

# **Chapter 1**

## **Pulmonary lobar segmentation from CT scans**

Fully automated or computer-aided segmentation of pulmonary X-ray computed tomography (CT) is becoming an important precursor to most pulmonary image analysis. In this study, identification of pulmonary lobes is of great importance for further image-based analysis of lung function and disease progression: segmentation of lobes can facilitate intra-patient image registration for localizing and tracking fibrosis disease progression over time, since lobes are important structural landmarks ((Lassen et al., 2011)). Also, knowing the lobar distribution of pulmonary fibrosis disease is helpful to guide a patient-specific functional simulation. However, the pulmonary lobar fissure is usually difficult to segment fully automatically, especially for diseased lungs, as fissures are thin, usually of fuzzy appearance and incomplete, and can be obscured by or confused with features of disease ((Ukil and Reinhardt, 2009)). The following chapter outlines the development of an automatic pulmonary lobar segmentation method using a statistical finite element shape model of the lungs and lobar fissures to guide segmen-

tation. Unlike 'deep learning' methods, this approach requires relatively few subject images as a training set. Development of this method was motivated by the poor reliability of existing methods for a guaranteed estimate of fissure location, particularly in volumetric images of IPF, or when only thin-slice CT (non-volumetric) is available.

## 1.1 Background

### 1.1.1 Aim of pulmonary lobar segmentation


#### Pulmonary lobe anatomy

Within the thorax, the lungs are enclosed by the ribs, and the base of the lungs rests on the diaphragm. The space in between the two lungs is called the mediastinum, and contains the heart, major blood vessels, the esophagus, the trachea, main bronchi, and several other thoracic structures. The airways, blood vessels and nerves enter the lungs from the mediastinum at the hilum. The lungs themselves comprise airways, vessels and a connective tissue framework referred to as the interstitium.

Human lungs are divided into five distinct anatomical regions, which are called pulmonary lobes. These lobes are each supplied by separate airway and vascular branches, and are largely anatomically independent regions. The separating junctions between these lobes are called the lobar fissures. The left lung consists of the left upper lobe and left lower lobe, which are separated by the left oblique fissure (major fissure). The right lung consists of the right upper lobe, right middle lobe and right lower lobe, which are separated by the right oblique fissure (major fissure) and right horizontal fissure (minor fissure). These fissures contain pleural fluid and provide separation between the lobes while permitting some relative movement. In general, the functions of these lobes are

relatively independent from each other since there are no major airways and vessels crossing the lobar fissures (Lassen et al., 2010; Doel et al., 2015; Ukil and Reinhardt, 2009). Figure 1.1 shows a schematic diagram of the lungs. The lungs are bounded by two layers of membrane (pleura) separated by a thin layer of lubricating pleural fluid.

**Diagram of the Human Lungs**



**Figure 1.1:** Schematic diagram of anatomical lung structure, showing the major airways, lobes and major fissures. The lungs are subdivided into the right upper (RU), right middle (RM), right lower (RL), left upper (LU), and left lower (LL) lobe. The pleural cavity which surrounds the lung consists of a double membrane layer (visceral pleura and parietal pleura) separated by a thin layer of pleural fluid. The inner membrane (visceral pleural) folds inwards between the lobes, creating fissures. (Reproduced from (Doel et al., 2015))

### High resolution computed tomography

CT imaging acquires multiple X-ray images at different orientations and reconstructs these images to obtain tomographic views in the region of interest (Zhang et al., 2011). This kind of imaging modality is typically used in clinical applications and research regarding pulmonary structure-function relationship (Hoffman and McLennan, 1997), includ-

ing detection of both acute and chronic changes in the lung parenchyma. It is particularly relevant here because normal two-dimensional X-rays can not show subtle defects. For evaluation of chronic interstitial processes (emphysema, fibrosis, and so forth), thin sections with high spatial frequency reconstructions are used (Coxson, 2007). Scans are often performed both in inspiration and expiration. This special technique is called high resolution computed tomography (HRCT). With the help of HRCT scans, a series of high-resolution chest sections can be acquired with slice thickness as low as 0.5mm (Naidich, 2005), which can provide high visibility of the lung boundaries and pulmonary fissures. By making use of some advanced image processing technologies, a wide variety of features can be detected from these images such as density of the lung (Coxson, 2007), volumes of the lung (Hu et al., 2001), the regions of lung disease distributions (El-Baz et al., 2013; Ley-Zaporozhan et al., 2008), airway trees (Graham et al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2010; Diaz et al., 2010) and blood vessels (Shikata et al., 2009). Computed tomography (CT) images acquired at different stages in the breathing cycle can also be used to study lung mechanics and estimate lung ventilation (Hoffman et al., 2006; Yamamoto et al., 2011).

### **The importance of pulmonary lobar segmentation**

The extraction of pulmonary lobes from CT scans is of great importance in applications of lung disease assessment and treatment planning. For clinical applications, the distribution and location of pulmonary disease are beneficial information for doctors to recognize pathogenesis, guide therapy and have further value in surgical planning. That is, because many pulmonary diseases are more prevalent in specific anatomic regions of the lung, often acting at a lobar level. For example, emphysema (Jeffery, 1998), postprimary tuberculosis (Leung, 1999) and silicosis (Rees and Murray, 2007) usually affect


the upper lobes, while idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis is commonly present in the lower lobes (Lin and Jiang, 2015). However, there is currently a lack of quantitative and objective methods for the regional assessment of lung disease. Therefore, techniques are really necessary for identifying the location, shape and volume of the lobes so that lung disease can be measured at a lobar level and the severity can be assessed accurately.

### **1.1.2 Challenges of automatic pulmonary lobar segmentation from CT scans**

The most commonly used method to segment lobes from computed tomography (CT) is by manual assessment of an experienced pulmonary radiologist. However, the process of determining the lobar boundaries is an extremely laborious and time-consuming task, typically taking hours for one patient, since a 3D HRCT image may contain a large number of axial slices which makes the manual segmentation very time consuming. Therefore, doctors rarely use manual lobe segmentation in clinical diagnosis and treatment practice and most clinicians think visual observation subjectively is more effective and convenient. For this reason, an automatic (no user interaction) or semi-automatic (minimal user interaction) lobe segmentation technique is urgently needed in clinical applications and this has attracted great interest from researchers all over the world (Van Rikxoort and Van Ginneken, 2013; Pu et al., 2009a; Ukil and Reinhardt, 2009).

However, to find an effective and time-saving automatic lobe segmentation method is a challenging task because of anatomical variation and incomplete fissures. Lobar structures vary significantly between subjects, and the anatomical variation in lobe structure is usually associated with age, sex and body type. Pathologies of diseased lungs usually deform the lobar shape abnormally and result in fuzzy appearance of fissures on

CT images, in particular when abnormalities are present near the fissures, which makes fissure segmentation challenging. On the other hand, even in patients with healthy lung parenchyma, fissures are sometimes incomplete (Gülsün et al., 2006; Doel et al., 2015) (see Figure 1.2).



**Figure 1.2:** Slices of lung CT images illustrating the left and right fissures. Fissures are visible as white lines of high density tissue crossing the low density lung parenchyma. (a) Sagittal slice from the right lung showing the right oblique and horizontal fissures, the horizontal fissure is oriented horizontally. (b) Sagittal slice from the left lung showing the left oblique fissure. (c) Transverse slice from a different data set that show an incomplete right oblique fissure. (Reproduced from (Ukil and Reinhardt, 2009))

## 1.2 Review of current published methods for pulmonary lobar segmentation

In a broad sense, existing lobe segmentation methods usually consist of two steps: the segmentation of lungs and the detection of the three main pulmonary fissures (Van Rikxoort and Van Ginneken, 2013). Currently, quite a number of lung segmentation methods are available and most produce reliable results (Hu et al., 2001; Ukil and Reinhardt, 2005; Sun et al., 2006; Pu et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009). In contrast, most challenges of automated lobar segmentation lie in the fissure detection, and currently no method

has yet been demonstrated to be robust and effective across a wide range of clinical imaging parameters and pathology experienced in clinical practice. Lung lobe segmentation is a complex multi-stage process that cannot be addressed by a simple algorithm (Van Rikxoort and Van Ginneken, 2013; Pu et al., 2009a; Ukil and Reinhardt, 2009). However, to some extent, lung segmentation and fissure detection are two main independent parts and can be improved separately. That is, it should be possible for us to change lung segmentation in one way or another without affecting the fissure detecting result dramatically. In the following section, existing work is discussed and compared to highlight the key challenges of lobe segmentation algorithms.

### **1.2.1 Lung segmentation**

The segmentation of lung is the prerequisite for the accomplishment of lobe segmentation, as it can provide a boundary condition for the subsequent fissure detection, specify the position when extending the fissure surface, allow the estimation of lung volumes and detect and quantify abnormalities within the lungs. While not precisely defined, the lung region is usually considered to be the volume enclosed within the pleura, including the lung parenchyma, airways and vessels, but excluding those parts of the major airways and vessels which extend the pleural boundary. In this section, the published methods for lung segmentation are briefly discussed. These methods are summarized in Table 1.1.

#### **Conventional lung segmentation methods**

In CT scans from healthy subjects, the air-filled lung parenchyma usually has a different attenuation level compared to surrounding high-density tissue at the pleura. For

**Table 1.1:** Summary of lung segmentation methods

Authors	Algorithms	Notes
(Kalender et al., 1991; Kemerink et al., 1998; Leader et al., 2003)	2D thresholding method	1. May cause discontinuity between slices; 2. Time consuming
(Keller et al., 1981; Heddlund et al., 1982; Hoffman et al., 1983; Hoffman and Ritman, 1985)	Early-stage 3D thresholding method combined with manual interaction	Cause too many intra-operator errors
Hu et al. (2001)	1. Automatically choose thresholding value; 2. Separate left and right lung 3. Morphological lung boundary smoothing	First group to apply fully automatic 3D thresholding method
Ukil and Reinhardt (2005)	1. Bounding box defined around mediastinum; 2. Extract left and right main stem bronchi; 3. 3D Morphological boundary closing	Improved automatic method using airway tree information
Sun et al. (2006)	1. Anisotropic filtering; 2. Wavelet transform-based interpolation to construct 3D data; 3. Adaptive 3D region growing combined seed-locating to detect lung region; 4. Fuzzy logic algorithm and 3D morphological to fill hole	Improved 3D method using signal-to-noise ratio
(Kitasaka et al., 2003)	1. Affine transformation to fit a contour shape model to individual images; 2. Active contour model to refine initial segmentations in 3D	Solve the problem of lesions adjacent to the chest wall and mediastinum
(Pu et al., 2008)	1. 3D initial thresholding processing; 2. Adaptive border marching	Minimize oversegmentation of adjacent regions such as abdomen and mediastinum
(Pu et al., 2011)	1. Principle curvature analysis to eliminate noise; 2. Radius basis function to smooth lung in 3D	Solve the problems of disease, noise or artifacts
(Prasad et al., 2008)	1. 3D thresholding algorithm; 2. Adapt lung curvature using rib curvature; 3. Morphologic operation	1. Using rib curvature information to define lung borders; 2. Solve the problem of error detection for lung pathologies
(Wang et al., 2009)	1. 3D thresholding processing; 2. Texture analysis to identify abnormal regions; 3. 2D holes filling	Texture feature analysis to segment interstitial lung disease (ILD) lungs
(Sun et al., 2012)	1. Active shape model to roughly find lung outlines; 2. Optimal surface finding to adapt final segmentations	3D method using rib information

this reason, quite a lot of conventional lung segmentation algorithms adopt a thresholding approach to search for a large connected region of the air-like values within the image. Threshold values are acquired from gray level histogram analysis and then the initial lung region is detected and a region growing method for the airways is usually applied subsequently to exclude the trachea and major airway branches. Some thresholding algorithms, especially older studies, are developed in 2D space, which means each axial section of CT imaging needs to be calculated separately (Kalender et al., 1991; Kemerink et al., 1998; Leader et al., 2003; Armato and Sensakovic, 2004). This two-dimensional method is a logical choice in the case of thick-slice CT data, but it may cause discontinuity between slices. Therefore, when higher resolution isotropic data become available, an improved 3D processing method (Hu et al., 2001; Ukil and Reinhardt, 2005; Sun et al., 2006) was developed to avoid slice inconsistencies and reduce time for segmentation.

Most early 3D thresholding-based lung segmentation methods (Keller et al., 1981; Hedlund et al., 1982; Hoffman et al., 1983; Hoffman, 1985; Hoffman and Ritman, 1985) required significant manual interaction, such as manually selecting threshold values or seed points for region growing, and separating left and right lung manually. That means the whole process may be too time consuming and cause too many intra-operator errors. Hu et al. (2001) was the first research group to apply a threshold-based algorithm in a fully automatic lung segmentation method. In their study, the lung region was firstly extracted from the CT images by gray-level thresholding processing. Instead of a fixed threshold value, an optimal thresholding method was used to automatically choose a threshold value that reflects the gray-scale characteristics of a specific dataset. The left and right lungs were then separated by identifying the anterior and posterior junctions by dynamic programming. Finally, a sequence of morphological operations was used to

smooth the irregular boundary along the mediastinum.


Ukil and Reinhardt (2005) developed an improved automatic lung segmentation method based on the previous work to smooth lung boundaries in 3D using information from the segmented airway trees. A bounding box was firstly defined around the mediastinum for each lung using the information from the segmented human airway trees, and all operations were then performed within the bounding box. After that, all generations of the airway distal branches were defined to the left and right main stem bronchi to be part of the respective lungs, and all the other segmented structures could be excluded. Finally, a fast morphological closing with an ellipsoidal kernel was performed to smooth the surface of the lung. This method solved the problem of irregular and inconsistent lung boundary of the regions near the mediastinum by using a common threshold algorithm.

Sun et al. (2006) presented a 3D-based method for segmenting lungs with higher accuracy. In this paper, an anisotropic filtering method was firstly applied on CT slices to enhance the signal-to-noise ratio. A wavelet transform-based interpolation method was subsequently used to construct the 3D volumetric CT slice data with volume rendering. After that, an adaptive 3D region-growing algorithm was developed to detect lung region, combined with automatic seed-locating methods. Fuzzy logic algorithms and 3D morphological closing approaches were finally used to refine the lung volume and fill the holes in it. The method was effective and robust with an average accuracy rate of 88.5%.

### **Specially designed lung segmentation methods for abnormal lungs**

Although conventional threshold-based methods are fast, robust and accurate for healthy subjects, they may fail to perform well for scans containing physiologic abnormalities,

which often results in segmentation errors and requires clinicians to manually edit the results (see in Figure 1.3). Currently, specially designed lung segmentation methods mostly focus on one kind of disease and therefore can not get a good result across a large population (Kitasaka et al., 2003; Sluimer et al., 2005; Pu et al., 2008, 2011; Prasad et al., 2008; Korfiatis et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009; van Rikxoort et al., 2009; Sun et al., 2012).



**Figure 1.3:** Illustration of the performance of conventional 3D thresholding lung segmentation methods on pathological abnormal lungs. Lung borders are not recognized accurately because of the higher densities of the abnormalities compared to the normal tissues. (Reproduced from (Van Rikxoort and Van Ginneken, 2013))

To deal with the problem of lesions adjacent to the chest wall and mediastinum, (Kitasaka et al., 2003) developed a lung area extraction method using a shape model. A contour shape model using a Bézier surface was fitted to the contour surface of the individual input images with an affine transformation method. Then, an active contour model was utilized to refine the initial segmentation. The results showed that most lesions could be identified accurately using this method. However, as the lung apex and base were not included in the model, lesions adjacent to the lung apex or diaphragm could result in segmentation errors.

Pu et al. (2008) presented a lung segmentation algorithm based on adaptive border marching (ABM) to include juxtapleural nodules in the lung region since these nodules

may be excluded from the results calculated by a conventional threshold-based algorithm. The adaptive border marching algorithm could smooth the lung borders after an initial thresholding processing and minimize oversegmentation of adjacent regions such as the abdomen and mediastinum. The method was tested on 20 datasets and the results demonstrated that this method could re-include all juxtapleural nodules in the lung regions. An average over-segmentation ratio of this method was 0.43% which was lower than the reference standard average segmentation determined by an expert. In order to deal with the problem of various diseases, image noise or artifacts, and individual anatomical variety, (Pu et al., 2011) developed a shape analysis strategy termed "break-and-repair". A principle curvature analysis was applied to eliminate the problematic regions and then radial basis function (RBF) based implicit surface fitting was used to get a smooth lung surface.

To overcome the problem of error detection for lung pathologies, (Prasad et al., 2008) made use of rib curvature information to help with finding the lung borders. The method was based on a threshold-based algorithm followed by a morphologic operation. The core principle of the method was to adapt the threshold value to an individual subject by making the curvature of lung along the ribs be similar to the curvature of the ribs. The curve of the ribs and lung boundary were both represented by polynomial interpolation even though there was minimal deviation from this representation. The results showed that the performance of the rib segmentation method was better than the conventional one.

Wang et al. (2009) proposed a texture analysis-based method to segment ILD lungs. The lung region including normal and mild ILD lung parenchyma was first segmented by a CT value thresholding technique and then texture-feature images derived from the co-occurrence matrix was used to identify abnormal lung regions with severe ILD from


the initial results. 2D holes filling was applied to smooth the final lung segmentation. The overlap rate, volume agreement, mean absolute distance (MAD), and maximum absolute distance between the automatically segmented lungs and the reference lungs (delimited manually by a medical physicist) were employed to evaluate the performance of the segmentation method.

On the basis of the previous studies, (Sun et al., 2012) developed a further approach for segmentation of lungs with high-density pathologies. The method had two main steps. In the first step, a robust active shape model (RASM) matching method was utilized to roughly find the outline of the lungs. To initialize the shape model of RASM, the detected rib information was used subsequently. In the second step, an optimal surface finding approach was applied to further adapt the initial segmentation result to the lung. The method was evaluated on both normal and abnormal subjects and had a good performance.

### **1.2.2 Fissure detection**

The currently published fissure detection methods can be mainly classified into two categories. The first category is anatomical knowledge based methods, which usually depend on either local or global knowledge of the anatomy of lung structure based on two pulmonary anatomical features. The first feature is the fact that there should not be any large vessels in the vicinity of lobar fissures, so fissures should locate in the gaps between airway and vessel trees. Another feature is that the airway bronchi can be classified into five lobar branching using an edge detection method (figure 1.4). The second category of fissure detection is shape based analysis methods. This kind of method commonly makes use of gray-level information and shape information to detect

the fissures. The published fissure detection methods are summarized in Table 1.2.



**Figure 1.4:** (a) Segmented volumes of the vascular tree. There are no large vessels in the vicinity of lobar fissures. (b) Anatomically labeled airway tree showing lobar subtrees. The airway tree can be classified corresponding to five lobes. (Reproduced from (Ukil and Reinhardt, 2009))

### Anatomy knowledge based method

Methods aiming at detecting the lobar fissures usually start by finding an approximate location of the lobar borders based on prior anatomical knowledge of lung structures in order to narrow the search area for fissure detection (Kuhnigk et al., 2003, 2005; Zhou et al., 2004; Saita et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2006; Ukil and Reinhardt, 2009; Pu et al., 2009a; Lassen et al., 2010; Doel et al., 2012). This method is developed mainly based on two pulmonary anatomical features. The first feature is the fact that there should not be any large vessels in the vicinity of lobar fissures, so fissures should locate in the gaps between airway and vessel trees. Another feature is that the airway bronchi can be classified into five lobar branching using an edge detection method (figure 1.4). A number of published papers use the segmentation results of airways and vessels to help

**Table 1.2:** Summary of fissure detection methods

Authors	Algorithms	Notes
Kuhnigk et al. (2003, 2005)	Watershed transformation method to analyze anatomical structures to help with fissure detection	First group to use lobar airways and vasculature into fissure detection <sup>1</sup>
Ukil and Reinhardt (2009)	1.Watershed transform guided cost image to define initial fissures; 2. Construct ROI; 3.Structure tensor analysis combined with optimal surface detection to find fissure surface; 3.Fast marching method to detect incomplete fissures	1.Developed on Kuhnigk's method; 2.Can detect incomplete fissures <sup>1</sup>
Lassen et al. (2010)	Watershed transformed anatomical structure based method	An extension of Kuhgigk's method <sup>1</sup>
Zhou et al. (2004); Saita et al. (2006)	1.Edge detection to class vessels and bronchi;2.Hough transform to detect curve surface	Take advantage of linear appearance of fissures <sup>1</sup>
(Wang et al., 2004, 2006)	1.Identify initial fissure ROI; 2. "Ridge map" based transformation to enhance initial fissure; 3. Shape-based curve growing to get the final fissures.	2D shape based method <sup>2</sup>
Frangi et al. (1998)	Hessian matrix based analysis to extract local image structures	The first paper to apply Hessian matrix analysis on image segmentation in 3D <sup>2</sup>
Wiemker et al. (2005)	Combine first derivative and second derivative of image gray values to find fissures	An early paper to use Hessian matrix on fissure detection <sup>2</sup>
Ochs et al. (2007); van Rikxoort et al. (2008)	Use pattern recognition approach and Hessian matrix and classification operation to detection fissure	An improved Hessian based method <sup>2</sup>
Lassen et al. (2011, 2013)	1.Use anatomical structures to acquire initial fissure; 2. Hessian matrix surrounding initial guessing areas; 3. Morphological operations to filter noise	Combine both anatomical information and gray-level information <sup>1,2</sup>
Doel et al. (2012)	1.Use both anatomy knowledge and Hessian matrix to find fissure candidate points; 2. Multi-level B-spline curve to get the final fissure surface.	Combine both anatomical information and gray-level information <sup>1,2</sup>
Ross et al. (2010, 2013)	1.Particle system combined with Hessian matrix to get candidate fissure points; 2.Maximum a posterior method to remove noise points; 3.Thin plate spline to form final fissure surface.	Effective for lung lobe segmentation in absence of anatomical structures <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Anatomy knowledge based method.<sup>2</sup> Shape based analysis method.

with localizing the fissures. Usually, the vascular segmentation provides more accurate estimation of lobar fissure locations than the airway trees, since more vessel generations can be detected from CT scans. These vessels span the entire lung volume which can help us find complete gaps between lobes. However, airways also play an important part in initial estimation of fissures, since airways trees can be more reasonably divided into lobar branching, while the structure of vasculature branching is more complicated and some connections are hard to separate accurately. Therefore, a lot of studies make use of both vessel and airway information to guide fissure detection (Ukil and Reinhardt, 2009; Lassen et al., 2010; Doel et al., 2012).

Kuhnigk et al. (2003, 2005) was an early group to present a framework of taking both lobar airways and vasculature into account for automatic fissure detection. A watershed transformation method has been used to take an analysis of these anatomical structures and this method was widely used and improved by other researchers, but the results calculated by this simple algorithm were not accurate enough even for some clearly visible fissures.

Ukil and Reinhardt (2009) developed Kuhnigk's fissure detection method which used a distance transform to combine segmented vessels, lobar airways, and original chest CT scan as a cost image to guide initial fissure guessing. They found that the improved watershed transform algorithm could provide a close initial approximation to the lobar fissures. Subsequently, a further refinement method was used to construct a region of interest (ROI) which encompasses the fissures. A 3D optimal surface detection algorithm combined with a ridgeness measurement was then applied to enhance the ROI, and find the optimal surface within the ROI. Finally, incomplete fissures were smoothly extrapolated using a fast-marching method based segmentation of a projection of the optimal surface. A significant improvement of this method is that it can segment incomplete

fissures.

Lassen et al. (2010) also described the fissure detection method by building a cost image for the watershed transformed segmentation which is an extension of the framework of Kuhnigk. The interactive segmentation method was tested on 25 CT scans comparing to a manual segmentation by a human observer and showed an average error distance of  $1.57 \pm 0.3$  mm. In addition, Zhou et al. (2004) and Saita et al. (2006) took advantage of the linear appearance of fissures to class the vessels and bronchi into five lobe regions using an edge detection method and the Hough transform based curved surface detection method, respectively.

### **Shape based analysis method**

Generally, lobar fissures can be regarded as bright planes crossing the pulmonary volume because of the higher density value of fissures compared to the surrounding tissues. Based on this information, quite a number of published methods use a local filtering algorithm to detect the voxels which lie on these planes, so that these detected voxel points can construct a continuous fissure surface. In 2D space, the fissure appears as a clear curve, therefore some early papers usually detected fissure points based on gray-level information in 2D space. For example, (Wang et al., 2004, 2006) presented a 2D fissure detection method based on shape information. In this paper, the fissure was initially denoted as a curve based on the prior knowledge of the fissure shape to identify the surrounding region of fissure, called "fissure region" for subsequent automatic segmentation. Next an image transformation called "ridge map" was proposed for enhancing the appearance of initial fissures. A shape-based curve-growing method modeled by a Bayesian network could then be applied to this "map" to segment the fissure.

In 3D space, the most commonly used method to detect these pulmonary fissure

plane structures is taking an eigenvalue analysis of the Hessian matrix (Frangi et al., 1998; Wiemker et al., 2005; Kitasaka et al., 2006; Ochs et al., 2007; van Rikxoort et al., 2008; Lassen et al., 2011, 2013; Ross et al., 2010; Doel et al., 2012). Frangi et al. (1998) was the first to present an eigenvalue analysis of the Hessian matrix to detect plane structure (fissure) and tube structure (vessel) on CT images. The three eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix gives a fissure probability for each voxel and the relationship between the eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix describes the local image structure. Wiemker et al. (2005) was also an early paper to use the Hessian matrix for fissure detection and two 3D filter approaches were proposed in this paper. The first filter was based on the first derivatives of the image gray values and utilized the eigenvalues of the local structure tensor. The second filter was based on the second derivatives and utilized the eigenvalues of the local Hessian matrix.


Ochs et al. (2007) and van Rikxoort et al. (2008) used a pattern recognition approach to detect pulmonary fissures combined with eigenvalue analysis of the Hessian matrix as feature and classification was also performed on these fissures. Lassen et al. (2011, 2013) combined both anatomical based and shape based methods which successfully eliminated most false points. The first anatomic-based method found a region of interest, and then the Hessian matrix analysis was used only in the surrounding area of the initial guess for fissure locations. Subsequently, morphological operations such as direction-based connected component analysis were used to further reduce some non-fissure points. Doel et al. (2012) also made use of both an anatomy knowledge based method and the Hessian matrix to find fissure candidate points and proposed a multi-level B-spline smooth curve through the fissure points and extrapolated to the lung borders to get the fissure surfaces.

Ross et al. (2010, 2013) proposed a particle system that sampled the image domain

combined with the Hessian matrix to get a set of candidate fissure locations. A maximum a posteriori (MAP) estimation was then used to eliminate false candidate points, and a post-processing operation was applied to remove remaining noise points. A thin plate spline (TPS) interpolating surface fitting method was lastly performed to form the final fissure surfaces. This method is effective for lung lobe segmentation in the absence of anatomical structures.

### **1.3 Methods: automatic statistical shape model based lobar segmentation method**


Here, a statistical shape model (SSM) guided method is presented to segment pulmonary lobes from CT images. A three-step approach is followed for the lobe segmentation (shown in Figure 1.5): in the first step, a threshold-based lung segmentation method defines the lung boundary; in the second step, a SSM is deformed to provide a "search region" for fissure locations; in the third step, fissures are located using a Hessian matrix protocol combined with connected component filters and a surface fitting algorithm. This method is able to detect fissures in all test subjects, whereas existing segmentation tools failed in several subjects. The new procedure does not depend on prior segmentation of anatomical structures (airway lobar classification) other than lung shape and has promising potential as a clinically useful automatic lobe segmentation procedure. A user-interactive interface was also developed for the user to control and visualize the whole segmentation process and to allow do some manual correction on the segmented results, if required.



**Figure 1.5:** Flow diagram of the lobar segmentation process.

### 1.3.1 Lung segmentation

A good lung segmentation is a prerequisite for the next steps in this study, since all the other segmentations need to be performed inside the two lung regions. In this chapter, a common thresholding method was used to segment the lungs (Ukil and Reinhardt, 2005). The procedure consists of the following steps: The method firstly uses a thresholding operation (-775 Hounsfield Units) and connected component identification to find the initial lung regions and trachea location. By using the most apical point of the trachea as a starting point, a region growing technique was applied to detect the airway trees. Then, left and right lungs were separated as the two largest connected components remaining after removing the trachea and main left and right bronchi. Figure 1.6 shows a typical lung segmentation result.



**Figure 1.6:** Lung segmentation result. (a) Raw CT image. (b) Segmented lungs.

### 1.3.2 Statistical finite element models of lung and fissure shape

For human organs, there is usually a significant difference in shape and anatomy between different groups of people, such as young and old, male and female, healthy and diseased (Krumpe et al., 1985; Crapo, 1993). This difference is caused by different functional signals and behaviors, and often lead to organ shape variation across populations.

Shape analysis provides a way to understand the underlying mechanisms of those variations in anatomical and physiological processes. The importance of statistical shape analysis in many kinds of biological studies has been well established (Dryden and Mardia, 1998; Stegmann and Gomez, 2002; Styner et al., 2003; Heimann and Meinzer, 2009), because of its potential to capture morphological variation within and between healthy and pathological structures.

Computational model-based approaches of image segmentation have become increasingly popular in the last two decades (Taylor et al., 1995; Kelemen et al., 1999; Tsai et al., 2003; Okada et al., 2008). This technique takes a new image set and matches it to its expected shape information, potentially reducing the impact of image artifacts and other perturbations associated with traditional low-level algorithms (Ecabert et al., 2008; Zhang, 2013). A feasible method to collect individual variations in the lung shape and location of fissures (including how they vary with lung inflation) is to analyze a series of training shapes using statistical techniques, leading to a statistical shape model. This can capture the shape difference and construct a mean shape model for a cohort of different people. A commonly used SSM based segmentation method is to take a new image set and match it to its expected shape information.

### **Finite element lobar shape modeling**

To guide a statistical shape model based segmentation, the first step is to generate the statistical lobar mesh using a set of training data. Statistical shape analysis of a lobe shape model requires a correct lobe shape description using a set of parameters. In this section, a Statistical Finite element analysis of Lobe (SFeaL) based on an active shape model (ASM) (Cootes et al., 1995) of the lung was derived from a training set of segmented lung and fissure surface locations. This approach employs a finite element

shape mesh to specify pulmonary lobar shape which provides an efficient parameterized representation of lobar boundaries and makes shape constraints available during image analysis. The training set consisted of data from 50 subjects. 35 subjects were selected from a study of healthy subjects aged 50 to 100 years (the human aging cohort (AGING) study) and a further 15 subjects were selected from a separate study of younger healthy subjects (the Human Lung Atlas (HLA)). All the AGING and HLA subjects are healthy non-smoking subjects including both males and females. In the AGING group, HRCT imaging was acquired at Auckland City Hospital using a Phillips Brilliance 16 scanner, with between 400-700 slices per volumetric image. The study was approved by the Northern A Health and Health and Disability Ethics Committees (HDEC), Ministry of Health on 29 April 2013 through the HDEC-Full Review Pathway - ethics reference 13/NT/41. The HRCT images from HLA cohort were obtained at the Iowa Comprehensive Lung Imaging Center (I-Clic) using a Siemens Sensation 64 MDCT scanner, with between 500-700 slices per volumetric image. The study was approved by the University of Iowa Institutional Review Board. A summarized population demographics of the subjects used for statistical shape model construction is listed in Table 1.3

**Table 1.3:** Summarized demographics for the AGING and HLA datasets.

	AGING (N=35)	HLA (N=15)
Age (years)	$71.3 \pm 10.8$	$22 \pm 1.9$
Sex(M/F)	29/34	5/10
Height(m)	$1.66 \pm 0.09$	$1.7 \pm 0.1$
Weight(kg)	$70.6 \pm 11.1$	$67.6 \pm 12.2$
BMI( $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ )	$25.6 \pm 3.0$	$23.3 \pm 2.2$
Ethnicity		
- Caucasian	41	14
- Māori(AGING only)	1	N/A
- Asian	2	-
- African-American	-	1
- Unknown	7	-


To define the lung shape, volumetric CT images were segmented using the method described in Section 1.3.1. The segmented lung surface was then digitized into a set of data points as a 3D-space representation of lung shape (Figure 1.7a). Fissure surface segmentation was performed manually using the open-source visualization software CMGUI (<https://www.cmiss.org/cogui>) by an expert user, to provide a gold-standard definition of the fissure location for each subject in the training set (Figure 1.7b, 1.7c). A high order (bi-cubic Hermite) finite element template mesh with the same mesh connectivity for each subject was geometry fitted to the lung and fissure surface data for each subject. The current lung lobe mesh consists of two separate meshes which represent the left and right lung enclosing the lung parenchymal tissue volume.

The generation of fitted anatomical lobar mesh consists of two steps: Firstly, an initial linear mesh is created by selecting some data points to be nodes and creating two-dimensional surface elements by joining these node points appropriately using straight lines. The template mesh for the left lung mesh has 35 nodes and 44 elements which described the left lung surface and left oblique fissure, while the right lung mesh has 50 nodes and 62 elements which defined right lung surface, right oblique fissure and right surface fissure. Once the linear surface mesh has been created, an iterative geometric fitting process is subsequently undertaken, where the aim is to adjust the nodal positions and derivatives representing the shape of the lung. The element surfaces were matched to the data as closely as possible, by minimizing an error function. In the second stage, the lung lobe surface was modeled using bi-cubic Hermite basis functions with C1 continuity. This bi-cubic Hermite mesh has the same mesh connectivity as the linear mesh, but has more degrees of freedom (DoFs) as it also contains nodal derivative information. Each node has 12 DoFs which store the global coordinates (x, y and z) and first and second nodal derivatives ( $\frac{\partial n}{\partial \xi_1}$ ,  $\frac{\partial n}{\partial \xi_2}$ , and  $\frac{\partial^2 n}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \xi_2}$ ), where n is x, y and z, and

$\xi$  is the local element coordinate. In our lung lobe model they are defined such that  $\xi_1$  is in the antero-posterior direction while  $\xi_2$  is in the crano-caudal direction,  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  have values that range from 0 to 1. Each node of the fitted mesh is either an anatomical landmark (the left/right lung apex, the base vertex, the shape corner and the center point of the middle line of fissure) or a pseudo-landmark (e.g. a specific proportion of the arc-length between two anatomical landmarks). These landmarks allow us to define the coordinate of the control points in consistent positions registered to the geometry of the lung. A least squares fit of the mesh to the lung and fissure surface data was conducted using CMISS (<https://www.cmiss.org>), which is a finite element modeling environment. Specifically, the sum of the distances between each data point and its projection on to the nearest element was minimized during the fitting process. This distance is a function of the element location and shape parameters. In this procedure, the nodal parameters are interpolated to find the projected points. The global coordinates of the projected points are a function of local element coordinates,  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$ , and nodal parameters. Some manual operations were involved to adjust the mesh nodal positions and derivatives to the data cloud, which can help to improve the speed and accuracy of fitting. The average root mean square (RMS) error of this fitting method was 4.79 mm for the 50 training subjects (Figure 1.7d). More details on the fitting procedure can be found in (Bradley et al., 1997; Tawhai and Burrowes, 2003; Fernandez, 2004).

### **PCA-based statistical shape model construction**

A prerequisite of the construction of SSM is object alignment to remove the orientation and scaling differences between shapes. Here a General Procrustes Alignment (GPA) was selected as a registration method (Dryden and Mardia, 1998; Rohlf, 1999). The GPA algorithm finds the optimal rotation matrix and translation vector which minimizes



**Figure 1.7:** Finite element modeling of lung lobe shape. (a) Lung surface data extracted from segmented lung masks (purple points for left lung, blue points for right lung). (b) Manual digitized fissure points in 2D sagittal image of right lung (purple points for right oblique fissure, blue points for right horizontal fissure). (c) Manual digitized fissure points shown in 3D (pink points for left oblique fissure, purple points for right oblique fissure, blue points for right horizontal fissure). (d) Lobe shape fitting with lung surface data and digitized fissure data of right lung.

the overall distance between two sets of points with respect to the Euclidean norm. In our study, a reference lung model sample was randomly chosen from the training set. Then all the other training models were aligned to this reference model. In this process, the volumes of all subjects were normalized to 1 L and any residual rotation and translation were removed as well. The generalized procrustes alignment can be represented as an affine transformation in mathematical terms:

$$\bar{S} = \alpha RS + T, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\bar{S}$  represents the aligned shape vector to the reference shape from the subject shape vector  $S$ ,  $R$  is the rotation matrix and  $T$  is the translation vector. Figure 1.8a shows the procrustes aligned meshes of all the 50 subjects. For each subject, the aligned lung shape can also be represented as

$$\bar{S} = [\bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_2 \bar{x}_3 \cdots \bar{x}_{p-1}; \bar{x}_p], \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\bar{x}$  are the nodal parameters which contain coordinates and derivatives (12 DoFs), and  $p$  represents the number of nodes for both left and right lung ( $p = 225$  in this study). The data vector  $\bar{S}$  of each lung was then assembled as the concatenation of all lungs, termed  $\bar{S}_{whole}$ .  $\bar{S}_{whole}$  is an  $n \times N$  matrix, where  $n$  is the number of nodal parameters for each lung ( $n = 12 \times 225 = 2700$  in this study), and  $N$  is the number of training subjects ( $N = 50$ ). Thus,  $\bar{S}_{whole}$  can be regarded as a cloud of  $N$  points in the constructed  $n$ -N space. This matrix was decomposed into modes of variation using principle component analysis (PCA). PCA is a commonly used technique in statistical feature space to reduce the dimension of the dataset. It uses an orthogonal transformation to convert a number of (possibly) correlated variables into a set of values of linearly uncorrelated variables

called principal components. The number of distinct principal components is equal to the smaller of the number of original variables or the number of observations minus one. The resulting vectors are an uncorrelated orthogonal basis set.

In order to perform PCA, each shape parameter was centered by subtracting the mean value  $\bar{x}$ . Then the covariance matrix was built based on the mean-centered matrix  $S$  by  $C = SS^T$ . After the PCA technique was performed on the covariance matrix  $C$ , we obtain a set of eigenvectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots$ , corresponding to a set of non-negative eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ . Each eigenvalue represents how much variation or variance in the data is captured by the corresponding eigenvector. Each lung shape variation  $m_i(w)$  can be approximated by a linear combination of the eigenvector and its corresponding eigenvalue:

$$m_i(w) \approx S_{mean} + \mathbf{u}_i w_i, \quad (1.3)$$


where  $w_i$  is a weight factor given to each mode of variation, and  $i = 1, \dots, L$  ( $L \leq 49$  in this study).  $S_{mean}$  is the average shape across all the subjects, which is obtained by:

$$S_{mean} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N s_i, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $s_i$  is the  $i$ th lung shape model from the training set, here  $i = 1, \dots, N$  ( $N=50$  in this study). The average shape model is shown in Figure 1.8b.

### 1.3.3 Initial prediction of lobar location in an individual

Using the method described in section 1.3.2, the lung shape variation across the training set can be decomposed into a series of modes, and each specific lung shape can



**Figure 1.8:** Statistical shape model construction based on principle component analysis. (a) Procrustes aligned meshes of 50 subjects. (b) Average shape model of 50 training subjects. All the weight values are zero for this shape model.

be represented with the corresponding mode weight values. In this study each mode represents one type of lung and fissure surface shape variation. In order to predict the fissure location, two PCA-based SSM were constructed using the same training dataset. The first lobe SSM was built using both the lung surface parameters and fissure surface parameters. The second lung SSM was derived for the same training set but did not include the fissure surfaces and so only described the shape of the lung surface.


The two SSMs were used to predict the fissure locations for subjects that were not part of the training set, using only the definition of the lung surface for the subject as input. A finite element mesh of the lung surface (without fissure information) was generated for each new subject. The new fitted lung mesh was procrustes aligned to the same reference model as the training subjects were aligned to. Then this aligned lung surface mesh was projected on to the lung surface SSM (with no fissure surfaces). The principal component weight values were calculated from the projection, which was represented as  $w_{new} = [w_{new1}, w_{new2}, \dots, w_{newL}]$  ( $L \leq 49$ ) here. The first seven principal

components accounted for over 90% of the total lung shape variation in the training set.

Therefore, the first seven mode weights were used on the lobe SSM (which includes both lung and fissure surfaces) to reconstruct the projected lobe mesh for this new subject:

$$S_{new} = S_{mean} + \sum_{i=1}^7 \mathbf{u}_i w_{newi}, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $S_{mean}$  is the average lobe shape model across all the subjects,  $\mathbf{u}_i$  is the first seven eigenvectors of the covariance matrix C corresponding to the lobe SSM, and  $w_{newi}$  is the projected weight values from the lung SSM. An initial estimation of fissure locations was then made (Figure 1.9). This initial prediction of lobar fissures provides a reduced search area for subsequent image analysis and ensures an estimation of complete lobar structures even if a fissure is incomplete or is difficult to detect.



**Figure 1.9:** Fissure prediction results compared to ground truth fissure points. The fissure surface meshes are estimated fissure locations and the red points are manual tracing fissure points by an expert. (a) Left lung. (b) Right lung.

### 1.3.4 Multiscale Hessian-based fissure detection

The final fissure detection was accomplished through an enhancement filter. Conventional, enhancement filters are typically based on first (structure tensor) or second (Hessian matrix) order image information. In this study, we used the Hessian matrix based on gray-scale curvature information combined with Gaussian smoothing as a basic operator to enhance the fissure structure. In 3D space, fissures are free-form surfaces in the lungs that locally resemble plate-like structures, since the grey-value increases rapidly from the structure border to the center and decreases again to the opposite border. Hessian-based filters are typically used to enhance and differentiate structures with specific shapes, i.e., blobs, sheets and tubes (Frangi et al., 1998; Lorenz et al., 1997).

A common approach to analyze the local behavior of an image,  $L$ , is to consider the local grey-value variations in the neighborhood of a point  $x_o$  modeled by a Taylor expansion to the second order,

$$L(x_o + \delta x_o, s) \approx L(x_o, s) + \delta x_o^T \nabla_{o,s} + \delta x_o^T H_{o,s} \delta x_o, \quad (1.6)$$

where  $\nabla_{o,s}$  and  $H_{o,s}$  are the gradient vector and Hessian matrix, respectively, of the image computed in  $x_o$  at scale  $s$ .

To calculate these differential operators of  $L$ , concepts of linear scale space theory (Koenderink, 1984; Florack et al., 1992) are used. In this framework, differentiation is defined as a convolution with derivatives of Gaussians,

$$\frac{\partial L(x, s)}{\partial x} = s^\gamma L(x) * \frac{\partial G(x, s)}{\partial x}, \quad (1.7)$$

where the D-dimensional Gaussian is defined as

$$G(x, s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s^2}^D} e^{-\frac{\|x\|^2}{2s^2}}, \quad (1.8)$$

where  $s$  is the kernel size of the Gaussian. The second derivative of a Gaussian is in many cases a good approximation to the optimal filter for a plane-like structure. Through using the second derivative operator combined with Gaussian smoothing as the basic operator (Hessian matrix), we are able to make the non-supervised fissure filter scale-dependent (Lorenz et al., 1997; Li et al., 2003). In order to make sure a variety of sizes of fissures can be captured by the Hessian, we implemented a range of kernel sizes from 0.5mm to 2.5mm in 0.5 mm increments as the kernel size of the Gaussian to obtain a final estimate of 'fissureness':

$$F_{output} = \max_{s_{min} \leq s \leq s_{max}} F_0(s), \quad (1.9)$$

where  $s_{min}$  and  $s_{max}$  are the minimum scale (0.5mm) and maximum scale (2.5mm). Each scale  $s$  gets a response. In the final output of the multiscale enhancement filter, the maximum output over all scales is assigned to each voxel.

At each image voxel, the Hessian matrix was constructed from the six independent second order derivatives as a symmetric matrix

$$\text{Hessian} = \begin{bmatrix} H_{xx} & H_{xy} & H_{xz} \\ H_{yx} & H_{yy} & H_{yz} \\ H_{zx} & H_{zy} & H_{zz} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1.10)$$

where  $H_{ij} = \left| \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial r_i r_j} \right|$ , and  $r$  represents the gradient direction.

The idea behind eigenvalue analysis of the Hessian is to extract the principal direc-

tions in which the local second order structure of the image can be decomposed. The eigenvalue decomposition extracts three orthonormal directions which are invariant up to a scaling factor when mapped by the Hessian matrix. In this chapter,  $\lambda_k$  will be the eigenvalue with the k-th smallest magnitude ( $|\lambda_1| \leq |\lambda_2| \leq |\lambda_3|$ ). Under this assumption Table 1.4 summarizes different structures distinguished by an analysis of the eigenvalues of the Hessian

**Table 1.4:** Possible structures on images in 2D and 3D, and its corresponding eigenvalues  $\lambda_k$ . H and L describe the absolute value of  $\lambda_k$ , H is high, L is low, +/- indicate the sign of the eigenvalue. The eigenvalues relationship here is:  
 $|\lambda_1| \leq |\lambda_2| \leq |\lambda_3|$

<b>2D</b>		<b>3D</b>			<b>orientation structure</b>
$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	$\lambda_3$	
L	L	L	L	L	noisy structure
		L	L	H-	bright plane-like structure
		L	L	H+	dark plane-like structure
L	H-	L	H-	H-	bright tubular-like structure
	L	H+	L	H+	dark tubular-like structure
H-	H-	H-	H-	H-	bright blob-like structure
	H+	H+	H+	H+	dark blob-like structure

As shown in Table 1.4, an eigenvector analysis of the Hessian matrix can thus be used to detect fissure structures, and the respective eigenvectors point out singular directions. In 3D space, a light plane on a dark background is characterized by one large positive second derivative ( $\lambda_3$ ) perpendicular to the fissure plane, since the grey-value increases rapidly from the plane-structure border to the centerline and decreases again to the opposite border. And two small second derivatives of either sign ( $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ ) parallel to the plane should occur (shown in Fig 1.10). Thus, on the bright fissures, the ideal relationship is defined as  $|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_2| = 0$  and  $\lambda_3 \ll 0$ ,  $|\lambda_1| \leq |\lambda_2| \leq |\lambda_3|$ . From these characteristics, we can get a fissure probability of each voxel derived as follows

$$F_0(s) = \Theta S_{plane} S_{noise} \quad (1.11)$$

The parameter  $\Theta$  suppresses points whose largest eigenvalue  $\lambda_3$  is positive, since fissures are locally bright, and is defined as

$$\Theta = \begin{cases} 1, & \lambda_3 < 0 \\ 0, & \lambda_3 \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

Since the largest eigenvalue  $|\lambda_3|$  should be much larger than the other two eigenvectors, the second factor  $S_{plane}$  uses the ratio between  $|\lambda_2|$  and  $|\lambda_3|$  to search sheet-like structures, so that the voxels where  $|\lambda_3|$  and  $|\lambda_2|$  are significantly different can be detected

$$S_{plane} = \exp\left(-\frac{R_{plane}^2}{2\alpha^2}\right), \quad (1.13)$$


$$R_{plane} = \frac{|\lambda_2|}{|\lambda_3|}, \quad (1.14)$$

where  $\alpha$  was set to 0.5 in this study. The third factor  $S_{noise}$  aims to suppress noise voxels such as blob-like structures. Unlike plane-like structures which have relatively large  $|\lambda_2|$  and  $|\lambda_3|$  ratio, the noise voxels usually have low  $|\lambda_1|$ ,  $|\lambda_2|$  and  $|\lambda_3|$  (as shown in Table 1.4). Therefore, here we use

$$S_{noise} = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{R_{noise}^2}{2\beta^2}\right), \quad (1.15)$$

$$R_{noise} = \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2}, \quad (1.16)$$

with  $\beta$  set to 0.5 for thresholding.  $F_0(s)$  then gives a high response to local plane-like structures (fissures) and suppresses other pulmonary structures (noise). An example of this enhancement filter applied in an individual is shown in Figure 1.12a.



**Figure 1.10:** The three eigenvectors of the Hessian matrix representing plane-like structure (fissure).  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are parallel to fissure plane,  $\lambda_3$  is perpendicular to fissure plane.

Blood vessel voxels were subsequently filtered from the fissure enhanced result. The segmentation of vessels was achieved using a classical vessel segmentation method (Frangi et al., 1998). It is also developed on a multiple scale (from 0.5mm to 3.0mm in 0.5 mm increments as the kernel size of Gaussian) Hessian-based enhanced filter, which is similar to the fissure detection filter we described previously. The main difference is here the aim is to search for the tube structure (vessels). In a 3D image, the relationship of Hessian eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$  of an ideal bright tubular structure in a dark background should be described as  $|\lambda_1| \approx 0$ ,  $|\lambda_1| \ll |\lambda_2|$ ,  $\lambda_2 \approx \lambda_3$  (see Figure 1.11). Therefore, we used the following equations as the enhancement filter to detect vesselness structures:

$$V_0(s) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \lambda_2 > 0, \\ (1 - \exp(-\frac{R_A^2}{a^2})) \exp(-\frac{R_B^2}{2b^2}) (1 - \exp(-\frac{s^2}{2c^2})), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1.17)$$

where a and b were both set to 0.5, and c was set to 500 in this study.  $R_A$ ,  $R_B$  and S were defined as follows:

$$R_A = \frac{|\lambda_2|}{|\lambda_3|}, \quad (1.18)$$

$$R_B = \frac{|\lambda_1|}{\sqrt{|\lambda_2| |\lambda_3|}}, \quad (1.19)$$

$$S = \|H\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{j \leq D} \lambda_j^2}, \quad (1.20)$$


where D is the dimension of the image.  $V_0(s)$  gives a probability of vesselness for each voxel. Then segmented blood vessels were selected through detecting the voxels whose vesselness value was larger than a specific threshold (threshold = 10 in this study (Frangi et al., 1998)). We used  $D_{vessel}$  to represent the distance transform to the segmented vessel line, thus the final fissureness after decreasing the values of vessel voxels was defined as:

$$F_{final}(s) = F_0(s)S_{vessels}, \quad (1.21)$$

$$S_{vessels} = \exp\left(1 - \frac{D_{vessel}^2}{2d^2}\right), \quad (1.22)$$

where d was set to 5mm in this study. High vesselness voxels were therefore suppressed and not detected as fissure points in the final result. Fig 1.12b shows the result after eliminating the vessel voxels.

The initial fissure location predicted by average SSM deformation gives us a region



**Figure 1.11:** The three eigenvectors of the Hessian matrix representing tube-like structure (vessel).  $\lambda_1$  is parallel to vessel tube,  $\lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$  are perpendicular to vessel tube.


of interest (ROI) for an accurate fissure detection, see Figure 1.12c. The candidate points are selected within a certain distance of the initial fissure approximation: the search distance was set to 20 voxels (default value) for the initially projected left and right oblique fissures and 15 voxels for the initially projected right horizontal fissure. To remove some spurious responses such as small plane-like structures on the result, a 2D 4-neighborhood connected component filter and a 3D 6-neighborhood vector-based connected component filter were employed successively to eliminate noise arising from small plane-like structures in this search region (Fig 1.12d). A 2D filter was used to eliminate 4-neighbour connected components that were smaller than a minimum small size (set to 10 voxels initially) slice by slice. The 3D vector-based connected component filter used the inner product of the normalized largest eigenvector of the Hessian matrix in adjacent voxels. These largest eigenvectors are perpendicular to the fissure plane, and their inner product provides a criterion for component connection. As the curvature of a fissure is locally low, adjacent fissure voxels should have similar largest eigenvectors and thus the inner product value of their largest eigenvectors should equal to 1 or slightly smaller than 1. Connected boundary condition was set as an inner product  $\leq 0.8$  to connected component, then 3D 6-neighbour connected component with a volume less

than 100 mm<sup>3</sup> was removed as noise from the result.

The detected points were then divided into a set of small subsections corresponding to different x, y intervals. For each subsection, the point of the highest fissure probability (the highest S value) was selected as the final candidate fissure point (Fig 1.12e). Once the maximum fissureness candidates were found, a morphological dilation with a 3 × 3 × 3 voxel cube as structure element was applied iteratively until the largest connected fissure plane was big enough, so that all the other unconnected outliers could be filtered subsequently. Finally, a continuous smooth fissure surface was generated based on the maximum fissure points using a  $\beta$ -spline method with a thin-plane spline (Lee et al., 1997) and extrapolated to the lung boundaries, see Fig 1.12f.

### 1.3.5 Interactive user control interface

As discussed above, a series of parameter values need to be chosen correctly to ensure a successful lobar segmentation. However, a fixed parameter value is usually not suitable for all the subjects due to a wide variation of lung tissue and fissure appearances across the population. Therefore, a fast and convenient manual interaction to control the segmentation procedure is reasonable and acceptable. Based on an open source software, Pulmonary Toolkit (PTK, <https://github.com/tomdoel/pulmonarytoolkit>), we developed an improved user-friendly interactive interface to control the segmentation parameters as input. PTK is a software developed on Matlab for the analysis of 3D medical lung images for academic research use. It comprises a library of lung analysis algorithms, a GUI application for visualising and analysing clinical lung images and a rapid prototyping framework for users to develop their new algorithms in an easier way. By making use of some built-in objects and the visualization system of PTK, our lobar segmen-



**Figure 1.12:** Hessian-based multiscale fissure detection results. (a) Hessian-based plane-like structure enhancement filter. (b) Remove vessel voxels (tube-like structures). (c) Selected search regions for fissure detection based on SSM initial fissure prediction. (d) 2D and 3D eigenvector based connected component filter. (e) Fissure candidate points. (f)  $\beta$ -spline curve fissure surface fitting.


tation algorithm was added into the algorithm package and parameter control buttons were made available on the interface. Table 1.5 summarises the interactive user control parameters. Figure 1.13 shows the user interface of PTK.

**Table 1.5:** Summary of interactive user control parameters

Parameters	Default values	Notes
Search region for left oblique fissure	20 voxels	Increase the value if there are not enough positive candidate points; decrease the value if there is too much noise
Search region for right horizontal fissure	20 voxels	
Search region for right oblique fissure	15 voxels	
Connected component size for left oblique fissure	30 voxels	Decrease the value if there are not enough positive candidate points; increase the value if there is too much noise
Connected component size for right horizontal fissure	30 voxels	
Connected component size for right oblique fissure	30 voxels	
Total connected component size	300 voxels	

### Search region control

Fissure candidate points were detected within a certain distance of the initial fissure approximation. The default distance was set to 20 voxels for left and right oblique fissures and 15 voxels for right horizontal fissure. However, with a fixed search distance, fissure detection sometimes could not be implemented efficiently and accurately. The probability of error detection may increase if the search distance is too large, as more noise will be included in the initial search region. In contrast some positive fissure points will be missed if the search distance is too small. Accurate fissure candidate points are an important prerequisite for good fissure surface fitting. Therefore, user interactive slide




**Figure 1.13:** PTK User interactive interface. It consists of data input section, image visualization section, user plugin section and toolbar section.

buttons were developed on the PTK interface to control the search distance. Through inputting SSM based initial fissure prediction mesh, the estimated fissures can be visualized to overlap on the raw images in the PTK visualization section. Then it is possible for users to select a suitable search region for each fissure depending on the accuracy of the initial fissure estimate. The better the initial fissure approximation, the lower the search region should be selected. Figure 1.14 shows the lobe segmentation result before and after change to the search region.

#### Connected component analysis filter control

Connected component analysis was an important operation to help with noise elimination during fissure detection. A suitable connected component size is able to remove most of the small connected structures as outliers and in the meantime retain true fissure structures as much as possible. In order to improve the filter performance, we




**Figure 1.14:** Fissure detection results before and after control the search regions. (a) Fissure detection result with a search distance of default 20 voxels. (b) Fissure detection result after setting a specific search distance depend on the accuracy of initial fissure guessing.

used a slide button to control the connected component size on the PTK user interface. Through changing the component size threshold, it is possible for us to find a balance between spurious response elimination and target points retainment.

### Manual correction

Manual correction is an essential part of a lot of lobe segmentation processing. A manual correction tool was available in the PTK software, but in this method only one correct landmark can be selected at a time. We developed a multiple landmark correction method based on PTK built-in packages, and the improved correction tool allows users to modify three fissures points at the same time. By selecting a series of correction landmarks, the corresponding fissure plane is deformed to a new curved surface which passes through all the correct landmarks. The correction region was calculated based on the distance between the landmark and its corresponding fissure plane, and a 3D

Gaussian filter with the landmark as the center was also used to specify the corrected boundary. The correction happens in 3D space so that users don't need to do the correction slice by slice. The whole correction can be finished in a few minutes even when automatic fissure is not complete. Figure 1.15 shows the fissure detection results before and after manual correction.



**Figure 1.15:** Manual correction on automatic fissure detection results (a) Manually select a set of landmarks on real fissure lines shown in raw images to correct automatic fissure detection results (red landmarks are for left oblique fissure, green landmarks are for right oblique fissure, blue landmarks are for right horizontal fissure). (b) Corrected fissure detection results shown with raw images. The corrected fissure lines can match the real fissure locations

## 1.4 Experiments

### 1.4.1 Testing CT dataset

The semi-automatic lobe segmentation method was tested on two datasets: 1) CT images from five young normal subjects taken at different lung volumes (end inspiration and end expiration), in the supine posture, from the HLA dataset (introduced in Section 1.3.2). The selected dataset consists of five end expiration images and five end inspi-

ration images, which were not part of the SSM training set; 2) CT images from older patients (slice thickness 1.25-3.00 mm) acquired during routine diagnostic inspection for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF). Data from these subjects were acquired from the Auckland District Health Board (ADHB). Access to clinical data was approved by the Southern Health and Disability Ethics Committee.


### 1.4.2 Test and results

Figure 1.16 shows raw images, SSM based fissure predicted locations, and the automatic lobar segmentation results (no manual correction) of a normal healthy subject. Figure 1.17 shows the results for an IPF subject.


To evaluate the performance of the automatic lobe segmentation, two different tests were performed.

#### Comparison to anatomical based lobar segmentation method

A marker-based interactive watershed transformation algorithm is a commonly used lobe segmentation method in the current literature (Ukil and Reinhardt, 2009; Pu et al., 2009b; Lassen et al., 2011, 2013). This method mainly relies on anatomical information of the lung which integrates fissures, bronchi and vessels into a cost image to obtain the lobar boundaries. In our method, we used a lobar statistical shape model constructed based on principle component analysis to provide an initial estimation of fissure locations. This method gets rid of the dependance on prior segmentation of anatomical structures. To investigate the contribution of using the approximated lobe borders from the deformation of SSM, we compared our method to two interactive watershed-based pulmonary lobe segmentation softwares here: 1. Pulmonary Toolkit,



**Figure 1.16:** Sagittal, axial, and coronal views illustrating raw image, SSM based initial fissure guessing and automatic fissure detection results of a normal healthy subject. (a), (d), (g) are sagittal, axial and coronal raw images. (b), (e), (h) are sagittal, axial and coronal SSM based initial fissure guessing results. (c), (f), (i) are sagittal, axial and coronal automatic fissure detection results.




**Figure 1.17:** Sagittal, axial, and coronal views illustrating raw image, SSM based initial fissure guessing and automatic fissure detection results of an IPF subject. (a), (d), (g) are sagittal, axial and coronal raw images. (b), (e), (h) are sagittal, axial and coronal SSM based initial fissure guessing results. (c), (f), (i) are sagittal, axial and coronal automatic fissure detection results.

PTK, <https://github.com/tomdoel/pulmonarytoolkit> (introduced in Section 1.3.5); 2. Pulmonary Analysis Software Suite, PASS (Guo et al., 2008). PASS is custom-written software developed at the University of Iowa, that integrates quantitative measurements of lung function and structure analysis. Both of these softwares have a built-in lobe segmentation method which is guided by vessel tree and airway tree.

The two segmentation softwares PASS and PTK tested for comparison were unable to segment the lobes for 9/20 and 7/20 subjects respectively (1/10 and 1/10 normal and 8/10 and 6/10 IPF subjects), 1.8, 1.9). In contrast, the model-based method gave an initial estimate for all subjects at all volumes. The main reason for the failure of segmentation is that the airway trees can't be segmented or labelled as lobar branches correctly. Figure 1.18 shows an example of an IPF subject in which airway branches are mislabelled.

### **The gold standard**

To allow for a quantitative evaluation of the performance in a healthy normal dataset and an IPF disease dataset, the automatic segmentation results were compared with "gold-standard" manual segmentations of the fissures. The "gold-standard" segmentations were acquired by an experienced researcher manually tracing all the three fissures for each subject by digitizing a series of points. The tracing was done on transverse, sagittal and coronal slices to maximise visualisation of the fissures using a custom-written configuration of the open source visualization software CMGUI. The observer can select any of the slice section when digitizing the fissure which can give the best contrast, see Figure 1.7 in section 1.3.2. Fissure detection accuracy was assessed by computing the mean distance between manually-defined fissures points and automatic segmented fissures (with a few manual parameter controls, but without manual correction). For each



**Figure 1.18:** An inaccurate initial fissure guessing of IPF subject caused by mislabeling of lobar airway branches using marker-based interactive watershed transformation algorithm (sagittal view, left lung). (a) The mislabeling of lobar airway branches. Purple is for left upper branches and yellow is for left lower branches. The lower branches in the red box are mis-detected as upper branches. (b) The initial fissure guessing based on the erroneous airway branch labeling result. The approximate fissure has a significant erroneous shift in the red box where mislabeling of lobar airway branches occurs.

point in the manual "gold-standard" segmentations, the distance was defined between this point and its closest point in the automatic segmentations as follows:

$$d_i = \min_j \left\{ \sqrt{(x_j^A - x_i^M)^2 + (y_j^A - y_i^M)^2} \right\}, \quad (1.23)$$

where  $(x_i^A, x_i^M)$  is the manually traced fissure point, and  $(x_j^A, x_j^M)$  is the automatic segmented fissure point. Then the mean error was calculated as:

$$d_{mean} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N d_i}{N}, \quad (1.24)$$

where N is the number of points in the manually traced fissure. In addition, we evaluated the accuracy of the algorithm using a percentile measurement. The percentile accuracy is defined as the percentage of the distance between manual and automatic point under a 3mm criteria, following the equation:

$$\sqrt{(x_i^A - x_i^M)^2 + (y_i^A - y_i^M)^2} \leq 3mm, \quad (1.25)$$

since 3mm approximates the thickness of clinical CT images that surgeons and radiologists read in clinical settings (Wei et al., 2009).


For normal subjects, the average mean differences (and accuracies) were 1.76 mm (81%), 3.66 mm (65%), and 2.55 mm (74%), for left oblique, right horizontal and right oblique fissures, respectively. For IPF subjects, the average mean differences (and accuracies) were 2.82 mm (70%), 5.39 mm (59%), and 4.71 mm (63%), for left oblique, right horizontal and right oblique fissures, respectively (shown in table 1.6).

Figure 1.19 shows the spatial distribution of error for three representative subjects. Error was highest in regions close to the hilum (where the anatomical structures are

**Table 1.6:** Mean error and percentile accuracy of normal healthy and IPF subjects (mean value  $\pm$  standard deviation)

	<b>Normal healthy subjects</b>		<b>IPF subjects</b>	
	Mean error (mm)	Accuracy (%)	Mean error (mm)	Accuracy (%)
Left oblique	$1.76 \pm 0.68$	$81.19 \pm 6.61$	$2.82 \pm 0.71$	$70.26 \pm 9.10$
Right horizontal	$3.66 \pm 1.37$	$64.81 \pm 13.19$	$5.39 \pm 1.90$	$58.43 \pm 14.53$
Right oblique	$2.55 \pm 0.90$	$73.81 \pm 7.96$	$4.71 \pm 1.60$	$62.86 \pm 11.21$

complex, and/or the fissure is often incomplete), and where the right fissures meet.



**Figure 1.19:** The spatial distribution of error between the gold-standard and semi-automatic methods for three representative subjects, highlighting localized regions of low accuracy.

The mean square error and percentile accuracy of each fissure for each subject segmented using PTK, PASS and our method (SFeAL) are listed in Table 1.7, 1.8 and 1.9, respectively. Although our model-based method was able to segment all the subjects (whereas PASS and PTK can't), PASS could sometimes perform better on some young healthy subjects. That is probably because in some healthy lungs, it is possible to extract airways and vessels accurately, therefore these structures are able to provide reliable anatomical information to estimate the fissure locations.

**Table 1.7:** Mean error and percentile accuracy of left oblique fissure for each subject

Subject	SFeaL		PTK		PASS	
	Mean error (mm)	Accuracy (%)	Mean error (mm)	Accuracy (%)	Mean error (mm)	Accuracy (%)
HLA1	0.96	91.29	1.98	77.33	1.14	89.66
HLA2	1.17	85.59	1.58	82.65	0.57	95.88
HLA3	1.74	79.79	3.18	72.86	0.93	92.24
HLA4	2.61	81.20	Fail	Fail	2.65	81.95
HLA5	1.44	81.60	1.25	90.71	Fail	Fail
HLA6	3.31	68.08	3.78	53.57	0.92	95.98
HLA7	1.67	80.18	1.75	76.96	3.54	66.36
HLA8	1.52	83.26	2.99	66.17	2.68	68.02
HLA9	1.20	88.72	5.69	44.26	2.61	70.63
HLA10	2.03	72.22	5.55	49.56	1.89	77.11
IPF1	1.91	81.98	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail
IPF2	3.15	62.33	17.90	22.89	Fail	Fail
IPF3	2.71	78.97	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail
IPF4	3.21	61.77	Fail	Fail	4.21	56.23
IPF5	1.93	78.66	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail
IPF6	3.27	63.24	6.71	39.21	4.54	58.76
IPF7	4.24	57.72	4.97	44.68	Fail	Fail
IPF8	2.65	62.81	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail
IPF9	3.27	73.01	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail
IPF10	1.91	82.12	3.13	71.53	2.96	73.26

**Table 1.8:** Mean error and percentile accuracy of right horizontal fissure for each subject

Subject	SFeaL		PTK		PASS	
	Mean error (mm)	Accuracy (%)	Mean error (mm)	Accuracy (%)	Mean error (mm)	Accuracy (%)
HLA1	2.61	72.81	2.32	73.68	1.77	81.57
HLA2	1.55	84.06	3.21	58.33	1.59	86.74
HLA3	3.34	60.91	2.68	72.59	1.31	88.32
HLA4	1.67	84.85	Fail	Fail	1.61	88.64
HLA5	4.89	57.87	10.01	41.73	Fail	Fail
HLA6	3.57	62.56	2.64	65.44	6.38	56.22
HLA7	6.02	38.99	4.20	54.05	Fail	Fail
HLA8	4.09	69.90	6.61	22.83	4.48	39.23
HLA9	5.02	52.88	21.74	21.88	Fail	Fail
HLA10	3.86	63.28	18.04	11.62	13.11	14.52
IPF1	3.91	75.14	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail
IPF2	4.99	67.03	10.60	12.24	Fail	Fail
IPF3	2.19	82.05	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail
IPF4	4.95	58.71	Fail	Fail	10.09	19.19
IPF5	6.99	49.15	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail
IPF6	6.21	38.51	41.01	0.53	Fail	Fail
IPF7	6.47	53.60	9.2	19.64	Fail	Fail
IPF8	8.04	41.19	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail
IPF9	7.52	45.43	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail
IPF10	2.67	73.57	9.31	34.89	7.56	49.39

**Table 1.9:** Mean error and percentile accuracy of right oblique fissure for each subject

Subject	SFeaL		PTK		PASS	
	Mean error (mm)	Accuracy (%)	Mean error (mm)	Accuracy (%)	Mean error (mm)	Accuracy (%)
HLA1	1.99	79.95	2.54	69.92	1.12	87.72
HLA2	2.03	75.09	2.98	58.12	0.64	96.03
HLA3	1.64	82.42	2.48	72.34	0.66	96.52
HLA4	2.15	82.21	Fail	Fail	1.37	96.44
HLA5	2.07	78.53	3.23	61.40	Fail	Fail
HLA6	4.98	75.69	8.31	45.41	4.93	94.49
HLA7	3.02	55.28	3.17	57.14	3.47	57.76
HLA8	2.33	73.98	3.97	58.93	2.40	71.31
HLA9	3.01	66.58	5.72	50.37	2.89	73.51
HLA10	2.32	68.38	8.61	35.35	2.53	70.70
IPF1	2.52	81.72	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail
IPF2	2.94	82.45	3.56	55.43	Fail	Fail
IPF3	3.68	59.47	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail
IPF4	6.23	58.98	Fail	Fail	49.16	6.12
IPF5	4.14	62.00	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail
IPF6	5.43	48.42	15.88	23.69	6.86	41.56
IPF7	5.07	56.96	11.66	19.33	Fail	Fail
IPF8	7.33	47.79	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail
IPF9	6.69	63.49	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail
IPF10	3.06	67.34	4.53	47.81	3.57	67.08

### Manual correction

In order to test the efficiency of manual correction for segmented fissures, three students (are able to recognize lobe fissures on raw images accurately) are asked to do the manual corrections on a automatic segmented fissure result within ten minutes. Table 1.10 lists the comparison of the segmentation accuracy before and after ten-minute manual correction.

**Table 1.10:** Mean square error (MSE) (mm) and percentile accuracy(%) of segmented left oblique (LO), right horizontal (RH), and right oblique (RO) fissures before and after manual correction

	Before correction		After correction					
	MSE	Accuracy	Student 1		Student 2		Student 3	
			MSE	Accuracy	MSE	Accuracy	MSE	Accuracy
LO	3.19	57.55	1.35	89.59	1.21	91.84	1.17	93.47
RH	5.02	39.09	1.85	85.28	1.61	90.36	1.64	87.31
RO	4.83	47.80	1.37	92.67	1.18	89.52	0.98	96.88

## 1.5 Discussion

In this chapter, we presented a pulmonary lobar segmentation method. Results show that the method can perform well to detect the location of the fissures over most of the fissure surfaces on CT images from normal subjects, and provides a relatively accurate result for most of the IPF (abnormal) subjects. Due to lower imaging resolution and tissue abnormalities, the accuracy of the method was lower for the IPF subjects. Automated segmentation of anatomical structures is still challenging in cases with abnormalities, however, the method did not fail.

For fissure detection, there are usually two types of errors, named false negative detection and false positive detection. False negative detection indicates the leak detec-

tion of some true fissure points where fissures are incomplete or have fuzzy appearance. False positive detection indicates the misdetection of some false fissure points where tissues with small plane like structures are incorrectly identified as fissures. For IPF subjects, both of the two errors may occur during fissure detection. For the first type of error, it exists for most of the subjects, since complete pulmonary fissures are rare, especially for IPF CT imaging, which makes automatic lobe segmentation challenging due to the absence of a physical border. In our method, the  $\beta$ -spline method with thin plane spline based surface fitting is able to provide an automated correction of the first type of error, since the fitting operation may help to estimate "incomplete" fissures in the correct direction through extrapolating "complete" fissures to lung borders. This fissure fitting method is a commonly used fitting algorithm (Lee et al., 1997; Doel et al., 2012), however the accuracy of the fitting performance is heavily reliant on the correct detection of complete fissure and a good initial approximation of fissure. Therefore, for some IPF subjects with lower fissure completeness, more manual operations may be needed.

For the second type of error, the incorrect detection is usually caused by accessory fissures and interstitial lung disease tissues such as scarring or fibrosis. Our 2D connected component filter and 3D eigenvector based connected component filter are able to eliminate most of the non-fissure structures within the search region. However, for IPF subjects, it is still difficult to remove all the noise, since fibrosis usually appears surrounding the fissures and may even be connected to the main fissure plane. For some terminal stage IPF patients, severe honeycomb and reticular regions make lung parenchyma really fuzzy and low contrast from the fissures which increases the difficulty of avoiding mis-detection.

Our method performed best on the left oblique fissure than the other two fissures,

because the left lung has a simpler anatomic structure with only one fissure. In contrast, error detection happens more often in the area of the right lung where the two fissures come into contact. This is illustrated in Fig 5, which shows the error distribution over the three fissures for three subjects. It can be seen that the method results in higher error in the lung boundary area, since the fissures here are commonly incomplete, thus few fissure candidate points can be detected accurately. There is also a high error around the junction area of the right oblique fissure and right horizontal fissure, since the two fissures are too closed in this region and the search regions may overlap with each other.

In our method, we used a statistical shape model to provide an initial fissure estimate. Compared to the current published anatomical structure-based methods, the model-based method can predict the fissure location without requiring an accurate preliminary analysis of other anatomical features. For example, traditional anatomical knowledge-based methods such as the watershed-based lobar segmentation relies on the success of the automatic segmentations of the vessel and airway tree and need to label the airway trees to the five main lobar bronchi to get an initial fissure approximation. When one of those segmentations fails, the method is likely to perform worse (as shown in figure 1.18). Vessels are distributed all over the lung and due to the high contrast to the lung parenchyma a good segmentation of the vessels is feasible. But in some cases vessels cross the lobar boundaries. Thus, the assumption that there are no vessels at the lobar boundary is not always correct (Pu et al., 2009b). On the other hand, due to the complex radiological appearance of pathological lungs, it is usually difficult to get a reliable airway and vessel tree segmentation (Lassen et al., 2011, 2013). In the current method, no watershed-based lobe segmentation can be performed in case of a failed bronchi segmentation because the required lobe markers are generated from the labeled bronchi tree. In those cases, the approximated lobar borders might be at the

wrong location in the scan. In contrast, our method is largely independent of the knowledge of lung anatomy, so in our comparison of the model-based estimation of fissure location with a watershed-based method, the latter failed for nearly half of the subjects.

The accuracy of the initial fissure prediction is a very important basis for a good segmentation. However, our model-based fissure prediction method still has some limitations. A main disadvantage is that it can only produce lobar shapes close to the shapes represented in the training set, which leads to a larger error in cases where pathological processes had altered the lobe shapes. As shown in Figure 1.16 and 1.17, the SSM based initial fissure estimate usually performs worse in IPF subjects than in normal healthy subjects. In the following chapters, a statistical shape analysis shows that there is a significant shape difference of right middle lobe and right upper lobe between IPF lungs and normal old lungs. That would be a reasonable explanation for the poor estimation of right horizontal fissure and right oblique fissure of the IPF subject shown in Figure 1.17. In the future, a statistical lobar shape model dataset for a variety of pathologies could be developed. A dataset containing a wide range of statistical models for different ages, sexes, lung volume or diseases would be able to help predict a more accurate ROI for fissure detection.

Due to the variation of lung anatomy and pulmonary disease, no automatic segmentation method can ensure a satisfying lobe segmentation result for all cases. Even for a widely used robust lobe segmentation method, it seems impossible to get a highly accurate segmentation result for all subjects, especially for some abnormal subjects. Therefore, manually interactive operation is usually acceptable and involved in a lot of lobe segmentation processing. Combination of an automatic algorithm and manual interaction is an effective way to obtain accurate segmentation. On the one hand, an automatic segmentation algorithm would help researchers save considerable time from laborious

and time consuming manual tasks. On the other hand, a fast and intuitive correction is able to improve the automatic segmentation performance within a few minutes.

## 1.6 Summary

In this chapter, an SSM based lobe segmentation method was developed. Results show that the method can provide a relatively accurate result for most of the IPF subjects, although manual interaction is still needed for some subjects. In the next chapter, the segmented lobe results will be used to construct an FE mesh to describe the lobe shape for each IPF subject. The patient specific lobe mesh will work as a basic geometry structure to guide a further disease distribution analysis and functional simulation.

# List of References

- Armato, S. G. and Sensakovic, W. F. (2004). Automated lung segmentation for thoracic ct: Impact on computer-aided diagnosis1. *Academic Radiology*, 11(9):1011–1021. [Cited on page 9.]
- Bradley, C., Pullan, A., and Hunter, P. (1997). Geometric modeling of the human torso using cubic hermite elements. *Annals of biomedical engineering*, 25(1):96–111. [Cited on page 25.]
- Cootes, T. F., Taylor, C. J., Cooper, D. H., and Graham, J. (1995). Active shape models—their training and application. *Computer vision and image understanding*, 61(1):38–59. [Cited on page 22.]
- Coxson, H. (2007). Computed tomography and monitoring of emphysema. [Cited on page 4.]
- Crapo, R. (1993). The aging lung. *Lung biology in health and disease*, 63:1–25. [Cited on page 21.]
- Diaz, A. A., Valim, C., Yamashiro, T., EstŠpar, R. S. J., Ross, J. C., Matsuoka, S., Bartholmai, B., Hatabu, H., Silverman, E. K., and Washko, G. R. (2010). Airway count and emphysema assessed by chest ct imaging predicts clinical outcome in smokers. *CHEST Journal*, 138(4):880–887. [Cited on page 4.]

- Doel, T., Gavaghan, D. J., and Grau, V. (2015). Review of automatic pulmonary lobe segmentation methods from ct. *Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics*, 40:13–29. [Cited on pages 3 and 6.]
- Doel, T., Matin, T. N., Gleeson, F. V., Gavaghan, D. J., and Grau, V. (2012). Pulmonary lobe segmentation from ct images using fissureness, airways, vessels and multilevel b-splines. In *Biomedical Imaging (ISBI), 2012 9th IEEE International Symposium on*, pages 1491–1494. IEEE. [Cited on pages 14, 15, 16, 18, and 55.]
- Dryden, I. L. and Mardia, K. V. (1998). *Statistical shape analysis*, volume 4. Wiley Chichester. [Cited on pages 22 and 25.]
- Ecabert, O., Peters, J., Schramm, H., Lorenz, C., von Berg, J., Walker, M. J., Vembar, M., Olszewski, M. E., Subramanyan, K., Lavi, G., et al. (2008). Automatic model-based segmentation of the heart in ct images. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 27(9):1189–1201. [Cited on page 22.]
- El-Baz, A., Beache, G. M., Gimel’farb, G., Suzuki, K., Okada, K., Elnakib, A., Soliman, A., and Abdollahi, B. (2013). Computer-aided diagnosis systems for lung cancer: challenges and methodologies. *International journal of biomedical imaging*, 2013. [Cited on page 4.]
- Fernandez, J. W. (2004). An anatomically based finite element model of patella articulation: towards a diagnostic tool. PhD thesis, University of Auckland. [Cited on page 25.]
- Florack, L. M., ter Haar Romeny, B. M., Koenderink, J. J., and Viergever, M. A. (1992). Scale and the differential structure of images. *Image and vision computing*, 10(6):376–388. [Cited on page 31.]
- Frangi, A. F., Niessen, W. J., Vincken, K. L., and Viergever, M. A. (1998). Multiscale vessel enhancement filtering. In *International Conference on Medical Image Com-*

- puting and Computer-Assisted Intervention, pages 130–137. Springer. [Cited on pages 15, 18, 31, 35, and 36.]
- Graham, M. W., Gibbs, J. D., Cornish, D. C., and Higgins, W. E. (2010). Robust 3-d airway tree segmentation for image-guided peripheral bronchoscopy. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 29(4):982–997. [Cited on page 4.]
- Gülsün, M., Arıyürek, O. M., Cömert, R. B., and Karabulut, N. (2006). Variability of the pulmonary oblique fissures presented by high-resolution computed tomography. *Surgical and Radiologic Anatomy*, 28(3):293–299. [Cited on page 6.]
- Guo, J., Fuld, M., Alford, S., Reinhardt, J., and Hoffman, E. (2008). Pulmonary analysis software suite 9.0: Integrating quantitative measures of function with structural analyses. In First International Workshop on Pulmonary Image Analysis, pages 283–292. [Cited on page 47.]
- Hedlund, L., Anderson, R. F., Goulding, P., Beck, J., Effmann, E., and Putman, C. (1982). Two methods for isolating the lung area of a ct scan for density information. *Radiology*, 144(2):353–357. [Cited on pages 8 and 9.]
- Heimann, T. and Meinzer, H.-P. (2009). Statistical shape models for 3d medical image segmentation: a review. *Medical image analysis*, 13(4):543–563. [Cited on page 22.]
- Hoffman, E. A. (1985). Effect of body orientation on regional lung expansion: a computed tomographic approach. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 59(2):468–480. [Cited on page 9.]
- Hoffman, E. A. and McLennan, G. (1997). Assessment of the pulmonary structure-function relationship and clinical outcomes measures: quantitative volumetric ct of the lung. *Academic radiology*, 4(11):758–776. [Cited on page 3.]
- Hoffman, E. A. and Ritman, E. L. (1985). Effect of body orientation on regional lung

- expansion in dog and sloth. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 59(2):481–491. [Cited on pages 8 and 9.]
- Hoffman, E. A., Simon, B. A., and McLennan, G. (2006). State of the art. a structural and functional assessment of the lung via multidetector-row computed tomography: phenotyping chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Proceedings of the American Thoracic Society*, 3(6):519–532. [Cited on page 4.]
- Hoffman, E. A., Sinak, L., Robb, R. A., and Ritman, E. L. (1983). Noninvasive quantitative imaging of shape and volume of lungs. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 54(5):1414–1421. [Cited on pages 8 and 9.]
- Hu, S., Hoffman, E. A., and Reinhardt, J. M. (2001). Automatic lung segmentation for accurate quantitation of volumetric x-ray ct images. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 20(6):490–498. [Cited on pages 4, 6, 8, and 9.]
- Jeffery, P. K. (1998). Structural and inflammatory changes in copd: a comparison with asthma. *Thorax*, 53(2):129. [Cited on page 4.]
- Kalender, W. A., Fichte, H., Bautz, W., and Skalej, M. (1991). Semiautomatic evaluation procedures for quantitative ct of the lung. *Journal of computer assisted tomography*, 15(2):248–255. [Cited on pages 8 and 9.]
- Kelemen, A., Székely, G., and Gerig, G. (1999). Elastic model-based segmentation of 3-d neuroradiological data sets. *IEEE Transactions on medical imaging*, 18(10):828–839. [Cited on page 22.]
- Keller, J. M., Edwards, F. M., and Rundle, R. (1981). Automatic outlining of regions on ct scans. *Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography*, 5(2):240–245. [Cited on pages 8 and 9.]
- Kemerink, G. J., Lamers, R. J., Pellis, B. J., Kruize, H. H., and Van Engelshoven, J.

- (1998). On segmentation of lung parenchyma in quantitative computed tomography of the lung. *Medical Physics*, 25(12):2432–2439. [Cited on pages 8 and 9.]
- Kitasaka, T., Mori, K., Hasegawa, J.-i., and Toriwaki, J.-i. (2003). Lung area extraction from 3d chest x-ray ct images using a shape model generated by a variable bézier surface. *Systems and Computers in Japan*, 34(4):60–71. [Cited on pages 8 and 11.]
- Kitasaka, T., Nakada, Y., Mori, K., Suenaga, Y., Mori, M., Takabatake, H., and Natori, H. (2006). Recognition of lung lobes and its application to the bronchial structure analysis. In *Pattern Recognition, 2006. ICPR 2006. 18th International Conference on*, volume 3, pages 288–291. IEEE. [Cited on page 18.]
- Koenderink, J. J. (1984). The structure of images. *Biological cybernetics*, 50(5):363–370. [Cited on page 31.]
- Korfiatis, P., Kalogeropoulou, C., Karahaliou, A., Kazantzi, A., Skiadopoulos, S., and Costaridou, L. (2008). Texture classification-based segmentation of lung affected by interstitial pneumonia in high-resolution ct. *Medical physics*, 35(12):5290–5302. [Cited on page 11.]
- Krumpe, P. E., Knudson, R. J., Parsons, G., and Reiser, K. (1985). The aging respiratory system. *Clinics in geriatric medicine*, 1(1):143–175. [Cited on page 21.]
- Kuhnigk, J., Dicken, V., Zidowitz, S., Bornemann, L., Kuemmerlen, B., Krass, S., Peitgen, H., Yuval, S., Jend, H., Rau, W., et al. (2005). Informatics in radiology (inforad): New tools for computer assistance in thoracic ct. part 1. functional analysis of lungs. Lung Lobes, and Bronchopulmonary Segments. *RadioGraphics* 2005; 25: 525, 536. [Cited on pages 14, 15, and 16.]
- Kuhnigk, J.-M., Hahn, H., Hindennach, M., Dicken, V., Krass, S., and Peitgen, H.-O. (2003). Lung lobe segmentation by anatomy-guided 3 d watershed transform. In *Proceedings of SPIE*, volume 5032, pages 1482–1490. [Cited on pages 14, 15, and 16.]

- Lassen, B., Kuhnigk, J.-M., Friman, O., Krass, S., and Peitgen, H.-O. (2010). Automatic segmentation of lung lobes in ct images based on fissures, vessels, and bronchi. In Biomedical Imaging: From Nano to Macro, 2010 IEEE International Symposium on, pages 560–563. IEEE. [Cited on pages 3, 14, 15, 16, and 17.]
- Lassen, B., Kuhnigk, J.-M., Van Rikxoort, E. M., and Peitgen, H.-O. (2011). Interactive lung lobe segmentation and correction in tomographic images. In Medical Imaging 2011: Computer-Aided Diagnosis, volume 7963, page 79631S. International Society for Optics and Photonics. [Cited on pages 1, 15, 18, 44, and 56.]
- Lassen, B., van Rikxoort, E. M., Schmidt, M., Kerkstra, S., van Ginneken, B., and Kuhnigk, J.-M. (2013). Automatic segmentation of the pulmonary lobes from chest ct scans based on fissures, vessels, and bronchi. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 32(2):210–222. [Cited on pages 15, 18, 44, and 56.]
- Leader, J. K., Zheng, B., Rogers, R. M., Sciurba, F. C., Perez, A., Chapman, B. E., Patel, S., Fuhrman, C. R., and Gur, D. (2003). Automated lung segmentation in x-ray computed tomography: development and evaluation of a heuristic threshold-based scheme1. *Academic radiology*, 10(11):1224–1236. [Cited on pages 8 and 9.]
- Lee, S., Wolberg, G., and Shin, S. Y. (1997). Scattered data interpolation with multilevel b-splines. *IEEE transactions on visualization and computer graphics*, 3(3):228–244. [Cited on pages 38 and 55.]
- Leung, A. N. (1999). Pulmonary tuberculosis: the essentials. *Radiology*, 210(2):307–322. [Cited on page 4.]
- Ley-Zaporozhan, J., Ley, S., Weinheimer, O., Iliyushenko, S., Erdugan, S., Eberhardt, R., Fuxa, A., Mews, J., and Kauczor, H.-U. (2008). Quantitative analysis of emphysema in 3d using mdct: influence of different reconstruction algorithms. *European journal of radiology*, 65(2):228–234. [Cited on page 4.]

- Li, Q., Sone, S., et al. (2003). Selective enhancement filters for nodules, vessels, and airway walls in two-and three-dimensional ct scans. *Medical physics*, 30(8):2040–2051. [Cited on page 32.]
- Lin, H. and Jiang, S. (2015). Combined pulmonary fibrosis and emphysema (cpfe): an entity different from emphysema or pulmonary fibrosis alone. *Journal of thoracic disease*, 7(4):767. [Cited on page 5.]
- Lorenz, C., Carlsen, I.-C., Buzug, T. M., Fassnacht, C., and Weese, J. (1997). Multi-scale line segmentation with automatic estimation of width, contrast and tangential direction in 2d and 3d medical images. In CVRMed-MRCAS'97, pages 233–242. Springer. [Cited on pages 31 and 32.]
- Naidich, D. P. (2005). Imaging of the airways: functional and radiologic correlations. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. [Cited on page 4.]
- Ochs, R. A., Goldin, J. G., Abtin, F., Kim, H. J., Brown, K., Batra, P., Roback, D., McNitt-Gray, M. F., and Brown, M. S. (2007). Automated classification of lung bronchovascular anatomy in ct using adaboost. *Medical image analysis*, 11(3):315–324. [Cited on pages 15 and 18.]
- Okada, T., Shimada, R., Hori, M., Nakamoto, M., Chen, Y.-W., Nakamura, H., and Sato, Y. (2008). Automated segmentation of the liver from 3d ct images using probabilistic atlas and multilevel statistical shape model. *Academic radiology*, 15(11):1390–1403. [Cited on page 22.]
- Prasad, M. N., Brown, M. S., Ahmad, S., Abtin, F., Allen, J., da Costa, I., Kim, H. J., McNitt-Gray, M. F., and Goldin, J. G. (2008). Automatic segmentation of lung parenchyma in the presence of diseases based on curvature of ribs. *Academic radiology*, 15(9):1173–1180. [Cited on pages 8, 11, and 12.]

- Pu, J., Leader, J. K., Zheng, B., Knollmann, F., Fuhrman, C., Sciurba, F. C., and Gur, D. (2009a). A computational geometry approach to automated pulmonary fissure segmentation in ct examinations. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 28(5):710–719. [Cited on pages 5, 7, and 14.]
- Pu, J., Paik, D. S., Meng, X., Roos, J., and Rubin, G. D. (2011). Shape break-and-repair strategy and its application to automated medical image segmentation. *IEEE transactions on visualization and computer graphics*, 17(1):115–124. [Cited on pages 8, 11, and 12.]
- Pu, J., Roos, J., Chin, A. Y., Napel, S., Rubin, G. D., and Paik, D. S. (2008). Adaptive border marching algorithm: automatic lung segmentation on chest ct images. *Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics*, 32(6):452–462. [Cited on pages 6, 8, and 11.]
- Pu, J., Zheng, B., Leader, J. K., Fuhrman, C., Knollmann, F., Klym, A., and Gur, D. (2009b). Pulmonary lobe segmentation in ct examinations using implicit surface fitting. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 28(12):1986–1996. [Cited on pages 44 and 56.]
- Rees, D. and Murray, J. (2007). Silica, silicosis and tuberculosis [state of the art series. occupational lung disease in high-and low-income countries, edited by m. chan-yeung. number 4 in the series]. *The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*, 11(5):474–484. [Cited on page 4.]
- Rohlf, F. J. (1999). Shape statistics: Procrustes superimpositions and tangent spaces. *Journal of Classification*, 16(2):197–223. [Cited on page 25.]
- Ross, J. C., Estépar, R. S. J., Kindlmann, G., Díaz, A., Westin, C.-F., Silverman, E. K., and Washko, G. R. (2010). Automatic lung lobe segmentation using particles, thin plate splines, and maximum a posteriori estimation. In *International Conference*

- on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention, pages 163–171. Springer. [Cited on pages 15 and 18.]
- Ross, J. C., Kindlmann, G. L., Okajima, Y., Hatabu, H., Díaz, A. A., Silverman, E. K., Washko, G. R., Dy, J., and Estépar, R. S. J. (2013). Pulmonary lobe segmentation based on ridge surface sampling and shape model fitting. *Medical physics*, 40(12). [Cited on pages 15 and 18.]
- Saita, S., Kubo, M., Kawata, Y., Niki, N., Ohmatsu, H., and Moriyama, N. (2006). An algorithm for the extraction of pulmonary fissures from low-dose multislice ct image. *Systems and Computers in Japan*, 37(9):63–76. [Cited on pages 14, 15, and 17.]
- Shikata, H., McLennan, G., Hoffman, E. A., and Sonka, M. (2009). Segmentation of pulmonary vascular trees from thoracic 3d ct images. *Journal of Biomedical Imaging*, 2009:24. [Cited on page 4.]
- Sluimer, I., Prokop, M., and Van Ginneken, B. (2005). Toward automated segmentation of the pathological lung in ct. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 24(8):1025–1038. [Cited on page 11.]
- Stegmann, M. B. and Gomez, D. D. (2002). A brief introduction to statistical shape analysis. *Informatics and mathematical modelling*, Technical University of Denmark, DTU, 15(11). [Cited on page 22.]
- Styner, M., Gerig, G., Lieberman, J., Jones, D., and Weinberger, D. (2003). Statistical shape analysis of neuroanatomical structures based on medial models. *Medical image analysis*, 7(3):207–220. [Cited on page 22.]
- Sun, S., Bauer, C., and Beichel, R. (2012). Automated 3-d segmentation of lungs with lung cancer in ct data using a novel robust active shape model approach. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 31(2):449–460. [Cited on pages 8, 11, and 13.]

- Sun, X., Zhang, H., and Duan, H. (2006). 3d computerized segmentation of lung volume with computed tomography. *Academic radiology*, 13(6):670–677. [Cited on pages 6, 8, 9, and 10.]
- Tawhai, M. H. and Burrowes, K. S. (2003). Developing integrative computational models of pulmonary structure. *The Anatomical Record*, 275(1):207–218. [Cited on page 25.]
- Taylor, C., Cootes, T., Hill, A., and Haslam, J. (1995). Medical image segmentation using active shape models. *STUDIES IN HEALTH TECHNOLOGY AND INFORMATICS*, 19:121–144. [Cited on page 22.]
- Tsai, A., Yezzi, A., Wells, W., Tempany, C., Tucker, D., Fan, A., Grimson, W. E., and Willsky, A. (2003). A shape-based approach to the segmentation of medical imagery using level sets. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 22(2):137–154. [Cited on page 22.]
- Ukil, S. and Reinhardt, J. M. (2005). Smoothing lung segmentation surfaces in three-dimensional x-ray ct images using anatomic guidance1. *Academic radiology*, 12(12):1502–1511. [Cited on pages 6, 8, 9, 10, and 21.]
- Ukil, S. and Reinhardt, J. M. (2009). Anatomy-guided lung lobe segmentation in x-ray ct images. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 28(2):202–214. [Cited on pages 1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 14, 15, 16, and 44.]
- van Rikxoort, E. M., de Hoop, B., Viergever, M. A., Prokop, M., and van Ginneken, B. (2009). Automatic lung segmentation from thoracic computed tomography scans using a hybrid approach with error detection. *Medical physics*, 36(7):2934–2947. [Cited on page 11.]
- Van Rikxoort, E. M. and Van Ginneken, B. (2013). Automated segmentation of pul-

- monary structures in thoracic computed tomography scans: a review. *Physics in medicine and biology*, 58(17):R187. [Cited on pages 5, 6, 7, and 11.]
- van Rikxoort, E. M., van Ginneken, B., Klik, M., and Prokop, M. (2008). Supervised enhancement filters: Application to fissure detection in chest ct scans. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 27(1):1–10. [Cited on pages 15 and 18.]
- Wang, J., Betke, M., and Ko, J. P. (2004). Shape-based curve growing model and adaptive regularization for pulmonary fissure segmentation in ct. In *International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*, pages 541–548. Springer. [Cited on pages 15 and 17.]
- Wang, J., Betke, M., and Ko, J. P. (2006). Pulmonary fissure segmentation on ct. *Medical Image Analysis*, 10(4):530–547. [Cited on pages 15 and 17.]
- Wang, J., Li, F., and Li, Q. (2009). Automated segmentation of lungs with severe interstitial lung disease in ct. *Medical physics*, 36(10):4592–4599. [Cited on pages 6, 8, 11, and 12.]
- Wei, Q., Hu, Y., Gelfand, G., and Macgregor, J. H. (2009). Segmentation of lung lobes in high-resolution isotropic ct images. *IEEE Transactions on biomedical engineering*, 56(5):1383–1393. [Cited on page 49.]
- Wiemker, R., Bülow, T., and Blaffert, T. (2005). Unsupervised extraction of the pulmonary interlobar fissures from high resolution thoracic ct data. In *International Congress Series*, volume 1281, pages 1121–1126. Elsevier. [Cited on pages 15 and 18.]
- Yamamoto, T., Kabus, S., Klinder, T., Lorenz, C., Von Berg, J., Blaffert, T., Loo Jr, B. W., and Keall, P. J. (2011). Investigation of four-dimensional computed tomography-based pulmonary ventilation imaging in patients with emphysematous lung regions. *Physics in medicine and biology*, 56(7):2279. [Cited on page 4.]

- Zhang, J. (2013). Development of an automated system for building a large population-based statistical model of femur morphology. PhD thesis, ResearchSpace@ Auckland. [Cited on page 22.]
- Zhang, L., Hoffman, E. A., and Reinhardt, J. M. (2006). Atlas-driven lung lobe segmentation in volumetric x-ray ct images. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 25(1):1–16. [Cited on page 14.]
- Zhang, X., Smith, N., and Webb, A. (2011). Medical imaging. In Feng, D. D., editor, *Biomedical Information Technology*. Elsevier Science, Oxford. [Cited on page 3.]
- Zhou, X., Hayashi, T., Hara, T., Fujita, H., Yokoyama, R., Kiryu, T., and Hoshi, H. (2004). Automatic recognition of lung lobes and fissures from multislice ct images. In *Proceedings of SPIE*, volume 5370, pages 1629–1633. [Cited on pages 14, 15, and 17.]
- Zhu, C., Qi, S., van Triest, H., Wang, S., Kang, Y., and Yue, Y. (2010). Automatic 3d segmentation of human airway tree in ct image. In *Biomedical Engineering and Informatics (BMEI), 2010 3rd International Conference on*, volume 1, pages 132–136. IEEE. [Cited on page 4.]