

Week 6 Lecture - Surveys & Observational Design

Undergraduate Research Methods in Psychology

Quinton Quagliano, M.S., C.S.P

Department of Psychology

Table of Contents			
1 Chapter Overview	2		
2 Construct Validity in Survey Designs and Self-reports 2.1 Overview	2 2 2 3 4 4 4 5 5 6 6		
3.1 Overview	6 6 7 7 7 7 8 8		

Chapter Overview

•	 Observational design is when we don't a 	attempt to	or manipulate	
	any variables, we just take measurem	ents of individuals in their	natural disposition,	
	i.e., just lots of measured variables, no manipulated variables			
_				
•	Often, we use these	for more macro-lev		
	for big trends across people, and the	-	•	
		can be appropriate for ass	ociation and causai	
	claims as well)			
•	Polls and observational designs are ev	verywhere:		
	polls (techr	nically even the election it	self, is effectively a	
	survey)			
	Public polls	on certain topics		
	 Interest in a certain commercial p 	roduct		
2	Construct Validity in Surv	vey Designs and	Self-reports	
	•	,	•	
2 1	Oversions			
2.1	Overview			
_	Surveye Balle and abcorrectional d	ociane moon the come th	aing, and docaribe a	
•	Surveys, Polls, and observational d		•	
•		esigns mean the same th gathered from a certain sar	•	
	by which data is o	gathered from a certain sar	nple via a self-report	
	by which data is of by which data is of	gathered from a certain sar , such as being	mple via a self-report g done via the mail,	
	by which data is one of the second of the se	gathered from a certain sar , such as being	mple via a self-report g done via the mail,	
	by which data is of by which data is of	gathered from a certain sar , such as being	mple via a self-report g done via the mail,	
	by which data is one of the second of the se	gathered from a certain sar , such as being	mple via a self-report g done via the mail,	
	by which data is one of the second of the se	gathered from a certain sar , such as being	mple via a self-report g done via the mail,	
•	by which data is go. This design has plenty of email, phone, advertisements, etc. measurements done	gathered from a certain sar , such as being	mple via a self-report g done via the mail,	
. 2.2	by which data is go. This design has plenty of email, phone, advertisements, etc. measurements done	gathered from a certain sar , such as being - which change the cons	mple via a self-report g done via the mail,	
. 2.2	by which data is good This design has plenty of email, phone, advertisements, etc. measurements done Question Formats	gathered from a certain sar , such as being - which change the cons	mple via a self-report g done via the mail, truct validity of the	
2.2	by which data is go This design has plenty of email, phone, advertisements, etc. measurements done Question Formats Question types range from types having varying	gathered from a certain sar , such as being which change the cons to most restri	mple via a self-report g done via the mail, truct validity of the	
2.2	by which data is one. This design has plenty of email, phone, advertisements, etc. measurements done. Question Formats Question types range from types having varying Open-ended questions are those that a	gathered from a certain sar, such as being - which change the cons to most restri to use. llow respondents to reply in	mple via a self-report g done via the mail, truct validity of the ictive, with different	
2.2	by which data is go This design has plenty of email, phone, advertisements, etc. measurements done Question Formats Question types range from types having varying Open-ended questions are those that a and paragraphs not necessarily bound	to most restriction to one format. While considered from a certain sare and a such as being to which change the considered from the considered fro	mple via a self-report g done via the mail, struct validity of the fictive, with different apturing the "most"	
2.2	by which data is one. This design has plenty of email, phone, advertisements, etc. measurements done. Question Formats Question types range from types having varying Open-ended questions are those that a and paragraphs not necessarily bound, these questions.	to most restricted to one format. While constrains are difficult to transformat are difficult to transformat.	nple via a self-report g done via the mail, struct validity of the ictive, with different apturing the "most" m into quantitative	
2.2	by which data is one. This design has plenty of email, phone, advertisements, etc. measurements done. Question Formats Question types range from types having varying Open-ended questions are those that a and paragraphs not necessarily boun these questions findings - in fact, some researchers were	to most restricted to one format. While constructed to the construction of the constru	nple via a self-report g done via the mail, struct validity of the section of the mail, apturing the "most" am into quantitative section the transformed at all	
2.2	by which data is one. This design has plenty of email, phone, advertisements, etc. measurements done. Question Formats Question types range from types having varying Open-ended questions are those that a and paragraphs not necessarily boun these questions findings - in fact, some researchers work - This is the most common type of	to most restricted from a certain sare difficult to transformat say the construction one format. While constructed to any they should not be in a certain sare difficult to transformat say they should not be in a certain sare difficult to transformat.	ictive, with different apturing the "most" in into quantitative transformed at all qualitative research,	
2.2	by which data is one. This design has plenty of email, phone, advertisements, etc. measurements done. Question Formats Question types range from types having varying Open-ended questions are those that a and paragraphs not necessarily boun these questions findings - in fact, some researchers were	to most restricted from a certain sare difficult to transformat say the construction one format. While constructed to any they should not be in a certain sare difficult to transformat say they should not be in a certain sare difficult to transformat.	ictive, with different apturing the "most" in into quantitative transformed at all qualitative research,	

which is that which uses experiences to numbers.	cused on <i>quantitative research</i> , analysis and operationalized
Forced-choice questions are those that	respondents to only
responding to a question or prompt is a specified nu	
	e or true-false assessment is
forced choice.	
 Think about how forced-choice naturally 	participants in
sharing the full breadth of their experience.	
• Likert scale is ordinal scale question that asks a particular or questions with 5 answers:	cipant to respond to a statement
Strongly agreeAgree	
 Neither agree nor disagree 	
- Disagree	
 Strongly Disagree 	
 A question that is similar in structure but has 	or less options
than those 5 should be referred to as a Likert-type s	scale
 Semantic Differential is a format that asks a responsible with a "rating" between two 	ondent to respond to a prompt adjectives. Ex. This could be a
"star" system for rating satisfaction.	aajootivoo. Ext. Tiilo oodid so a
Question formats do not inherently	or add to construct validity,
however they will have an impact on the type of anal	
	uce ordinal data. Forced choice
	is a whole other thing entirely
Be mindful of how you will perform analysis before	•
tool!	e making a survey or sen report
2.3 "Good" Questions	
	and construct
 Ouestion writing can have a large impact on the 	and ounginade
 Question writing can have a large impact on the validity of a question - writing good questions is often 	

2.3.1 Leading Question

•	questions are when a question is worded or designed in such
	a way that is likely to respondents towards a certain answer or outcome. This can be accidental, or intentional.
4	In general, avoid using terms that are in nature - like "awful", "bad", "dangerous", etc. If your questions is likely to play up the emotion of your participant, it is possibly a leading question.
2.3.2	Double-barreled Questions
	This occurs when a question is actually two questions into one. The problem is that this might cause confusion in capturing the real opinions or feelings of an individual.
	A good way to this mistake is if you see an "and" anywhere in the question - tread cautiously
2.3.3	Negative Wording
•	Negative wording is when a question is worded in such a manner that confounds the of a question. — Ex. "Do you not agree with…"
	Not only can this be difficult to properly analyze in a study, it can also be generally very to participants and produce inaccurate responses.
	Wherever possible, avoid "not", "nor", "neither" and other negative words in surveys. Sometimes, these sorts of issues can be rectified by a question up, similar to procedures for double-barreled questions.
	If a question is split up to be both a negative and positive version, one can use a correlation $ \text{and Cronbach's } \alpha \text{ to ensure that same-direction } $ questions correlate well with one another (and if they don't - we have a problem)
2.3.4	Question Order

2.3.

• This is a complicated issue and can be hard to fully prevent problems with. Identifying this issue is sometimes best investigated through a pilot study, which occurs the primary study, and is usually meant to ensure that a measure is sound before rolling out to the "real sample".

To catch a question of the measure, with a different order of question different groups. Then are similar or not. If they differ, there is some	the answers of the groups to see if they
 "Solving" this issue often involves a good, 	understanding of the
literature and some away to delicate questions.	s to why participants may react a certain
2.4 Getting Accurate Responses	
 Surveys are, effectively, always a introspection on the part of the responde and place in the ability experiences. 	-report - they require an nt. In a lot of research, we must trust ity of a person to report on their internal
However, for a variety of reasons, we must which are when a participant follows a may not be particularly informative. In the responding by the particular parti	of responses which
Response sets generally occur more scales	in Likert or Likert-type
2.4.1 Acquiescence / Yea-saying	
 This occurs when a participant carelessly selection. (e.g., Strongly Agree) or yes throughout a result. 	·
 When a respondent is yea-saying, it makes that represents their 	s it incredibly difficult to discern whether opinions/disposition.
 We may try to use reverse-coded question "I feel happy most of the time" "I feel sad most of the time" 	is to detect this - Ex:
2.4.2 Fence Sitting	
This is when a person keeps choosing the	or neutral option

•	This can be resolved by the inclusiveness of the quality self-report to force choosing		the middle option, but this also limits also choose to use a forced-choice es.
2.4.3	Socially Desirable Resp	onding / Faking G	ood
•	This is when one takes a respond in a way that seen embarrassment or shame – Ex., On a personality s	ns	trend where they intentionally try to to most people, maybe due to n overly-altruistic manner
 We may also be concerned with faking bad/malingering, which is especially true or neuropsychological settings 			
•	We can help limit these sets would be absurd for <i>anyone</i> know results are from collateral reports as we	to be <i>that</i> good or . Finally,	al questions that bad. We can also ensure participants, we may also get converging evidence
2.5	Other Confounds in	Surveys	
•	We may often run into other in general related to people		ems with our self reports and surveys accurately
•	why they made a choice. T	here may be a nur	e options intuitively, and may not know mber of underlying cognitive reasons f people don't know it!
•	not be quite accurate. Just	nore distant memo because someone essarily mean that	t it is accurate. The best way we can
3	Construct Validity	in Behavior	al Observations
3.1	Overview		
•	Observational measures at causal claims. Observational		to frequency, association, and ve a sense of "objectiveness", as they

	are notreport feelings.	on the ability of a person to	accurately introspect and
•	Think about the historical move namicism to behaviorism - just data as the "superior" type of	st like those early behaviorists	and psychody- s, some see observational
•	However, just like with self-repositions validity of these observations		of the construct
3.2	Claims on Observatio	nal Data	
•	Observational data is all about about looking at what a person is defined about the looking at looking at which is defined about the looking at lookin	what a participant is doing.	9
•	For some cases, it might be behavior to tie back to a cons		to just measure a
3.3	Reliability and Validity	in Observation Data	
•	Construct validity in observa	ational data can be confound bserver effects, and reactivity	
3.3.1	Observer Bias		
•	Depending on the circumsta	ances, observers may be be cipants due to some precond	
•	This is why it is important to hamay be observing and to ha consistent process (double-c – It is common to use cod is to be	ave rigorous training that hel	is! - but what type)
•	Blinded studies may also be	called	studies.
3.3.2	Observer Effects		
Observer effects are when participants act un-natural in response to the or perspectives of the researchers. Participants may readily			

act a certain way to appear "go		careful or		
Still of modify actions as they w	stiff or modify actions as they watch how experiments react.			
 Participants may also be uninte as well! 	entionally reacting to the behaviors	of the observers		
• The same solutions can help, es	enecially blinding the	, because		
•		, Decause		
they won't be unconsciously sw	ayed by their knowledge.			
3.3.3 Reactivity				
•	ar to Observer effects, but hinge server causing behavior changes ir			
 Three solutions to minimize reactive behaviors Find some way to be "unobtrusive" in observation, whether viewing from afar or outside the 				
 Allow a subject to 	to having you there	and let any initial		
reactivity die out		,		
Measure	regulte of the behavior and the	a aubicat thamaalf		
	results of the behavior once the	e subject themsell		
is gone - but this is still obs	servational			
3.3.4 Ethics in Observation				
need to be approached in a	for research, observation technan ethical and sensible manner elling participants how and why the	r, with informed		
 Some deception, such as watching through a one-way mirror may be 				
(if allowed by the IRB), as long as it has good, scientific rationale.				