

Module 2 Lecture - Psychological Research

Introductory Psychology

Quinton Quagliano, M.S., C.S.P

Department of Psychology

Tá	able of Contents
1	Overview and Introduction21.1 Textbook Learning Objectives21.2 Instructor Learning Objectives21.3 Introduction2
2	Why is Research Important32.1 Introduction32.2 Use of Research Information42.3 Not Just Western Researchers42.4 The Process of Scientific Research5
3	Approaches to Research 6 3.1 Introduction 6 3.2 Clinical or Case Studies 6 3.3 Naturalistic Observation 7 3.4 Surveys 7 3.5 Archival Research 8 3.6 Longitudinal and Cross-Sectional Research 9
4	Analyzing Findings94.1 Introduction94.2 Correlational Research104.3 Correlation Does Not Indicate Causation104.4 Illusory Correlations114.5 Causality: Conducting Experiments and Using the Data114.6 The Experimental Hypothesis114.7 Designing an Experiment114.8 Independent and Dependent Variables124.9 Selecting and Assigning Experimental Participants134.10 Issues to Consider134.11 Interpreting Experimental Findings144.12 Reporting Research144.13 Reliability and Validity15
5	Ethics155.1 Introduction155.2 Research Involving Human Participants155.3 Research Involving Animal Subjects16
6	Conclusion 16 6.1 Recap

1 Overview and Introduction

1.1 Textbook Learning Objectives

- Explain how scientific research addresses questions about behavior
- · Discuss how scientific research guides public policy
- · Appreciate how scientific research can be important in making personal decisions
- Describe the different research methods used by psychologists
- Discuss the strengths and weaknesses of case studies, naturalistic observation, surveys, and archival research
- · Compare longitudinal and cross-sectional approaches to research
- Compare and contrast correlation and causation
- Explain what a correlation coefficient tells us about the relationship between variables
- Recognize that correlation does not indicate a cause-and-effect relationship between variables
- Discuss our tendency to look for relationships between variables that do not really exist
- Explain random sampling and assignment of participants into experimental and control groups
- Discuss how experimenter or participant bias could affect the results of an experiment
- Identify independent and dependent variables

in the research process, and the

- Discuss how research involving human subjects is regulated
- · Summarize the processes of informed consent and debriefing
- Explain how research involving animal subjects is regulated

1.2 Instructor Learning Objectives

- Understand the critical role research plays in solidifying psychology as a science
- Understand the pitfalls and dangers of unethical research

As we learned in the last module, many psychologists have attempted to

• Be able to identify the core components and features of a described research design

1.3 Introduction

decisions

	7.6 We rearried in the last module, many psychologists have attempted to
	different phenomena, via many different perspectives and methods
•	Research is not a straightforward, set process, but rather, a set of very
	and mindful decisions about what we are investigating, and what is the best way to do it
	- This module is all about introducing us to the many decisions we can make

that follow from these

Discuss: Have you ever heard from someone, or the news: 'The research says'? Try to think of or find an example of one of the things you've heard previously, and speculate on whether it was true

2 Why is Research Important

2.1 Introduction

 What makes us trust an idea of the control Do we rely on the 	or claim? or possible expertise of who is talking
Do we rely on our	or "gut feeling"?
Do we rely on our own	experience?
 The above options may sound prone to error and fallacies - o 	okay, especially in our everyday life, but are especially in in logic
	ly on empiricism , or the rigorous
and measurement of a phenor	
– But	the internal mind is rather difficult
	ogists discussed previously rejected the notion that ne internal mind, who was one of these naysayers?
A) Skinner	
B) Freud	
C) Wundt	
D) Rogers	
Explanation:	

2	2	lise o	f Resea	arch In	formation
∠.		USE U	II NESE	21 GH HH	IVIIIIAUVII

2.2 Ose of Nesearch informatio	11
There has been a	of available information, via the internet and
even scientific journals - However, that situation has bee and make sense of a very	n made in increasingly difficult to wade through body of research
1 Important	
Even for someone like myself, who loves through and understand!	s research, it can still be really tough to wade
Generally, we should start from the	perspective of healthy
when we hear a claim or idea	
Sometimes a matter of just ask Another weeful mindest "Trust	
 Another, useful mindset, "Trust, When we examine evidence, we show 	
	uld look for or, put another of evidence to see if most studies point in the
same direction	of evidence to see if most studies point in the
	on of parsing out facts , from opinions when we
consume research and media	
Facts are what we can	and confidently know and observe
	pjective judgements and claims - and the world
has a lot more opinions that fac	
 If a fact is true, it should be som 	ething that can be readily
? Which of the following can likely be in	ndependently verified as a fact?
A) I am the smartest person I know	W
B) It seems like it will storm tomor	row
C) It is 80 degrees outside right no	OW
D) I am probably going to get an (
Explanation:	
Ελριατιατίστι.	

2.3 Not Just Western Researchers

• There have been very many, researchers, far too many to just cover in this presentation (or class) - we'll be consistently revising imminent theorists

during the course, and discussing their findings

- Many of the earliest prominent ______ psychologists were covered in the previous module, but we should also highlight how psychology research and science developed outside the Western world.
 - For example, in South America, Horatio Pinero, and in India, Guanmudian David Boaz, both established the first formalized psychology research labs in their respective geographic areas.
 - Having broader representation among researchers of different
 and parts of the word lend more nuance and complexity to how we understand
 the pervasiveness of certain theories.
- Keep this in mind as we continue to introduce new names into the mix!

2.4 The Process of Scientific Research

•	The	of scientific reasoning can ta	ke two different forms, induc-
	tive and dependent reason observation came first	ning, which comes from wheth	er the hypothesis or empirical
•	Inductive Reasoning is	when an	observation turns into a
	hypothesis, but deductive		hypothesis is
	followed by empirical obs - Example of inductiv	ervations e reasoning: I saw several tu	urtles laying on a rock in the

- Example of inductive reasoning: I saw several turties laying on a rock in the sun, I suspect that they enjoy the heat
- Example of deductive reasoning: I suspect that cats can see ghosts, so I watch their observations closely to see if I can detect and presences

Important

Like many of the differing perspective presented in this class, neither form of reasoning is 'worse' for scientific research, but we should be mindful of what we can conclude based upon one or the other

- However, its pretty common to the deductive approach in psychological research, starting with a theory, moving to a hypothesis, and gathering data to determine if that hypothesis holds weight, and then analyzing the data gathered to refute or support the original hypothesis.
- But, hypotheses should be **falsifiable**, which means that they can be shown to be incorrect
 - In a sense, science should be readily ______, and upfront acknowledge we may be wrong

 This is where Freud (and many other early theorists) got tripped up - many produced hypotheses that had no good way to firmly test whether it was true or not

3 Approaches to Research

3.1 Introduction

•	There's a lot of ways to	a hypothesis and gather data, each
	with their own distinct pros and cons -	the following section will give details on a
	subset of methodologies	

• These different approaches may be called research ______, and there are many more than we can cover here.

Important

Methodology is often decided mostly by what claim we are trying to make, and with what limitations. Bold claims require equally bold and robust evidence!

3.2 Clinical or Case Studies

Clinical/case studies are done on small groups or even single individuals with or rare situations not easily created or otherwise observed

 Hypothetical example: A neurologist carefully observes someone with "Alien hand syndrome" and extensively documents their ability to accomplish motor tasks.

 Their _____ comes from a very limited generalizability, basically, it's hard to say much about a larger group of people, based on these case studies
 Why focus on so few individuals when the conclusions from such a small group may be _____?

 They provide _____ amount of information about specific cases, and because of that, can reveal otherwise obscured nuances

Discuss: Try coming up with your own example of interesting!	of a case study that you might find
3.3 Naturalistic Observation	
Naturalistic observation is, as the name sugand recording information cesses, without directly interacting with the subject of the particular store in the mall	n about natural behaviors and pro-
This method is especially useful in be learned by watching their behaviors when no	research, where much can ot in contact with humans.
Doing this well hinges on being un-intrusive and "books to not assidentally change the behavior of the	
 as to not accidentally change the behavior of the referred to as observer effects 	things you are observing, something
 We also run into observer bias, where a may accidentally bias their observation and me- certain outcome. This can be partially controlled 	I for by:
- Taking inter-rater and vations	d comparing multiple sets of obser-
 By blinding the observers to the exact hypo By having clear codebooks that leave less 	-
 Another weakness of this strategy is that there is that the researcher has over the situation, meani or interpretable 	
3.4 Surveys	
 Surveys are questionnaires or or ask for responses to certain prompts. Example: like the perspectives survey I had 	that ask a series of questions d all of you all do!

 Benefits 	
 Easy to create and 	to relatively large groups, compared
to the previously discussed meth	ods - especially when done via the internet
 This larger sample usually lends 	to more generalizability
than case studies or naturalistic o	observation
 Weaknesses 	
 Not able to collect as 	information on each participant, due
to the naturally limited questions	•
	esponding from participants, if they feel that
they should respond a certain wa	ıy.
, ,	I see swimming in a pool at different times e where they do not see me. This is best
A) A survey	
B) None of these	
C) A case study	
D) Naturalistic observation	
,	
Explanation:	
3.5 Archival Research	
Archival research involves gathering	g data that already exists, sometimes from a
•	data collection effort for a purpose other than
research	and the property of the proper
 A lot of data already exists, such as 	s health information in charts, or
data	·
 In this research it is very "easy" to 	the data, due to not needing
to have any new participants	
	only certain individuals, especially in the case
of potentially sensitive data (e.g.,	·
But the data is still	to the problems in the original collection or
research, and the new analysis will sti	ll be subject to those flaws and issues
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ata was problematic, it may still pose an issue
for any conclusion from later arch	·

3.6 Longitudinal and Cross-Sectional Research

• Longitudinal Research is done when da	9 ,			
viduals over a period of time, repeatedly				
 These are particularly useful when try in the long-term, like in 	ing to parse out small or complex changes tracking and treatment			
 However, they are very time as attrition (i.e., drop-out from the st Cross-sectional Research, on the other has time between two separate groups This is much easier to accomplish, and longitudinal studies But, without the change over time 	,			
? Which of the following subfields do you s search?	suspect often employs longitudinal re-			
A) Cognitive B) Behavioral C) Biopsychology D) Developmental Explanation:				

4 Analyzing Findings

4.1 Introduction

•	So now we've given a	bunch of ways to	gather data, but	t what do we actually	do with
	it?!				

•	Much like our actual research	discussed above, how we ana-
	lyze the data is important in what conclusions we ca	an draw.

⁻ Sidebar: We are only going to touch on the analysis methods here - there is much more out there

4.2 Correlational Research

Correlation is a measure of how much (or how little) two or more variables a to one another.			
 While there are several ways to the most common is called Pearson's product-mome short. This is a numeric value from -1 to 1 that indica a relationship between two variables. 			
 A positive correlation suggest that as one variable the other, whereas a negative correlation means the other tends to decrease. 	, so does that as one variable increases,		
• A r value closer to 1 or -1 suggests a "stronger" relat more closely related, and a r close to 0 implies little	-		
? If I have an r of 0.01 between number of hours of sleethis be described?	ep and test score, how could		
A) Strong negative relationship B) Strong positive relationship C) Modest positive relationship D) No relationship Explanation:			
 Correlation is a well-established and extraordinarily can have some trickiness in how we interpret it 4.3 Correlation Does Not Indicate Causation 			
A easy mistake to make when	two variables is believing that		
one causes the other, especially if it seems intuitive.	_		
 Basically, a correlation alone is never going to 	establish		
 cause-and-effect between two or more variable However, we must be careful to not 	es a causal effect, especially		
as there could be confounding variables that comp the two we correlated			
 Even if we don't have a clear 	of what might be confounding		
the relationship, we cannot automatically assur	ne we are in the clear.		

 Example: Energy level is positively correlated with mental health, therefore high Energy level causes better mental health... WRONG! There could be many explanations

4.4	Illusory	Corre	lations
-----	----------	--------------	---------

- Illusory correlations are those that we intuition or pre-scientific belief, when, in reality, no such relationship exists.
 This understanding may originate from our "gut feelings" or our pre-existing beliefs, but does not rely on empirical evaluation
- Example: Every time I'm running behind, my car acts funky. It's not likely this is the case, but it becomes more salient when you are already

Important

Illusory correlation are NOT something for us to base our beliefs or decisions upon, as they are not empirical in nature

4.5 Causality: Conducting Experiments and Using the Data

So, if correlation cannot provide evidence of ______, what can?
 For this, we must perform an experimental-type _______, one of the most rigorous and detailed studies we can perform in science
 Rather than just _______ data, experiments require that we actively intervene to cause some hypothesized effect

4.6 The Experimental Hypothesis

- To begin we need to establish a falsifiable hypothesis that is rooted in some good evidence or
 - Ideally, our hypotheses should not be guesses, they should be guesses based off of the information we currently have
- However, we often try to explore a hypothesis that hasn't previously been addressed or explored by other researchers

4.7 Designing an Experiment

•	Experiments come in	flavors, with	n some extraord	dinarily c	:om-
	plex designs				

between our two groups

 For simplicity, w 	e'll focus on an example that	two groups,
an experiment	al group and a control group.	
– In such a case	, the experimental group is exp	oosed to some intervention or
		ol group is not; they are both
measured on so	 ome outcome and then compared	
 But we need to be ver 	ry in how w	e define both what we measure
as our outcome, and	what we manipulate or change	between the two groups.
	definitions and measurements for	.
ational definiti	ons	·
 Like with other stud 	ies, we need to be mindful of t	things that could confound or
introduce	into an experiment	
 Participants ma 	ay change their behaviors from	their natural state if there are
aware of what	condition they are in - Such bi	ias can be limited by using a
single-blind st	udy	
	bias may occur when an experin	2 \
	ts in a manner that changes the s	•
hypothesis - thi	s can be $_$ w	vith a double-blind study, when
. •	ering the data is also unaware of	whether participants are in the
experimental or	• .	
	nt example of what can go	without blind-
ness in a study is the		
	positive effect occurs just due to t	he sheer belief that a treatment
will have a posi	tive effect, even if it is	
4.0 Indonosadout ou	d Donondont Variables	
4.8 Independent an	d Dependent Variables	
 In the working, simpl 	e example of an experiment we	have been using, an indepen-
dent variable is one		or manipulate; i.e., we can
put a person either ir	n the control or experimental gro	up
 This is usually s 	some sort of treatment or interve	ntion
 Then, the depende 	nt variable is what outcome w	e measure that is believed to
change in	to the independent v	<i>r</i> ariable

- We are looking to see if this dependent variable shows a notable difference

?	In a study, I create two groups of participants, one group gets a new drug treatment
wł	nile the other gets nothing, and then I examine their change in appetite to see if it
ma	ay have changed due to the treatment. What here is the dependent variable?

- A) My participants
- B) Whether they get the drug treatment or not
- C) Their appetite level
- D) None of the above

Explanation:

4.9 Selecting and Assigning Experimental Participants

the	of our study. Ideally	cipants or people willing to partake in we want to identify a population of we want to study with our hypothesis.	
•	ation of interest, we attemp	• •	
of the population, that we can actually use in our study – Ideally, such a sample is gathered via random sampling , in the sense that no one member of the population of interest is more likely to be included than another			
 A truly random and results on 	sample will be that sample will reflect in t	of the broader population, he population well	
Once we have a sample, we must do random assignment , which means to			
half of our participar a random fashion.	its into the experimental gr	oup and half into the control group, in	

4.10 Issues to Consider

- Experiments have to have an independent variable that can be manipulated or changed by the researcher. Certain circumstances or cannot be ethically or practically manipulated by the researchers.
 - Examples: You can't modify someone to have suffered through childhood trauma or change their personality type
- Experiments also tend to be very time consuming and involve a lot more researcher engagement with the procedures

Discuss: Try to think of more traits or circumstances you couldn't ethically or practically manipulate in an experiment

4.11 Interpreting Experimental Findings

- Experiments are normally analyzed with a **statistical analysis**, or probability-based that a certain outcome happened due to random chance.
 - Such an analysis can give evidence beyond a reasonable
 that our results did not simply occur due to chance, but rather due to a genuine
 difference between the two groups on the dependent variable.
 - When there is sufficient evidence that an effect isn't due to chance, we call this statistical significance.

4.12 Reporting Research

- High-quality scientific studies are primarily published in peer-reviewed journals, that have high-standards and guidelines for publishing.
 - The peer review process involves having several other anonymous scientist review the writings, findings, and procedures of a study and evaluate whether it is rigorous, clear, valid, and useful.
 - Good studies should include enough detail so that they could be replicated and reproduced by another researcher, with a different sample
- In fact, many fields of psychology have been plagued with concerns that not enough results show evidence that they can be replicated, calling into question how the original findings were.

4.13 Reliability and Validity

5 Ethics

5.1	Introduction		
•	•is som	nething to never ignore or neg	glect in the research we do
	period		
•	 Psychology as a field has a 	long, and unfortunate history	with
		n has many more safeguards ially mindful of protecting th	
5.2	Research Involving H	luman Participants	
•	 Research conducting on hu (HSR), and research of this 	mans is often referred to as type should be	"human-subjects research" by an instituition's
	Institutional Review Board	l (IRB)	
	- Each		up of staff and community
		ensuring all HSR is	with good
		teps, perhaps the most criticam participants, where they a or benefits in participating	are reasonably informed of
	Which historical theorist had a nould participate only of their c		nsent, where participants
	A) Wundt		
	B) Skinner		
	C) Freud		
	D) None of these people	had this belief	
Ex	xplanation:		

- In addition to informed consent, we may need to also weigh the need to use deception in our studies, as sometimes knowing what is being studied (i.e., the) may change how a participant behaves.
 - Any deception that is used must be ______ by the IRB, and the participant has to be **debriefed** when the study concludes, or told about the deception and why it was necessary.

Important

Deception is not inherently a bad research practice, but it must be done with caution and only when absolutely necessary to the goals of the study. We cannot sacrifice the well-being of our participants for the study to succeed.

5.3 Research Involving Animal Subjects

- Most animal based research is done upon rodents or birds.
- Animals used in research are not ______ by the oversight of IRBs, and are instead governed by bodies called Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC)
 - This committee likely had different standards, rules, and expectations for animal-based research, relative to IRB's requirements for humans.
 - However, there is still a great deal of attention paid to humane treatment, shelter, and care of these animals.

6 Conclusion

6.1 Recap

- Research is the modern core of psychology focused on refinement and improvement of our theories based upon rigorous evaluation of theories and ideas through numerous different designs and analyses
- With the different approaches to research design and analyses, there are varying complications and drawbacks - we should be mindful of what hypothesis we are trying to answer and whether a particular method and analysis is suitable to that
- Good research is very detailed and nuanced, it is worthwhile to be very careful in the conclusions we draw, and qualify our results with any relevant limitations
- Ethics is a critical part of a good research process, and cannot be discarded or ignored in order to create a "better" study

6.2 Lecture Check-in

• Get into assigned groups for our weekly group work activity!