

# Week 13 Lecture - Quasi-experiments & Small-n Designs

Undergraduate Research Methods in Psychology

Quinton Quagliano, M.S., C.S.P

Department of Psychology

Table of Contents			
1	Learning Objectives21.1 Textbook Objectives21.2 Professor's Objectives2		
2	Chapter Overview22.1 Chapter Overview		
3	Quasi-Experiments         2           3.1         Types           3           3.1.1         Non-equivalent Control Group Pretest/Posttest Design          3           3.1.2         Non-equivalent Control Group Pretest/Posttest Design          3           3.1.4         Non-equivalent Control Group Interrupted Time Series Design          3           3.2.1         Non-equivalent Control Group Interrupted Time Series Design          3           3.2.1         Selection Effects          4           3.2.1         Selection Effects          4           3.2.2         Design Confound          4           3.2.3         Maturation Effects             3.2.4         History Effect             3.2.5         Regression to the Mean             3.2.6         Attrition             3.2.7         Testing & Instrumentation             3.2.8         Observer Bias, Demand Characteristics, & Placebo Effects            3.3.1         Real-world Opportunity            3.3.2		
4	Small-n       6         4.1 Overview       6         4.2 Core Characteristics       7         4.3 Types       7         4.3.1 Stable-baseline       7         4.3.2 Multiple-baseline Design       7         4.3.3 Reversal Design       8         4.3.4 Single-n       8         4.4 Balancing Priorities in Small-n       8         4.5 Disadvantages of Small-n       8		
	4.6 Assessing Validity in Small-n		

## **1** Learning Objectives

### 1.1 Textbook Objectives

- Articulate how quasi-experiments differ from true experiments.
- Use the design and results of quasi-experiments to evaluate the support they provide for causal claims.
- Explain the major differences between small-N designs and large-N designs.
- Use the design and results of small-N experiments to evaluate the support they provide for causal claims.

#### 1.2 Professor's Objectives

 Understand the natural limitations and appropriate situations for quasi-experiments and small-n designs

## **2** Chapter Overview

#### 2.1 Chapter Overview

<ul> <li>For practical and difficult to investigate caus</li> </ul>	reasons, we ma sal relationships with experim	ay find our hypotheses to be ents and large-scale studies
<ul> <li>But, we may still want to e and/or still present results</li> </ul>	establish among small groups of indivi	validity in our relationships iduals
In this scenario we will fall back on other methods, which we call experimental and small-n designs		
<ul> <li>You can think of thes</li> </ul>	e as the	designs for when we have
especially limited res	ources or difficulty in manipul	ating a variable

## 3 Quasi-Experiments

- Unlike with \_\_\_\_\_ experiments, like we've discussed so far, quasiexperiments do not have full control of the IV
  - E.g., assignment to different classrooms or different school programs by administrators, not researchers

•	Because we lack control, it is better to refer to these as quasi-	variables.
3.1	Types	
3.1.1	Non-equivalent Control Group Posttest-only Design	
•	Similar to our previous groups posttest-only design, this des will have a control group and a treatment group, both measured on an outcome	ign
•	However, they are still only testedthe "intervention" occurs	;
•	In the "Quasi" version, the researchers have the ability to redomly assign who goes in the experimental or control groups	an-
3.1.2	Non-equivalent Control Group Pretest/Posttest Design	
•	Largely an of above, this follow the same procedures as previous design, but now includes measurements before and after the intervention	
3.1.3	Interrupted Time-series Design	
•	This is when we are some variable for a period of time, a then it's measurement is "interrupted" by some clear event	and
3.1.4	Non-equivalent Control Group Interrupted Time Series Design	
•	A of the time series and non-equivalent control group design where we have both comparison groups and also a historical event that interrusome variable measured over time.	•
3.2	Internal Validity	
•	Just like with true experiments, these designs are similarly affected by threats internal validity  – E.g.,, selection, order, attrition, etc.	s to
•	We can still make to control for these possibilities, <i>but</i> we sho be cautious on how the "quasi-" part of these studies are cause for concern	uld

Thankfully, the counterparts!	for many of these ar	e similar to their non-quasi
3.2.1 Selection Effects		
<ul> <li>Recall that a selection effect of condition of the IV.</li> </ul>	ccurs if there is	variability in one
<ul> <li>This can be especially lack of random assignment - w</li> </ul>		or, because of the general vent these effects normally
<ul> <li>Solution: <ul> <li>Carefully monitor and of groups</li> <li>Use a pretest/posttest destrends over time</li> <li>Compare</li> <li>Plan a</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	sign to see different groups on demogra	differences betweenpoints and phic characteristics tment times are staggered
<ul> <li>3.2.2 Design Confound</li> <li>Similar to above, but this is when there is some systematic variation that occurs at the time as the change in condition.</li> <li>Solution: <ul> <li>Same as above</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
3.2.3 Maturation Effects		
<ul> <li>Like as with the "normal" maturation effects, we can account for this by observing comparison groups and using a pretest/posttest design.</li> </ul>		
3.2.4 History Effect		
<ul> <li>First thing to consider is wheth one</li> </ul>	er a history effect cause s	ystematic variability in only
Solution:     Still using comparison groups	oups and pretest/posttest!	

3.2.5 Regression to the Mean
• Surprise, surprise, this is still the same as discussed before
<ul> <li>Solution:         <ul> <li>Still using comparison groups and pretest/posttest!</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
3.2.6 Attrition
Be mindful to check for attrition effects acrossvariables and IV conditions.
3.2.7 Testing & Instrumentation
<ul> <li>Largely, just ensure construct validity and use parallel forms to prevent practice effects.</li> </ul>
3.2.8 Observer Bias, Demand Characteristics, & Placebo Effects
Observe bias is only present if we use measures
•characteristics will be minimized if participants are blinded and unaware of what "condition" they are in
Placebo are only a concern when we have a comparison group receiving an inert treatment, and can be nullified with a control group.
3.3 Priorities of Validity
3.3.1 Real-world Opportunity
<ul> <li>Sometimes, societal change presents an interesting question for researchers, that wouldn't otherwise be possible on such a</li> </ul>
3.3.2 External Validity
• In some ways, these types of studies are more and observe participants in a more natural environment, enhancing external validity.
But still watch out for sampling!

3.3.3	Ethics
	Like with Real-world Opportunity, quasi-experiments may be done on naturally occurring groups that wouldn't be ethical to
3.3.4	Construct and Statistical Validity
•	Just like with previous studies, our construct validity is an analysis of how well ourvariables are captured in the study
•	Statistical validity is:
	<del>-</del>
	- Magnitude (effect size)
	- Precision (confidence intervals)
3.4	With Correlational Studies
	The primary between the correlational and quasi-experimental studies is intentions
	Quasi-experiments usually are looking at a specified separate groups or specific event, whereas correlational studies deal more with just casting a wider net and measuring naturally occurring phenomena.
3.5	Quasi-independent vs. Participant Variables
	Quasi-independent are primarily those that change over a large portion of society or people
•	Participant Variable are personal characteristics, such as age, gender, race, etc.
4	Small-n
4.1	Overview
	Small-n designs are unique in their extremely small sample  Sometimes, it is just one person!

•	This is often due to our group of interest being naturally small, or an extreme time to each participant.	
•	The of small-n studies are often more concerned on individualized impact, which is a departure from the traditional probabilistic goals of most quantitative research.	
4.2	Core Characteristics	
•	Each person is treated as an individual, rather than with others.	
•	Data is not(i.e., turned into a mean or median)	
•	Designs are used to closely monitor timing andto interventions.	
•	Often used in therapeutic or care settings	
4.3	Types	
•	Small-n designs all share a relatively small sample size, but have different	
4.3.1	Stable-baseline	
•	This is when a person or few people are held at a for sufficient time to observe an unchanging status on some outcome variable.	
•	This baseline period is then followed-up with some or change, and more measurements	
4.3.2	Multiple-baseline Design	
•	This design requires people, and necessitates staggering the timing of the intervention across the participants, to see if the timing alone is explanatory in the change.	
•	It also helps in observing whether multiple participants see the samebehavior change	of

4.3.3	Reversal Design	
•	This is when a naturally undesirable baseline, and then a therapy is applied to reduce it.	is allowed to occur at
•	Then, after sometime, the treatment is removed, and the _behavior is analyzed.	of the
4.3.4	Single-n	
•	This is a general category term that captures any study that over usually a period of time.	at looks at only one person
	<ul> <li>It is common that multiple measurements for this the study.</li> </ul>	person may be gathered
•	This may sometimes be called astu	ıdy.
4.4	Balancing Priorities in Small-n  These studies are naturally very limited in their ability to situations and people, due to the uniqueness of the person	to other
•	However, they tend to be useful in examining and describing unique cases that cannot be replicated - and some implication in future research.	ngor
4.5	Disadvantages of Small-n	
•	Without comparison groups, we often open ourselves up to  - E.g., threats, regression threats	
•		as the cases are so
	specific to individual tendencies.  – A single person can hardly be considered	of many people!
4.6	Assessing Validity in Small-n	
•	Internal validity can be reasonably multiple baseline and reversal designs!  – Like with any design, the central question to internal	, especially in the case of

for possible confounds.

was

<ul> <li>External validity is relatively</li> </ul>	weak, but may be	more with further,
larger studies.		
<ul><li>And not all</li></ul>	need to generalize	!
<ul> <li>E.g., a clinician worki</li> </ul>	ng with only a few clients with	a specific problem
<ul> <li>Construct validity is assessed</li> </ul>	ed just like any study - with the u	ise of bias
tools and observations.		
<ul><li>I.e., look at the</li></ul>	statistics for	tools, as well as authors'
explanations and ration	onale	
<ul> <li>Statistical validity tends to</li> </ul>	often be more so graphical tha	` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `
most	statistics require large groups	s).
<ul> <li>E.g., our trusty friend,</li> </ul>	plots!	