Björn Bebensee (2019-21343)

Graphs

Densification power law
Number of edges $E(t)$ and the number of nodes $N(t)$: $E(t) \propto N(t)^a$ with $a \in [1,2]$. $a = 1 \Rightarrow$ constant out-degree (linear growth), $a = 2 \Rightarrow$ quadratic growth. Typically $a > 1$, densification, shrinking diameter.

Erdös-Rényi random graph model
Node number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in [0,1]$. Create n nodes, include edge between any two nodes w/ prob. p. Degree dist. = Poisson, can be described by $P(k) \sim \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^k}{k!}$ where k is the degree. However: real graph's degree dist. follows power law: $P(k) \sim k^{-r}$.

Preferential attachment
New nodes attach preferentially to well-connected nodes. Link to existing node i by $P_i = \frac{d(i)}{\sum_j d(j)}$. Robust against random failure, vulnerable to attacks.

Community connection model
Generates many CCs. Joining node connects to any host with p_{host}, begins random walk with p_{step} until there are no more steps. Repeat for all hosts. Describes rebel probability well. $P_{\text{Rebel}} \propto s^{ad}$ with d deg newcomer, s rel. size of DC

Power laws in the internet
PL1 (**rank exponent**): The out-degree d_v of a node v is proportional to the rank of a node r_v to the power of a constant $\mathcal{R}$: $d_v \propto r_v^{\mathcal{R}}$
PL2 (**out-degree exponent**): The frequency f_d of an out-degree d is proportional to the out-degree to the power of a constant $\mathcal{O}$: $f_d \propto d^{\mathcal{O}}$
PL3 (**eigen exponent**): The eigenvalues λ_i of a graph are proportional to the order i to the power of a constant $\mathcal{E}$: $\lambda_i \propto i^{\mathcal{E}}$

Generation of power law distributions
PL distribution: $p(x) = Cx^{-a}\ \forall\ x \geq x_{\min}$ for constant C. Estimate exponent a:

$$a = 1 + n\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} ln\left(\frac{x_i}{x_{\min}}\right)\right)^{-1}$$

Chinese restaurant process (Yule distribution): Newcomer sits down at existing table, prefers large groups. New table with probability $\frac{1}{m}$. This is also referred to as a *Yule process*.
Combination of exponentials: Radioactive decay: half-life $-a$ and $p(y) = e^{ay}$. Russian roulette: capital x increases every time they survive with $x \sim e^{by}$. Final cap. PL dist. Monkey typing on a typewriter: frequency of the x-th most frequent word is x^{-a}, i.e. power law.
Random walks: steps required to arrive at the same position (*inter-arrival time*) follows power law.
Random multiplication: Starting C dollars, interest rate $s(t)$ for each year t, we get $C(t) = C(t-1)(1+s(t))$. We have $\log C(t) = \log C + \log.. + \log..$ which is a Gaussian distribution and thus $C(t) = \exp(\text{Gaussian})$ which is a lognormal distribution. The lognormal distribution looks like a power law in its tail distribution (but strictly is not a power law).
Fragmentation: Stick of length 1, recursively break at random point $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Resulting length distribution is lognormal (analogous to random multiplication).

Spectral analysis

$I = CV = \frac{V}{R} \Leftrightarrow V = RI$, $C = \frac{1}{R}$
In Series: $R = R_1 + \ldots + R_m$
In parallel: $R = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{R_1}+\ldots+\frac{1}{R_m}}$
Effective resistance: $R_{ab} = \frac{V_{ab}}{I_{ab}}$

Kirchhoff's law
flow in = flow out. Given $I_1 = C_1(V_1 - V)$, $I_2 = C_2(V - V_2)$, then $I_1 = I_2$ and $V = \frac{C_1}{C}V_1 + \frac{C_2}{C}V_2$
Weights C for conductance C_{ij} and $C_i = \sum_{(i,j)\in E} C_{ij}$. The adjacency matrix A is $A_{ij} = C_{ij}$ if $(i,j) \in E$ and 0 otherwise. Laplacian matrix L is $L = D - A$ where D is the diagonal matrix with entries $D_{ii} = C_i$. Then,

$$L_{ij} = \begin{cases} C_i & \text{if } i = j \\ -C_{ij} & \text{if} (i,j) \in E \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let V be a vector containing the voltages for all nodes. Then $(LV)_i$ is the residual current at node i. Assuming $I = 1$ effective resistance is solution of

$$LV = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \cdots \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

as $R_{1n} = \frac{V}{I} = V = V_1 - V_n$.

Random walks and electric networks
Interpretation of voltage: Random walk from x to b, h_x probability of visiting a before b. Then
$h_a = 1, h_b = 0$
$h_x = \sum_y h_y P_{xy}$
where probability P_{xy} of choosing to go along edge (x,y) is the weight of the edge as a fraction over the sum of the weights of all of x's edges.
Assume $V_a = 1$ and $V_b = 0$, then
$V_x = \sum_y V_y \frac{C_{xy}}{C_x} = \sum_y V_y P_{xy}$
and thus $h = V$ as h and V are harmonic with the same boundary values. Then $V_x = h_x$ and can be measured.
Interpretation of current: Random walk from a to b. Let u_x expected number of visits to a point x before reaching b. Then $u_b = 0$ and

$$u_x = \sum_y u_y P_{yx} = \sum_y u_y \frac{C_y}{C_x} P_{yx} = \sum_y u_y \frac{C_x}{C_y} P_{xy}$$

and it follows that $\frac{u_x}{C_x} = \sum_y \frac{u_y}{C_y} P_{xy}$
Now let $V_a = \frac{u_a}{C_a}$ and $V_b = 0$, then $V_x = \sum_y V_y P_{xy}$ and $V_x = \frac{u_x}{C_x}$. The current i_{xy} is given by

$$i_{xy} = (V_x - V_y)C_{xy} = u_x P_{xy} - u_y P_{yx}$$

expected # crossings:
(x → y) $u_x P_{xy}$
(y → x): $u_y P_{yx}$
expected net crossings: i_{xy}
$\Rightarrow$ current from x to y (set $V_a = \frac{u_a}{C_a}$ at a and $V_b = 0$ at b)

Random walks on graphs
W_{ij} the weight of an edge (i,j), W_i sum of to i incident edge weights
Random walk probabilities: $P_{ij} = \frac{W_{ij}}{W_i}$
Hitting time: $H(i,j)$ expected number of steps before node j is visited starting from i. $H(i,j)$ is not equal $H(j,i)$.
Commute time: $k(i,j) = H(i,j) + H(j,i)$. Symmetric.
Compute hitting time: $H(x) = H(x,b)$ for a fixed b expected number of steps to reach b from x. $H(b) = 0$. Then
$H(x) = 1 + \sum_y H_y P_{xy} = 1 + \sum_y H(y)\frac{W_{xy}}{W_x}$
Compute commute time: Let $C = \sum_i C_i$, then
$k(i,j) = C \times (\text{Effective resistance})_{ij}$

HITS algorithm
Given a root set, expand to obtain base set by one move forward and backward. Let h, a be vectors with the hub and authority scores of all nodes.
Authority scores:

$$a_i = \sum_{j:(j,i)\in E} h_j \qquad a = A^T h$$

Hub scores:

$$h_i = \sum_{j:(i,j)\in E} a_j \qquad h = Aa$$

Starting from random a', h' iterate until we converge. Solutions are the left- and right-singular vectors of the adjacency matrix A with the strongest singular values.

PageRank
Random walk, popular = high steady state probability (SSP). High SSP if connected with many high SSP nodes. A adjacency matrix, B the column-normalized transition matrix (stochastic). Note: B is transposed so that the columns represent "from" and the rows represent "to".
Perron-Frobenius Theorem: $\exists p : Bp = \lambda p$ where λ is the highest eigenvalue and $\lambda = 1$ (column-normalized).
Power iteration: Start p_t, get $p_{t+1} = Bp_t$. Converges to eigenvector with largest eigenvalue which is exactly p.
B not irreducible (not all nodes reachable): add edges to all other nodes with transition probability $1 - c$. Thus

$$p = cBp + \frac{(1-c)}{n}1 = \frac{(1-c)}{n}(I - cB)^{-1}1$$

Alternatively we can write the modified transition matrix as

$$M = cB + \frac{1-c}{n}1\,1^T$$

and compute p through power iteration:

$$p = Mp$$

where p denote the SSP and PageRank scores of M.
Random walk with restart
Compute proximities of other nodes given query node. Application: find caption for given image. Like PageRank except jump back to the starting node with probability $1 - c$. Thus, we have

$$p_k = cBp_k + (1-c)e_k.$$

Link prediction
Graph distance: negated shorted path length
Common neighbors: $|\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y)|$, but high degree → high score
Jaccard's coefficient: $\frac{|\Gamma(x)\cap\Gamma(y)|}{|\Gamma(x)\cup\Gamma(y)|}$
Adamic/Adar: $\sum_{z\in\Gamma(x)\cap\Gamma(y)} \frac{1}{\log|\Gamma(z)|}$
small x: $\frac{1}{\log x} \gg \frac{1}{x}$, large x: $\frac{1}{\log x} \approx \frac{1}{x}$
Preferential attachment: $|\Gamma(x)| \cdot |\Gamma(y)|$.
Katz proximity: $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \beta^l \cdot |\text{paths}_{x,y}^{<l>}|$
where $\text{paths}_{x,y}^{<l>}$ paths from x, y of length l.
$(M^k)_{ij}$ gives number of paths of length k.

$$I + [\beta M + \beta M^2 + \ldots + \beta M^{\infty}] = (I - \beta M)^{-1} - I$$

Hitting time/commute time: hitting time: $-H_{x,y}$
HT stationary-normed: $-H_{x,y} \cdot \pi_y$
commute time: $-(H_{x,y} + H_{y,x})$
CT stationary-normed: $-(H_{x,y} + H_{y,x}) \cdot \pi_y$
where π_y proportion of the time at node y.
Rooted PageRank: Define score to be stationary probability of y in a random walk that returns to x with probability α at each step, moving to a random neighbor with probability $1 - \alpha$.
SimRank: Fixed point of: nodes similar ⇔ similar neighbors.

$$\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y \\ \gamma \cdot \frac{\sum_{a\in\Gamma(x)}\sum_{b\in\Gamma(y)} sim(a,b)}{|\Gamma(x)|\cdot|\Gamma(y)|} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For random walk SimRank is the expected value of γ^l where l is a random variable giving the time at which random walks starting from x and y first meet.
Low rank approximation: Low-rank approximation M_k of the adjacency matrix M (noise reduction technique). Then use Katz measure etc. on M_k.
Unseen bigram: Augment score with $\text{score}(z,y)$ for similar nodes $z \in S_x^{<\delta>}$:
weighted: $|\{z : z \in \Gamma(y) \cap S_x^{<\delta>}\}|$
unweighted: $\sum_{z\in\Gamma(y)\cap S_x^{<\delta>}} \text{score}(x,z)$
Clustering: Cluster the graph and delete weak edges, then recompute the similarity score $sim(x,y)$ and only new links in the same cluster will be predicted.
Adamic/Adar ≈ Katz ≈ low rank inner product
Jaccard ≈ rooted PageRank ≈ SimRank
Small world: graph distance does not work.
Breadth: random predictor worsens.

Triangle Counting
Matrix multiplication: $O(n^3)$
Fast matrix multiplication: $O(n^{2.376})$
Node iterator: $\sum_{v\in V}\binom{d(v)}{2} = O(nd_{\max}^2)$
Edge iterator: $\sum_{(u,w)\in E} d(u) + d(w) = \sum_{v\in V} d(v)^2$
Forward algorithm: $\theta(n^{1.5})$ (optimal), space in $\theta(n)$.
Number of triangles: $\Delta(G) = \frac{1}{6}\sum_i \lambda_i^3$
Proof: α_{ii} of A^3 triangles of i. $\text{tr}(A^3)$ 3× # triangles (3 participating nodes). Undirected: double counting. Thus: $\Delta(G) = \frac{1}{6}\text{trace}(A^3)$. λ EV of A then λ^k EV of A^k $(k \geq 1)$. With $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = \text{trace}(A)$ obtain $\Delta(G) = \frac{1}{6}\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^3$.
Local triangles: $\Delta_i(G) = \frac{\sum_j \lambda_j^3 u_{i,j}^2}{2}$
where $u_{i,j}$ the i-th entry of j-th eigenvector.
Proof: A_{nxn} is symmetrics so $A = U_n \Sigma U_n^{'}$, where Σ diagonal with $\text{diag}(\Sigma) = \vec{\Lambda}_n$ (all EVs real and U_n orthogonal. Thus $A^3 = U_n \Sigma^3 U_n^{'}$) and that each triangle is counted twice.
Lanczos method: top-k eigenvalues. Mean required required to achieve ≥95% accuracy is 6.2.
Doulion's algorithm: sampling-based, construct smaller G': keep edge with p, discard $1 - p$. Count the triangles in G' and multiply count by $\frac{1}{p^3}$ (probability of a triangle remaining in G' is p^3 as all three edges have to survive).

MapReduce

kNN: Map: k-nearest neighbors to p in input, Reduce: k-nearest neighbors global
kMeans: Map: assign closest centroid, Reduce: update centroids
Join: Compute $R(A,B) \bowtie S(B,C)$, map: $R(a,b) \to (b,(a,R)), S(b,c) \to (b,(c,S))$, reduce: match same keys b output (a,b,c)
Communication cost: total I/O of all processes
Elapsed communication cost: max I/O along any path
Elapsed computation cost: max running time, i.e. total running time