

Week 1 Lecture - Scientific Thinking

Undergraduate Research Methods in Psychology

Quinton Quagliano, M.S., C.S.P

Department of Psychology

Table of Contents						
1	Overview 1.1 Psychology is a Science	2 2 2				
2	Producers and Consumers 2.1 Research Producers	3 3 3				
3	How Scientists Work 3.1 Empiricism	4 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 9				

1 Overview

1.1 Psychology is a Science

- The methods of psychological research may be different from those found in chemistry, physics, or biology - but we still follow the same conduct our investigations. • To be scientific, we must first be **empirical**, that is, to rely upon and controlled observations of a phenomenon. We cannot be purely **intuitive**, which is to make decision off of "gut feeling". - But, intuition *can* be part of the scientific , more on that later Our scientific procedures may be by confounding variables. poor ethical conduct, or limitations in design - we will discuss all of these throughout the semester - Scientific studies are often comprised of a balance of numerous practical choices impacting different parts of the of the study. • Example: Just like a chemist detailing each and every step in a successful or failed in our work as social scientists experiment, we must be equally 1.2 **Different Methods, Same Answers?** There are many valid ways of empirical/scientific research, many of which we will explore in this course - Different methods may explore the same overarching research question, but with different techniques, , and weaknesses Longitundinal/ Bivariate/ Experimental/ Correlational Multivariate Momentary Research Designs/
 - It is vital that you are able to both _____ the methods of other researchers (consumer), and craft your own (producer)


Types

- In this course - homework and research proposal will help you strengthen both sets of skills, applying the knowledge you get in class.

2 Producers and Consumers

2.1 Research Producers	
 Research Production is the process of actually conducting, and reporting research - using the methods we have a producing research is often a chology (e.g., M.S., Ph.D., etc.) - and also part of you here at GVSU (see PSY-350 and PSY-400) Being an author of research establishes your certain area or topic, and advances your analytical and we however, only one study does not make you an expension of the process of actually conducted by actually the methods we have a great and also part of your here. 	as an expert in a riting skills
 Good research is almost always peer-reviewed, meaning it other individuals in that discipline. Peer-review is effectively a collaboration of research the most version of a study. Example: A scientist at a testing company (e.g., The Colland reports on the metrics for the SAT over the last 5 years. Research Consumers 	producers to only publish ege Board) runs analyses
 Applied of psychology in businesses understand the state of scientific literature in their respective consumers of research. You will also be expected to be able to journals during your training here at GVSU It is not enough to just read research, but also to be of how "good" research is done. Just because research is "peer-reviewed" does not make the limitations or implementation. Unfortunately, some research is not properly vetted as example: A therapist applies a new, evidence-based technical. 	research from and mindful nean it is entirely free from
2.3 How Producers and Consumers Compare	
•	

applied: - Producers use fects and relationships - Consumers critically them to "real-world" problems • Example: An educational psychologist running study on the effectiveness of a haviors in class, and a teacher (classroom management strategy.	research designs to demonstrate real ef- research findings and carefully apply () complete a long- cutting-edge intervention for disruptive be) then implements it into their
3 How Scientists Work3.1 Empiricism	
	onsistently r from measurementto
evidence supports or - In order to do so, we must make sp the of said te	eories and ideas, but updating them as new with existing frameworks ecific hypotheses to test, and then report on sting Hypothesis to Data, which then either refutes theory



3.3 Theories

•	These are general	statements	or	concepts	about	how	а	certain	phenor	nenon	is
	believed to										

- They are often and expand over time as further information adds to and subtracts from understanding of a certain construct (Remember the Theory-Hypothesis-Data cycle from earlier!)
- These theories, oftentimes, try to describe some ______ of two or more constructs, whether that be a monkey and a figurine; a person and a treatment; a person and another person; etc.
- Most theories try to follow the rule of parsimony, that is, trying to fit the simplest-possible for a phenomenon or observed behavior.
 - Note: not all things can be fully "simplified", but we seek the most basic and explanation we can


3.4 Hypotheses

- These are much more _____ statements that often serve as the foundation for any particular study. They should be **pre-registered** and stated to the actual commencement of the planned study.
- Making hypotheses after a study, to fit the data, is unethical (we will later touch on this issue in Week 14).
- These may be made within the context of a broader theory, but are likely to focus more concretely on a predicted outcome with ______ measures (that could be wrong!)
- Several studies, led by several hypotheses, may all contribute to the development of a grander theory

3.5 Data

- Data is the _____ of an experiment or study, and contains the observations and tests that show significance or non-significance for the hypothesis, which aids in understanding whether the results support or refute the theory, respectively.
- Just like with crafting our hypothesis, we have a lot of input in how our data is treated and tested - different designs and measure will produce outcomes.

3.6 Burden of Proof




- A singular study does not definitively
 a certain hypothesis or
 theory, nor can it fully disprove these. Rather, it may add to evidence for or against a
 certain idea.
- Example in writing: "This paper aids in understanding how CBT-I may be beneficial
 for individuals with depression. Results indicate a moderate effect of the treatment in
 reducing depression in the present study. Future research is needed to clarify the
 effect in different populations and contexts."
- Put statistically: we never *prove* or *disprove* our null hypothesis (H_0) , we just supply evidence for or against our hypothesis (H_1)

•	Only once <i>many</i> studies have provided support for a theory, can we say the weight of is in favor of it.	
3.7	We Can be Wrong	
•	Falsifiability: Good research must allow for our theory and/or hypothesis to be flawed or If this is not accounted for, we engage in confirmation bias, or effectively choosing to only investigate for our views. - Recall the Theory-Hypothesis-Data flowchart from earlier. Revision is a valid outcome of new!	
•	It is critical that our design, statistics, and reporting make clear the a study is limited in its scope and abilities	_that _
•	No one study is so designed that it can account for all edge cases in a phenomenon	
3.8	Example of Theory-Hypothesis-Data: Harlow's Monkeys	
•	How do we test a component of primate attachment theory? We must perform an empirical!	
•	Example: Harry Harlow was interested in attachment theory (broad idea set - theory) gave a young monkey two options: cling to a "wire mother" with food, or a "cloth mother" with fur and warmth. He that them monkey would prefer the cloth mother (concrete prediction - hypothesis). He found that monkeys generally preferred the clothe mother (concrete outcome)	
•	This also shows the of good research - we must be willing to be wrong (i.e., we provide the possibility that the experiment can go the "other way")	
•	But, this one study does not singularly define attachment theory, the evidence requires more studies!	of
3.9	Norms for Scientific Research	
•	Robert Merton proposed a set of scientific norms that can and should our actions and behaviors in approaching and conducting research	

- **Universalism** states that "science is for _____" and that claims are not based solely upon the expertise or stature of the scientist, but rather, their methodology and rigor
 - Example: an undergraduate student can perform research the same as a doctoral student, and it will be measured by its strength, not the person who made is
- **Communality** is the concept that science is done in a community and as a , not only a small group of individuals.
 - Example: Even the authors of a published paper cite many others in their writing.
- Disinterestedness states that we must be guided by a commitment to truth and accurate ______, not by monetary gain or pushing of a particular ideology.
 - Example: A prominent medical scientist publishes results about concerning side effects of a drug, despite the fact that they have stock in the pharmaceutical producing the drug.
- - Example: I strongly believe the MMPI to be a valid measure of personality, but I read a study that is critical of its accuracy.

3.10 Continuum of Research Contexts

- Basic Research is that done for theoretical purpose to expand knowledge or ideas
 - Example: EEG Electrodes and brain waves during a certain activity
- Translational Research is done in a more controlled environment, but now being applied to people (or animals)
 - Example: Experimental study in a research lab of peoples reaction to a certain stimulus
- **Applied Research** happens more so in the "real world" where the findings from basic and translational research are applied to less-controlled .
 - Example: Retrospective study on patient outcomes after a certain treatment



 ALL forms of research here are useful and important in the _______ of well-rounded and well-supported theories!

	 As you will learn throughout 	t the semester, c	ertain research	will
	also lend themselves well	to one of these	types in particular.	
3.11	"Publicly" Available Re	esearch		
•	Scientists communicate primarily nals that use a system of editor of a study			jour- alidity
•	•	in papers, pres peting evidence	in their own work. one author, but instead the syn	rs can
	 In psychological science w reference previous scientif 		style (in its 7th edit	ion) to
•	Journals may range in quality an nuances in this later in the sem		though! We will discuss	some
•	Also, some journals may be d ways we may use the library re - By the end of this semes reviewed publication looks	sources to accester, you should	, but there are ess the texts d be very familiar with what a	•
3.12	Scientific Journalism			
•	Specialized journalists often try that is mo	to bring scientifi re acceptable to	• "	s) to a
•	 This is important work, as However, these writings are not may, und 	peer-reviewed th	new knowled he same as the original research eductive towards the "true" find	n - and
•	 It is not that journalism is When in doubt → always go to Note: Citing journalism ab proper 	• .	bad, just that we must be ca olication!! her than the study itself, may l	
•	There is an old saying in journal more violent and shocking storie interest. The same thing happe – Journalists may occasional	es tend to be cov ns in this type o	vered more and generate more	public sm!

