

# Numerical Results Summary

Qiyuan Pang

June 14, 2021

According to the preprint, we solve  $Kx = b$  by solving

$$\hat{K}^* \hat{K} x = \hat{K}^* b,$$

preconditioned with and without  $\hat{G} = (\hat{K}^* \hat{K})^{-1}$ . The following quantities are used in the rest of the section to evaluate the performance of the preconditioner:

- $N$ : problem size;
- $e_a$ : the relative error set for the butterfly approximation  $\hat{K}$  of  $K$ ;
- $\epsilon$ : the fixed tolerance set in HIF;
- $r$ : the fixed maximum rank set in HIF;
- $e_s$ : the relative error of the approximation  $\hat{G} \hat{K}^*$  of  $K^{-1}$ , defined as  $\|\hat{G} \hat{K}^* b - x\|/\|x\|$  where  $x$  is a random vector and  $b = Kx$ ;
- $n_i$ : the number of iterations used in PCG until convergence;
- $e$ : the relative error of the solution returned by PCG.

Among all experiments below, the stopping criteria set for PCG is tolerance  $1e - 8$ .

**Examples (1D).** We begin with an example of 1D discrete FIO of the form

$$u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(x) e^{2\pi i \Phi(x, \xi)} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

with uniform amplitude  $a(x, \xi) = 1$ .

There are five 1D kernels to test here, as follows:

$$\Phi(x, \xi) = x \cdot \xi + c(x)|\xi|, c(x) = (2 + \sin(2\pi x))/8, \quad (1)$$

$$\Phi(x, \xi) = x \cdot \xi + c(x)\xi, c(x) = (2 + 0.2 \sin(2\pi x))/16, \quad (2)$$

$$\Phi(x, \xi) = x \cdot \xi + (2 + 0.2 \sin(2\pi x))(2 + 0.5 \cos(2\pi \xi) + \xi^2), \quad (3)$$

$$\Phi(x, \xi) = (x + \sin(2\pi x))(\xi + \cos(2\pi \xi)), \quad (4)$$

$$\Phi(x, \xi) = x \cdot \xi + c(x)|\xi|, c(x) = (2 + \sin(2\pi x))/32, \quad (5)$$

Discretizing  $x$  and  $\xi$  on  $[0, 1)$  and  $[-N/2, N/2)$  with  $N$  points,

$$x_i = (i - 1)/N, \xi_j = j - 1 - N/2.$$

leads to the discrete system  $u = Kf$ .

Table ?? and ?? summarize the results for 1D kernel (1). Table ?? and ?? summarize the results for 1D kernel (2). Table ?? and ?? summarize the results for 1D kernel (3). Table ?? and ?? summarize the results for 1D kernel (4). Table ?? and ?? summarize the results for 1D kernel (5).

**Examples (2D).** Then, we consider some 2D analogs of the 1D examples,

$$u(x) = \sum_{\xi \in \Omega} e^{2\pi \Phi(x, \xi)} \hat{f}(\xi), x \in X,$$

and

$$X = \{x = (\frac{n_1}{n}, \frac{n_2}{n}), 0 \leq n_1, n_2 < n, \text{with } n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

$$\Omega = \{\xi = (n_1, n_2), -\frac{n}{2} \leq n_1, n_2 < \frac{n}{2}, \text{with } n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

with  $n$  being the number of points in each dimension and  $N = n^2$ .

We consider four kernels as follows:

$$\Phi(x, \xi) = x \cdot \xi + \sqrt{c_1^2(x)\xi_1^2 + c_2^2(x)\xi_2^2}, c_1(x) = (2 + \sin(2\pi x_1) \sin(2\pi x_2))/32, c_2(x) = (2 + \cos(2\pi x_1) \cos(2\pi x_2))/32, \quad (6)$$

$$\Phi(x, \xi) = x \cdot \xi + \sqrt{c_1^2(x)\xi_1^2 + c_2^2(x)\xi_2^2}, c_1(x) = (2 + \sin(2\pi x_1) \sin(2\pi x_2))/16, c_2(x) = (2 + \cos(2\pi x_1) \cos(2\pi x_2))/16, \quad (7)$$

$$\Phi(x, \xi) = x \cdot \xi + \sqrt{c_1^2(x)\xi_1^2 + c_2^2(x)\xi_2^2}, c_1(x) = (2 + \sin(2\pi x_1) \sin(2\pi x_2))/8, c_2(x) = (2 + \cos(2\pi x_1) \cos(2\pi x_2))/8, \quad (8)$$

$$\Phi(x, \xi) = x \cdot \xi + \sqrt{c_1^2(x)\xi_1^2 + c_2^2(x)\xi_2^2}, c_1(x) = (2 + \sin(2\pi x_1) \sin(2\pi x_2))/4, c_2(x) = (2 + \cos(2\pi x_1) \cos(2\pi x_2))/4, \quad (9)$$

Table ?? and ?? summarize the results for 2D kernel (6). Table ?? and ?? summarize the results for 2D kernel (7). Table ?? and ?? summarize the results for 2D kernel (8). Table ?? and ?? summarize the results for 2D kernel (9).

	$\hat{K} \approx K$	$\hat{G}\hat{K}^* \approx K^{-1}$				$\hat{K}^* \approx \hat{K}^*$	
N	$e_a$	$\epsilon$	$e_s$	$n_i$	$e$	$n_i$	$e$
256	1e-7	1e-6	2.1336e-07	2	2.4560e-13	25	9.9473e-09
		1e-3	3.3399e-04	3	2.4695e-10	25	9.9473e-09
625	1e-7	1e-6	3.6876e-07	2	2.9753e-13	26	9.2094e-09
		1e-3	2.3161e-04	3	1.0952e-10	26	9.2094e-09
1296	1e-7	1e-6	4.0501e-07	2	5.4640e-13	27	5.1549e-09
		1e-3	2.4908e-04	3	1.0500e-10	27	5.1549e-09
2401	1e-7	1e-6	2.0698e-07	2	2.4927e-13	27	5.5965e-09
		1e-3	2.7872e-04	3	2.5319e-10	27	5.5965e-09
4096	1e-7	1e-6	3.7231e-07	2	1.1721e-12	27	5.4880e-09
		1e-3	4.4070e-04	3	3.7392e-10	27	5.4880e-09
6561	1e-7	1e-6	3.4479e-07	2	8.3411e-13	27	5.3598e-09
		1e-3	2.1708e-04	3	2.3232e-10	27	5.3598e-09

Table 1: Numerical results for 1D uniform amplitude FIO (1) using the approximate inverse  $\hat{G}\hat{K}^*$  and the adjoint FIO matrix  $\hat{K}^*$  as preconditioners for PCG with tolerance  $1e - 8$ .

	$\hat{K} \approx K$	$\hat{G}\hat{K}^* \approx K^{-1}$				$\hat{K}^* \approx \hat{K}^*$	
N	$e_a$	$\epsilon$	$e_s$	$n_i$	$e$	$n_i$	$e$
256	1e-7	1e-6	5.5818e+00	50	5.8919e-05	48	1.5806e-02
		1e-3	2.9826e+00	29	5.0284e-03	48	1.5806e-02
625	1e-7	1e-6	1.4768e+00	0	1.0000e+00	2	1.4901e-01
		1e-3	7.6218e+00	0	1.0000e+00	2	1.4901e-01
1296	1e-7	1e-6	3.4273e+00	0	1.0000e+00	2	1.9735e-01
		1e-3	1.3683e+00	0	1.0000e+00	2	1.9735e-01
2401	1e-7	1e-6	7.8132e+00	1	9.5723e-01	2	9.6268e-02
		1e-3	3.1408e+01	1	9.3398e-01	2	9.6268e-02
4096	1e-7	1e-6	2.2074e+01	0	1.0000e+00	1	3.0659e-01
		1e-3	4.0021e+00	0	1.0000e+00	1	3.0659e-01
6561	1e-7	1e-6	2.4005e+01	0	1.0000e+00	1	3.1116e-01
		1e-3	2.5085e+00	0	1.0000e+00	1	3.1116e-01

Table 2: Numerical results for 1D uniform amplitude FIO (2) using the approximate inverse  $\hat{G}\hat{K}^*$  and the adjoint FIO matrix  $\hat{K}^*$  as preconditioners for PCG with tolerance  $1e - 8$ .

	$\hat{K} \approx K$	$\hat{G}\hat{K}^* \approx K^{-1}$				$\hat{K}^* \approx \hat{K}^*$	
N	$e_a$	$\epsilon$	$e_s$	$n_i$	$e$	$n_i$	$e$
256	1e-7	1e-6	3.2323e-11	1	2.3212e-11	46	4.1806e-01
		1e-3	3.2323e-11	1	2.3212e-11	46	4.1806e-01
625	1e-7	1e-6	4.7458e-11	1	5.2122e-11	7	4.3607e-01
		1e-3	4.7458e-11	1	5.2122e-11	7	4.3607e-01
1296	1e-7	1e-6	2.2310e+01	1	5.1605e-10	32	4.6936e-01
		1e-3	2.2310e+01	1	5.1605e-10	32	4.6936e-01
2401	1e-7	1e-6	1.2082e-04	1	1.8969e-10	8	4.8654e-01
		1e-3	1.2082e-04	1	1.8969e-10	8	4.8654e-01
4096	1e-7	1e-6	1.7371e+01	1	3.0852e-09	0	1.0000e+00
		1e-3	1.7371e+01	1	3.0852e-09	0	1.0000e+00
6561	1e-7	1e-6	2.9124e+01	2	6.6032e-09	0	1.0000e+00
		1e-3	2.9124e+01	2	6.6032e-09	0	1.0000e+00

Table 3: Numerical results for 1D uniform amplitude FIO (3) using the approximate inverse  $\hat{G}\hat{K}^*$  and the adjoint FIO matrix  $\hat{K}^*$  as preconditioners for PCG with tolerance  $1e - 8$ .

	$\hat{K} \approx K$	$\hat{G}\hat{K}^* \approx K^{-1}$				$\hat{K}^* \approx \hat{K}^*$	
N	$e_a$	$\epsilon$	$e_s$	$n_i$	$e$	$n_i$	$e$
256	1e-7	1e-6	1.7666e-08	2	5.8438e-16	5	2.4401e-09
		1e-3	1.5120e+01	0	1.0000e+00	3	4.5023e-01
625	1e-7	1e-6	4.5527e-08	2	4.6174e-15	5	2.3783e-09
		1e-3	2.0976e+01	0	1.0000e+00	1	4.1921e-01
1296	1e-7	1e-6	3.7013e-08	2	4.5873e-15	5	2.1702e-09
		1e-3	1.4169e+02	0	1.0000e+00	1	3.9825e-01
2401	1e-7	1e-6	9.9584e+01	0	1.0000e+00	1	5.7565e-01
		1e-3	9.9584e+01	0	1.0000e+00	1	5.7565e-01
4096	1e-7	1e-6	1.6244e+03	0	1.0000e+00	1	4.3098e-01
		1e-3	1.6244e+03	0	1.0000e+00	1	4.3098e-01
6561	1e-7	1e-6	1.4026e+04	0	1.0000e+00	0	1.0000e+00
		1e-3	1.4026e+04	0	1.0000e+00	0	1.0000e+00

Table 4: Numerical results for 1D uniform amplitude FIO (4) using the approximate inverse  $\hat{G}\hat{K}^*$  and the adjoint FIO matrix  $\hat{K}^*$  as preconditioners for PCG with tolerance  $1e - 8$ .

	$\hat{K} \approx K$	$\hat{G}\hat{K}^* \approx K^{-1}$				$\hat{K}^* \approx \hat{K}^*$	
N	$e_a$	$\epsilon$	$e_s$	$n_i$	$e$	$n_i$	$e$
256	1e-7	1e-6	1.7666e-08	2	5.8438e-16	5	2.4401e-09
		1e-3	1.7696e-06	2	6.1726e-12	5	2.4401e-09
625	1e-7	1e-6	4.5527e-08	2	4.6174e-15	5	2.3783e-09
		1e-3	2.0556e-06	2	6.6328e-12	5	2.3783e-09
1296	1e-7	1e-6	3.7013e-08	2	4.5873e-15	5	2.1702e-09
		1e-3	2.9471e-06	2	8.2380e-12	5	2.1702e-09
2401	1e-7	1e-6	5.6659e-08	2	9.6044e-15	5	2.2438e-09
		1e-3	2.1969e-06	2	6.7013e-12	5	2.2438e-09
4096	1e-7	1e-6	2.8581e-07	2	7.7674e-13	5	2.2087e-09
		1e-3	1.8748e-06	2	6.2216e-12	5	2.2087e-09
6561	1e-7	1e-6	2.8826e-07	2	7.4096e-13	5	2.1898e-09
		1e-3	2.3606e-06	2	7.0394e-12	5	2.1898e-09

Table 5: Numerical results for 1D uniform amplitude FIO (5) using the approximate inverse  $\hat{G}\hat{K}^*$  and the adjoint FIO matrix  $\hat{K}^*$  as preconditioners for PCG with tolerance  $1e - 8$ .

	$\hat{K} \approx K$	$\hat{G}\hat{K}^* \approx K^{-1}$				$\hat{K}^* \approx \hat{K}^*$	
N	$e_a$	$r$	$e_s$	$n_i$	$e$	$n_i$	$e$
256	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	1.7940e-08	1	9.8290e-16	25	9.9473e-09
		$8\log_2 N$	2.1437e-05	2	2.8929e-09	25	9.9473e-09
625	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	9.0664e-05	3	4.2247e-11	26	9.2094e-09
		$8\log_2 N$	1.9254e-03	4	7.9901e-09	26	9.2094e-09
1296	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	1.8609e-04	3	1.5774e-09	27	5.1549e-09
		$8\log_2 N$	1.2149e-03	5	1.2136e-10	27	5.1549e-09
2401	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	5.9234e-04	3	6.2116e-09	27	5.5965e-09
		$8\log_2 N$	6.1468e-03	6	2.1640e-10	27	5.5965e-09
4096	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	3.6670e-03	6	2.9362e-10	27	5.4880e-09
		$8\log_2 N$	7.3599e-03	5	7.0574e-09	27	5.4880e-09
6561	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	5.3460e-03	5	5.9872e-09	27	5.3598e-09
		$8\log_2 N$	9.6651e-03	6	1.5906e-09	27	5.3598e-09

Table 6: Numerical results for 1D uniform amplitude FIO (1) using the approximate inverse  $\hat{G}\hat{K}^*$  and the adjoint FIO matrix  $\hat{K}^*$  as preconditioners for PCG with tolerance  $1e - 8$ .

	$\hat{K} \approx K$	$\hat{G}\hat{K}^* \approx K^{-1}$				$\hat{K}^* \approx \hat{K}^*$	
N	$e_a$	$r$	$e_s$	$n_i$	$e$	$n_i$	$e$
256	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	4.4706e-02	50	1.8611e-08	48	1.5806e-02
		$8\log_2 N$	2.6151e+04	14	4.6737e-03	48	1.5806e-02
625	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	3.6370e+07	0	1.0000e+00	2	1.4901e-01
		$8\log_2 N$	6.4132e+06	0	1.0000e+00	2	1.4901e-01
1296	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	7.8196e+02	0	1.0000e+00	2	1.9735e-01
		$8\log_2 N$	5.8627e+01	0	1.0000e+00	2	1.9735e-01
2401	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	7.8292e+00	0	1.0000e+00	2	9.6268e-02
		$8\log_2 N$	1.0408e+01	0	1.0000e+00	2	9.6268e-02
4096	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	8.2260e+00	0	1.0000e+00	1	3.0659e-01
		$8\log_2 N$	9.7050e+00	0	1.0000e+00	1	3.0659e-01
6561	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	3.4527e+01	0	1.0000e+00	1	3.1116e-01
		$8\log_2 N$	1.0948e+01	2	9.6515e-01	1	3.1116e-01

Table 7: Numerical results for 1D uniform amplitude FIO (2) using the approximate inverse  $\hat{G}\hat{K}^*$  and the adjoint FIO matrix  $\hat{K}^*$  as preconditioners for PCG with tolerance  $1e - 8$ .

	$\hat{K} \approx K$	$\hat{G}\hat{K}^* \approx K^{-1}$				$\hat{K}^* \approx \hat{K}^*$	
N	$e_a$	$r$	$e_s$	$n_i$	$e$	$n_i$	$e$
256	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	3.2323e-11	1	2.3212e-11	46	4.1806e-01
		$8\log_2 N$	4.9004e+00	35	5.7483e-09	46	4.1806e-01
625	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	2.2940e+01	44	4.3912e-01	7	4.3607e-01
		$8\log_2 N$	2.2687e+01	32	4.4483e-01	7	4.3607e-01
1296	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	1.3739e+01	50	4.7349e-01	32	4.6936e-01
		$8\log_2 N$	6.9500e+00	34	4.9653e-01	32	4.6936e-01
2401	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	2.7433e+00	9	5.0112e-01	8	4.8654e-01
		$8\log_2 N$	1.3079e+00	7	4.8838e-01	8	4.8654e-01
4096	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	4.4946e+00	0	1.0000e+00	0	1.0000e+00
		$8\log_2 N$	6.4175e+00	0	1.0000e+00	0	1.0000e+00
6561	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	5.6588e+00	0	1.0000e+00	0	1.0000e+00
		$8\log_2 N$	1.2717e+00	0	1.0000e+00	0	1.0000e+00

Table 8: Numerical results for 1D uniform amplitude FIO (3) using the approximate inverse  $\hat{G}\hat{K}^*$  and the adjoint FIO matrix  $\hat{K}^*$  as preconditioners for PCG with tolerance  $1e - 8$ .

	$\hat{K} \approx K$	$\hat{G}\hat{K}^* \approx K^{-1}$				$\hat{K}^* \approx \hat{K}^*$	
N	$e_a$	$r$	$e_s$	$n_i$	$e$	$n_i$	$e$
256	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	1.5120e+01	0	1.0000e+00	3	4.5023e-01
		$8\log_2 N$	2.4166e+01	0	1.0000e+00	3	4.5023e-01
625	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	2.0845e+01	10	7.5184e-01	1	4.1921e-01
		$8\log_2 N$	1.6137e+01	13	7.3399e-01	1	4.1921e-01
1296	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	2.8380e+01	12	6.3824e-01	1	3.9825e-01
		$8\log_2 N$	1.4015e+01	8	6.0355e-01	1	3.9825e-01
2401	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	3.8884e+01	12	6.8831e-01	1	5.7565e-01
		$8\log_2 N$	2.4676e+00	5	6.3549e-01	1	5.7565e-01
4096	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	1.9453e+01	3	5.4779e-01	1	4.3098e-01
		$8\log_2 N$	3.7073e+00	2	5.0636e-01	1	4.3098e-01
6561	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	1.1592e+01	0	1.0000e+00	0	1.0000e+00
		$8\log_2 N$	6.2269e+00	0	1.0000e+00	0	1.0000e+00

Table 9: Numerical results for 1D uniform amplitude FIO (4) using the approximate inverse  $\hat{G}\hat{K}^*$  and the adjoint FIO matrix  $\hat{K}^*$  as preconditioners for PCG with tolerance  $1e - 8$ .

	$\hat{K} \approx K$	$\hat{G}\hat{K}^* \approx K^{-1}$				$\hat{K}^* \approx \hat{K}^*$	
N	$e_a$	$r$	$e_s$	$n_i$	$e$	$n_i$	$e$
256	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	1.9513e-08	1	8.2233e-16	5	2.4401e-09
		$8\log_2 N$	1.9337e-08	1	1.8995e-09	5	2.4401e-09
625	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	5.8074e-07	2	2.3352e-12	5	2.3783e-09
		$8\log_2 N$	5.2226e-07	2	1.9176e-12	5	2.3783e-09
1296	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	8.7199e-07	2	3.6109e-12	5	2.1702e-09
		$8\log_2 N$	7.4380e-07	2	4.9661e-12	5	2.1702e-09
2401	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	6.1061e-07	2	2.8134e-12	5	2.2438e-09
		$8\log_2 N$	5.4379e-07	2	1.8375e-12	5	2.2438e-09
4096	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	6.2313e-07	2	4.3019e-12	5	2.2087e-09
		$8\log_2 N$	2.1256e-06	2	4.5048e-11	5	2.2087e-09
6561	1e-7	$15\log_2 N$	1.0210e-06	2	1.7526e-11	5	2.1898e-09
		$8\log_2 N$	1.6611e-06	2	6.7902e-11	5	2.1898e-09

Table 10: Numerical results for 1D uniform amplitude FIO (5) using the approximate inverse  $\hat{G}\hat{K}^*$  and the adjoint FIO matrix  $\hat{K}^*$  as preconditioners for PCG with tolerance  $1e - 8$ .

	$\hat{K} \approx K$	$\hat{G}\hat{K}^* \approx K^{-1}$				$\hat{K}^* \approx \hat{K}^*$	
N	$e_a$	$\epsilon$	$e_s$	$n_i$	$e$	$n_i$	$e$
$16^2$	1e-7	1e-3	5.0303e-05	2	8.1092e-09	10	9.3295e-09
$25^2$	1e-7	1e-3	6.4488e-05	3	1.5497e-12	11	4.7878e-09
$36^2$	1e-7	1e-3	6.9689e-05	3	1.8378e-12	11	8.1950e-09
$49^2$	1e-7	1e-3	5.2556e-04	3	2.4241e-10	12	2.0339e-09
$64^2$	1e-7	1e-3	6.7135e-05	3	2.2070e-11	12	2.2750e-09
$81^2$	1e-7	1e-3	6.0597e-05	3	3.4154e-12	12	2.4716e-09

Table 11: Numerical results for 2D uniform amplitude FIO (6) using the approximate inverse  $\hat{G}\hat{K}^*$  and the adjoint FIO matrix  $\hat{K}^*$  as preconditioners for PCG with tolerance  $1e - 8$ .

	$\hat{K} \approx K$	$\hat{G}\hat{K}^* \approx K^{-1}$				$\hat{K}^* \approx \hat{K}^*$	
N	$e_a$	$\epsilon$	$e_s$	$n_i$	$e$	$n_i$	$e$
$16^2$	1e-7	1e-3	5.8652e-05	3	1.4229e-12	17	3.2067e-09
$25^2$	1e-7	1e-3	1.1249e-04	3	8.1081e-12	17	9.8648e-09
$36^2$	1e-7	1e-3	1.5834e-04	3	3.2388e-11	19	3.6075e-09
$49^2$	1e-7	1e-3	5.2357e-04	3	6.1662e-10	19	6.9227e-09
$64^2$	1e-7	1e-3	1.6066e-04	3	5.6871e-11	20	4.7774e-09
$81^2$	1e-7	1e-3	1.5370e-04	3	6.5701e-11	20	7.3115e-09

Table 12: Numerical results for 2D uniform amplitude FIO (7) using the approximate inverse  $\hat{G}\hat{K}^*$  and the adjoint FIO matrix  $\hat{K}^*$  as preconditioners for PCG with tolerance  $1e - 8$ .

	$\hat{K} \approx K$	$\hat{G}\hat{K}^* \approx K^{-1}$				$\hat{K}^* \approx \hat{K}^*$	
N	$e_a$	$\epsilon$	$e_s$	$n_i$	$e$	$n_i$	$e$
$16^2$	1e-7	1e-3	1.8957e-12	1	1.2056e-15	29	4.9053e-09
$25^2$	1e-7	1e-3	2.8679e-04	3	2.8057e-10	35	7.9026e-09
$36^2$	1e-7	1e-3	4.4268e-04	3	1.8384e-09	40	8.3131e-09
$49^2$	1e-7	1e-3	6.1393e-03	4	3.5965e-11	47	6.0100e-09
$64^2$	1e-7	1e-3	7.5916e-04	3	3.6429e-09	50	1.3345e-08
$81^2$	1e-7	1e-3	9.4295e-04	3	8.6226e-09	50	8.9494e-08

Table 13: Numerical results for 2D uniform amplitude FIO (8) using the approximate inverse  $\hat{G}\hat{K}^*$  and the adjoint FIO matrix  $\hat{K}^*$  as preconditioners for PCG with tolerance  $1e - 8$ .

	$\hat{K} \approx K$	$\hat{G}\hat{K}^* \approx K^{-1}$				$\hat{K}^* \approx \hat{K}^*$	
N	$e_a$	$\epsilon$	$e_s$	$n_i$	$e$	$n_i$	$e$
$16^2$	1e-7	1e-3	1.9113e-11	1	3.4568e-13	50	3.8826e-01
$25^2$	1e-7	1e-3	2.3893e-10	1	6.1817e-11	5	7.8271e-01
$36^2$	1e-7	1e-3	2.5031e+02	35	5.6451e-08	7	9.2996e-01
$49^2$	1e-7	1e-3	2.1935e+03	0	1.0000e+00	5	9.5320e-01
$64^2$	1e-7	1e-3	5.2873e+03	0	1.0000e+00	5	9.5751e-01
$81^2$	1e-7	1e-3	4.3305e+03	0	1.0000e+00	0	1.0000e+00

Table 14: Numerical results for 2D uniform amplitude FIO (9) using the approximate inverse  $\hat{G}\hat{K}^*$  and the adjoint FIO matrix  $\hat{K}^*$  as preconditioners for PCG with tolerance  $1e - 8$ .

	$\hat{K} \approx K$	$\hat{G}\hat{K}^* \approx K^{-1}$				$\hat{K}^* \approx \hat{K}^*$	
N	$e_a$	$r$	$e_s$	$n_i$	$e$	$n_i$	$e$
$16^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	3.0037e-05	2	4.6138e-09	10	9.3295e-09
$25^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	3.9225e-04	3	2.2359e-09	11	4.7878e-09
$36^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	1.5552e-03	4	2.8455e-10	11	8.1950e-09
$49^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	3.6387e-03	5	1.1175e-09	12	2.0339e-09
$64^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	6.1327e-03	5	6.9027e-09	12	2.2750e-09
$81^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	9.3773e-03	6	3.4127e-09	12	2.4716e-09

Table 15: Numerical results for 2D uniform amplitude FIO (6) using the approximate inverse  $\hat{G}\hat{K}^*$  and the adjoint FIO matrix  $\hat{K}^*$  as preconditioners for PCG with tolerance  $1e - 8$ .



	$\hat{K} \approx K$	$\hat{G}\hat{K}^* \approx K^{-1}$				$\hat{K}^* \approx \hat{K}^*$	
N	$e_a$	$r$	$e_s$	$n_i$	$e$	$n_i$	$e$
$16^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	2.5844e-04	3	5.9544e-10	17	3.2067e-09
$25^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	2.8387e-03	4	1.7453e-09	17	9.8648e-09
$36^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	6.7332e-03	5	1.8601e-09	19	3.6075e-09
$49^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	9.6055e-03	6	5.8901e-09	19	6.9227e-09
$64^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	1.5655e-02	7	7.8324e-10	20	4.7774e-09
$81^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	2.0175e-02	8	1.3675e-09	20	7.3115e-09

Table 16: Numerical results for 2D uniform amplitude FIO (7) using the approximate inverse  $\hat{G}\hat{K}^*$  and the adjoint FIO matrix  $\hat{K}^*$  as preconditioners for PCG with tolerance  $1e - 8$ .

	$\hat{K} \approx K$	$\hat{G}\hat{K}^* \approx K^{-1}$				$\hat{K}^* \approx \hat{K}^*$	
N	$e_a$	$r$	$e_s$	$n_i$	$e$	$n_i$	$e$
$16^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	1.0579e-02	5	1.7571e-09	29	4.9053e-09
$25^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	3.1286e-02	7	5.1513e-10	35	7.9026e-09
$36^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	5.8317e-02	9	7.9130e-09	40	8.3131e-09
$49^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	6.6517e-02	10	2.9707e-09	47	6.0100e-09
$64^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	7.2656e-02	11	4.3443e-09	50	1.3345e-08
$81^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	8.1396e-02	13	3.6063e-09	50	8.9494e-08

Table 17: Numerical results for 2D uniform amplitude FIO (8) using the approximate inverse  $\hat{G}\hat{K}^*$  and the adjoint FIO matrix  $\hat{K}^*$  as preconditioners for PCG with tolerance  $1e - 8$ .

	$\hat{K} \approx K$	$\hat{G}\hat{K}^* \approx K^{-1}$				$\hat{K}^* \approx \hat{K}^*$	
N	$e_a$	$r$	$e_s$	$n_i$	$e$	$n_i$	$e$
$16^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	5.4117e+01	27	3.1304e-09	50	3.8826e-01
$25^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	5.8317e+00	0	1.0000e+00	5	7.8271e-01
$36^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	3.2884e+02	0	1.0000e+00	7	9.2996e-01
$49^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	1.8200e+01	0	1.0000e+00	5	9.5320e-01
$64^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	1.2028e+02	0	1.0000e+00	5	9.5751e-01
$81^2$	1e-7	$8\log_2 N$	8.5404e+01	30	9.3999e-01	0	1.0000e+00

Table 18: Numerical results for 2D uniform amplitude FIO (9) using the approximate inverse  $\hat{G}\hat{K}^*$  and the adjoint FIO matrix  $\hat{K}^*$  as preconditioners for PCG with tolerance  $1e - 8$ .