

# **Week 7 Lecture - Sampling Methods**

Undergraduate Research Methods in Psychology

Quinton Quagliano, M.S., C.S.P

Department of Psychology

Table of Contents				
1       Week Overview       2         1.1       Learning Objectives       2         1.2       Week Overview       2				
2 Generalizability       2         2.1 Overview       2         2.2 Relationship Between Populations and Samples       3         2.3 Probability in Sampling Methods       3         2.4 Representative/Probability-based Sampling Types       4         2.4.1 Simple Random       4         2.4.2 Systematic       4         2.4.3 Cluster       5         2.4.4 Multistage       5         2.4.5 Stratified       6         2.4.6 Oversampling       6         2.4.7 Randomness in Sampling and Assignment       7         2.5       Biased Sampling Methods       7         2.5.1 Convenience Sampling       7         2.5.2 Self-sampling/selection       8         2.5.3 Purposeful Sampling       8         2.5.4 Snowball Sampling       8         2.5.5 Quota Sampling       8				
3 Checking External Validity93.1 Overview93.2 Frequency Needs External Validity93.3 When is External Validity Less of a Focus103.4 Larger Sample Does Not Always Equal Better10				
4 Key Points       11         4.1 Key Points       11				

#### 1 Week Overview

### 1.1 Learning Objectives

- Explain why external validity is essential for most frequency claims.
- Describe which sampling techniques allow generalizing from a sample to a population of interest, and which ones do not.
- Be able to describe the difference between probabilistic and non probabilistic sampling techniques and the resulting representative-ness of the sample
- Understand the risk and implications of biased samples and be able to apply that knowledge to a reading of a real article

#### 1.2 Week Overview

<ul> <li>We can never study <ul> <li>Example: All veterans or all peop</li> </ul> </li> <li>Instead, we can only study a smaller try to extrapolate/generalize our concl</li> <li>Example: Just 40 veterans or 10</li> </ul> <li>That subset that we study on, from the</li>	of those groups, and then usions to the population we care about. people diagnosed with GAD
Discuss: Think of some other examples	of populations and their respective samples

### 2 Generalizability

#### 2.1 Overview

to the population and variety of settings, i.e., external validit		_	nouon	1 (0 (	consia		_	•							•		_	relates
	ιο	tne _				po	opuia	uon ar	iu va	ariei	y oi	sei	ungs	s, i.e.	, ex	tern	aı	validity

This consideration is present in pretty much all social research, and in all 3
 types (frequency, association, causal)

# **2.2** Relationship Between Populations and Samples

•	, ·	research, due to time, money,
people in the United  - Instead, we may ple's behavior is can behavior is meant to  • A population of esis. Rarely, do we make we may primarily aim to m some specified character  - Example: In my stue suspected dementia - Example: For that	that an accrried over to the population. o really be a study of a population is one that we determine a hypothesis or theory approaches a theory istic(s). dy, I want to that is my population of in	lequately representative sam- Thus, a study of a sample's ation's behavior! If the when we make a hypothelicable to everyone. Instead, around individuals with study older adults with
Discuss: Think of some o populations of interest?	f the articles you've read this	semester - what were their
• A sample, even if accura	tely taken from the populatio	n of interest, is not inherently
- Biased/unrepresent that they do not prop		t are somehow taken in a way
- Unbiased/	<u> </u>	
		se that are a proper represen-
tation of the populati – Realistically, no sam what flaws a sample	ple is,	se that are a proper represen- and we must be discerning in
<ul> <li>Realistically, no sam</li> </ul>	pple is, e may have	

	validity / generalizability atical perspective, the type of random sampling we due to how computers and chance work,
but for the purpose of the c	lass, we will call this random.
	<b>ampling</b> is the opposite, in which the method is not fore, may be biased towards certain individuals
<ul><li>Bad for external validity /</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>We'll talk about specific types ar</li> </ul>	nd examples for these in the following sections
<ul> <li>In real studies, we may very well to obtain the final sample to rur whether a study used a proper s</li> </ul>	n the study on. There can be a lot a grey area on
2.4 Representative/Probabil	ity-based Sampling Types
2.4.1 Simple Random	
	is is if/when we have an equal, known chance of within a population
<ul> <li>Example: I am interested in a po a list and then have a computer</li> </ul>	pulation of GV students, so I put every ID number in one at random.
<ul> <li>Each         number of people in the po</li> </ul>	has a $1/n$ chance to be selected, where $n$ is the pulation
• Fantastic,	but very difficult and rare
2.4.2 Systematic	
<ul> <li>a will be our a<sup>th</sup> person in</li> <li>b will be the number of peo</li> <li>We start with the a<sup>th</sup> person</li> </ul>	ple we increment by on, and then sample each person $\boldsymbol{b}$ away from that
• Say we have $a=4$ and $b=6$ then the 12th, then the 20th, etc	

Our population of interest  Example: high school students organized into high schools  We randomly sample from the clusters (e.g., the high school) and the students within a cluster  Still pretty good!	· •	, ,
Our population of interest  Example: high school students organized into high schools  We randomly sample from the clusters (e.g., the high school) and the students within a cluster  Still pretty good!		
Our population of interest  Example: high school students organized into high schools  We randomly sample from the clusters (e.g., the high school) and the students within a cluster  Still pretty good!		
<ul> <li>This method comes in when we have naturally existing our population of interest <ul> <li>Example: high school students organized into high schools</li> </ul> </li> <li>We randomly sample from the clusters (e.g., the high school) and the students within a cluster</li> <li>Still pretty good!</li> </ul>	sample comparable to simple random!	Still results in a pretty
We randomly sample from the clusters (e.g., the high school) and the students within a cluster      Still pretty good!		<ul> <li>This method comes in when we have na our population of interest</li> </ul>
Still pretty good!		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Discuss: What are some other 'clusters' you can think of that naturally o		• Still pretty good!
	ers' you can think of that naturally occur?	Discuss: What are some other 'clusters'

#### 2.4.4 Multistage

- Simply, cluster random sampling by simple random within the selected clusters. A combination of our descriptions of the prior types.
- Example: I randomly select 10 high schools in the state, and then sample randomly 50 students from a list of students at each school.
- Also, good!

# 2.4.5 Stratified

<ul> <li>This is a method that is done when we representative of proportions of natural</li> </ul>	are trying to ensure that our sample is in the population.
<ul> <li>Strata must be some ties, different genders, different education population.</li> <li>E.g., class level (First-year, sophometric)</li> </ul>	grouping variable (e.g., different ethnicinal levels, etc.) with known levels within the ore, junior, senior) at GVSU
After we have our stratifying variable, of the variable.	we then randomly sample within each
<ul> <li>However, our goal is to get a sample with strata to the population</li> <li>E.g., If GVSU has 30% First-year, 20% I want my sample to have that same</li> </ul>	% Sophomore, 25% Junior, and 25% senior,
2.4.6 Oversampling	
<ul> <li>This is a modified stratified sampling, whe or more levels of the strata more in samp</li> </ul>	
This is used when we may want to ensur relatively group of i	e that we still capture the experience of a ndividuals.
	know there will be relatively few indige- posefully ensure that at least 10% of the ven though they only represent 5% of the
Discuss: What are some other naturally encounter in research?	/ small demographic groups you may
A related concept for a similar goal is sample (across some strata) has occurred more "value" or weight to less-represente	where an unbalanced and we use a statistical technique to give d groups.

to

2.4.7	Randomness in Sampling and Assignment	
• [	Random sampling is related to external	and how we initially
(	draw our sample from the broader population of people	•
	- Example: Randomly selecting patients from a hospital for	or a retroactive study

analysis
 Random assignment is when we already have our sample and are sorting people into different levels of a manipulated/ variable, much more related to experiments and internal validity.

Example: after getting my sample, deciding randomly who will get a new trial drug and who will get a placebo during the study

### 2.5 Biased Sampling Methods

**2.5.1** Convenience Sampling

sample

<ul> <li>Biased samples result from porresults in the members of the behave</li> </ul>	in the sampling process, which sample being especially "unusual" or those that might than other members of the same population
<ul> <li>Effectively, certain gathering individuals of a</li> </ul>	types might mean we are actually a different population than intended!
There are niques	issues in doing thorough random sampling tech-
<ul> <li>In some cases, it may be to sample, with some caveats</li> </ul>	to use a method which is <i>not</i> random and drawbacks.
Discuss: Take a guess at som you suspect are telling of bad sar	ne 'red flags' of a biased sample. What things would mpling?

- Example: Psych 101-mandated research, college students, Amazon MTurk

• This occurs when we sample only those people who are

<ul> <li>The main problem is that those who are readily represent individuals who differ from the population of interest - they may be different in motivation, beliefs, status, etc.</li> <li>This may also occur in samples that are just hard to track - Example: people across a large geographic area</li> <li>Be mindful that the method by which we collect data (internet, telephone, etc.) can be un-inclusive and the sample as well.</li> </ul>
2.5.2 Self-sampling/selection
<ul> <li>This happens when we rely upon a sample that volunteers or selects itself <ul> <li>Example: I put up a poster in the hall with a QR code that says, "take my survey!"</li> <li>Compare this to me sending a randomized email to students of the psychology department</li> </ul> </li> <li>This is <b>not</b> a difference of voluntary vs. involuntary - we still ask for informed consent of everyone regardless of example type!</li> </ul>
of everyone regardless of sample type!
2.5.3 Purposeful Sampling
• This is when sampling is done by some method that limits the randomness of who from the population can be sampled, which may be intentional
<ul> <li>Example: Only recruit political science students for a survey by putting posters in the department</li> <li>What about people who don't go into the department or online students.</li> </ul>
2.5.4 Snowball Sampling
When you have current participants other friends / acquaintances for a study.
This is especially useful when doing research on especially small or to-contact individuals
<ul> <li>Example: Trying to study autistic adults - I may ask my participant to go share my recruitment link with other adults with that diagnosis</li> </ul>
2.5.5 Quota Sampling
<ul> <li>Very similar to stratified that we choose individuals non-randomly for each level of our stratifying variable.</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>Example: I send out a survey for people to self-sar wait until I get at least 15 people of every class leve</li> </ul>	
Discuss: What are the benefits you see from using	these biased methods?
3 Checking External Validity 3.1 Overview	
In my opinion, external validity should     it affects just how much our research means outside (external validity)	be on our mind, because the narrow context of the study
• But, external validity does not is doomed or of no value	ot necessarily mean that a study
<ul> <li>Like any strength or weakness in research, we asse claim being made. A weaker claim requires</li> </ul>	ess external validity in light of the robust evidence.
<ul> <li>Very specific claims with a very need especially high external validity</li> <li>Very broad claims need more evidence of goo</li> </ul>	population of interest don't
Discuss: Do you reckon that most studies do a god why not?	od job with sampling? Why or
3.2 Frequency Needs External Validity	
<ul> <li>Especially when we make a frequency claim about a p</li> </ul>	population, we

	need robust sampling ted	chniques.	
•	That is because almost accurate description of the nothing.		of a frequency claim is on good external validity, we have
•	•		hey better an that the claim will not widely
3.3	When is External V	alidity Less of a Foc	us
•	•	mb, we should <i>try</i> to have will always help the meani	high external validity wherever ing and of
•	But, like all validities, we the practical limits on our		maximizing generalizability with
•	In my opinion, the <i>most</i> i the the impact of their resear	$_{-}^{\cdot}$ of their sampling and are	earchers transparently report on realistic in what this means for
3.4	Larger Sample Do	es Not Always Equal	Better
•	There is a point of	returns o	on sample size to help external
	validity of a study. Sam	pling more and more peop	ole with a
	·	•	t necessarily going to get more
•			nany people, <b>and</b> doing this ic sampling methods described
	above)		
•	Larger samples <i>will</i> res chance of significant find valid and generalizable!		(i.e., higher ult does not mean that a study is

### 4 Key Points

### 4.1 Key Points

- Samples are subsets of the population we are attempting to study. Our conclusions about a sample are meant to represent trends present in the population.
- Sampling methodology is the most important factor in determining external validity and generalizability in a study, aided to a lesser extent by sample size
- Sampling can be done in a randomized manner, resulting in a unbiased sample likely to represent the population. However, it can also be done in an non-randomized way, leading to bias.
- External validity is always important, but tends to be more stressed for frequency claims