Lecture: Prof. Dr. Christian Fries, Exercises: Dr. Andrea Mazzon, Tutorium: Roland Bachl

Sommersemester 2021

## Solution to exercise 1

Let  $X = (X_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$  be the geometric Brownian motion

$$dX_t = \mu X_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t, \quad 0 \le t \le T,$$

with  $X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

We start by showing that

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t] = xe^{\mu t}, \qquad Var(X_t) = xe^{2\mu t}(e^{\sigma^2 t} - 1), \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$

We apply Itô's formula for  $Y = \log(X)$ . We have

$$\begin{split} dY_t &= \frac{1}{X_t} dX_t - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{X_t^2} d[X, X]_t \\ &= \mu dt + \sigma dW_t - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 dt \\ &= \left(\mu - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2\right) dt + \sigma dW_t, \quad 0 \le t \le T. \end{split}$$

Thus it holds

$$\log(X_t) = \log(x) + \left(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)t + \sigma W_t, \quad 0 \le t \le T,$$

from which it follows

$$X_t = xe^{(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t + \sigma W_t}, \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$

We then easily obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t] = xe^{(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t} \mathbb{E}[e^{\sigma W_t}], \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$

In order to compute  $\mathbb{E}[e^{\sigma W_t}]$ , we exploit the fact that  $\sigma W_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 t)$ , and we know the density function. We have

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{t}] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{y} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}t}} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\frac{-y^{2}+2y\sigma^{2}t}{2\sigma^{2}t}} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\frac{-(y-\sigma^{2}t)^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}t}} e^{\frac{\sigma^{2}t}{2}} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{\frac{\sigma^{2}t}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\frac{-(y-\sigma^{2}t)^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}t}} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{\sigma^{2}t}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\frac{-z^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}t}} dz$$

$$= e^{\frac{\sigma^{2}t}{2}}, \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$
(1)

where in the last equality we have taken the change of variables  $z = \frac{y - \sigma^2 t}{\sigma \sqrt{t}}$ , with  $dy = \sigma \sqrt{t} dz$ . Then we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t] = xe^{(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t} \mathbb{E}[e^{\sigma W_t}] = xe^{\mu t}, \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$

Moreover,

$$Var(X_t) = \mathbb{E}[X_t^2] - \mathbb{E}[X_t]^2, \quad 0 \le t \le T,$$

where

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t]^2 = x^2 e^{2\mu t}, \quad 0 \le t \le T$$

and

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[X_t^2] &= \mathbb{E}[x^2 e^{2(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t + 2\sigma W_t}] \\ &= x^2 e^{2(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t} \mathbb{E}[e^{2\sigma W_t}] \\ &= x^2 e^{2(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t} e^{2\sigma^2 t} \quad \text{[since } \mathbb{E}[e^{2\sigma W_t}] = e^{\frac{(2\sigma)^2 t}{2}} = e^{2\sigma^2 t}] \\ &= x^2 e^{2\mu t} e^{\sigma^2 t}, \quad 0 < t < T. \end{split}$$

We obtain therefore

$$Var(X_t) = \mathbb{E}[X_t^2] - \mathbb{E}[X_t]^2 = x^2 e^{2\mu t} \left( e^{\sigma^2 t} - 1 \right), \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$

Let now  $\mathcal{T}^{\Delta}$  be a time-discretization with step size  $\Delta > 0$ , that is,

$$\mathcal{T}^{\Delta} = \{t_0 = 0, t_1, \dots, t_n = T\},\,$$

with  $\Delta = t_{i+1} - t_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then the Euler-Maruyama scheme for X with time-discretization  $\mathcal{T}^{\Delta}$  is

$$X_k^{\Delta} = (1 + \mu \Delta) X_{k-1}^{\Delta} + \sigma X_{k-1}^{\Delta} \Delta W_k,$$

where we denote  $X_k^{\Delta} = X_{t_k}^{\Delta}$  and  $W_k = W_{t_k} - W_{t_{k-1}}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . We want to prove that it holds

$$\mathbb{E}[X_k^{\Delta}] = x(1 + \mu \Delta)^k, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$
(2)

and

$$Var(X_k^{\Delta}) = x^2 \left[ \left( (1 + \mu \Delta)^2 + \sigma^2 \Delta \right)^k - (1 + \mu \Delta)^{2k} \right], \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$
 (3)

We start from the expectation and prove the statement by induction. We have that

$$\mathbb{E}[X_1^{\Delta}] = \mathbb{E}[(1 + \mu \Delta)x + \sigma x \Delta W_1] = x(1 + \mu \Delta),$$

as we wanted. We now fix k > 1 and suppose that  $\mathbb{E}[X_{k-1}^{\Delta}] = x(1 + \mu \Delta)^{k-1}$ . Then it holds

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[X_k^{\Delta}] &= \mathbb{E}[(1+\mu\Delta)X_{k-1}^{\Delta} + \sigma X_{k-1}^{\Delta}\Delta W_k] \\ &= (1+\mu\Delta)\mathbb{E}[X_{k-1}^{\Delta}] \\ &= x(1+\mu\Delta)(1+\mu\Delta)^{k-1} \\ &= x(1+\mu\Delta)^k. \end{split}$$

Note that this implies that

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n^{\Delta}] = x(1 + \mu \Delta)^n = x\left(1 + \mu \frac{T}{n}\right)^n \longrightarrow xe^{\mu T} = \mathbb{E}[X_T]$$

as  $n \to \infty$ . We now compute the variance

$$Var(X_k^{\Delta}) = \mathbb{E}[(X_k^{\Delta})^2] - \mathbb{E}[X_k^{\Delta}]^2, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

In order to get (3), we use (2) and prove that

$$\mathbb{E}[(X_k^{\Delta})^2] = x^2 \left( (1 + \mu \Delta)^2 + \sigma^2 \Delta \right)^k, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

We have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[(X_k^{\Delta})^2] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left((1+\mu\Delta)X_{k-1}^{\Delta} + \sigma X_{k-1}^{\Delta}\Delta W_k\right)^2\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[(1+\mu\Delta)^2(X_{k-1}^{\Delta})^2\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[2\sigma(1+\mu\Delta)(X_{k-1}^{\Delta})^2\Delta W_k\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma^2(X_{k-1}^{\Delta})^2(\Delta W_k)^2\right] \\ &= (1+\mu\Delta)^2\mathbb{E}\left[(X_{k-1}^{\Delta})^2\right] + 2\sigma(1+\mu\Delta)\mathbb{E}\left[(X_{k-1}^{\Delta})^2\right]\mathbb{E}\left[\Delta W_k\right] + \sigma^2\mathbb{E}\left[(X_{k-1}^{\Delta})^2\right]\mathbb{E}\left[(\Delta W_k)^2\right] \\ &= (1+\mu\Delta)^2\mathbb{E}\left[(X_{k-1}^{\Delta})^2\right] + \sigma^2\mathbb{E}\left[(X_{k-1}^{\Delta})^2\right]\Delta \\ &= \left((1+\mu\Delta)^2 + \sigma^2\Delta\right)\mathbb{E}\left[(X_{k-1}^{\Delta})^2\right] \\ &= \left((1+\mu\Delta)^2 + \sigma^2\Delta\right)\left((1+\mu\Delta)^2 + \sigma^2\Delta\right)\mathbb{E}\left[(X_{k-2}^{\Delta})^2\right] \\ &= \dots \\ &= \left((1+\mu\Delta)^2 + \sigma^2\Delta\right)\left((1+\mu\Delta)^2 + \sigma^2\Delta\right)^{k-1}x^2 \\ &= x^2\left((1+\mu\Delta)^2 + \sigma^2\Delta\right)^k. \end{split}$$

In the third equality we have used the fact that  $X_{k-1}^{\Delta}$  is independent of  $\Delta W_k$  because it depends on the increments  $\Delta W_1, \ldots \Delta W_{k-1}$ , which are indeed independent of  $\Delta W_k$ . Note that

$$x^{2} \left[ \left( (1 + \mu \Delta)^{2} + \sigma^{2} \Delta \right)^{n} - (1 + \mu \Delta)^{2n} \right] = x^{2} \left[ \left( (1 + \mu \Delta)^{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\sigma^{2} \Delta}{(1 + \mu \Delta)^{2}} \right)^{2} \right)^{n} - (1 + \mu \Delta)^{2n} \right]$$

$$= x^{2} (1 + \mu \Delta)^{2n} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{\sigma^{2} \Delta}{(1 + \mu \Delta)^{2}} \right)^{2n} - 1 \right]$$

$$= x^{2} \left( 1 + \mu \frac{T}{n} \right)^{2n} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{\sigma^{2} \frac{T}{n}}{(1 + \mu \frac{T}{n})^{2}} \right)^{2n} - 1 \right]$$

$$\longrightarrow x^{2} e^{2\mu t} \left( e^{\sigma^{2} t} - 1 \right).$$