

# **Week 4 Lecture - Research Ethics**

Undergraduate Research Methods in Psychology

Quinton Quagliano, M.S., C.S.P

Department of Psychology

Tá	Table of Contents			
1		why Do We Discuss This?		
2	Hist 2.1 2.2 2.3	torical Examples The Tuskegee Syphilis Study		
3	3.1 3.2	ical Principles       7         Overview       7         The Belmont Report       8         3.2.1 Overview       8         3.2.2 Respect for Persons       8         3.2.3 Beneficence       8         3.2.4 Justice       9         The APA Ethical Principles       9         3.3.1 Overview       9         3.3.2 Institutional Review Boards (IRBs)       10         3.3.3 Informed Consent       10         3.3.4 Deception       10         3.3.5 Debriefing       11         3.3.6 Research Misconduct       11         3.3.7 Openness and Transparency       11         3.3.8 Plagiarism       12		
	3.4	3.3.9 Animal Research		

social science and human subjects research.

#### Overview 1

			,	1.1
history	-		Psychology, and other	
ooth willing and un-willing			- filled with	
as it informs us as to <b>why</b>	this history		participants. We can	
ation of various segrega- xamples, does not mean	erilization, contin	es movement, forced s, etc.	this subject in relevation – E.g., Eugenics tion practices, e  • However, just becau	•
. <b>All</b> mod-	ed by ethical	s are not also threate	that modern studies a	
nducted before there has  ver completely abandoning participate in our studies.	ned procedures. edures, we can radings are worth	cannot even be ugh review of the pla search design and pr . No research fi and respectful b	• In our proposed rese ethical principles.  Historical Example 2	
Institute public health knowledge puificance is that of being rhaul in the United States,	as meant to bols ad, its historical s esearch ethics ov	Public Health Servi y which, at the time, lis in Black men. Ins for a massive us negligent procedu	• In 1932, the U.S. Prending engaged in a study visurrounding syphilis the due to its numerous	•
deceiving both its partic- ers ignored well-founded spect the autonomy and	ers and failed to	roader American pu from whistleblov articipants.	well-being of its part	
ream effects and lessons	study, the down		• Though this was a _	•
to psychology and all		study are immediatel	learned from this stu	




- · Lessons learned:
  - Participants were not treated with \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, autonomy, and dignity. They were \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, intentionally un-informed of risks and treatments, prevented from finding information that would aid them in making decision about study participation
  - Participants were intimately and immensely
     allowed to suffer with the effects of the disease, despite the development of valid that would have cured the disease. The study prioritized the possible results over the safety of its participants.
  - Participants were purposefully sampled as members of a vulnerable and socioeconomic group. The Black men in this study were likely to be poor and have little formal education. Rather than take measures to respect and each participant, this was exploited to keep participants unaware.



### 2.2 Milgram's Obedience Studies

- In summary, Stanley Milgram's \_\_\_\_\_ (which came in many variations) were focused on examining how people respond to authoritative commands to inflict punishment and follow orders. These experiments were, in part, born out of questions surrounding \_\_\_\_\_ of citizens and soldiers in the Nazi regime, in Germany.
- Participants often would have some role in inflicting a perceived pain or
   on to an actor. No actual harm was actually inflicted to
   the actor (a confederate), but participants did believe that they were inflicting the
   pain, usually a of some degree. If they pushed back against
   the demand to administer the punishment (even at extreme levels), an authoritative
   figure would instruct them to over and over.



• In this case, the flaw does not lie in harm done to the actor - the shocks weren't real, and were merely simulated. However, think about the emotional in the participants - feeling "forced" by authority to perform

inhumane actions.

• Though participants were \_\_\_\_\_ at the end of the study and met the actor who assured they were fine - there are questions as to whether they were truly told that those shocks were faked. Some participants \_\_\_\_\_ for weeks.



- Lessons learned:
  - Milgram continued the studies, even after learning a staggering 65% of participants would continue the shocks to the end usually accompanied by extreme distress of the participants.

<ul> <li>While the Tuskegee study showed study showed us emotional</li> </ul>	d us physical harm to the participants, this inflicted on the participants
Both are reasonable	we must consider in psychologica
research.	<del></del>
<ul> <li>Was it worth it? Did we learn some</li> </ul>	thing so that made it
worth the pain those people felt	

### 2.3 Other Studies?

 There are no shortage of older psychological studies that follow procedures that would never be authorized today - e.g., Zimbardo's experiment



•	Just because historical studies	tend to have more re	eadily identifiable examples	s of
	ethics violations, doesn't mean		studies are innocent of sim	ıilar
	errors		-	

-	<ul> <li>A recent examp</li> </ul>	from biology research. Remember no study is perfe	ect,
	but an ethics v	plation is perhaps the greatest of all sins - because	it
		the very people kind enough to put their trust in us, in	the
	first place.		

•	An unknown num	ber of unethical	experiments	have also	occurred ur	nder the	direction
	of	regimes	(see Unit 73	1)			

# 3 Ethical Principles

## **3.1** Overview

•	We may look to multiple sources to guide our	to creating ethica
	research. While no one source is the definitive guide, we make	use these to craft a
	at the core of our research ethics and trea	tment of participants

•	Much of these guidelines were created in response to study and other failures in ethical research.
3.2	The Belmont Report
3.2.1	Overview
•	In many ways, the Report is the original and most influential guiding pieces of ethical conduct for any type of human subjects research in the United States.
•	Though it is very comprehensive and , it can be summarized by
	focusing on 3 core principles: Respect for, Beneficence, and Justice. In your future work in the research proposal and article critique, I want you to be very cognizant of these virtues in your writing and reading.
3.2.2	Respect for Persons
•	Consists of two applications: and respect for limited autonomy
•	All people are autonomous. In practice, this means that we allow each person to their own participation or non-participation in a study. They are entitled to sufficient knowledge to weigh benefits and risks. A person cannot be , deceived, or offered excessive incentive to obtain consent.
•	Some people are limited in autonomy due to outside factors. There are groups which are, in one way or another, limited in their ability
	to give informed consent.  - Examples: those with intellectual/developmental disability, minors, those under a medical guardianship or power-of-attorney.
	<ul> <li>In these cases, researchers must act with precautions to protect vulnerable individuals.</li> <li>Procedures may involve obtain consent of a guardian and of the person under the guardianship.</li> </ul>
3.2.3	Beneficence
•	This principle is critically concerned with <i>minimization</i> of harm and acting in the best of participants and the broader population represented by the sample of the study.

<ul> <li>Now, studies may, by virtue of the research harm. However, it is absolutely</li> </ul>	ch questions, require some degree of that researchers do their best
to anticipate, avoid, and  - This often requires that researchers reached and ensure they are not  Studies may need to be changed (with harm grows than	harmed by study procedures.
Within this principle, is the necessity of excompletely anonymous studies and/or control to individuals in information is leaked or shape to protect the information ies.    The control of the information is leaked or shape to protect the information in the information in the control of the information in the in	onfidential studies. Harm can come
3.2.4 Justice	
<ul> <li>This principle highlights the importance of conso of the study and the potential negative effective such a result.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>We should avoid using a sample that is their position (e.g., those considered "vulne broader population.</li> </ul>	or useful by virtue of erable" as stated before) to benefit the
<ul> <li>Put another way, we should be concerned very equity between the groups under study, and work.</li> </ul>	
3.3 The APA Ethical Principles	
3.3.1 Overview	
<ul> <li>The APA guidelines supplement and apply the to psychological science and practice. In so detail to " " the principal.</li> </ul>	ome ways, it expands and adds further
• 5 core principals	
- Beneficence and	
<ul> <li>Fidelity and responsibility</li> </ul>	
<b>-</b>	

	- Justice		
	- Respect for people's rights and digr	ity	
•	These guidelines provide further definitio topics, named the <b>Ethical Standards fo</b>		on a variety of
3.3.2	Institutional Review Boards (IRBs)		
		cumentation on planned so of when they happen. It is section and introduction section and introduction section approving research, prior	d evaluating the subjects studies and pro- not uncommon ections before a
	study. Without IRB approval, a study can Informed Consent		,
•	Under many studies, a researcher must that indicates the general goals of the sprocedures for a person to	·	a form isks, as well all
•	Regulation do allow for informed consents when data was already gathered (e.g., from routine care) or when a person congeneral However, explained.	census data, electronic he	alth record data be seen by the
•	IRBs carefully whe forms based on the risk to individuals and As with any component of a study, force a certain course of actions		
3.3.4	Deception		
•	Intentional and value are fairly common and can be done ethic Examples: Milgram's shocks, confe	-	's methodology

	called <b>omission</b> where commission. Deception should only be used becessary to the goals of the study.
to the study.	as necessary to the goals and ntentionally to protect specific goals and threats knows a study is about implicit bias towards their normal self in order to appear
<ul> <li>Second, this deception must be thore the IRB, with appropriate debrief plan</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Deception isn't a universally loved</li></ul>	, but many recognize it as a sychological effects from bias in participants.
3.3.5 Debriefing	
<ul> <li>Debriefing sessions occur with participant the study, in which the methods used</li> </ul>	oant their participation in are explained, and any deception is revealed.
possibly benefit from the research. Fi	people, so they are aware of findings, and can unctionally, we debrief for the same reason we res in published work: to increase trust in the
3.3.6 Research Misconduct	
<ul> <li>This term is mostly related to the and/or falsification.</li> </ul>	practices of <b>Data fabrication</b>
Fabrication: When data is	to support certain conclusions
<ul> <li>Falsification: When data is it towards favorable conclusions. This bias participants in their reactions.</li> </ul>	pruned, filtered, or treated to bias is also relevant when procedures are use that
Both of these acts of research miscor on professional	nduct may have wide and longstanding effects of researchers, as well as public effects
3.3.7 Openness and Transparency	
As a general rule, one should	share the procedures, analyses,

and data used to com these would jeopardiz – Not all data	ze the security and	privacy of subjects.	norm) - unless to share organizations - some-
times this decisi • Pre-registered hypot	on is somewhat ou neses, data sharin	t of the researcher's g agreements, and c	hands
interested parties. It c or <b>retractions</b> when	an also be useful in		, leading to <b>corrections</b>
3.3.8 Plagiarism			
<ul> <li>Plagiarism is said to It is especially easy t claims and evidence</li> </ul>	o do in research _	· 	words off as your own , when we rapidly state
<ul> <li>Plagiarism can be</li> <li>however, the imp</li> </ul>		types are largely	the same, and the
<ul> <li>It is also possible to s same sentences as p originated in the new work, it is expected th</li> </ul>	oart of a work, when it did r	work im not. When submitting	cal, but the reuse of the plies that the sentence g graded or publishable nd unique to other work
<ul> <li>The two strategies for proper APA 7th citation introducing APA style</li> </ul>	ons and reference		and earlier in our workshop
3.3.9 Animal Research			
This will be less of a focus of the nuance in this area. treated with the utmost res	Generally, animal	's should be used sp	•
• Lab animals (those		research purpose are, habitat, and treat	•
<ul> <li>Lab animals are gove (IACUC), a sort of IR</li> </ul>	· —	Animal C	are and Use Committee
• 3 Rs			
- Replacements: possible	try to find	to us	ing animals, wherever
<ul><li>Refinement: min</li></ul>	imize harm to anim	als with better, less i	ntrusive lab procedures

<ul> <li>Reduction: Try to use a</li> </ul>	as animals as possible
outright	an be very mixed, and certain advocacy groups seek tothe use of animals in lab studies. However, many also Is is tightly governed (just like with humans) and critical especially for humans (like early
3.4 Making Decisions Ethically	
	ities we discussed, there is no one, clear way to ethical considerations a researcher must make.
should have Responsible Co organizations	A Ethical Code are good starting points, and universities induct of Research (RCR) office, as well as IRB(s). Most the completion of ethics certifications programs rior to being part of formal research teams.
<ul> <li>Ethical decision-making will uating claims so that we can</li> </ul>	combine with what we've learned about crafting and eval- balance the many components of a
	research proposal and will need to search, in addition to the already established validities