

# PHYSICS 215B: QUANTUM MECHANICS II

IAN LIM  
LAST UPDATED JANUARY 9, 2020

These notes were taken for Physics 215B, *Quantum Mechanics II*, as taught by Rajiv Singh at the University of California, Davis in winter quarter 2020. I live-TeXed them using Overleaf, and as such there may be typos; please send questions, comments, complaints, and corrections to [itlim@ucdavis.edu](mailto:itlim@ucdavis.edu).

Many thanks to Arun Debray for the L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X template for these lecture notes: as of the time of writing, you can find him at <https://web.ma.utexas.edu/users/a.debray/>.

## CONTENTS

- |    |                            |   |
|----|----------------------------|---|
| 1. | Monday, January 6, 2020    | 1 |
| 2. | Wednesday, January 8, 2020 | 5 |

Lecture 1.

### Monday, January 6, 2020

**Overview** In this course, we'll stay closer to Shankar than in the last class (215A), covering roughly chapters 10-18. The first quarter established the mathematical structure of our theory; the second quarter will be focused on developing the methodologies and applications of quantum mechanics, including its generalization to multi-particle systems. The course is basically divided into two halves:

- (a) Symmetries: rotational symmetries, angular momentum, spin, addition of angular momenta, and central force problems
- (b) Approximation methods: WKB, variational principle, perturbation theory

**Review** In QM, the state of a particle is described by a wavefunction, usually expressed in some basis:  $\psi(x), \phi(p), \{c_n\}$ . The most flexible way to describe a state is in Dirac's bra-ket notation as a state vector, a ray in Hilbert space denoted by  $|\psi\rangle$ . There are (ket) vectors  $|\psi\rangle$  and (bra) dual vectors  $\langle\psi|$ , which are usually defined by a complex inner product with the property

$$\langle\psi|\phi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \langle\psi|\phi\rangle = \langle\phi|\psi\rangle^*. \quad (1.1)$$

This inner product defines a norm,

$$0 \leq \langle\psi|\psi\rangle < \infty, \quad (1.2)$$

such that

$$\langle\psi|\psi\rangle = 0 \iff |\psi\rangle = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Only the zero vector has zero norm. We often require of our coordinate basis vectors  $|x\rangle$  that they are delta function-normalized,

$$\langle x'|x\rangle = \delta(x - x'), \quad (1.4)$$

such that

$$\langle x|\psi\rangle = \psi(x). \quad (1.5)$$

Measurable quantities in QM are linear Hermitian operators (observables):

$$\mathcal{O}^\dagger = \mathcal{O}. \quad (1.6)$$

This guarantees that we get real eigenvalues, and moreover we are guaranteed a complete set of eigenvectors (they admit a spectral decomposition). That is,

$$\mathcal{O}|\lambda_i\rangle = \lambda_i|\lambda_i\rangle, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$\langle \lambda_i | \lambda_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} \quad (1.8)$$

and

$$\sum_i |\lambda_i\rangle\langle\lambda_i| = \mathbb{I}. \quad (1.9)$$

Hence we can decompose a general state as

$$|\psi\rangle = \mathbb{I}|\psi\rangle = \sum_i |\lambda_i\rangle\langle\lambda_i|\psi\rangle = \sum_i c_i |\lambda_i\rangle, \quad c_i \equiv \langle\lambda_i|\psi\rangle. \quad (1.10)$$

Measurements of an operator  $\mathcal{O}$  give one of the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  with probability

$$|\langle\lambda_i|\psi\rangle|^2 = \langle\psi|P_{\lambda_i}|\psi\rangle \quad (1.11)$$

where  $P_{\lambda_i}$  is the projection operator

$$P_{\lambda_i} = |\lambda_i\rangle\langle\lambda_i|. \quad (1.12)$$

Projection operators have the property that

$$P^2 = P, \quad P = P^\dagger. \quad (1.13)$$

In the case of a degenerate subspace (multiple eigenvectors with the same eigenvalue), we instead project onto that subspace and take the expectation value.

One of the most important operators in QM is the Hamiltonian operator  $\hat{H}$ . It describes the time evolution of states by the Schrödinger equation:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H} |\psi(t)\rangle. \quad (1.14)$$

In the case where  $\hat{H}$  is time-independent,<sup>1</sup> we can write a formal solution by

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = U_T(t, t') |\psi(t')\rangle, \quad (1.15)$$

with

$$U_T(t, t') = e^{-\frac{i\hat{H}}{\hbar}(t-t')}. \quad (1.16)$$

Finally, we review changes of (orthonormal) basis. For a basis  $\{|i\rangle\}$  satisfying

$$\langle i | j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad \sum_i |i\rangle\langle i| = \mathbb{I} \quad (1.17)$$

and another basis  $\{|e_i\rangle\}$  satisfying the same, we can write changes of basis as

$$|e_i\rangle = \sum_j U_{ij} |j\rangle, \quad (1.18)$$

where  $U$  is a unitary matrix satisfying

$$U^\dagger U = U U^\dagger = \mathbb{I}. \quad (1.19)$$

**Multiple degrees of freedom (Shankar Ch. 10)** Classically, we can add degrees of freedom to a system by allowing particles to move in more than 1 dimension, or by adding particles to the system. The coordinates and canonical momenta obey Poisson brackets,

$$\{x_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}. \quad (1.20)$$

In quantum mechanics, we can describe states in terms of a coordinate basis  $|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\rangle$  where the coordinates and momenta are promoted to operators,

$$\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \dots, \hat{X}_n, \hat{P}_1, \hat{P}_2, \dots, \hat{P}_N. \quad (1.21)$$

The only nontrivial commutator is

$$[\hat{X}_i, \hat{P}_j] = i\hbar \delta_{ij}. \quad (1.22)$$

In two dimensions we would have  $\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{P}_x, \hat{P}_y$ . For instance, to describe a charged particle in a magnetic field (as we did on the homework last quarter), it's useful to work in a simultaneous eigenbasis of  $\hat{X}$  and  $\hat{P}_y$ .

<sup>1</sup>The time-dependent form is given by Dyson's formula, which involves time-ordered exponentials.

New states can be defined to obey the inner product

$$\langle x', y' | x, y \rangle = \delta(x - x') \delta(y - y'). \quad (1.23)$$

In particular it's useful to build new states by taking direct (tensor) products,

$$|x, y\rangle = |x\rangle \otimes |y\rangle, \quad (1.24)$$

and if  $|x\rangle, |y\rangle \in \mathbb{V}$ , then such states live in the tensor product space

$$\mathbb{V} \otimes \mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}^2. \quad (1.25)$$

The simplest case we might deal with is a particle in 2 dimensions in a separable potential, i.e.  $V(x, y) = V_1(\hat{x}) + V_2(\hat{y})$ , such that the Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{p}_x, \hat{p}_y) = \frac{\hat{p}_x^2}{2m_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_y^2}{2m_2} + V_1(\hat{x}) + V_2(\hat{y}) = \hat{H}_1(\hat{x}, \hat{p}_x) + \hat{H}_2(\hat{y}, \hat{p}_y). \quad (1.26)$$

It's a little weird to write two different masses  $m_1, m_2$ , since this is really the same particle (though particles may have different effective masses as they move in different directions), but this touches on a key point—is there a difference between one particle moving in 2 dimensions versus 2 particles moving in one dimension? The answer is no when the particles are *distinguishable*, but yes when they are *identical*. We'll see more of this soon.

If we can solve each of the individual Hamiltonians as

$$\hat{H}_1 |u_i\rangle = \epsilon_{1i} |u_i\rangle \quad (1.27)$$

$$\hat{H}_2 |v_i\rangle = \epsilon_{2i} |v_i\rangle, \quad (1.28)$$

then we can build a complete set of basis states for the 2D problem as

$$|u_i\rangle \otimes |v_j\rangle, \quad (1.29)$$

with energies  $\epsilon_{1i} + \epsilon_{2j}$ . In such product spaces, we can also construct operators on the full space out of operators that only act on part by taking tensor products. That is,

$$\hat{O}_1 \equiv \hat{O}^{(1)} \otimes \mathbb{I}^{(2)} \quad (1.30)$$

is an operator on the full Hilbert space  $\mathbb{V} \otimes \mathbb{V}$  such that

$$\hat{O}^{(1)} \otimes \mathbb{I}^{(2)} |u_i\rangle \otimes |v_j\rangle = \hat{O}^{(1)} |u_i\rangle \otimes \mathbb{I}^{(2)} |v_j\rangle. \quad (1.31)$$

Similarly if we define

$$\hat{O}_2 \equiv \mathbb{I}^{(1)} \otimes \hat{O}^{(2)}, \quad (1.32)$$

then

$$\hat{O}_1 \cdot \hat{O}_2 = \hat{O}^{(1)} \otimes \hat{O}^{(2)}, \quad (1.33)$$

where the dot indicates composition of operators (do one, then do the other).

Let us consider two systems. The first has Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}(\hat{x}, \hat{p}_x, \hat{y}, \hat{p}_y) = \frac{\hat{p}_x^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 \hat{x}^2 + \frac{\hat{p}_y^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 \hat{y}^2, \quad (1.34)$$

a single particle in a 2D harmonic oscillator potential. The second has Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}(\hat{x}_1, \hat{p}_1, \hat{x}_2, \hat{p}_2) = \frac{\hat{p}_1^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 \hat{x}_1^2 + \frac{\hat{p}_2^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 \hat{x}_2^2. \quad (1.35)$$

This is *two particles*, each moving in one dimension in the same harmonic oscillator potential. We claim that if the particles are distinguishable (e.g. they have different charges, masses, something that we can measure to distinguish them), then indeed these are the same problem. But if the particles are truly indistinguishable, then some new physics creeps in.

In quantum mechanics, identical particles are indistinguishable. That is, their intrinsic properties (charge, mass, spin, etc.) are the same.<sup>2</sup> This is different from classical mechanics, where particles with identical properties can generically be distinguished by labels and their trajectories.

<sup>2</sup>Why are all particles of the same type the same, anyway? One answer comes from quantum field theory—particles are all the same because they're made of the same stuff in a quantized way. That stuff is the field itself.

Suppose we construct a state

$$|w_i\rangle \otimes |w_j\rangle. \quad (1.36)$$

Then it has a wavefunction

$$(\langle x_1| \otimes \langle x_2|)(|w_i\rangle \otimes |w_j\rangle) = \psi_{w_i}(x_1)\psi_{w_j}(x_2). \quad (1.37)$$

For identical particles, such states are not allowed.<sup>3</sup> Let us define the exchange operator  $\hat{P}_{12}$  by

$$\hat{P}_{12}(|w_i\rangle_{(1)} \otimes |w_j\rangle_{(2)}) = |w_j\rangle_{(1)} \otimes |w_i\rangle_{(2)}. \quad (1.38)$$

Notice that

$$(\hat{P}_{12})^2 = \mathbb{I}. \quad (1.39)$$

We can also see that

$$({}_{(2)}\langle w_k| \otimes {}_{(1)}\langle w_l|)(\hat{P}|w_i\rangle_{(1)} \otimes |w_j\rangle_{(2)}) = ({}_{(2)}\langle w_k| \otimes {}_{(1)}\langle w_l|)(|w_j\rangle_{(1)} \otimes |w_i\rangle_{(2)}) = \delta_{jl}\delta_{ik}. \quad (1.40)$$

If we take the dagger, we have instead

$$({}_{(2)}\langle w_k| \otimes {}_{(1)}\langle w_l|P^\dagger)(|w_i\rangle_{(1)} \otimes |w_j\rangle_{(2)}) = ({}_{(2)}\langle w_l| \otimes {}_{(1)}\langle w_k|)(|w_i\rangle_{(1)} \otimes |w_j\rangle_{(2)}) = \delta_{jl}\delta_{ik}. \quad (1.41)$$

We can see that

$$P^\dagger = P, \quad P = P^{-1}, \quad (1.42)$$

so  $P$  is both hermitian and unitary. The exchange operator acts on other operators by conjugation, as

$$UOU^\dagger. \quad (1.43)$$

This takes

$$PO_1P^\dagger = O_2, \quad PO_2P^\dagger = O_1, \quad (1.44)$$

since it swaps the particles, acts, and then swaps them back.

For identical particles, we have the property that

$$\hat{P}\hat{H}\hat{P}^\dagger = H \implies [\hat{P}, \hat{H}] = 0. \quad (1.45)$$

We also observe that since  $\hat{P}^2 = 1$ , it follows that if  $\hat{P}|\lambda\rangle = \lambda|\lambda\rangle$ , then

$$\lambda^2 = 1 \implies \lambda = \pm 1. \quad (1.46)$$

We can now construct two projection operators, suggestively named  $S$  and  $A$ :

$$\hat{S} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I} + \hat{P}) \quad (1.47)$$

$$\hat{A} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I} - \hat{P}). \quad (1.48)$$

These are indeed projections, since  $S^2 = S$ ,  $S^\dagger = S$ , and  $A$  is similar. We show this explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} S^2 &= \frac{1}{4}(\mathbb{I} + \hat{P})(\mathbb{I} + \hat{P}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(\mathbb{I} + P + P + P^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I} + \hat{P}) = S. \end{aligned}$$

For two-particle states, we then have

$$S|w_i\rangle \otimes |w_j\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(|w_i\rangle \otimes |w_j\rangle + |w_j\rangle \otimes |w_i\rangle), \quad (1.49)$$

with the antisymmetric projector similar:

$$A|w_i\rangle \otimes |w_j\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(|w_i\rangle \otimes |w_j\rangle - |w_j\rangle \otimes |w_i\rangle). \quad (1.50)$$

---

<sup>3</sup>The states must be symmetric or antisymmetric under exchange of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . There are also states which pick up a general complex phase, called anyons. See F. Wilczek, "Quantum Mechanics of Fractional-Spin Particles," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 49 (1982) 957, or a write-up by the man himself at Quanta Magazine: <https://www.quantamagazine.org/how-anyon-particles-emerge-from-quantum-knots-20170228/>

In fact, notice that since  $S + A = \mathbb{I}$ , we have

$$|\psi\rangle = (S + A)|\psi\rangle = S|\psi\rangle + A|\psi\rangle. \quad (1.51)$$

Since these operators commute with the Hamiltonian,<sup>4</sup> particles which are originally in symmetric combinations will stay symmetrized for all time, and the same is true for antisymmetric combinations. There is therefore an additional postulate of quantum mechanics, that identical particles come in two types.

- (a) Bosons, which live in the symmetrized subspace of the Hilbert space, and
- (b) Fermions, which live in the *anti*-symmetrized subspace of the Hilbert space.

Thus the wavefunction for bosons takes on a symmetric form in  $x_1, x_2$ :

$$\psi(x_1, x_2)_S = \psi_{w_i}(x_1)\psi_{w_j}(x_2) + \psi_{w_j}(x_1)\psi_{w_i}(x_2), \quad (1.52)$$

and the wavefunction for fermions is antisymmetric:

$$\psi(x_1, x_2)_A = \psi_{w_i}(x_1)\psi_{w_j}(x_2) - \psi_{w_j}(x_1)\psi_{w_i}(x_2). \quad (1.53)$$

Notice that if we set  $w_i = w_j$  then the fermionic wavefunction vanishes, and it also vanishes if  $x_1 = x_2$ .<sup>5</sup> This is the Pauli exclusion principle. Conversely bosons feel a statistical attraction to each other; we'll revisit this later.

Lecture 2.

**Wednesday, January 8, 2020**

To recap, if we have a Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}(\hat{x}_i, \hat{p}_i)$ , we are interested in constructing the spectrum

$$\hat{H}(\hat{x}_i, \hat{p}_i)|w_i\rangle = \epsilon_i|w_i\rangle. \quad (2.1)$$

General states  $|\psi\rangle \in V$  can be expressed in the basis  $|w_i\rangle$ . The wavefunction is

$$\langle x|\psi\rangle = \psi(x), \quad (2.2)$$

such that  $|\psi(x)|^2 = p(x)$ ,  $\int dx |\psi(x)|^2 = 1$ .

For a two-particle system, each in the same potential, if the Hamiltonian separates (there are no interactions) then

$$H(\hat{x}_1, \hat{p}_1, \hat{x}_2, \hat{p}_2) = H_1(\hat{x}_1, \hat{p}_1) + H_1(\hat{x}_2, \hat{p}_2). \quad (2.3)$$

The eigenstates of the two-particle system are tensor products of the individual one-particle eigenstates,

$$|w_i\rangle_{(1)} \otimes |w_j\rangle_{(2)} \equiv |w_i, w_j\rangle. \quad (2.4)$$

Generic vectors can be expressed in this basis,

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{ij} C_{ij} |w_i, w_j\rangle \in V \otimes V. \quad (2.5)$$

The two-particle wavefunction is simply

$$\langle x_1, x_2|\psi\rangle = \psi(x_1, x_2), \quad (2.6)$$

satisfying the Born rule,

$$P(x_1; x_2) = |\psi(x_1, x_2)|^2, \quad (2.7)$$

the probability of finding the first particle at  $x_1$  and the second at  $x_2$ . The normalization<sup>6</sup> is then given by

$$\int |\psi(x_1, x_2)|^2 dx_1 dx_2 = 1. \quad (2.8)$$

<sup>4</sup>The operators  $\mathbb{I}$  and  $P$  commute with  $H$ , which means that  $S$  and  $A$  do too.

<sup>5</sup>Slight technicality—by the spin-statistics theorem, fermions have half-integer spin, so in general there's an extra spin degree of freedom which lets us fit e.g. two electrons in the same spot (one spin up, one spin down).

<sup>6</sup>This was mentioned a little later in the lecture but I think it makes more sense here.

If  $w_1, w_2$  are each already normalized with respect to integration over  $x$  and orthogonal then the normalization factor is  $1/\sqrt{2}$ , i.e.<sup>7</sup>

$$\psi(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(w_1(x_1)w_2(x_2) \pm w_2(x_1)w_1(x_2)). \quad (2.9)$$

If  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are the same state the state reduces to

$$w_1(x_1)w_1(x_2). \quad (2.10)$$

In the case of identical particles, we argued that there are extra constraints on our states. We can do this by defining the exchange operator  $P_{12}$ , an operator on  $V \otimes V$  which acts as

$$P_{12}|w_i, w_j\rangle = |w_j, w_i\rangle. \quad (2.11)$$

It has the properties that

$$P_{12}^\dagger = P_{12}, P_{12}^2 = 1, P_{12} = P_{12}^{-1} \implies P_{12}^\dagger = P_{12}^{-1}. \quad (2.12)$$

Hence  $P_{12}$  is in fact unitary.

If we suppose that  $P$  commutes with the Hamiltonian (it is a symmetry of our system), i.e.

$$[P_{12}, H] = 0, \quad (2.13)$$

then we can prepare eigenstates of both  $P$  and the Hamiltonian. At the non-relativistic level, particles are either bosons or fermions; there are no mixed states. Hence wavefunctions are either symmetric or antisymmetric.

In the coordinate basis, any two-particle wavefunction can be written as

$$w_1(x_1)w_2(x_2) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(w_1(x_1)w_2(x_2) \pm w_2(x_1)w_1(x_2)). \quad (2.14)$$

Sometimes we write the Hilbert space as a direct sum

$$V \otimes V = |V_{\text{sym}}\rangle \oplus |V_{\text{anti}}\rangle. \quad (2.15)$$

Notice that for distinguishable particles, we talk about the probability density  $P(x_1, x_2)$ , of finding the first particle at  $x_1$  and the second at  $x_2$ . When the particles are identical, our normalization has to change. That is, we can only identify the probability density of finding *one* particle at  $x_1$  and another at  $x_2$ ; we can't say which is which. Hence the normalization changes to a spatially-ordered integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1 \int_{x_1}^{\infty} dx_2 P(x_1, x_2) = 1. \quad (2.16)$$

That is,  $x_1 < x_2$ . Morally speaking, we found one particle at  $x_1$  and then we normalized the probability of finding another particle somewhere later at  $x_2 > x_1$ .

The probability density for identical particles is related to the wavefunction by

$$P(x_1, x_2) = |\psi(x_1, x_2)|^2 + |\psi(x_2, x_1)|^2. \quad (2.17)$$

This is the only thing that makes sense; it is a symmetrized version of the original distinguishable particle probability density.

For three identical particles, we have a Hilbert space  $V \otimes V \otimes V$  and states

$$\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 | w_i, w_j, w_k \rangle = w_i(x_1)w_j(x_2)w_k(x_3). \quad (2.18)$$

These obey the commutation relations

$$[\hat{x}_i, \hat{p}_j] = i\hbar\delta_{ij}, \quad (2.19)$$

as usual. To study identical particles, we need the exchange operators for this system. They come from the permutation (symmetric) group  $S_3$ , if you like.<sup>8</sup> As before we have

$$P_{12}|w_i, w_j, w_k\rangle = |w_j, w_i, w_k\rangle. \quad (2.20)$$

We could similarly define exchange operators  $P_{13}$  and  $P_{23}$ . Notice that  $P_{12}P_{13} \neq P_{13}P_{12}$ . Suppose we have elements  $(a, b, c)$ . Then

$$P_{12}P_{13}(a, b, c) = P_{12}(c, b, a) = (b, c, a), \quad (2.21)$$

<sup>7</sup>Exercise.

<sup>8</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Symmetric\\_group](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Symmetric_group)

whereas

$$P_{13}P_{12}(a, b, c) = P_{13}(b, a, c) = (c, a, b) \neq (b, c, a). \quad (2.22)$$

So this group is not abelian (its elements do not all commute).

The symmetric group  $S_n$  is the group of all permutations of  $n$  objects. It has  $n!$  elements (i.e. choose one element of  $n$  to be first, one of  $n - 1$  to be second, and so on until you run out of elements).

Let's change our notation a bit and write  $P_{123} = \mathbb{I}$  to denote the identity operator. That is,  $P_{123}(a, b, c) = (a, b, c)$  and other permutations are given by e.g.  $P_{132}(a, b, c) = (a, c, b)$ . That is, each label in  $P$  says which element goes in which slot. For three elements, there are six options:

$$\underbrace{P_{123}, P_{231}, P_{312}}_{\text{even}} \quad \underbrace{P_{213}, P_{321}, P_{132}}_{\text{odd}}. \quad (2.23)$$

The labels even and odd refer to how many pairwise swaps we need to get to that permutation from the identity.

Let us define now two projection operators in analogy to the two-particle case from earlier. The first is

$$S = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\alpha} P_{\alpha}, \quad (2.24)$$

which we call the *symmetric projector*, and

$$A = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\alpha} \epsilon_{\alpha} P_{\alpha}, \quad (2.25)$$

the *antisymmetric projector*, where  $\epsilon_{\alpha} = +1$  when  $\alpha$  is an even permutation and  $-1$  when it is an odd permutation. Note that  $P_{\alpha}$  commutes with  $S$  for any permutation  $\alpha$ . Since all the permutations are already represented in the sum over  $\alpha$  in  $S$ , all acting with  $P_{\alpha}$  does is mix up the elements. But we already have all the elements and no two original elements can be mapped to the same one (i.e.  $P_{\alpha}P_i \neq P_{\alpha}P_j$  unless  $i = j$ ). Hence

$$P_{\alpha}S = S = SP_{\alpha} \implies [P_{\alpha}, S] = 0. \quad (2.26)$$

Similarly, for  $A$  we sometimes pick up a minus sign. The swap operator  $P_{12}$ , which is odd, gives

$$P_{12}A = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\alpha} \epsilon_{\alpha} P_{12}P_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\alpha} \epsilon_{\alpha} P_{\alpha'} = -\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\alpha'} \epsilon_{\alpha'} P_{\alpha'}. \quad (2.27)$$

That is,

$$P_{12}A = -A, \quad (2.28)$$

and in general

$$P_{\alpha}A = \epsilon_{\alpha}A. \quad (2.29)$$

Even permutations mix even and odd permutations amongst themselves, while odd permutations exchange the two sets, taking even to odd and vice versa. We see that

$$P_{\alpha}A = \epsilon_{\alpha}A = AP_{\alpha}, \quad (2.30)$$

so

$$[P_{\alpha}, A] = 0. \quad (2.31)$$

Let us now check that  $S^2 = S$ :

$$S^2 = \left( \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\alpha} P_{\alpha} \right) S = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\alpha} S = S, \quad (2.32)$$

while  $A^2 = A$  since

$$A^2 = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\alpha} \epsilon_{\alpha} P_{\alpha} A = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\alpha} \epsilon_{\alpha} \epsilon_{\alpha} A = A, \quad (2.33)$$

since  $(\epsilon_{\alpha})^2 = 1$  for all  $\alpha$ . We conclude that  $S$  and  $A$  are honest projection operators.

We can project onto the corresponding subspaces, i.e.  $S|\psi\rangle$  gives a totally symmetric vector such that

$$\psi_S(x_1, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots) = +\psi(x_1, x_j, \dots, x_i, \dots), \quad (2.34)$$

while  $A|\psi\rangle$  gives a totally antisymmetric vector.<sup>9</sup> The Hilbert space can be decomposed into

$$V^N = V_{\text{sym}} \oplus V_{\text{anti}} \oplus V_{\text{rest}}, \quad (2.35)$$

where the first two subspaces correspond to bosons and fermions respectively, and the rest of the states in the Hilbert space are not allowed.

Note that acting on a general state with  $S$  and  $A$  give unique states, the maximally (anti)symmetric combinations. In a coordinate basis, our symmetric state is

$$\psi(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\alpha} w_1(x_{\alpha_1}) w_2(x_{\alpha_2}) w_3(x_{\alpha_3}) \quad (2.36)$$

and the antisymmetric state is

$$\psi(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\alpha} \epsilon_{\alpha} w_1(x_{\alpha_1}) w_2(x_{\alpha_2}) w_3(x_{\alpha_3}). \quad (2.37)$$

Note that for the three-particle state, our antisymmetric state (up to a constant factor) is

$$\begin{aligned} & w_1(x_1)w_2(x_2)w_3(x_3) + w_1(x_2)w_2(x_3)w_3(x_1) + w_1(x_3)w_2(x_1)w_3(x_2) \\ & - w_1(x_2)w_2(x_1)w_3(x_3) - w_1(x_3)w_2(x_2)w_3(x_1) - w_1(x_1)w_2(x_3)w_3(x_2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

This is precisely the determinant of a matrix:

$$\begin{vmatrix} w_1(x_1) & w_2(x_1) & w_3(x_1) \\ w_1(x_2) & w_2(x_2) & w_3(x_2) \\ w_1(x_3) & w_2(x_3) & w_3(x_3) \end{vmatrix}. \quad (2.39)$$

Question: when can we treat two systems (perhaps very separated in space) as independent? For example, one particle is on the earth and the other is on the moon. Can we write

$$\psi(x_1, x_2) \approx G_E(x_1)G_M(x_2)? \quad (2.40)$$

Sure, if they never overlap:

$$G_E(x_1)G_M(x_1) = 0 \text{ for all } x_1, \quad (2.41)$$

i.e. their supports are disjoint. In the case of identical particles we would write the symmetrized wavefunction

$$\psi_S(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(G_E(x_1)G_M(x_2) + G_M(x_1)G_E(x_2)). \quad (2.42)$$

Then our condition would imply that

$$\psi_S(x_{1M}, x_{2M}) = 0 = \psi_S(x_{1E}, x_{2E}). \quad (2.43)$$

---

<sup>9</sup>In the three-particle case, we have  $S(a, b, c) = \frac{1}{3!}((a, b, c) + (b, a, c) + (a, c, b) + (b, c, a) + (c, a, b) + (c, b, a))$ , and  $A(a, b, c) = \frac{1}{3!}((a, b, c) + (b, c, a) + (c, a, b) - (a, c, b) - (b, a, c) - (c, b, a))$ . That is,  $S$  just adds up all the combinations, while  $A$  adds a sign for parity.