EVMNS

EOS-EVM Name Service

Non-Affiliated Project

Pomelo Grant URL

pomelo.io/grants/evmns

EVMNS (EVM Name Service) is a decentralized domain naming system built on EOSEVM. It uses .evm domains to associate human-readable names with various content, like addresses and URLs. EVMNS aims to connect people, information, assets, and dApps in the WEB3 world, improving accessibility and usability of the blockchain.


Project Information

Grant Owner	Harry Davis
Treasury	evmnsdomains
Website	evmns.space
Github	github.com/evmns/EVMNS
White Paper	docs.evmns.space

Contact & Social

Email	evmns.space@gmail.com
Twitter	@EVMNSspace (9362 followers)
Telegram	t.me/EVMNSspace (37 members)
Discord	N/A

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

- Approved for ENF Grant Level 3
- Design specifications updated on GitHub
- They wrote that the development of the smart contract and invitation incentive system was completed. but code is not open source yet.

Current Status

- Logo for the project has been selected using community participation.
- They wrote they are currently conducting multiple rounds of debugging and testing, expect to release it online
- Project is in alpha test now as per owner.

Grants Issue found

- According to the roadmap on the EVMNS website, they have missed several milestones. Deployment test network in June (or maybe this was done, but we can't verify), audit and deployment mainnet in July 2023.

Rating by Category

Total Score (50)	36.50
Project Delivery (10)	6.50
Community Engagement (10)	7.50
EOS Network Impact (10)	7.25
Transparency and Trust (10)	7.00
Objectives and Vision (10)	8.25

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade



Satisfactory

Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	37.5%	37.5%	25.0%	0.0%	8.25
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	7.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	12.5%	62.5%	0.0%	25.0%	7.25
Community Engagement (10)	37.5%	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	7.50
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	25.0%	75.0%	0.0%	6.50

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors
Rating Source	Publicly available data
Last updated date	03/03/2023
Total Score	36.50
Final Grade	В
riliai Graue	Satisfactory

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score Νo Yes Yes Innovation 4 2 5 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) 4 00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 4.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 3.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 3.50 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 3.75 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 4.75 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10)**Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 2.75 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 3.50 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 3.00 achieve its goals?

- Communication to the public which do not check the Grant Framework Github could be improved. Either with monthly reports or communicating more proactivaely.
- . -
- . -

Noah Swap

Noah Swap, an innovation of DeFi on EOS EVM

Non-Affiliated Project

Pomelo Grant URL

pomelo.io/grants/noah

A powerful and open source swap platform that serves as a DeFi infrastructure on EOS EVM


Project Information

Grant Owner	Noah T (Noah Volunteer)
Treasury	<u>btxhdbttqhgs</u>
Website	noahark.pro
Github	github.com/NoaharkEVM/noah-contract
White Paper	noah-finance.gitbook.io/noah/

Contact & Social

Email	N/A
Twitter	@Noah_DeFi (3437 followers)
Telegram	t.me/noahevm (1835 members)
Discord	N/A

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

- Noah Swap actively participated in the season 5 of Pomelo Grants, resulting in the acquisition of \$3,907. Following this, the platform successfully executed the launch of its native token, a process that underwent a meticulous auditing
- Significant technical advancements have been made with the establishment of Noah Swap's new RPC (Remote Procedure Call) node, a pivotal element of its operational framework. Additionally, the inaugural distribution of the \$NOAH tokens was
- In alignment with the tenets of the Pomelo Grant, the platform presents an array of multifaceted functionalities, encompassing flash swaps, provision of liquidity, real-time market insights, and comprehensive site-wide statistical
- Evidencing a notable and expanding user base, Noah Swap has garnered substantial interest, evident from the enrollment of 834 Ethereum addresses as esteemed token holders. Noah has secured listings on esteemed DeFi information portals,

Current Status

NOAH engaged in an insightful AMA session related to the EOS EVM, organized by the ENF.

.

- Executed a second round distribution of \$NOAH tokens through an airdrop mechanism.
- Total liquidity on August 6, 2023 at 2:30pm UTC: USD 806.35k, Average 24-hour volume from July 8 to August 6, 2023 was USD 2.2k (source: noahark.pro)

Grants Issue found

- Delay of the Noah mining function launch.
- .
- . -

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	3.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	3.25
EOS Network Impact (10)	4.50
Community Engagement (10)	5.75
Project Delivery (10)	3.00
Total Score (50)	19.50

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade



Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	3.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	3.25
EOS Network Impact (10)	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.50
Community Engagement (10)	50.0%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	5.75
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	3.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors
Rating Source	Publicly available data
Last updated date	03/03/2023
Total Score	19.50
Final Grade	F
Final Grade	Insufficient

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score Yes Yes No No Innovation 1.50 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) 1.50 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 1.25 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 2.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 2.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 2.50 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 3.75 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10)**Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 2.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 1.25 achieve its goals?

- · The added repository only pointed to the information and overview about the project, I have updated the information and added the correct repository about the project.
- . .
- . -

Metahub

Metahub - Web Plugin Wallet

Non-Affiliated Project

.,...

Pomelo Grant URL pomelo.io/grants/metahub


Metahub is a powerful web plugin wallet developed for EOS and EOS side chains. The new version of the wallet will support as well the EOS EVM, allowing EOS users to manage EOS native and EOS EVM in one wallet.

Project Information

Grant Owner	Dasheng
Treasury	metahubraise
Website	metahub.cash/
Github	github.com/metahubwallet/metahub-core

White Paper docs.infinitydao.fi/incubation-development/metahub-wa

EOS Audit Rating



Contact & Social

Email	N/A
Twitter	@MetahubWallet (10 followers)
Telegram	t.me/MetaHub_Community (13 members)
Discord	N/A

Previous Status

- The wallet supports multiple side chains, including custom chains like EOS and WAX, with the added feature of an application whitelist.
- The unique CPU smooth mode eliminates the need for users to worry about CPU and NET, making the experience hassle-free.
- The code is open source.

.

Current Status

- On Pomelo Metahub wrote that more secure import and export features were delivered and that they used Chrome mainfest v3 and Vue3+Vite and re-wrote the wallet and open sourced it.
- The Metahub team wrote that they are working on it, that 1) EOS users can seamlessly access both EOS EVM and EOS native applications without the need to switch wallets, enabling easy integration and interaction between the
- 2) users can import ETH addresses and seamlessly use EOS wallets with ETH addresses on BSC and OEC (OKX exchange chain).
- 3) to facilitate ETH address transfers and automatically activate the address with sending \$EOS if needed.

Grants Issue found

- The wrong EOS logo is representing the EOS Community.
- .
- . .

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	4.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	2.50
EOS Network Impact (10)	2.50
Community Engagement (10)	3.25
Project Delivery (10)	3.75
Total Score (50)	16.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade



Rating Overview

0.0%	12.5%	50.0%	0.0%	4.00
0.0%	0.0%	05.00		
	0.0%	25.0%	25.0%	2.50
0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	25.0%	2.50
12.5%	12.5%	0.0%	25.0%	3.25
0.0%	37.5%	12.5%	0.0%	3.75
	12.5%	12.5% 12.5%	12.5% 12.5% 0.0%	12.5% 12.5% 0.0% 25.0%

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors			
Rating Source	Publicly available data			
Last updated date	03/03/2023			
Total Score	16.00			
Final Grade	F			
riliai Graue	Insufficient			

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score Yes No No Yes Innovation 1.50 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) 2.50 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 1.50 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 1.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 1.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 1.50 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 2.25 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10)**Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 1.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 2.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 1.75 achieve its goals?

- The EOS logo for the EOS network that is used on Metahub should be changed from the trademarked EOSIO brand logo, which is owned by block.one, to the EOS community logo.
- .
- . -

EOS Support

EOS Support - The EOS Network's Concierge!

EOS Support Affiliated 🗸

Pomelo Grant URL

https://pomelo.io/grants/rneyu

EOS Support is a community-driven customer support service that operates globally and offers multilingual assistance to EOS Network users. The platform acts as a trusted concierge, aiming to provide high-quality content and support to its users.


Project Information

Grant Owner	Randall Roland
Treasury	supportus.gm
Website	https://eossupport.io/
Github	https://github.com/EOS-Support/
White Paper	N/A

Contact & Social

Email	contact@eossupport.io
Twitter	@eossupportio (55073 followers)
Telegram	t.me/eossupportio (94 members)
Discord	-

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

EOS Support report from February 1, 2023 - March 1, 2023
EOS Support report from March 1, 2023 - April 1, 2023
EOS Support report from April 1, 2023 - May 1, 2023
• #REF!

Current Status

- EOS Support report from May 1, 2023 - June 1, 2023
- EOS Support report from June 1, 2023 - July 1, 2023
EOS Support report from July 1, 2023 - August 1, 2023
More information can be found as well in the EOS Support semi-annual report 2023

Grants Issue found

	-					
	-					
	-					

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade

Rating Overview

Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors			
Rating Source	Publicly available data			
Last updated date	03/03/2023			
Total Score	0.00			
Final Grade	F			
rillai Graue	Insufficient			

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10) **Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

•	-				
	-				
	-				

EOS Audit

EOS Audit - Transparency & Assurance

EOS Support Affiliated 🗸

Pomelo Grant URL

https://pomelo.io/grants/audit

The objective is to furnish EOS Respect and the wider EOS community with comprehensive project information to facilitate informed decision-making during the Pomelo Grants seasons. The EOS Audit Community endeavours to conduct thorough analyses, collect pertinent information, and list EOS projects along with their progress.


Project Information

Grant Owner	Dario Cesaro
Treasury	audit.spt
Website	https://help.eossupport.io/en/articles/684
Github	N/A
White Paper	N/A

Contact & Social

Email	contact@eosaudit.io
Twitter	@EOSaudit (409 followers)
Telegram	t.me/eosaudit (35 members)
Discord	-

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

- Publish EOS Audit Report #3 Q1/Q2 2023 Pomelo Season 5
- Publish EOS Audit Report #2 Q4 2022 Pomelo Season 4 EOS Support affiliated projects
- Publish EOS Audit Report #1 Q4 2022 Pomelo Season 4 top 15 projects
- Give enough project information to assist users in their decision-making during Pomelo Grants seasons.

Current Status

- Publish EOS Audit Report #4, Feb. 2023 May 2023 Pomelo Season 5
- EOS Audit Report #5
- Provide a comprehensive review and analysis of Pomelo Projects and EOS Support affiliated projects.
- Founder, process managers, and project managers have dedicated significant efforts to developing a rating system for the EOS Audit Community, which was implemented for the first time in this report.

Grants Issue found

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade



Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors
Rating Source	Publicly available data
Last updated date	03/03/2023
Total Score	0.00
Final Grade	F
rillai Graue	Insufficient

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) Feasibility 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10) **Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

•			

EVM Bridge for Unicove

Unicove: New EOS EVM Bridge

Non-Affiliated Project

Pomelo Grant URL

pomelo.io/grants/unicove

Unicove, the battle-tested web wallet, is introducing an EVM bridge for effortless token transfers between the native chain and EVM. This user-friendly enhancement simplifies engagement with EVM-based dApps and strengthens the evolving Antelope network ecosystem.


Project Information

Grant Owner	greymass
Treasury	funds.gm
Website	unicove.com
Github	github.com/greymass/unicove
White Paper	N/A

Contact & Social

Email	support@greymass.com
Twitter	@greymass (4143 followers)
Telegram	N/A
Discord	N/A

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

- Unicove is a web wallet developed by the Greymass team has been serving the community for several years.
- The community's desire for an added bridge feature was met with great enthusiasm by the Greymass team, culiminating in the Pomelo proposal.
- Greymass has extensive experience building wallets for the EOS community (Anchor wallet available for iOS, Android, and desktop and the Unicove web wallet).

Current Status

- A demo UI design for the bridge feature was shared by the team which can be viewed on the proposal now.
- The team has shared updates on their project in their Progress Update, stating that they have developed a Minimum Viable Product.
- They wrote that they have not released the MVP to the public yet as they are still working on further iterations and improvements and that they are working on integration with Wharf.

Grants Issue found

- There is no official contact on the Pomelo Grant to reach out to

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	3.75
Transparency and Trust (10)	4.50
EOS Network Impact (10)	2.50
Community Engagement (10)	3.50
Project Delivery (10)	2.25
Total Score (50)	16.50

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade



Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	12.5%	25.0%	0.0%	12.5%	3.75
Transparency and Trust (10)	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.50
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	25.0%	2.50
Community Engagement (10)	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	3.50
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	37.5%	2.25

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors
Rating Source	Publicly available data
Last updated date	03/03/2023
Total Score	16.50
Final Grade	F
Final Grade	Insufficient

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score Yes Νo No Yes Innovation 2.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) 1.75 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 2 2 5 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 2.25 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 1.50 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 1.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 2.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10)**Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 1.50 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 1.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 1.25 achieve its goals?

- Provide an official contact for the EOS Audit community to reach out to.
- . .
- . -

A-DEX

Decentralized multi-chain Antelope exchange, fully or

EOS Support Affiliated

Pomelo Grant URL

pomelo.io/grants/adex

To build open-source code for developers as an educational example and easy access to DEX.


Project Information

Grant Owner	Artem
Treasury	adex
Website	a-dex.io
Github	github.com/A-DEX
White Paper	docs.a-dex.io/about-us/master

Contact & Social

Email	support@a-dex.io			
Twitter	er <u>@a_dex_official (5 followers)</u>			
Telegram	t.me/a_dex_official (9 members)			
Discord	A-DEX (15 members)			

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

•	Development: A-DEX / eosio-contract-api (API client)
	Add more functional to Open-Source Typescript SDK
	Code quality improvements and refactoring

Current Status

A-Dex wrote on their Pomelo Grant:	
Updated Website, Fixed UI/UX bugs	
- Setup RPC nodes	
IBC Bridge (Work in progress) & IBC Bridge UX/UI Design;	

Grants Issue found

No verifiable derivables

Last update on Github was on December 22, 2022

Rating by Category

Total Score (50)	15.50
Project Delivery (10)	3.00
Community Engagement (10)	3.25
EOS Network Impact (10)	3.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	3.75
Objectives and Vision (10)	2.50

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade





Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	25.0%	2.50
Transparency and Trust (10)	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	0.0%	3.75
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	3.00
Community Engagement (10)	12.5%	12.5%	0.0%	25.0%	3.25
Project Delivery (10)	12.5%	0.0%	12.5%	25.0%	3.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors			
Rating Source	Publicly available data			
Last updated date	03/03/2023			
Total Score	15.50			
Final Grade	F			
riliai Graue	Insufficient			

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score Yes No No Yes Innovation 1 25 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) 1.25 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 2 2 5 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 1.50 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 1.50 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 1.50 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 2.25 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10)**Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 1.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 2.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 1.00 achieve its goals?

- The research findings were sourced from publicly available information. However, due to the closed-source nature of the code, not all aspects of the information could be independently verified.
- . .

Antelope Assets Collection

Antelope Assets Collection

Non-Affiliated Project

Pomelo Grant URL

pomelo.io/grants/antelopecol

 $Collection\ of\ media\ assets\ related\ to\ EOS\ EVM\ and\ Antelope\ ecosystems,\ including\ chains,\ tokens,\ wallets\ info$ and logos.


Project Information

Grant Owner	Artem (Avm07)		
Treasury	avm.gm		
Website https://github.com/A-DEX/assets/tree			
Github	https://github.com/A-DEX/assets/		
White Paper	N/A		

Contact & Social

Email contact.avm07@gmail.com				
Twitter @a_dex_official (5 followers)				
Telegram t.me/a_dex_official (9 members				
Discord	discord.gg/3jRWnX7eQs			

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

No previous status.
-

Current Status

- Implemented an inclusive inclusion of EOS Mainnet chain information, comprising relevant data and logo.
- Incorporated comprehensive data and visual representations of the Top 10 tokens on the EOS Mainnet, encompassing pertinent information and respective logos.
- Enriched the assets with comprehensive information and visual representations, featuring the most prominent wallets utilized on the EOS Mainnet, accompanied by their respective logos.
- The current assets have been made accessible and are readily available for utilization.

Rating by Category

Total Score (50)	13.25
Project Delivery (10)	2.50
Community Engagement (10)	2.25
EOS Network Impact (10)	2.25
Transparency and Trust (10)	2.75
Objectives and Vision (10)	3.50

Grants Issue found

Insufficient

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade

Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	3.50
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	2.75
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	37.5%	2.25
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	37.5%	2.25
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	37.5%	2.50

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors
Rating Source	Publicly available data
Last updated date	03/03/2023
Total Score	13.25
Final Grade	F
Final Grade	Insufficient

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score Yes No No Yes Innovation 2 25 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) 1.25 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 1.75 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 1.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 1.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 1.25 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 1.25 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10) **Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 1.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 1.50 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 1.00 achieve its goals?

-				
-				
-				

SmartWallet EVM

SmartWallet EVM

EOS Support Affiliated



Pomelo Grant URL

https://pomelo.io/grants/smartwallet

SmartWallet EVM is an innovative open-source multi-chain wallet infrastructure designed to revolutionize the way users interact with multiple blockchain networks, leveraging a unique key system and account abstraction.


Project Information

Grant Owner	Jun Dam
Treasury	eosignitejun
Website	https://pomelo.io/grants/smartwallet
Github	https://github.com/bitcashorg/smartevm
White Paper	N/A

Contact & Social

Email	jun@bitcash.org
Twitter	N/A
Telegram	N/A
Discord	N/A

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

Current Status

	Focus on SmartSaleEVM for now and keep the ongoing research on SmartWallet EVM.
	Porting over Gnosis Auction contracts over to EOS EVM.
	#REF!

The above added to the status of the project is a statement of the owner.

Grants Issue found

No related public information about the project, however. It has already been provided to the EOS Audit community by the ov
-

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade

Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors
Rating Source	Publicly available data
Last updated date	03/03/2023
Total Score	0.00
Final Grade	F
нпа Grade	Insufficient

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) Feasibility 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10)**Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

- Provide an official contact for the EOS Audit community to reach out to.
- . .
- . -

Hyperion History API

Hyperion History API - Maintenance and Operation

Non-Affiliated Project

Pomelo Grant URL

pomelo.io/grants/hyperion

History APIs on the blockchain enable real-time indexing and structuring of data for searchability. However, the challenges of throughput and block times make it difficult to efficiently serve historical information on AntelopeIO/EOSIO. Nonetheless, their availability is vital for attracting and retaining projects within the AntelopeIO/EOSIO ecosystem.


Project Information

Grant Owner	Gabriel Jardim (Igor)
Treasury	eosriobrazil
Website	https://hyperion.docs.eosrio.io/
Github	https://github.com/eosrio/hyperion-history-ap
White Paper	N/A

Contact & Social

Email	N/A
Twitter	@rioblocks (2.039 followers)
Telegram	t.me/EOSHyperion (381 members)
Discord	N/A

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

- EOS Rio presently assumes the operation and maintenance of the system, guaranteeing its continuous delivery of precise and searchable blockchain data.
- Within the Antelope ecosystem, Hyperion, developed by EOS Rio, stands as one of the most widely utilized History API solutions.
- In the latest funding season, the allocation of funds enabled the acquisition of the new Intel 13900k and AMD $\,$ 7950x, both of which are now fully operational.
- Furthermore, supplementary RAM was procured for server assembly, enhancing the infrastructure's scalability on EOS and Antelope Chains

Current Status

- Primary responsibilities encompass software maintenance and providing unwavering support to the developer community through https://t.me/EOSHyperion
- The Hyperion Hub and its accompanying SDK for automated endpoint selection are currently in the final stages of development, with an anticipated launch scheduled for Q3/2023.
- Dedicated substantial resources to develop a validation tool for providers that autonomously detects inconsistencies in the History data and rectifies them to ensure utmost accuracy and completeness of information.

EOS Audit Rating

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)

Transparency and Trust (10)

Community Engagement (10)

EOS Network Impact (10)

Project Delivery (10)

Total Score (50)

4.25

4.50

5.00

4.50

4.00

22.25

Final Grade

Grants Issue found

Rating Overview

Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	0.0%	4.25
25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.50
50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.00
25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.50
12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	0.0%	4.00
	25.0% 25.0% 50.0% 25.0%	25.0% 12.5% 25.0% 25.0% 50.0% 0.0% 25.0% 25.0%	25.0% 12.5% 12.5% 25.0% 25.0% 0.0% 50.0% 0.0% 0.0% 25.0% 25.0% 0.0%	25.0% 12.5% 0.0% 25.0% 25.0% 0.0% 0.0% 50.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 25.0% 25.0% 0.0% 0.0%

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors
Rating Source	Publicly available data
Last updated date	03/03/2023
Total Score	22.25
Final Grade	F
Final Grade	Insufficient

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 2.50 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) Feasibility 1.75 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 2.50 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 2.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 2.50 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 2.50 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 2.50 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10) **Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 2.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 2.25 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 1.75 achieve its goals?

Identified Improvable Points

· ·
· ·
· ·

SmartSale EVM

our proposed solution, detailed product features, a ro

EOS Support Affiliated 🗸

Pomelo Grant URL

https://pomelo.io/grants/smartsale

an EVM version of the Gnosis Smart Contract, specifically focusing on the Dutch Auction


Project Information

Grant Owner	merivercap
Treasury	eosignitejun
Website	N/A
Github	github.com/bitcashorg/
White Paper	N/A

Contact & Social

Email	N/A
Twitter	N/A
Telegram	N/A
Discord	N/A

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

- dBoard (Scheduled to launch on EOS 7/4/23)
- EOS Community Key Recovery(Newchat smart contract and proof-of-concept)
- . .
- . -

Current Status

- The core team and partners who are building the bitcashBank DeFi wallet and P2P fiat-to-crypto onramp app
- . .
- . .
- . .

Grants Issue found

- There is no official contact on the Pomelo Grant to reach out to.
- .
- . .

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade



Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors	
Rating Source	Publicly available data	
Last updated date	03/03/2023	
Total Score	0.00	
Final Grade	F	
Final Grade	Insufficient	

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) Feasibility 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10)**Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

- Provide an official contact for the EOS Audit community to reach out to.
- . .
- . -

GameFi #1

Pink cat eating papaya

It will be an upgradeable meta universe chain tour!

Non-Affiliated Project

Pomelo Grant URL

pomelo.io/grants/tiip

Pink cat eating Papaya will be a small game. On the papaya cat star in the other world, everyone will have magic pet cats connected with their own life elements. The main food of these cats is papaya.


Project Information

Grant Owner	rowney
Treasury	rowney.pink
Website	pinkme.club/
Github	N/A
White Paper	N/A

Contact & Social

Email	954290185@qq.com
Twitter	@PinkMeow_ (17 fowollers)
Telegram	t.me/tiippink (39 members)
Discord	discord.gg/4PS9KZ2h

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

- Completion of game scene and -images for the game, marking the official start of the development phase. The front-end and contract code writing is currently underway.
- A preliminary deck plan has been produced.

Current Status

- The UI department has initiated the hand-drawing process for the game's cat protagonist and commenced the design of the primary game interface.
- Presented the main interface and individual layer interface images of the game to the community, highlighting their unique features.
- Uploaded the game to the test network for static testing and evaluation.

Grants Issue found

- Discord link on the Pomelo Grant is not working and there is no official contact on the Pomelo Grant to reach out to
- They wrote on their Pomelo Grant that the developement slowed down due to various difficulties.

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	4.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	2.50
EOS Network Impact (10)	2.75
Community Engagement (10)	3.25
Project Delivery (10)	3.75
Total Score (50)	16.25

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade





Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	0.0%	4.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	25.0%	2.50
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	37.5%	12.5%	2.75
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	3.25
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	37.5%	12.5%	0.0%	3.75

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors	
Rating Source	Publicly available data	
Last updated date	03/03/2023	
Total Score	16.25	
Final Grade	F	
rinai Grade	Insufficient	

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score Yes No No Yes Innovation 2 2 5 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) 1.75 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 1.50 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 1.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 1.50 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 1.25 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 2.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10)**Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 1.25 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 2.00 achieve its goals?

- Provide an official contact for the EOS Audit community to reach out to.
- . .
- . -

GameFi #2

NEWChat

Open-sourced GameFi dApp for private & public mes:

EOS Support Affiliated 🗸

Pomelo Grant URL

pomelo.io/grants/newchat

NewChat's communication protocol allows you to connect and chat in a private or public manner in any game.


Project Information

Grant Owner	Artem
Treasury	avm.gm
Website	newchat.app
Github	github.com/New-Chat
White Paper	-

Contact & Social

Email contact.avm07@gmail.com		
Twitter	@NewChatOfficial (5 Followers)	
Telegram	t.me/NewChatApp (30 members)	
Discord	N/A	

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

- npm SDK, NewChat, and the SDK Source Code are available.
- The NewChat application is under development, operating on the Jungle4 Testnet. https://jungle4.newchat.app/
- The alpha version of the web app has been released.
- .

Current Status

- Developer are waiting matching funds payment from Pomelo team.
- Sending encrypted files using IPFS
- Sending core chain token
- Settings Node selection & Hyperion selection & Settings Decryption Keys managing

Grants Issue found

- No verifiable derivables
- Last update about the project on 12 Jan, 2023
- 207 days without development

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade



Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors			
Rating Source	Publicly available data			
Last updated date	03/03/2023			
Total Score	0.00			
Final Grade	F			
нпа Grade	Insufficient			

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) Feasibility 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10)**Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

- Checking public information, no progress can be verified. The information provided by the owner in the current status section is a statement by the owner. In our extensive research, we have
- .
- . .

GameFi #3

NFT Game Stats

NFT Game Stats - reveal hidden mechanics of your N

Non-Affiliated Project

Pomelo Grant URL

pomelo.io/grants/nftgamestats

NFT Game Stats aims to create a user-friendly app supporting EOS GameFi projects, simplifying NFT interactions, Explore AtomicHub marketplace, reveal hidden mechanics, and understand essence, rarity, mining power, blending results, and exp of NFT projects which in turn empower the community to navigate the growing NFT space effortlessly.


Project Information

Grant Owner	Yevhen Haievyi
Treasury	geydknrqg4ge
Website	nft-game-stats.com
Github	N/A
White Paper	N/A

Contact & Social

Email	N/A		
Twitter @SoA_432 (501 followers)			
Telegram	t.me/nft_game_stats (81 members)		
Discord	N/A		

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

As per the grant owner the funds from previous season was used for : Frontend and Backend development IPFS gateway and backend hosting and cloud functions

Current Status

- As per the grant owner the funds from previous season was used for :
- Developeing their own backend to keep mining power up-to-date (Dungeon Master) and increase website performance, Reworked mobile version of app, New private IPFS gateway for displaying NFTs
- Complex filters by mining power / collections names with ability to simplify views (hide NFT previews) for more friendly interacting with app
- New section "Pomelo" here you can select acceptable filters to know which NFTs can be used at Pomelo. "My inventory (EOS)" - now displays "set as pomelo avatar" button if you got acceptable NFTs

Grants Issue found

- The EOS side of the project is currently down due to the sudden changes in policy of AtomicHub API on EOS. Owner is looki
- All other functions of the website are online and active.

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade



Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors			
Rating Source	Publicly available data			
Last updated date	03/03/2023			
Total Score	0.00			
Final Grade	F			
rillai Graue	Insufficient			

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) Feasibility 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10) **Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

•	-			
	-			
	-			

GameFi #4

Frogge Cryptoland

Frogge Cryptoland, multiplayer adventure on EOSEVI

Pomelo Grant URL

pomelo.io/grants/frogge

An immersive EOSEVM-based multiplayer game that combines classic video gameplay with "play to earn" mechanics. It offers a virtual world for players to compete and win airdropped tokens, coins, and NFTs with NES/SNES vibes.


Project Information

Grant Owner	Esteban Saa
Treasury	bloks.io/account/trustswap111
Website	play.frogge.finance
Github	N/A
White Paper	N/A

Contact & Social

Email	-
Twitter	@froggefinance (90 followers)
Telegram	t.me/froggefinance
Discord	N/A

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

•	Proof of Concept exhibited at play.frogge.finance:3001/
	-
	-

Current Status

No verifiable progress was found.
Owner stated in public that he is waiting for the Pomelo grant claim for funding design work. (https://t.me/eosevm/16548)

Grants Issue found

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade



Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors
Rating Source	Publicly available data
Last updated date	03/03/2023
Total Score	0.00
Final Grade	F
riliai Graue	Insufficient

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) Feasibility 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10)**Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

Everything EOS #1

EOS Ecosystem Dashboard

Non-Affiliated Project

Pomelo Grant URL

pomelo.io/grants/eosdashboard

The EOS ecosystem dashboard, created by created by Prime Rating, will provide a detailed and easy-to-navigate overview of all relevant EOS & EOS EVM projects to improve ecosystem growth and coordination. Backed by a collective of 60+ seasoned analysts, vetting over 200 projects across 4 blockchains since 2021, this platform offers comprehensive insights.


Project Information

Grant Owner	Luuk Prime
Treasury	bloks.io/account/primeratings
Website	primedao.webflow.io/prime-rating-app-eos
Github	N/A
White Paper	N/A

Contact & Social

Email	N/A
Twitter	@Prime_Rating (273 followers)
Telegram	t.me/primedao (601 members)
Discord	N/A

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

At the time of applying for Pomelo the team had created a template for EOS projects and a demo report on HyphaDAO using it.
The team also mapped the first 20 EOS projects.
-

Current Status

	The dashboard is populated with 12 EOS projects and detailed reports are available for them.
	-
	-

Grants Issue found

There is no official contact on the Pomelo Grant to reach out to. Discord link to https://discord.gg/primedao is not valid.

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade



Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors
Rating Source	Publicly available data
Last updated date	03/03/2023
Total Score	0.00
Final Grade	F
Final Grade	Insufficient

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) Feasibility 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10)**Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

- Provide an official contact for the EOS Audit community to reach out to.
- . .
- . -

Everything EOS #2

Social Recovery in the Recover+ Portal

Recover + project related emergency reaction platfor

EOS Support Affiliated 🗸

Pomelo Grant URL

pomelo.io/grants/socialrecovr

Recover+ (R+) is a cyber-security portal and rapid incident response program for EOS DeFi projects. It offers a formalized process for recovering stolen funds in the event of a hack. This allows project developers on EOS to opt-in and gain access to valuable tools and information, and BPs to take action quickly and confidently to recover compromised funds.


Project Information

Grant Owner	Francis Sangkuan
Treasury	ha4diobxgmge
Website	eosrecover.com
Github	github.com/EOSRecover/recover_tools
White Paper	N/A

Contact & Social

Email	N/A
Twitter	N/A
Telegram	N/A
Discord	N/A

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

Pomelo Season promise and it is at least partly functional.
-

Current Status

- The team is promising a vault monitor to be added in season 6 to detect abnormal transactions in registered R+ projects and send alerts to Telegram channels.
- The Pomelo grant will be used for development and server costs.
- There are no official channels for communication and hence the progress on this is not clear.

Grants Issue found

- There is no official contact on the Pomelo Grant to reach out to and the contact links on the website are not working.

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade



Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors
Rating Source	Publicly available data
Last updated date	03/03/2023
Total Score	0.00
Final Grade	F
rillai Graue	Insufficient

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) Feasibility 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10)**Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

- Provide an official contact for the EOS Audit community to reach out to.
- It would be useful when the backup and the recovery would have a step by step description for the end users how to use it when needed (including as well how the guardians can set a ne
- · The Pomelo grant title is not updated from last season to reflect the work proposed in this season, namely adding a vault monitor to R+ portal that covers registered projects.

Everything EOS #3

DAOBOX

A powerful & open source governance tool

EOS Support Affiliated 🗸

Pomelo Grant URL

pomelo.io/grants/daobox

An open source governance tool aiming to change the landscape of EOS governance


Project Information

Grant Owner	DAO BOX
Treasury	dev.daobox
Website	daobox.vip/
Github	github.com/eosdaobox
White Paper	N/A

Contact & Social

Email	N/A
Twitter	N/A
Telegram	N/A
Discord	N/A

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

- Basic UI developed, Internal test map of DAOBOX governance space and proposal voting
 Basic smart contract developed
 -
- **Current Status**

Currently, DAO BOX is reevaluating its development due to the many changes in EOS.
-
-

Grants Issue found

- There is no official contact on the Pomelo Grant to reach out to.
 -
- . .

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade

Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors	
Rating Source	Publicly available data	
Last updated date	03/03/2023	
Total Score	0.00	
Final Grade	F	
rillai Graue	Insufficient	

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) Feasibility 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10)**Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

- Provide an official contact for the EOS Audit community to reach out to.
- . .
- . -

Everything EOS #4

eoseyes

A lean, efficient, fast, and easy-to-use multi-chain blo

EOS Support Affiliated

Pomelo Grant URL

pomelo.io/grants/eoseyes

A multi-chain block explorer that supports searching for EOS block transactions, accounts, keys, tokens, prices, contracts, account tracking, key generation, HTTP mock requests, and more.


Project Information

Grant Owner	defiob
Treasury	eoseyes.com
Website	eoseyes.com/
Github	github.com/defiob/eoseyes
White Paper	N/A

Contact & Social

Email	i@defiob.com
Twitter	N/A
Telegram	t.me/eoseye
Discord	N/A

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

- Average net visitors per day increased to 4680, up from 3000 in the previous quarter.
- Node version updated.
- Navigation page new URL added.
- Adding online chat support (EOS Support)

Current Status

- Currently, the project is mainly focused on maintenance as the functionality has already been completed.

Grants Issue found

- There is no official contact on the Pomelo Grant to reach out to.

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade



Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors
Rating Source	Publicly available data
Last updated date	03/03/2023
Total Score	0.00
Final Grade	F
Final Grade	Insufficient

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) Feasibility 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10)**Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

- Provide an official contact for the EOS Audit community to reach out to.
- . .
- . -

Everything EOS #5

PINKERS DREAM-

Pledging EOS creates more revenue opportunities an

EOS Support Affiliated 🗸

Pomelo Grant URL

pomelo.io/grants/pinkertoken

PKDAO is the DAO organization of the Pink Dream Manor project, which has been operating on the EOS ecosystem for 3 years. DAOSwap is a decentralized exchange that allows users to trade freely on the chain. As a DeFi infrastructure, DAOSwap is open to everyone.


Project Information

Grant Owner	rowney
Treasury	pinkeosfund1
Website	pinkdream.io/
Github	github.com/eosdaoswap
White Paper	pinkdream.io/whitepaper.pdf

Contact & Social

Email	954290185@qq.com
Twitter	@pinkdreammanor (106 followers)
Telegram	t.me/pkdao_cn (73 members)
Discord	N/A

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

- The EOS Staking protocol has been added to pinkswap
- DAOSWAP is a compliant project on Yield+, which has passed the audit of the Foundation's accredited sentnl.io

Current Status

Grants Issue found

- The given GitHub repo doesn't contain any open source code.

Rating by Category

Total Score (50)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade



Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors
Rating Source	Publicly available data
Last updated date	03/03/2023
Total Score	0.00
Final Grade	F
Final Grade	Insufficient

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) Feasibility 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10)**Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

Community Wish #1

EOS EVM Multi-siç

Non-Affiliated Project	
Pomelo Grant URL	-
-	


Project Information

Grant Owner	-
Treasury	-
Website	-
Github	-
White Paper	-

Contact & Social

Email	-
Twitter	-
Telegram	-
Discord	-

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

• -			

Current Status

-				
-				
-				

Grants Issue found

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade

Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors
Rating Source	Publicly available data
Last updated date	03/03/2023
Total Score	0.00
Final Grade	F
rillai Graue	Insufficient

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) Feasibility 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10)**Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

47

Community Wish #2

LikeEOS Russian EOS Community

Community for Russian EOS Users

Non-Affiliated Project

Pomelo Grant URL

pomelo.io/grants/likeeosrussi

Inform the Russian EOS community about the EOS ecosystem, fight FUD, help newcomers, and attract new members.


Project Information

Grant Owner	Cryptozhenek	
Treasury	likeeosdonat	
Website	likeeos.ru	
Github	N/A	
White Paper	N/A	

Contact & Social

Email	N/A
Twitter	@likeeosru (265 Followers)
Telegram	t.me/LikeEOS (302 Members)
Discord	N/A

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

- Translated EOS news into Russian and delivered it to Telegram and YouTube channels.
- After launching the website, Uploaded articles, news, and videos about EOS.
- Preparing for a YouTube show with EOS Synergy and EOS Support.
- . -

Current Status

- Continue to translate EOS News into Russian and provide it on website, Twitter, and Telegram.
- . .
- . .
- . .

Grants Issue found

- . .
- .
- . .

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade



Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors
Rating Source	Publicly available data
Last updated date	03/03/2023
Total Score	0.00
Final Grade	F
riliai Graue	Insufficient

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) Feasibility 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10)**Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

Community Wish #3

EOS TURKEY YOUTUBE CHANNEL

Non-Affiliated Project	
------------------------	--

Pomelo Grant URL

pomelo.io/grants/eosturkeyyou

EOS Turkey is a YouTube channel that aims to introduce the EOS ecosystem to Turkey and to inform about EOS developments.


Project Information

Grant Owner	Recep Kılıç	
Treasury	recep.gm	
Website	youtube.com/@eosturkiye (361 subscribers)	
Github	N/A	
White Paper	N/A	

Contact & Social

Email	N/A
Twitter	@EosTurkiye (2,072 followers)
Telegram	t.me/Eosturkiyetoplulugu (189 members)
Discord	N/A

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

- Welcoming Genzo, a well-known trader in Turkey, to their YouTube channel.
- Collaborating with Turkish influencer Luga to promote EOS EVM in the Turkish community.
- Contributing to the introduction of Defibox and Neutroswap through influencers.
- Increasing their viewership numbers considerably compared to the previous seasons according to data given at Pomelo.

Current Status

•	Released a video which talk about current crypto landscape, SEC, EOS and so on.
	-

Rating by Category

Total Score (50)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00

Grants Issue found

· ·

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade

Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors
Rating Source	Publicly available data
Last updated date	03/03/2023
Total Score	0.00
Final Grade	F
Final Grade	Insufficient

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) Feasibility 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10) **Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

•	-			
	-			
•	-			


Non-Affiliated Project
Pomelo Grant URL -

Grant Owner	-
Treasury	-
Website	-
Github	-
White Paper	-

Contact & Social

Email	-
Twitter	-
Telegram	-
Discord	-

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

Current Status

Grants Issue found

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade

Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors
Rating Source	Publicly available data
Last updated date	03/03/2023
Total Score	0.00
Final Grade	F
rillai Graue	Insufficient

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) Feasibility 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10) **Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

•	-				
	-				
	-				


Pomelo Grant URL -	Non-Affiliated Project
	Pomelo Grant URL -

Grant Owner	-
Treasury	-
Website	-
Github	-
White Paper	-

Contact & Social

Email	-	
Twitter	-	
Telegram	-	
Discord	-	

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

Current Status

Grants Issue found

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade

Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors				
Rating Source	Publicly available data				
Last updated date	03/03/2023				
Total Score	0.00				
Final Grade	F				
rillai Grade	Insufficient				

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) Feasibility 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10) **Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

•	-			
	-			
•	-			


<u>-</u>	Non-Affiliated Project
	Pomelo Grant URL -
-	
-	

Grant Owner	-
Treasury	-
Website	-
Github	-
White Paper	-

Contact & Social

Email	-
Twitter	-
Telegram	-
Discord	-

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

Current Status

-				
-				
-				

Grants Issue found

•						
•	-					
	-					

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade

Rating Overview

	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
	0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors		
Rating Source	Publicly available data		
Last updated date	03/03/2023		
Total Score	0.00		
Final Grade	F		
rillai Graue	Insufficient		

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10) **Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

• •	


Pomelo Grant URL -	<u> </u>	Non-Affiliated Project
		Pomelo Grant URL -
	-	
	-	•

Grant Owner	-
Treasury	-
Website	-
Github	-
White Paper	-

Contact & Social

Email	-	
Twitter	-	
Telegram	-	
Discord	-	

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

			
· ·			

Current Status

Grants Issue found

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade

Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors		
Rating Source	Publicly available data		
Last updated date	03/03/2023		
Total Score	0.00		
Final Grade	F		
rillai Grade	Insufficient		

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) Feasibility 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10) **Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

Identified Improvable Points

59


Non-Affiliated Project
Pomelo Grant URL -

Grant Owner	-
Treasury	-
Website	-
Github	-
White Paper	-

Contact & Social

Email	-
Twitter	-
Telegram	-
Discord	-

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

Current Status

Grants Issue found

-		
-		
 -		

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade

Rating Overview

Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
	0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors
Rating Source	Publicly available data
Last updated date	03/03/2023
Total Score	0.00
Final Grade	F
rillai Graue	Insufficient

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10) **Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

-	
-	


-	Non-Affiliated Project
	Pomelo Grant URL -
<u> </u>	

Grant Owner	-
Treasury	-
Website	-
Github	-
White Paper	-

Contact & Social

Email	-
Twitter	-
Telegram	-
Discord	-

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

• -			

Current Status

Grants Issue found

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade

Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors
Rating Source	Publicly available data
Last updated date	03/03/2023
Total Score	0.00
Final Grade	F
rillai Graue	Insufficient

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) Feasibility 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10) **Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

-	
-	
-	


-	Non-Affiliated Project
	Pomelo Grant URL -
-	
-	-

Grant Owner	-
Treasury	-
Website	-
Github	-
White Paper	-

Contact & Social

Email	-
Twitter	-
Telegram	-
Discord	-

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

Current Status

Grants Issue found

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade

Rating Overview

0.0%				
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
	0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors	
Rating Source	Publicly available data	
Last updated date	03/03/2023	
Total Score	0.00	
Final Grade	F	
Final Grade	Insufficient	

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10) **Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?


Pomelo Grant URL -		Non-Affiliated Project
		Pomelo Grant URL -
	-	
	-	•

Grant Owner	-
Treasury	-
Website	-
Github	-
White Paper	-

Contact & Social

Email	-	
Twitter	-	
Telegram	-	
Discord	-	

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

Current Status

Grants Issue found

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade

Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors
Rating Source	Publicly available data
Last updated date	03/03/2023
Total Score	0.00
Final One de	F
Final Grade	Insufficient

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) Feasibility 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10) **Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

-	
-	
-	


<u>-</u>	Non-Affiliated Project	
	Pomelo Grant URL -	
-		
-		

Grant Owner	-
Treasury	-
Website	-
Github	-
White Paper	-

Contact & Social

Email	-
Twitter	-
Telegram	-
Discord	-

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

Current Status

Grants Issue found

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade

Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors			
Rating Source	Publicly available data			
Last updated date	03/03/2023			
Total Score	0.00			
Final Grade	F			
Final Grade	Insufficient			

Rating Card

Category	Rating Program	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and	Innovation Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network?					0.00
Vision (10)	Feasibility Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified?					0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	Team Transparency Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team members be obtained without restrictions?					0.00
	Progress Tracking Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other social media platforms?					0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	Community Infrastructure Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a common vision can come together and collaborate?					0.00
	Collaboration and Synergy Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects)					0.00
Community	Open Channel Establishment Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide feedback for the project?					0.00
Engagement (10)	Proactive Outreach Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions?					0.00
Project Delivery (10)	Deliverables Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects)					0.00
	Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not achieve its goals?					0.00

	-	
٠		


<u>-</u>	Non-Affiliated Project
	Pomelo Grant URL -
-	
-	

Grant Owner	-
Treasury	-
Website	-
Github	-
White Paper	-

Contact & Social

Email	-
Twitter	-
Telegram	-
Discord	-

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

Current Status

Grants Issue found

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade

Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors			
Rating Source	Publicly available data			
Last updated date	03/03/2023			
Total Score	0.00			
Final Grade	F			
rillai Graue	Insufficient			

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) Feasibility 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10)**Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?


<u>-</u>	Non-Affiliated Project
	Pomelo Grant URL -
-	
-	

Grant Owner	-
Treasury	-
Website	-
Github	-
White Paper	-

Contact & Social

Email	-
Twitter	-
Telegram	-
Discord	-

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

Current Status

Rating by Category

0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00

Grants Issue found

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade

Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors
Rating Source	Publicly available data
Last updated date	03/03/2023
Total Score	0.00
Final Grade	F
rillai Graue	Insufficient

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10) **Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

•	-			
	-			
	-			


Non-Affiliated Project
Pomelo Grant URL -
-

Grant Owner	-
Treasury	-
Website	-
Github	-
White Paper	-

Contact & Social

Email	-
Twitter	-
Telegram	-
Discord	-

EOS Audit Rating



Previous Status

Current Status

• •	

Grants Issue found

Rating by Category

Objectives and Vision (10)	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.00
Total Score (50)	0.00

EOS Audit Rating

Final Grade

Rating Overview

Category	Hard Yes	Soft Yes	Soft No	Hard No	Score
Objectives and Vision (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Transparency and Trust (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
EOS Network Impact (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Community Engagement (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Project Delivery (10)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00

Rating Information

Distribution Table

Participants	4 Auditors		
Rating Source	Publicly available data		
Last updated date	03/03/2023		
Total Score	0.00		
Final Grade	F		
rillai Graue	Insufficient		

Rating Card

Hard Soft Soft Hard Category **Rating Program** Score No No Yes Yes Innovation 0.00 Does the project bring a new or original idea not previously available on the EOS Network? Objectives and Vision (10) Feasibility 0.00 Is the project's objective and Roadmap for achieving their final goals identified? **Team Transparency** Can access to Team information, contact details, websites, GitHub, social media, of project team 0.00 members be obtained without restrictions? Transparency and Trust (10) **Progress Tracking** Does the project periodically communicate reports and milestones on public channels and other 0.00 social media platforms? **Community Infrastructure** Does the project promote forming a self-governing community where people who share a 0.00 common vision can come together and collaborate? **EOS Network** Impact (10) **Collaboration and Synergy** Does the project engage in strategic partnerships and alliances with other organizations, 0.00 communities, or chains? (Hard No for new projects) **Open Channel Establishment** Are there accessible official channels where people can freely gather to discuss and provide 0.00 feedback for the project? Community Engagement (10) **Proactive Outreach** Are specific mechanisms in place to encourage and facilitate meaningful participation, feedback, 0.00 and opinions through regular meetings, workshops, surveys, or AMA sessions? Deliverables 0.00 Has the project produced clear deliverables per Roadmap? (Hard No for new projects) **Project** Delivery (10) Accountability of Results Is there described plans to ensure the responsibility of acquired funds when the project can not 0.00 achieve its goals?

. .

Identified Improvable Points

. .