster than the linear growth of $n\pi x$ that shortens a period and messes up the sin values.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. (WLOG $\epsilon < 1$.) WTS:

$$m(\{x : |\sin(n\pi x)| \geq \epsilon^{1/n}\}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

We know that $\sin(n\pi x) = 1$ iff $x = \frac{2k-1}{2n}$ for some $k = 0, \dots, 2n-1$. Consider $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2n}]$, let $|\sin(n\pi x)| := \epsilon^{1/n}$.

Denote

$$\delta_n := \left| \frac{1}{2n} - x_0 \right|$$

Then we can express the measure as:

$$m(\{x : |\sin(n\pi x)| \geq \epsilon^{1/n}\}) = 2n\delta_n$$

Notice that by the monotonicity of arcsin function, we can solve for x_0 as:

$$x_0 = \frac{1}{n\pi} \arcsin(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{n}})$$

Thus

$$\delta_n = \frac{1}{2n} = \frac{1}{n\pi} \arcsin(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{n}})$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(\{x : |\sin(n\pi x)| \geq \epsilon^{1/n}\}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2n\delta_n \quad (6.10)$$

$$= 1 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin(\epsilon^{1/n}) \quad (6.11)$$

$$= 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} \quad (6.12)$$

$$= 0 \quad (6.13)$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, this finishes the proof that $f_n \rightarrow f = 0$ in measure.

Thus combining Claim 1, the whole statement is proved.

Indicator functions 是 L^+ 的一个 closed subset

Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be any measure space. Let $M \subset L^+$ be the set of indicator functions χ_E , where $E \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mu(E) < \infty$. Prove that M is a closed subset of L^1 . In other words, prove that $M \subset L^1$, and that if $f_n \in M$, $f \in L^1$, and $\int |f_n - f| \rightarrow 0$, then $f \in M$.

Proof Let $(f_n := \chi_{E_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a seq of indicator functions in L^+ s.t. $\int |f_n - f| \rightarrow 0$ for some $f \in L^1$.

Define for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$A_k := \{x : |f(x)| > \frac{1}{k}, |f(x) - 1| > \frac{1}{k}\}$$

Fix one $k \in \mathbb{N}$, bt monotonicity of integration in L^1 , we have

$$\int |f - \chi_{E_n}| \geq \int_{A_k} |f - \chi_{E_n}| \geq \int_{A_k} \frac{1}{k} \geq \frac{\mu(A_k)}{k}$$

Thus

$$\mu(A_k) \leq k \int |f - \chi_{E_n}|$$

Since $\chi_{E_n} \rightarrow f$ in L^1 , it follows that $\mu(A_k) = 0$.

Since A_k is arbitrary, by ctbl sub additivity,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_k) = 0$$

Define

$$A := \{x : f(x) \neq 0, 1\}$$

By the definition of A_k , we have the equality:

$$A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$$

Thus $\mu(A) = 0$, which means that $f(x) \in \{0, 1\}$ a.e., showing that f is a.e. an indicator function, in the same equivalence class of some indicator function in L^1 , thus we have $f \in M \subset L^1$. This finishes the proof that M is a closed subset of L^1 .

a complete metric space of measurable functions (other than $L^1(\mu)$)

Suppose that (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) is a measure space such that $\mu(X) < \infty$. Set $\chi(t) = \frac{t}{1+t}$ for $t \geq 0$. Given measurable functions $f, g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, set

$$\rho(f, g) := \int \chi(|f - g|) d\mu$$

(a) Prove that ρ induces a metric, also denoted ρ , on the space

$$L := \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ measurable}\} / \sim,$$

where $f \sim g$ iff $f = g$ a.e. *Hint:* prove that $\chi(s+t) \leq \chi(s) + \chi(t)$ for $s, t \geq 0$.

(b) Prove that if $f_n, f \in L$, then $\rho(f_n, f) \rightarrow 0$ iff $f_n \rightarrow f$ in measure.

(c) Prove that (L, ρ) is a complete metric space.

Remark

对于任何 measure μ , $L^1(\mu)$ 都是一个 complete metric space (因为它是 Banach space); 这里, 我们略微修改了 $L^1(\mu)$ 的 metric, 嵌套了一个函数, 但是它仍然是一个 complete metric space.

Proof of 5(a): $\chi(t) = \frac{t}{1+t} = 1 - \frac{1}{1+t}$ is an increasing function on $t \geq 0$.

Claim: for all $s, t \geq 0$, we have $\chi(s) + \chi(t) \leq \chi(s+t)$.

Proof of claim:

Let $s, t \geq 0$, we have

$$\chi(s) + \chi(t) = \frac{s}{1+s} + \frac{t}{1+t} = \frac{s(1+t) + t(1+s)}{(1+s)(1+t)} = \frac{s + st + t + ts}{(1+s)(1+t)} = \frac{s + t + 2st}{(1+s)(1+t)}$$

while

$$\chi(s+t) = \frac{s+t}{1+s+t}$$

Note

$$(s+t)(1+s)(1+t) = (s+t)(1+s+t+st) = s+t+s^2+2st+t^2+s^2t+st^2 \quad (6.14)$$

$$(s+t+2st)(1+s+t) = s+t+s^2+4st+t^2+2s^2t+2st^2 \quad (6.15)$$

We have:

$$(s+t)(1+s)(1+t) \leq (s+t+2st)(1+s+t)$$

Since $(1+s+t)$ and $(1+s)(1+t)$ are positive, we can rearrange the ineq to be

$$\frac{s+t}{1+s+t} \leq \frac{s+t+2st}{(1+s)(1+t)}$$

which is exactly

$$\chi(s) + \chi(t) \leq \chi(s+t)$$

as needed.

First, ρ is a well-defined function on the quotient set, since if $f \sim g$ and $f' \sim g'$ then $|f - g| = |f' - g'|$ a.e. Consequently,

$$\chi(|f - g|) = \chi(|f' - g'|) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

and hence

$$\int_X \chi(|f - g|) d\mu = \int_X \chi(|f' - g'|) d\mu$$

Now we prove that ρ is a metric:

- **Nonnegativity:** $\rho(f, g) \geq 0$ is immediate since $\chi(\cdot) \geq 0$ and μ is a measure; and since $\chi(h) = 0$ iff $h = 0$ a.e., we have $\rho(f, g) = 0$ iff $f = g$ a.e., that is, $f = g \in L^1(\mu)$
- **Symmetry:** $\rho(f, g) = \rho(g, f)$ follows immediately from $\chi(|f - g|) = \chi(|g - f|)$.
- **Triangle inequality:** For any three functions f, g, h , we have pointwise

$$|f(x) - h(x)| \leq |f(x) - g(x)| + |g(x) - h(x)|.$$

Then applying the subadditivity of χ proved above, we have:

$$\chi(|f(x) - h(x)|) \leq \chi(|f(x) - g(x)| + |g(x) - h(x)|) \leq \chi(|f(x) - g(x)|) + \chi(|g(x) - h(x)|)$$

Integrating both sides over X gives

$$\rho(f, h) = \int_X \chi(|f - h|) d\mu \leq \int_X \chi(|f - g|) d\mu + \int_X \chi(|g - h|) d\mu = \rho(f, g) + \rho(g, h)$$

Therefore, ρ is a metric on $L = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ measurable}\} / \sim$ as desired.

Proof of 5(b):

Claim 1: $\rho(f_n, f) \rightarrow 0 \implies f_n \rightarrow f$ in measure

Suppose $\rho(f_n, f) \rightarrow 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$.

Since $\chi(t) = \frac{t}{1+t}$ is **strictly increasing** in t :

$$|f_n - f| > \epsilon \iff \chi(|f_n - f|) > \chi(\epsilon) = \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}$$

Hence

$$\{|f_n - f| > \epsilon\} = \{\chi(|f_n - f|) > \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}\}$$

Since the function is nonnegative, by Chebyshev:

$$\mu(\{|f_n - f| > \epsilon\}) = \mu\left(\left\{\chi(|f_n - f|) > \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}\right\}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \int_X \chi(|f_n - f|) d\mu = \frac{\rho(f_n, f)}{\chi(\epsilon)}$$

By assumption, $\rho(f_n, f) \rightarrow 0$, thus

$$\mu(\{|f_n - f| > \epsilon\}) \leq \frac{\rho(f_n, f)}{\chi(\epsilon)} \longrightarrow 0$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, it proves that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in measure.

Claim 2: $f_n \rightarrow f$ in measure $\implies \rho(f_n, f) \rightarrow 0$

Now assume $f_n \rightarrow f$ in measure.

Let $\delta > 0$.

Observe that for any $\epsilon > 0$:

- $|f_n - f| \leq \epsilon \implies \frac{|f_n - f|}{1+|f_n - f|} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}$.
- $|f_n - f| \geq \epsilon \implies \frac{|f_n - f|}{1+|f_n - f|} \leq 1$

Hence by choosing any arbitrary ϵ , we can bound the integral by:

$$0 \leq \int_X \frac{|f_n - f|}{1+|f_n - f|} d\mu \leq \int_{\{|f_n - f| \leq \epsilon\}} \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} d\mu + \int_{\{|f_n - f| > \epsilon\}} 1 d\mu$$

For the first term:

$$\int_{\{|f_n - f| \leq \epsilon\}} \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon} d\mu = \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon} \mu(\{|f_n - f| \leq \epsilon\}) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon} \mu(X)$$

Because $\mu(X)$ is finite, we can choose ϵ s.t. $\frac{\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon} \mu(X) < \delta/2$.

Once ϵ is fixed, by convergence in measure there exists N such that for all $n \geq N$,

$$\mu(\{|f_n - f| > \epsilon\}) < \delta/2$$

Then for any $n \geq N$, we have:

$$\rho(f_n, f) = \int_X \chi(|f_n - f|) d\mu \leq \mu(X) \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon} + \mu(\{|f_n - f| > \epsilon\}) < \delta$$

Hence

$$\rho(f_n, f) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

Proof of 5(c):

Suppose (f_n) is a Cauchy seq in (L, ρ) , i.e. for any $\epsilon > 0$, exists some $N > 0$ s.t. $\rho(f_m, f_n) < \epsilon$ whenever $n, m \geq N$.

WTS: (f_n) converges, i.e. $\rho(f_n, f) \rightarrow 0$.

By (b) we know **it suffices to show that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in measure.**

And by Folland 2.30, **STS: (f_n) is Cacy in measure.**

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Let $\delta > 0$.

by Chebyshev:

$$\mu(\{|f_n - f_m| > \epsilon\}) = \mu\left(\left\{\chi(|f_n - f_m|) > \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon}\right\}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\frac{\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon}} \int \chi(|f_n - f_m|) d\mu = \frac{\rho(f_n, f_m)}{\chi(\epsilon)}$$

So since (f_n) is a Cauchy, there exists $N > 0$ s.t. $\rho(f_n, f_m) < \chi(\epsilon)\delta$ whenever $n, m \geq N$, thus $\mu(\{|f_n - f_m| > \epsilon\}) \leq \delta$ whenever $m, n \geq N$.

This proves that (f_n) is Cacy in measure, thus $f_n \rightarrow f$ in measure, and thus (f_n) converges, showing that every Cacy seq converges in (L, ρ) . Therefore (L, ρ) is a complete metric space.

Nur für Verrückte (Only for nuts).

(It's **really** not necessary to attempt these problems. Do not, under any circumstances, hand them in!)

1. Prove that the category of measurable spaces (see HW1) admits finite products, and that the product of (X, \mathcal{A}) and (Y, \mathcal{B}) equals $(X \times Y, \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})$.
2. Now consider the category of measure spaces (see HW2). Consider two measure spaces $(X_i, \mathcal{A}_i, \mu_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, and set $X = X_1 \times X_2$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$, and $\mu = \mu_1 \times \mu_2$.
 - (a) Prove that the projection maps $X \rightarrow X_i$ are measurable, and that they are measure preserving iff $\mu_j(X_j) = 1$ for $j = 1, 2$. Thus (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) is *not* the categorical product of $(X_i, \mathcal{A}_i, \mu_i)$ in general.
 - (b) Prove that even if $\mu_i(X_i) = 1$, the measure space (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) is *not* the categorical product of $(X_i, \mathcal{A}_i, \mu_i)$ in general. *Hint:* consider the case when the X_i consist of two elements, for example $X_i = \{\mathbf{o}_i, \mathbf{v}_i\}$.