## Homework 1 of Introduction to Analysis (I), Honor Class

## AM15 黃琦翔 111652028

## 2023/9/12

1. Let  $R = \{f(x) \mid x \in X\}$  and  $r = \sup R$ . Then, for any  $\beta$  which is upper bound of R,  $r \le \beta$ . Thus,  $a + \beta$  is an upper bound of  $R' = \{a + f(x) \mid x \in X\}$ .

Assume there exists  $\beta' = \sup R'$  s.t.  $\beta' < a + r$ . Since  $\beta' \ge a + f(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in X \Rightarrow \beta' - a \ge f(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in X$ . Therefore,  $\beta' - a$  is an upper bound of R and it should greater or equal to r. This causes contradiction to the assumption.

Thus, for every upper bound f R', it is greater or equal to a + r.

That is  $\sup\{a + f(x) \mid x \in X\} = a + \{f(x) \mid x \in X\}$ 

2. let a be greastest lower bound of  $\{f(x) \mid x \in X\}$ , b be greastest lower bound of  $\{f(x) \mid x \in X\}$ . That is  $a \le f(x) \forall x \in X$  and  $b \le g(x) \forall x \in X$ . Then, a + b is an lower bound of  $\{f(x) + g(x) \mid x \in X\}$ . Therefore  $a + b \le \inf\{f(x) + g(x) \mid x \in X\}$ . In other world,  $\inf\{f(x) \mid x \in X\} + \inf\{g(x) \mid x \in X\} \le \inf\{f(x) + g(x) \mid x \in X\}$ 

Using the same way we can prove  $\sup\{f(x) + g(x) \mid x \in X\} \le \sup\{f(x) \mid x \in X\} + \sup\{g(x) \mid x \in X\}$ Then, we want to show  $\inf S \le \sup S$  for all set S:

For a upper bound of S " $\beta$ " and lower bound of S " $\alpha$ ". For any element  $x \in S$ ,  $\alpha \le x \le \beta$ . Then, inf  $S \le x \le \sup S$  for all  $x \in S$ 

Then,  $\inf\{f(x) \mid x \in X\} + \inf\{g(x) \mid x \in X\} \le \inf\{f(x) + g(x) \mid x \in X\} \le \sup\{f(x) + g(x) \mid x \in X\} \le \sup\{f(x) \mid x \in X\} + \sup\{g(x) \mid x \in X\}$ 

Ex. Let  $f(x) = \sin(x)$ ,  $g(x) = \cos(x)$ ,  $X = (0, 2\pi)$ , then  $-2 < -\sqrt{2} < 2$ .

3. Let  $A = \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{R}, b^x < y\}.$ 

By Bernoulli's Inequality(In Bartle's book page 35) and let b=1+c with  $c\in\mathbb{R},\ c>0$ , then  $(1+c)^n\geq 1+nc$ . Then, we can find some  $n\in\mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $c>\frac{y}{n}$ . Therefore,  $b^n=(1+c)^n\geq 1+nc>y$  and n is upper bound of A. Thus, by completeness of  $\mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $\beta\in\mathbb{R}$  be  $\sup A$ .

If  $b^{\beta} < y$ , then assume  $\beta + \frac{1}{n} \in A$ . Thus  $b^{\beta + \frac{1}{n}} = b^{\beta} \cdot b^{\frac{1}{n}} > b^{\beta} \cdot 1 = b^{\beta}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, we want to proof  $b^{\beta + \frac{1}{n}} < y$ , thus we check the inequality  $b^{\beta + \frac{1}{n}} - b^{\beta} < y - b^{\beta}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** 
$$b^{\beta+\frac{1}{n}}-b^{\beta}=b^{\beta}(b^{\frac{1}{n}}-1)$$
. Then, we want to proof  $b^{\frac{1}{n}}-1\to 0$  when  $n\to\infty$ . Since  $b^{\frac{1}{n}}\leq \frac{1}{n}$ 

 $\beta \in A$  by the definition of A(contradiction). Therefore,  $b^{\beta} \geq y$ .

If  $b^{\beta} > y$ , we can find a real number r' s.t.  $b^{\beta} > r' > y$ . Therefore, we can find  $x \in A$  s.t  $b^x \le r'$ . Thus,  $\beta$  is not least upper bound of A.

These two line implies the supremun of A,  $\beta$ , is the unique real number s.t.  $b^{\beta} = y$  since the supremun is unique.