10. DESIGN PRINCIPLES



Architectures/Framework
(Financial System, J2EE,...)

OOD Patterns

OOD Principles

Specific Data Structures
Algorithmic Approaches

General + OO Concepts

How do you design?

What principles guide you when you create a design?


- □ What considerations are important?
- □ When have you done enough design and can begin implementation?

•Take a piece of paper and write down two principles that guide you - considerations that are important or indicators that you have a good design. Modules

- A module is a relatively general term for a class or a type or any kind of design unit in software
- A modular design focuses on what modules are defined, what their specifications are, how they relate to each other, but not usually on the implementation of the modules themselves
- Overall, you've been given the modular design so far and now you have to learn more about how to do the design

Ideals of modular software

composable – can be broken down into dules to reduce complexity and allow teamwork



 Understandable – one module can be examined, reasoned about, developed, etc. in isolation

Intinuity – a small change in the uirements should affect a small number of modules

lation – an error in one module should be contained as possible







Coupling


- Coupling is the measure of dependency between modules. A dependency exists between two modules if a change in one could require a change in the other.
- The degree of coupling between modules is determined by:
 - The number of interfaces between modules (quantity), and
- Complexity of each interface (determined by the type of communication) (quality)

Modularity

- The goal of design is to partition the system into modules and assign responsibility among the components in a way that:
- · High cohesion within modules, and
- · Loose coupling between modules
- Modularity reduces the total complexity a programmer has to deal with at any one time assuming:
- Functions are assigned to modules in away that groups similar functions together (Separation of Concerns), and
- There are small, simple, well-defined interfaces between modules (information hiding)
- The principles of cohesion and coupling are probably the most important design principles for evaluating the effectiveness of a design.

Types of Coupling

- Content coupling (also known as Pathological coupling)
- Common coupling
- Control coupling
- Stamp coupling
- Data coupling



Content Coupling

- One module directly references the contents of another
 - 1. One module modifies the local data or instructions of another
 - 2. One module refers to local data in another
 - 3. One branches to a local label of another

Common Coupling

- Two or more modules connected via global data.
 - One module writes/updates global data that another module reads

Control Coupling

 One module determines the control flow path of another. Example:

Stamp Coupling (Data-structured coupling)

 Passing a composite data structure to a module that uses only part of it. Example: passing a record with three fields to a module that only needs the first two fields.

Data Coupling

· Modules that share data through parameters.

Cohesion

- Cohesion is a measure of how strongly related the functions or responsibilities of a module are.
- A module has high cohesion if all of its elements are working towards the same goal.


16


High cohesion, low coupling guideline


- In essence, high cohesion means keeping parts of a code base that are related to each other in a single place.
- Low coupling, at the same time, is about separating unrelated parts of the code base as much as possible


Benefits of high cohesion and low coupling


- Modules are easier to read and understand.
- · Modules are easier to modify.
- · There is an increased potential for reuse
- · Modules are easier to develop and test.













God classes

- God class: a class that hoards too much of the data or functionality of a system
- Poor cohesion little thought about why all of the elements are placed together
- Only reduces coupling by collapsing multiple modules into one (and thus reducing the dependences between the modules to dependences within a module)
- A god class is an example of an anti-pattern it is a known bad way of doing things






```
Improvement


public class Order
{
    public decimal Amount
    {
        get { return_lines.Sum(x => x.Price); }
    }


    public void AddLine(Product product, decimal amount)
    {
        _lines.Add(new OrderLine(product, amount));
    }
}
```


Relation between cohesion and coupling


It's impossible to completely decouple a code base without damaging its coherence











36

Different kinds of dependences

- Aggregation "is part of" is a field that is a sub-part
- Ex: A car has an engine
- Composition "is entirely made of" has the parts live and die with the whole
- Ex: A book has pages (but perhaps the book cannot exist without the pages, and the pages cannot exist without the book)
- Subtyping "is-a" is for substitutability
- Invokes "executes" is for having a computation performed
- In other words, there are lots of different kinds of arrows (dependences) and clarifying them is crucial

Karl Lieberherr ① and colleagues

Law of Demeter

 Law of Demeter: An object should know as little as possible about the internal structure of other objects with which it interacts – a question of coupling

- Or... "only talk to your immediate friends"
- Closely related to representation exposure and (im)mutability
- Bad example too-tight chain of coupling between classes general.getColonel().getMajor(m).getCaptain(cap)
 .getSergeant(ser).getPrivate(name).digFoxHole();
- Better example general.superviseFoxHole(m, cap, ser, name);

38

Law of Demeter

A method "M" of an object "O" should invoke *only* the the methods of the following kinds of objects:

- · itself
- · its parameters
- · any objects it creates/instantiates
- its direct component objects

Guidelines: not strict rules! But thinking about them will generally help you produce better designs 2

Without Law of Demeter?

objectA.getObjectB().getObjectC().doSomething();

- In the future, the class ObjectA may no longer need to carry a reference to ObjectB.
- In the future, the class ObjectB may no longer need to carry a reference to ObjectC.
- The doSomething() method in the ObjectC class may go away, or change.
- If the intent of your class is to be reusable, you can never reuse your class without also requiring ObjectA, ObjectB, and ObjectC to be shipped with your class.

40

Law of Demeter - benefits

- Your classes will be "loosely coupled"; your dependencies are reduced.
- · Reusing your classes will be easier.
- Your classes are less subject to changes in other classes.
- · Your code will be easier to test.
- Classes designed this way have been proven to have fewer errors.

public class Customer { private String firstName; private String lastName; private Wallet myWallet; public String getFirstName(){ return firstName; } public String getLastName(){ return lastName; } public Wallet getWallet(){ return myWallet; } }

Example of LoD

```
public class Wallet {
    private float value;
    public float getTotalMoney() {
        return value;
    }
    public void setTotalMoney(float newValue) {
        value = newValue;
    }
    public void addMoney(float deposit) {
        value += deposit;
    }
    public void subtractMoney(float debit) {
        value -= debit;
    }
}
```

Example of LoD

```
// code from some method inside the Paperboy class...

payment = 2.00; // "I want my two dollars!"

Wallet theWallet = myCustomer.getWallet();

if (theWallet.getTotalMoney() > payment) {

    theWallet.subtractMoney(payment);
} else {

    // come back later and get my money
}

Is this Bad? Why?
```

```
Another example of LoD

public class Band {
    private Singer singer;
    private Drummer drummer;
    private Guitarist guitarist;
}
```

```
Example of LoD — Improvement

// code from some method inside the Paperboy class...
payment = 2.00; // "I want my two dollars!"
paidAmount = myCustomer.getPayment(payment);
if (paidAmount == payment) {
    // say thank you and give customer a receipt
} else {
    // come back later and get my money
}

Why Is This Better?
```

```
Another example of LoD

class TourPromoter {
  public String makePosterText(Band band) {
    String guitaristsName = band.getGuitarist().getName();
    String drummersName = band.getDrummer().getName();
    String singersName = band.getSinger().getName();
    StringBuilder posterText = new StringBuilder();

    posterText.append(band.getName()
    posterText.append(" featuring: ");
    posterText.append(guitaristsName);
    posterText.append(guitaristsName);
    posterText.append(", ");
    posterText.append(", ");
    posterText.append(", ")
    posterText.append(", ")
    posterText.append("Tickets £50.");

    return posterText.toString();
  }
}
```

Another example of LoD – Improvement

public class Band {
 private Singer singer;

private Guitarist guitarist;

public String[] getMembers() {
 return {
 singer.getName(),
 drummer.getName(),
}

private Drummer drummer;

guitarist.getName());
}

Another example of LoD – Improvement

public class TourPromoter {
 public String makePosterText(Band band) {
 StringBuilder posterText = new StringBuilder();

 posterText.append(band.getName());
 posterText.append(" featuring: ");
 for(String member: band.getMembers()) {
 posterText.append(member);
 posterText.append(", ");
 }
 posterText.append("Tickets: £50");

 return posterText.toString();
 }
}

50

Coupling is the path to the dark side

- · Coupling leads to complexity
- · Complexity leads to confusion
- · Confusion leads to suffering
- Once you start down the dark path, forever will it dominate your destiny, consume you it will



Design exercise

- Write a typing break reminder program
 - Offer the hard-working user occasional reminders of the health issues, and encourage the user to take a break from typing
- Naive design
 - Make a method to display messages and offer exercises
 - Make a loop to call that method from time to time (Let's ignore multi-threaded solutions for this discussion)

TimeToStretch suggests exercises public class TimeToStretch { public void run() { System.out.println("Stop typing!"); suggestExercise(); }

public void suggestExercise() {

```
Timer calls run() periodically

public class Timer {
  private TimeToStretch tts = new TimeToStretch();
  public void start() {
    while (true) {
        ...
        if (enoughTimeHasPassed) {
            tts.run();
        }
        ...
    }
  }
}
```

```
Main class puts it together

class Main {
  public static void main(String[] args) {
    Timer t = new Timer();
    t.start();
  }
}
```

Module dependency diagram

• An arrow in a module dependency diagram indicates "depends on" or "knows about" – simplistically, "any name mentioned in the source code"

Main

Main

Timer

Timer depends on Time ToStretch

• Does Timer really need to depend on TimeToStretch?

• Is Timer re-usable in a new context?

Decoupling

Timer needs to call the run method
Timer doesn't need to know what the run method does
Weaken the dependency of Timer on TimeToStretch
Introduce a weaker specification, in the form of an interface or abstract class
public abstract class TimerTask {
 public abstract void run();
}

Timer only needs to know that something (e.g.,
TimeToStretch) meets the TimerTask specification

```
TimeToStretch (version 2)

public class TimeToStretch extends TimerTask {
  public void run() {
    System.out.println("Stop typing!");
    suggestExercise();
  }

  public void suggestExercise() {
    ...
  }
}
```

Module dependency diagram

• Main still depends on Timer (is this necessary?)

• Main depends on the constructor for TimeToStretch

• Timer depends On TimerTask, NOt TimeToStretch

• Unaffected by implementation details of TimeToStretch

• Now Timer is much easier to reuse


Main


TimerTask

Timer

→ Dependence

→ Subclassing





Main v3

TimeToStretch tts = new TimeToStretch(); tts.start();

Use a callback to invert a dependency
This diagram shows the inversion of the dependency between Timer and TimeToStretch (compared to v1)

Main Main does not depend on Timer TimeToStretch depends on Timer TimeToStretch depends on Timer

How do we design classes?

One common approach to class identification is to consider the specifications
In particular, it is often the case that
nouns are potential classes, objects, fields
verbs are potential methods or responsibilities of a class

65

Design exercise

- Suppose we are writing a birthday-reminder application that tracks a set of people and their birthdays, providing reminders of whose birthdays are on a given day
- What classes are we likely to want to have? Why?

Class shout-out about classes

bt

More detail for those classes

- · What fields do they have?
- What constructors do they have?
- · What methods do they provide?
- · What invariants should we guarantee?

In small groups, ~5 minutes