

Week 12 Lecture - Complex Experiments

Undergraduate Research Methods in Psychology

Quinton Quagliano, M.S., C.S.P

Department of Psychology

Table of Contents	
1.1 Textbook Objectives	2 2 2
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2 2
3.1 Overview 2 3.2 Intuitive Interactions 3 3.3 Study Two IVs 5 3.4 Limit Testing 5 3.5 Test Theories 6	2 2 3 5 6 6
4.1 Overview3.14.2 Independent-Groups Design4.34.3 Within-Groups Design4.44.4 Mixed Factorial Design4.54.5 More Conditions4.5	7 7 8 8 8 8
5.1 Reading Empirical Articles	9 9

1 Learning Objectives

1.1 Textbook Objectives

- Explain why researchers combine independent variables in a factorial design.
- Describe an interaction effect in both everyday terms and arithmetic terms.
- Identify and interpret the main effects and interactions from a factorial design.

1.2 Professor's Objectives

- Understand and produce examples of when factorial design would be useful and/or appropriate
- Discuss some basic statistics procedures that can be used with these designs

2 Chapter Overview

2.1 Chapter Overview

•	•	d about experimental designs that deal with ndependent variable and one measured/depen-
	dent variable.	
•	However, we have designs that can individual and	look at two (or more) IVs at once and see their impact on the DV!
•	We refer to these as	designs.

3 Experiments with Two IVS

3.1 Overview


•	We can add a second (and third)	variable if we	are	curious
	about more than one.	-		

• In addition to the individual effects of both of the IVs, we also get an effect that describes how they change each other's relationship with the outcome.


- Statistically, we might say this interaction is a " in differences"
 - Practically, this means that the differences between our groups may be different based on some other trait.
 - More on this later

3.2 Intuitive Interactions


- When confronted with a causal relationship, sometimes we might say, "well it
 " what it depends on is the second (or third) IV
- We can see this even in our personal experiences, and many relationships do depend on factors
- Example: I am assessing how spicy I like my food (on a scale of 1 to 10; my outcome). First, is it cold or hot outside (IV 1)? Second, am I eating Thai or Italian (IV 2)? It is possible that my answer will be different based upon both of the IVs.
- 4 Possible Outcomes:
- I like all of my food spicier when it is hot Weather effect, but not food




• I like Thai food spicier that Italian, regardless of weather - Food effect, but *not* temperature



- Whether I like by food spicy or not depends on both the weather, and type of food interaction effect
- Specifically, we are looking to see whether we have a _____ interaction, like in the graph below:



 My preference for spice doesn't change, regardless of food type or weather - null findings



3.3 Study Two IVs

When we work with	than one IV, we use a factorial design.		
 This creates more x # of Conditions in IV 2 = total number 	unique conditions = $\#$ of Conditions in IV 1 r of conditions		
• Both IVs do <i>not</i> have to be measured trait (e.g., gender, ethnicity,	etc.)		
• In addition to our statistics, we should sh	ow these differences in !		

3.4 Limit Testing

- Factorial designs can help us find whether outcomes are different for different of people.
- A strong intervention may not be as effective in a different group of people.

Interaction effects become especially clear with visual evidence.

- This can be a _____ to our external validity, as we demonstrate findings in a more heterogeneous group.
- We also can establish whether one variable appears to _____ another on the relationship with the outcome variable.

3.5 Test Theories

main effect is present

For some an effect differs based on some	reasons, we may have go demographic variable.	od reason to believe that
 Example: I have a new interve taking in new content. However, just lesser in general. Therefore for younger adults, than it will for 	I recognize that the neurop I believe my intervention w	plasticity of older adults is
 In essence, we may be able to hypotheses and investigate with 		and "it depends" to our
3.6 Main Effects & Interaction	ons	
• Main Effects are those that cor	ne from each IV on the out	come.
 The main effect is 	as an avera	ge over the levels of the
other IV. Similar to how we – You have 1 main effect for		in multiple regression.
 Marginal Means are the 	that we use	e to determine whether a

- We can test significance by taking the difference of the two marginal means,

 $0 \rightarrow \text{non-significant}$

and calculating 95% CIs. If CIs

DV: Reaction time (ms)		IV ₁ : Pho		
		Alcohol	Plant	Main effect for IV ₂ : Word type
IV ₂ :	Aggressive	551	559	555 (average of 551 and 559)
Word type	la constant de la con		552	557 (average of 562 and 552)
Main effe Photo ty	ect for IV ₁ : pe	556.5 (average of 551 and 562)	555.5 (average of 559 and 552)	

An interaction effect can be detected by lookir	ng at the differences of the main effect
differences. If they are	different from one another, then we
would say that there is an interaction effect	
 Interactions are often treated as 	important, theoretically,
that main effects - when they are signification	ant.

- Conventional wisdom: If interaction is significant, focus on that mostly. If interaction is non-significant, focus on main effects of IVs.
 - Interpreting the main effects with a significant interaction can be leaving out important information!
- Stats sidebar: This type of analysis is usually done via Two-way ANOVA, which does all the work of calculating significance of interactions, and main effects for us.

4 Factorial Variations

4.1 Overview

•	•	, we can lay -groups.	out a factorial design as being between-
•	But, we can designate to a total of 3 possible designs:		variable as between or within, leading

- Independent-Groups FactorialWithin-Groups Factorial
- Mixed Factorial

4.2 independent-didups besign	4.2	Independent-0	Groups	Design
-------------------------------	-----	---------------	--------	--------

	This is when IVs are between-groups (i.e., participants are arranged into entirely separate groups)
•	One nuance is that this will likely require the largest sample ,
i	as each group will have about 1/4th the total number of participants
4.3	Within-Groups Design
•	Much like with previous within-groups designs, this is when participants see possible condition.
	One thing to watch out for is the need for to prevent order
(effects — Think about how many permutations of condition orders you may need!
4.4	Mixed Factorial Design
•	his is when one IV isgroups, and the other is within-group.
(This is fairly common if we have one demographic variable (between-groups) and one manipulated variable that both demographics are exposed to each level (withingroups).
4.5	More Conditions
•	Many are going to naturally have more than one level
	- E.g., race, ethnicity, gender, etc.
• \	We can use these in factorial designs all the same - and we write it as: AxB Design.
	- Where A = Number of conditions in IV 1 - Where B = Number of conditions in IV 2
	Statistics here get more $D = N$ to interpret - but a good starting point
	s to use a line plot just like what we have done previously and see if lines cross or
	are parallel.

4.6 More IVs

•	Prof.	Paul Moes:	"God himself	cannot	interpret a	4-way	interaction	neither	can	you"
---	-------	------------	--------------	--------	-------------	-------	-------------	---------------------------	-----	------

•	 We can do 3 IVs, but with each additional variable the interpreta 	ation becomes expo-
	nentially more difficult and complicated.	

- One popular alternative is to do this as a multiple	mode
instead	

-	Stat sidebar:	ANOVA	and	linear	regression	are	both	types	of the	general
			mode	l, so, in	a roundabo	out w	ay, th	ese are	e actual	ly equiv-
	alent!									

•	Remember to	think	careful	ly ab	out v	what	sorts	of	conclusion	ns you	can	draw
	with a design	before	e you u	se it,	and	l whe	ether	an	alternative	provide	es a	more
			conclus	sion.								

5 Identify Factorial Designs

5.1 Reading Empirical Articles

 Look for words like 	
_ "	ANOVA"

- "Factorial"
- "Interaction" or "Main Effects"
- You may also see phrasing like "2 x 2 design", referring to the two conditions of each IV.

5.2 In Popular Media

- Look for words like ...

 - "Only when"
- $\bullet\,$ You may also look for demographic variables \dots
 - "For males this was the results, but for females..."