```
{\bf Introduction}
 to
 quan-
 tum
 me-
 chan-
 ics
 2.1
                    1
-
1

  \begin{array}{c}
    + \\
    1 \\
    2 \\
    1 \\
    = \\
    0
  \end{array}

 0
                    2.2
                    A0

\begin{array}{c}
A0 \\
= \\
A_{11}0 + \\
A_{21}1 = \\
1 \Rightarrow \\
A_{11} = \\
0, A_{21} = \\
1 \\
A1 = \\
4 \Rightarrow 0 + \\
\end{array}

 A_{12}0+
\begin{array}{c} A_{22}1 = \\ 0 \Rightarrow \end{array}
 A_{12} = 1, A_{22} = 1
0
A =
 01
 10
input: \{0, 1\}, output: \{1, 0\}, A0=, A_{11}1+, A_{21}0=, A_{11}=, A_{21}=, A_{21}=
 A1 =
 A_{12}1+ A_{22}0 =
A_{22}0 = 0 \Rightarrow A_{12} = 0, A_{22} = 1
A = 10
 01
                    2.3
                    {\rm From}
From eq (2.12) A  v_i = \sum_j A_{ji} w_j = \sum_k B_{kj} x_k Thus BA  v_i = \sum_j A_{ji} w_j = \sum_k B_{kj} x_k 

\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{v}_i &= \\
B\left(\sum_j A_{ji} w_j\right)
\end{aligned}
```

```
\operatorname*{on}_{\mathcal{C}^{n}}
 is
 ((y_1, \dots, y_n), (z_1, \dots, z_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^* z_i.
Ver-
ify (1) of

\begin{array}{c}
eq \\
(2.13).
\end{array}

              ((y_1, \cdots, y_n), \sum_i \lambda_i(z_{i1}, \cdots, z_{in})) =
\sum_{i} y_{i}^{*} \left( \sum_{j} \lambda_{j} z_{ji} \right)
\sum_{i,j} y_{i}^{*} \lambda_{j} z_{ji}
\sum_{i} \lambda_{j} \left( \sum_{i} y_{i}^{*} z_{ji} \right)
\sum_{i} \lambda_{j} \left( \sum_{i} y_{i}^{*} z_{ji} \right)
 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \left( (y_1, \dots, y_n), (z_{j1}, \dots, z_{jn}) \right)
 \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} ((y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}), (z_{i1}, \cdots, z_{in})).
Verify
 _{\mathrm{of}}^{(2)}

    \begin{array}{l}
            \text{eq} \\
            (2.13),
    \end{array}

((y_1, \dots, y_n), (z_1, \dots, z_n))^* = (\sum_i y_i^* z_i)^* = (\sum_i y_i z_i^*) = 0
 (\sum_i z_i^* y_i)
 ((z_1,\cdots,z_n),(y_1,\cdots,y_n)).
Verify

\begin{pmatrix}
3
\end{pmatrix}

of
eq (2.13), ((y_1, \dots, y_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) = \sum_{i} y_i^* y_i
\sum_{i}^{=} |y_i|^2
Since
|y_i|^2 \ge 0
 for
 all
 i.
\sum_{i}^{nus} |y_{i}|^{2} = ((y_{1}, \dots, y_{n}), (y_{1}, \dots, y_{n})) \ge 0.
 Thus
              From
 now
 on,
 Ι
 will
 show
 the
 fol-
 low-
 ing
 state-
 ment,
 ((y_1, \cdots, y_n), (y_1, \cdots, y_n)) = 0 iff(y_1, \cdots, y_n) =
 0.
 (\Leftarrow)This
 is
 ob-
 vi-
 ous.
 (⇒)
Sup-
```

```
be-
cause
v|A|v
real.
Hence
\beta =
v | C | v = 0
for
all
v,
i.e.
C =
0.
       {\bf Therefore}
A =
A^{\dagger}.
       Reference:
MIT
8.05
Lec-
{\rm ture}
note
by
Prof.
Bar-
ton
Zwiebach.
\begin{array}{l} \text{https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/physics/8-} \\ 05 - \end{array}
quantum-
physics-
ii-
fall-
2013/\text{lecture-}
notes/MIT8<sub>0</sub>5F13_Chap_03.pdf
       Let
T
be
a
lin-
ear
op-
er-
a-
tor
in
a
com-
plex
vec-
tor
space
V.
      If
(u, Tv) = 0
\quad \text{for} \quad
all
u, v \in V, then
T =
0.
       Suppose
u = Tv.
Then (Tv, Tv) =
ò
for
\operatorname{all}
v
im-
```

plies

```
\begin{array}{l} i\\ i0\\ =\\ 02i\\ 2i0\\ =\\ 2iX\\ [Z,X]\\ 10\\ 0-\\ 101\\ 10-\\ 01\\ 1010\\ 0-\\ 1\\ =\\ 2i0-\\ i\\ 0\\ =\\ 2iY\\ 2.41\\ \{\sigma_1,\sigma_2\}=\\ \sigma_1\sigma_2+\\ \sigma_2\sigma_1\\ =\\ 01\\ 100-\\ i\\ i0+\\ \end{array}
                                                           [Z,X] =
\begin{array}{c} 0 - \\ i \\ 0 - \\ i \\ i001 \\ 10 \\ = \\ i0 \\ 0 - \\ i + \\ -i0 \\ 0 \\ = \\ 0 \\ \end{array}
\begin{array}{c} 0 - \\ i \\ i010 \\ 0 - \\ 1 + \\ 10 \\ 0 - \\ 10 - \\ i \\ 0 \\ = \\ 0 \\ \end{array}
                                                           \{\sigma_2,\sigma_3\}=
                                                           \{\sigma_3,\sigma_1\}=
   \begin{cases} \sigma_3, \sigma_1 \\ 0 - \\ 101 \\ 10 + \\ 01 \\ 1010 \\ 0 - \\ 1 \\ = \\ 0 \\ \sigma_0^2 = \\ I^2 = \\ I \\ \sigma_1^2 = \\ 01 \\ 10^2 = I \\ \sigma_2^2 = \\ 0 - \\ i \end{cases}
```

```
the
 prob-
 lem
 more
 ab-
 stractly.
 In
 or-
 \operatorname{der}
 to
 prove,
 we
 use
 the
 fol-
 low-
 ing
 prop-
 er-
 ties
 of
 \vec{v}
 \vec{\sigma}
 \vec{\vec{\sigma}}
 is
 Her-
 mi-
 tian
 where \vec{v}
 is
 a
 real
 unit
 vec-
 \quad \text{tor.} \quad
               We
 can
 eas-
 ily
 check
 above
 con-
 di-
tions.

(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma})^{\dagger} = (v_1 \sigma_1 + v_2 \sigma_2 + v_3 \sigma_3)^{\dagger}
 = v_1 \sigma_1^{\dagger} + v_2 \sigma_2^{\dagger} + v_3 \sigma_3^{\dagger}
 v_1\sigma_1+
v_{2}\sigma_{2} + v_{3}\sigma_{3} (PaulimatricesareHermitian.)
= \vec{v}.
\vec{\sigma}
\vec{\sigma}
(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma})^{2} = \sum_{j,k=1}^{3} (v_{j}\sigma_{j})(v_{k}\sigma_{k})
= \sum_{j,k=1}^{3} v_{j}v_{k}\sigma_{j}\sigma_{k}
= \sum_{j,k=1}^{3} v_{j}v_{k} \left(\delta_{jk}I + i\sum_{l=1}^{3} \epsilon_{jkl}\sigma_{l}\right) \quad (eqn(2.78) page 78)
= \sum_{j,k=1}^{3} v_{j}v_{k}\delta_{jk}I + i\sum_{l=1}^{3} \epsilon_{jkl}\sigma_{l}
```

```
\sum_{i}^{=} p_{i}^{2}i
(\rho^{2}) =
(\sum_{i} p_{i}^{2}i) =
\sum_{i} p_{i}^{2}i|i =
\sum_{i} p_{i}^{2} \leq \leq
\sum_{i} p_{i} =
1 (p_{i}^{2} \leq p_{i})
Suppose
(\rho^{2}) =
1.
Then
\sum_{i} p_{i}^{2} =
Since
p_{i}^{2} < p_{i}
for
0 < p_i < 1, only
 sin-
 gle
 \stackrel{\circ}{p_i} should
 be
 1
 \quad \text{and} \quad
 oth-
 er-
 wise
 have
 to
 van-
 ish.
 There-
 \quad \text{fore} \quad
 \rho =
 \psi_i.
 Ít
 is
 \mathbf{a}
 pure
state.
Conversely
 _{	ext{is}}^{
ho}
 pure,
 then
then \rho = \psi.
(\rho^2) = (\psi\psi|\psi\psi) = (\psi) = \psi|\psi = 1.
2.72
      .
2.72
(1)
 Since
 den\text{-}
 sity
 ma-
 \operatorname{trix}
 is
 Her-
 mi-
 tian,
 ma-
 \operatorname{trix}
 rep-
 re-
```

sen-