Lecture: Prof. Dr. Christian Fries, Exercises: Dr. Andrea Mazzon, Tutorium: Lorenzo Berti

Wintersemester 2021/22

## Exercise 3

Consider the tenor discretization  $T_0 < T_1 < \cdots < T_n$  and the displaced LIBOR market model where the processes  $L_i := L(T_i, T_{i+1}), i = 1, \dots, n-1$  follow the dynamics

$$dL_i(t) = \mu_i(t)dt + (L_i(t) + d)\sigma_i^D(t)dW_i(t), \quad 0 \le t \le T_i,$$

where  $d\langle W_i, W_j \rangle(t) = \rho_{i,j}(t)dt$ , under the real-world measure  $\mathbb{P}$ . Also assume here that d > 0 and that  $\sigma_i^D(\cdot)$  are deterministic functions.

• Derive an analytical approximation for the price of a swaption in this setting, in a similar way to what you have seen in the lecture for the log-normal case, see pages 488-500 of the script.

**Hint:** in order to solve the exercise, you first have to guess the dynamics of the par swap rate S. In particular, you can guess S to have displaced dynamics as well, with a displacement  $d_S = d$ . Try to see why having a look at Lemma 142 at page 107 of the script.

• Assume now that

$$dL_i(t) = \mu_i(t)dt + (L_i(t) + d_i)\sigma_i^D(t)dW_i(t), \quad 0 \le t \le T_i, \quad 1 \le i \le n-1,$$

with  $d_i \neq d_j$  for at least one  $i \neq j$ . How would your analytical approximation change? Would you need one more approximation? Why?

## Solution

We want to find an approximated analytic formula for the swaption with swap tenor  $T_a < T_{a+1} < \cdots < T_b$  which is a subset of the tenor discretization  $T_0 < T_1 < \cdots < T_n$ . We suppose by simplicity that the swap tenor is as coarse as the original tenor discretization.

Proceeding as in the script (pages 176-180) we find that

$$V_{\text{swaption}}(T_a) = A_{a,b}(T_a) \max (S_{a,b}(T_a) - K, 0),$$

where  $A_{a,b}$  is the annuity for the swap tenor above and  $(S_{a,b}(t))_{0 \le t \le T_a}$  is the process representing the par swap rate associated to the swap tenor above, i.e.,

$$S_{a,b}(t) = S(T_a, \dots, T_b; t) := \frac{P(T_a; t) - P(T_b; t)}{\sum_{j=a}^{b-1} (T_{j+1} - T_j) P(T_{j+1}; t)}, \quad 0 \le t \le T_a.$$

Then

$$V_{\text{swaption}}(0) = A_{a,b}(0)\mathbb{E}^{Q^A} \left[ \max \left( S_{a,b}(T_a) - K, 0 \right) \right], \tag{1}$$

where  $Q^A$  is the probability measure associated to the annuity, under which  $S_{a,b}$  is a martingale.

We have seen that, if  $S_{a,b}$  follows log-normal dynamics with a deterministic log-volatility function  $\sigma_{a,b}(\cdot)$ , we can use the Black formula to compute (1). So the question now is: is it possible to assume such dynamics for  $S_{a,b}$ , at least approximately?

Remember that we assume displaced log-normal dynamics for the underlying LIBOR market model, i.e., we introduce the processes  $L_i := L(T_i, T_{i+1}), i = 1, ..., n-1$  with

$$dL_i(t) = \mu_i(t)dt + (L_i(t) + d)\sigma_i^D(t)dW_i(t), \quad 0 \le t \le T_i.$$
(2)

From Lemma 142 at page 107 of the script, we know that

$$S_{a,b}(t) = \sum_{k=a}^{b-1} \alpha_k(t) L_k(t), \quad 0 \le t \le T_a$$
 (3)

where the weights are defined by

$$\alpha_k(t) := \frac{(T_{k+1} - T_k)P(T_{k+1}; t)}{\sum_{j=a}^{b-1} (T_{j+1} - T_j)P(T_{j+1}; t)}, \quad 0 \le t \le T_a$$
(4)

and satisfy  $\alpha_k(t) \geq 0$ ,  $k = a, \dots, b-1$ , and  $\sum_{k=a}^{b-1} \alpha_k(t) = 1$ . For this reason and from (2) we get that

$$S_{a,b}(t) = \sum_{k=a}^{b-1} \alpha_k(t) L_k(t) \ge \sum_{k=a}^{b-1} \alpha_k(t) (-d) = -d, \quad 0 \le t \le T_a.$$

We can then make our guess and assume displaced log-normal dynamics for  $S_{a,b}$  as well, with same displacement d as in (2). In particular, we can model the evolution of  $S_{a,b}$  as given by

$$dS_{a,b}(t) = (S_{a,b}(t) + d)\sigma_S(t)dW^A(t), \quad 0 \le t \le T_a,$$
(5)

where  $W^A$  is a  $Q^A$ -Brownian motion and  $\sigma_S(\cdot)$  is a deterministic function. If this is the case, using the same arguments we have seen in Exercise 2 of Handout 8, from (1) we get

$$V_{\text{swaption}}(0) = A(0)BS\left(S_{a,b}(0) + d, K + d, \bar{\sigma}_S, T_a\right),\tag{6}$$

i.e., we determine the price of the option as given by the value of the annuity at zero times the Black-Scholes formula with initial value  $S_{a,b}(0) + d$ , strike K + d, maturity  $T_a$  and integrated volatility  $\bar{\sigma}_S$  defined as

$$\bar{\sigma}_S = \frac{1}{T_a} \int_0^{T_a} \sigma_S^2(t) dt, \quad 0 \le t \le T_a. \tag{7}$$

So, we just have to derive an (approximated, as we will see) expression for  $\bar{\sigma}_S$ , which will be our goal from now on. Since the value of  $S_{a,b}$  clearly depends on the values of the LIBOR rates  $L_k$ ,  $k=a,\ldots,b-1$  (see (3) and (9)) we can apply Itô's formula and get

$$dS_{a,b}(t) = (\dots)dt + \sum_{k=a}^{b-1} \frac{\partial S_{a,b}}{\partial L_k}(t)dL_k(t)$$

$$= (\dots)dt + (S_{a,b}(t) + d) \sum_{k=a}^{b-1} \frac{\partial (S_{a,b} + d)}{\partial L_k}(t) \frac{1}{S_{a,b}(t) + d} dL_k(t)$$

$$= (\dots)dt + (S_{a,b}(t) + d) \sum_{k=a}^{b-1} \frac{\partial (S_{a,b} + d)}{\partial L_k}(t) \frac{1}{S_{a,b}(t) + d} (L_k(t) + d) \sigma_k^D(t) dW_k(t)$$

$$= (\dots)dt + (S_{a,b}(t) + d) \sum_{k=a}^{b-1} w_k(t) \sigma_k^D(t) dW_k(t), \quad 0 \le t \le T_a$$
(8)

with

$$w_k(t) = \frac{\partial (S_{a,b} + d)}{\partial L_k}(t) \frac{1}{S_{a,b}(t) + d} (L_k(t) + d) = \frac{\partial \log(S_{a,b} + d)}{\partial L_k}(t) (L_k(t) + d), \tag{9}$$

for any  $t \in [0, T_a]$ . Once we compute the expression of the weights in (9), we can use it as done in the script in order to determine an approximation for  $\sigma_S(\cdot)$  in (5) and then for  $\bar{\sigma}_S$  in (7): indeed, equations (5) and (8) imply that  $\sigma_S(\cdot)$  satisfies

$$\sigma_S^2(t)dt = \frac{d\langle S_{a,b}\rangle(t)}{(S_{a,b}(t)+d)^2} = \sum_{k,\ell=a}^{b-1} w_k(t)w_\ell(t)\sigma_k^D(t)\sigma_\ell^D(t)\rho_{k,\ell}(t)dt, \quad 0 \le t \le T_a.$$

However, the expression above is stochastic, so  $\sigma_S^2(\cdot)$  is not deterministic as we would have needed. We then freeze the weights to their initial values and obtain the approximation

$$\sigma_S^2(t)dt \approx \sum_{k,\ell=a}^{b-1} w_k(0)w_\ell(0)\sigma_k^D(t)\sigma_\ell^D(t)\rho_{k,\ell}(t)dt, \quad 0 \le t \le T_a,$$

which gives us

$$\bar{\sigma}_S^2 \approx \tilde{\sigma}_S^2 := \frac{1}{T_a} \sum_{k,\ell=a}^{b-1} w_k(0) w_\ell(0) \int_0^{T_a} \sigma_k^D(t) \sigma_\ell^D(t) \rho_{k,\ell}(t) dt. \tag{10}$$

From (6) and (10) we then get the approximation

$$V_{\text{swaption}}(0) \approx A(0)BS\left(S_{a,b}(0) + d, K + d, \tilde{\sigma}_S, T_a\right),\tag{11}$$

with  $\tilde{\sigma}_S$  defined in (10).

It remains now to compute the values of the coefficients in (9). For any  $t \in [0, T_a]$  we have

$$\begin{split} w_k(t) &= \frac{\partial \log(S_{a,b} + d)}{\partial L_k}(t)(L_k(t) + d) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial L_k} \log \left( \frac{P(T_a) - P(T_b)}{\sum_{j=a}^{b-1} (T_{j+1} - T_j) P(T_{j+1})} + d \right)(t)(L_k(t) + d) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial L_k} \left( \log \left( P(T_a) - P(T_b) + d \sum_{j=a}^{b-1} (T_{j+1} - T_j) P(T_{j+1}) \right) - \log \left( \sum_{j=a}^{b-1} (T_{j+1} - T_j) P(T_{j+1}) \right) \right)(t) \\ &\cdot (L_k(t) + d) \\ &= \frac{L_k(t) + d}{P(T_a) - P(T_b) + d \sum_{j=a}^{b-1} (T_{j+1} - T_j) P(T_{j+1})} \frac{\partial}{\partial L_k} \left( P(T_a) - P(T_b) + d \sum_{j=a}^{b-1} (T_{j+1} - T_j) P(T_{j+1}) \right)(t) \\ &- \frac{L_k(t) + d}{\sum_{j=a}^{b-1} (T_{j+1} - T_j) P(T_{j+1})} \sum_{j=a}^{b-1} (T_{j+1} - T_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial L_k} P(T_{j+1}) \\ &= \left( \frac{P(T_b) + d \sum_{j=a}^{b-1} (T_{j+1} - T_j) P(T_{j+1})}{P(T_a) - P(T_b) + d \sum_{j=a}^{b-1} (T_{j+1} - T_j) P(T_{j+1})} - \frac{\sum_{j=a}^{b-1} (T_{j+1} - T_j) P(T_{j+1})}{\sum_{j=a}^{b-1} (T_{j+1} - T_j) P(T_{j+1})} \right) \\ &\cdot (L_k(t) + d) \frac{(T_{k+1} - T_k)}{1 + (T_{k+1} - T_k) L_k(t)} \\ &= \left( \frac{P(T_b) + dA_{k,b}(t)}{P(T_a) - P(T_b) + dA_{a,b}(t)} - \frac{A_{k,b}(t)}{A_{a,b}(t)} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{P(T_k) - P(T_{k+1}) + d(T_{k+1} - T_k) P(T_{k+1})}{P(T_k)} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{P(T_b) + dA_{k,b}(t)}{P(T_a) - P(T_b) + dA_{a,b}(t)} - \frac{A_{k,b}(t)}{A_{a,b}(t)} \right) \cdot \frac{P(T_k) - P(T_{k+1}) + d(T_{k+1} - T_k) P(T_{k+1})}{P(T_k)} , \end{split}$$

where  $A_{a,b}$  and  $A_{k,b}$  are the annuities of the tenors  $T_a < \cdots < T_b$  and  $T_k < \cdots < T_b$ , respectively.

We can plug the above expression evaluated at time t = 0 in (10) to obtain our approximated integrated volatility, from which we then get the approximated price via (11).

Let's now consider the case when

$$dL_i(t) = \mu_i(t)dt + (L_i(t) + d_i)\sigma_i^D(t)dW_i(t), \quad 0 \le t \le T_i, \quad 1 \le i \le n - 1,$$

with  $d_i \neq d_j$  for at least one  $i \neq j$ . In this case,

$$S_{a,b}(t) = \sum_{k=a}^{b-1} \alpha_k(t) L_k(t) \ge -\sum_{k=a}^{b-1} \alpha_k(t) d_k, \quad 0 \le t \le T_a,$$

so we can guess displaced log-normal dynamics for  $S_{a,b}$  with displacement now given by

$$S_{a,b}(t) := \sum_{k=a}^{b-1} \alpha_k(t) d_k, \quad 0 \le t \le T_a.$$

The problem is now that the coefficients  $\alpha_k(t)$  in the expression above are not deterministic, see (9). So one has to freeze them at time t = 0 and then proceed as before. This gives a second approximation that can impact the goodness of the price estimate.