## Homework 1 of Introduction to Analysis (I), Honor Class

## AM15 黃琦翔 111652028

## 2023/9/12

1. Let  $R = \{f(x) \mid x \in X\}$  and  $r = \sup R$ . Then, for any  $\beta$  which is upper bound of R,  $r \le \beta$ . Thus,  $a + \beta$  is an upper bound of  $R' = \{a + f(x) \mid x \in X\}$ .

Assume there exists  $\beta' = \sup R'$  s.t.  $\beta' < a + r$ . Since  $\beta' \ge a + f(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in X \Rightarrow \beta' - a \ge f(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in X$ . Therefore,  $\beta' - a$  is an upper bound of R and it should greater or equal to r. This causes contradiction to the assumption.

Thus, for every upper bound f R', it is greater or equal to a + r.

That is  $\sup\{a + f(x) \mid x \in X\} = a + \{f(x) \mid x \in X\}$ 

2. let a be greastest lower bound of  $\{f(x) \mid x \in X\}$ , b be greastest lower bound of  $\{f(x) \mid x \in X\}$ . That is  $a \le f(x) \forall x \in X$  and  $b \le g(x) \forall x \in X$ . Then, a + b is an lower bound of  $\{f(x) + g(x) \mid x \in X\}$ . Therefore  $a + b \le \inf\{f(x) + g(x) \mid x \in X\}$ . In other world,  $\inf\{f(x) \mid x \in X\} + \inf\{g(x) \mid x \in X\} \le \inf\{f(x) + g(x) \mid x \in X\}$ 

Using the same way we can prove  $\sup\{f(x) + g(x) \mid x \in X\} \le \sup\{f(x) \mid x \in X\} + \sup\{g(x) \mid x \in X\}$ Then, we want to show  $\inf S \le \sup S$  for all set S:

For a upper bound of S " $\beta$ " and lower bound of S " $\alpha$ ". For any element  $x \in S$ ,  $\alpha \le x \le \beta$ . Then, inf  $S \le x \le \sup S$  for all  $x \in S$ 

Then,  $\inf\{f(x) \mid x \in X\} + \inf\{g(x) \mid x \in X\} \le \inf\{f(x) + g(x) \mid x \in X\} \le \sup\{f(x) + g(x) \mid x \in X\} \le \sup\{f(x) \mid x \in X\} + \sup\{g(x) \mid x \in X\}$ 

Ex. Let  $f(x) = \sin(x)$ ,  $g(x) = \cos(x)$ ,  $X = (0, 2\pi)$ , then  $-2 < -\sqrt{2} < 2$ .

- 3. Using the steps from Rudin's book.
  - (a) For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $b^n 1 \ge n(b-1)$

Proof.

• 
$$n = 1, b - 1 \ge 1(b - 1)$$
 trivially true

• Assume 
$$n = k, b^k - 1 \ge k(b - 1)$$

• 
$$n = k+1, b^{k+1}-1 = (b-1)(b^k+b^{k-1}+\cdots+1) \ge (b-1)(k+1)$$
 since  $b \ge 1$ 

Then, by math induction,  $b^n - 1 \ge n(b-1)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

(b) Then,  $b-1 > n(b^{1/n}-1)$ 

**Proof.**
$$(b^{1/n})^n - 1 \ge n(b^{1/n} - 1)$$

(c) If 
$$t > 1$$
 and  $n > \frac{b-1}{t-1}$ , then  $b^{1/n} < t$ 

**Proof.** 
$$n > \frac{b-1}{t-1} \ge \frac{n(b^{1/n}-1)}{t-1}$$
, then  $t-1 > b^{1/n} - 1 \Rightarrow b^{1/n} < t$  for  $t > 1$ 

(d) If w s.t.  $b^w < y$ , then exists some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $b^{w+1/n} < y$ 

**Proof.**  $b^{w+1/n} = b^w * b^{1/n}$ , then from (c), we can know that there exists some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $b^{1/n} < \frac{y}{b^w}$  since  $\frac{y}{b^w} > 1$ . Thus,  $b^{w+1/n} < y$  if  $b^w < y$  with some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

(e) If  $b^w > y$ , then  $b^{w-1/n} > y$ 

**Proof.**  $b^{w-1/n} = \frac{b^w}{b^1/n}$ . By (c), there exists some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $b^{1/n} \le \frac{b^w}{y}$ . Thus,  $b^{w-1/n} > y$  if  $b^w > y$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

(f)  $A = \{ w \mid b^w < y \}, x = supA \text{ satisfies } b^x = y \}$ 

By Bernoulli's inequality,  $b^n=(1+c)^n\geq 1+nc$  by let c=b-1>0, then by Archimedean property, there exists some  $n\in\mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $b^n>1+nc>y$ . Thus, A is bounded above. And since  $b^{-n}=\frac{1}{b^n}$ , there exists some  $n\in\mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $b^n\geq \frac{1}{y}\Rightarrow b^{-n}\leq y$ . Thus,  $A\neq\emptyset$ .

Thus, by the completement of real number, there exists  $x = \sup A$ .

If  $b^x < y$ , from (d),  $b^{x+1/n} < y \Rightarrow x+1/n \in A$ . Thus, x is not upper bound of A. If  $b^x > y$ , form (f),  $b^{x-1/n} > y$ . Then, x is not the least upper bound of A.

So,  $b^x$  must to be equal to y.

(g) x is unique because it is supremun of A.