

# **Week 1 Lecture - Scientific Thinking**

Undergraduate Research Methods in Psychology

Quinton Quagliano, M.S., C.S.P

Department of Psychology

Table of Contents			
1 Ov 1.1 1.2	Psychology is a Science	<b>2</b> 2 2	
2 Pro 2.1 2.2 2.3	Research Producers	<b>3</b> 3 3 3	
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.1 3.1	Empiricism The Theory-Data Cycle Theories Hypotheses Data Burden of Proof We Can be Wrong Example of Theory-Hypothesis-Data: Harlow's Monkeys Norms for Scientific Research Continuum of Research Contexts  "Publicly" Available Research	<b>4</b> 4 4 5 5 6 6 6 7 8 8 9	

# 1 Overview

# 1.1 Psychology is a Science

<ul> <li>The methods of psychological research may be different physics, or biology - but we still follow the same conduct our investigations.</li> </ul>	t from those found in chemistry, in how we
<ul> <li>To be scientific, we must first be empirical, that is, to rely controlled observations of a phenomenon. We cannot I make decision off of "gut feeling".</li> </ul>	<u> </u>
- But, intuition <i>can</i> be part of the scientific later	, more on that
<ul> <li>Our scientific procedures may be poor ethical conduct, or limitations in design - we will desig</li></ul>	_
Example: Just like a chemist detailing each and every experiment, we must be equallyi	v step in a successful or failed in our work as social scientists
1.2 Different Methods, Same Answers?	
<ul> <li>There are many valid ways of</li> </ul>	empirical/scientific research,
many of which we will explore in this course  – Different methods may explore the same overal with different techniques,	rching research question, but and weaknesses
• Examples of different :	
- vs. Experimental Research	
<ul> <li>Momentary vs. Longitudinal Research</li> </ul>	
<ul><li>Bivariate vsResearch</li></ul>	
It is vital that you are able to both	the methods of other re-
searchers (consumer), and craft your own (producer)  – In this course - homework and research proposal sets of skills, applying the knowledge you get in a	I will help you strengthen both

# Producers and Consumers

2.1 Research Producers		
here at GVSU (see PSY-350 and PS  • Being an author of research establishes y certain area or topic, and advances your   – However, only one study does <i>not</i> m	of advance training in psynd also part of your undergraduate training SY-400)  as an expert in a	
•	ation of research producers to only publish on of a study.  by (e.g., The College Board) runs analyses	
2.2 Research Consumers		
understand the state of scientific literature consumers of research.  - You will also be expected to be al journals during your training here at • It is not enough to just read research, but a of how "good" research is done.  - Just because research is "peer-revie limitations or !  - Unfortunately, some research is not	GVSU also to be and mindful ewed" does not mean it is entirely free from properly vetted all the way	
<ul> <li>Example: A therapist applies a new, eviden</li> </ul>	ce-based technique for a	
2.3 How Producers and Consumers Compare		
<ul> <li>Both producers and consumers play an applied:</li> </ul>	role in how science is	
- Producers use	research designs to demonstrate real ef-	

<ul> <li>Consumers critically</li> </ul>	research findings and carefully apply
them to "real-world" problems	
Example: An educational psychologist (	) complete a long-
running study on the effectiveness of a cuttir	ng-edge intervention for disruptive be-
haviors in class, and a teacher (	) then implements it into their
classroom management strategy.	

#### 3 How Scientists Work

### 3.1 Empiricism

•	Scientists are empiricists that assess	unough ngorous an
	systemic thinking, testing, and writing	
•	It is not enough to just see a relationship	; instead we must b

able to observe, measure, and elicit it consistently


• We may use evidence from our senses, or from measurement \_\_\_\_\_\_to establish the properties and behaviors of a certain idea

Not all procedures for \_\_\_\_\_ or operationalizing are built equal, and some may be more reliable and valid than others (more in week 5!)

• Example: Issac Newton does not watch an apple fall from a tree just once, he drops many apples and other objects and observes each.

### 3.2 The Theory-Data Cycle

- Part of science is not just establishing theories and ideas, but updating them as new evidence supports or with existing frameworks
  - In order to do so, we must make specific hypotheses to test, and then report on the of said testing
  - Effectively we move from Theory to Hypothesis to Data, which then either refutes or supports the theory




# 3.3 Theories

<ul> <li>These are general statements or concepts about how a certain phenomenon is believed to</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>They are often and expand over time as further information adds to and subtracts from understanding of a certain construct (Remember the Theory-Hypothesis-Data cycle from earlier!)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>These theories, oftentimes, try to describe some of two or more constructs, whether that be a monkey and a figurine; a person and a treatment; a person and another person; etc.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Most theories try to follow the rule of parsimony, that is, trying to fit the simplest possible for a phenomenon or observed behavior.</li> <li>Note: not all things can be fully "simplified", but we seek the most basic and explanation we can</li> </ul>
3.4 Hypotheses
These are much more statements that often serve as the foundation for any particular study. They should be pre-registered - and stated to the actual commencement of the planned study.
<ul> <li>Making hypotheses after a study, to fit the data, is unethical (we will later touch on this issue in Week 14).</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>These may be made within the context of a broader theory, but are likely to focus more concretely on a predicted outcome with</li></ul>
<ul> <li>Several studies, led by several hypotheses, may all contribute to the development of a grander theory</li> </ul>
3.5 Data
• Data is the of an experiment or study, and contains the observa- tions and tests that show significance or non-significance for the <b>hypothesis</b> , which aids in understanding whether the results support or refute the <b>theory</b> , respectively
<ul> <li>Just like with crafting our hypothesis, we have a lot of input in how our data is treated and tested - different designs and measure will produceoutcomes.</li> </ul>

# 3.6 Burden of Proof

•	A singular study does not theory, nor can it fully discertain idea.		a certain hypothesis or t may add to evidence for or against a	
•	for individuals with depre	ession. Results indicat the present study. Fu	standing how CBT-I may be beneficial e a moderate effect of the treatment in ture research is needed to clarify the	
•	Put statistically: we neve evidence for or against of		ir null hypothesis ( $H_0$ ), we just supplyhypothesis ( $H_1$ )	,
•	-	have provided suppo is in favor of it.	ort for a theory, can we say the weight	,
3.7	We Can be Wrong			
•	or effectively choosing to	. If this is not accounted only investigate for $\alpha$	r theory and/or hypothesis to be flawed ed for, we engage in confirmation bias our views. chart from earlier. Revision is a valid	,
•	It is critical that our design a study is limited in its so	•	ng make clear the	_that
•	No one study is so cases in a phenomenon		gned that it can account for all edge	
3.8	Example of Theory	y-Hypothesis-Dat	a: Harlow's Monkeys	
•	How do we test a comp empirical	onent of primate atta !	chment theory? We must perform an	
•	This also shows the be wrong (i.e., we provide		good research - we must be willing to he experiment can go the "other way")	
•	But, this one study does revidence requires more	• ,	achment theory, the	of



#### 3.9 Norms for Scientific Research

- Robert Merton proposed a set of scientific norms that can and should our actions and behaviors in approaching and conducting research
- **Universalism** states that "science is for \_\_\_\_\_ " and that claims are not based solely upon the expertise or stature of the scientist, but rather, their methodology and rigor
  - *Example*: an undergraduate student can perform research the same as a doctoral student, and it will be measured by its strength, not the person who made is
- Communality is the concept that science is done in a community and as a , not only a small group of individuals.
  - Example: Even the authors of a published paper cite many others in their writing.
- Disinterestedness states that we must be guided by a commitment to truth and accurate , not by monetary gain or pushing of a particular ide-

ology.

- Example: A prominent medical scientist publishes results about concerning side effects of a drug, despite the fact that they have stock in the pharmaceutical producing the drug.
- Organized Skepticism says we must commit ourselves to be critical of everything, even

   ! We question things, not to simply be contrarian, but because we must understand the faults in existing knowledge.
  - Example: I strongly believe the MMPI to be a valid measure of personality, but I read a study that is critical of its accuracy.

#### 3.10 Continuum of Research Contexts

- Basic Research is that done for theoretical purpose to expand knowledge or ideas
  - Example: EEG Electrodes and brain waves during a certain activity
- Translational Research is done in a more controlled environment, but now being applied to people (or animals)
  - Example: Experimental study in a research lab of peoples reaction to a certain stimulus
- **Applied Research** happens more so in the "real world" where the findings from basic and translational research are applied to less-controlled .
  - Example: Retrospective study on patient outcomes after a certain treatment



- ALL forms of research here are useful and important in the \_\_\_\_\_\_ of well-rounded and well-supported theories!
  - As you will learn throughout the semester, certain research will also lend themselves well to one of these types in particular.

### 3.11 "Publicly" Available Research

- Scientists communicate primarily through publishing findings in journals that use a system of editors and peer reviewers to ensure the rigor and validity of a study
- If a paper is published, it may be \_\_\_\_\_\_ by future scientists in support of certain claims and arguments in papers, presentations, and reports. Or, others can

also disagree and provide competing evidenc – A theory is not crafted from the work of jus	st one author, but instead the synthesis
of different publishe	ed articles
In psychological science we use reference previous scientific work	style (in its 7th edition) to
Journals may range in quality and nuances in this later in the semester	though! We will discuss some
<ul> <li>Also, some journals may be difficult to ways we may use the library resources to accompany</li> </ul>	, but there are many ess the texts

#### 3.12 Scientific Journalism

- Specialized journalists often try to bring scientific findings (published in journals) to a that is more acceptable to laypeople.
- However, these writings are not peer-reviewed the same as the original research and may , understate, or be reductive towards the "true" findings
- When in doubt  $\rightarrow$  always go to the original publication!!
  - Note: citing journalism about a study, rather than the study itself, may be improper

