

Week 6 Lecture - Surveys & Observational Design

Undergraduate Research Methods in Psychology

Quinton Quagliano, M.S., C.S.P

Department of Psychology

Ta	able of Contents	
1	Chapter Overview	1
2	Construct Validity in Survey Designs and Self-reports 2.1 Overview	2 2 2 3 3 4 4 4 5 5 6
3	Construct Validity in Behavioral Observations 3.1 Overview	6 6 6 7 7 7 7 8

Chapter Overview

 Observational des 	ign is when we don't attempt to	or manipulate
_	just take measurements of individuals in easured variables, no manipulated varia	•
• Often, we use the	ese for more macro	o-level studies that look
for big trends ac	ross people, and they tend to be most claims (though can be appropriate for	_
claims as well)		
 Polls and observa 	tional designs are everywhere:	
-	polls (technically even the electi	ion itself, is effectively a
survey)		
- Public	polls on certain topics	

- Interest in a certain commercial product

2 Construct Validity in Survey Designs and Self-reports

-	_		
2.1	\cap	ION	/iew
		/ \	

• Surveys, Polls, and observational designs mean the same thing, and describe a by which data is gathered from a certain sample via a self-report
 This design has plenty of, such as being done via the mail, email, phone, advertisements, etc which change the construct validity of the measurements done
2.2 Question Formats
 Question types range from to most restrictive, with different types having varying to use. Open-ended questions are those that allow respondents to reply in
and paragraphs not necessarily bound to one format. While capturing the "most" , these questions are difficult to transform into quantitative findings - in fact, some researchers would say they should not be transformed at all - This is the most common type of in qualitative research, where the goal is to often capture the full, anecdotal experience of participants. - Note: In this class, we will be almost entirely focused on quantitative research, which is that which uses analysis and operationalized experiences to numbers.
 Forced-choice questions are those that respondents to only responding to a question or prompt is a specified number of ways. For example, anychoice or true-false assessment is forced choice. Think about how forced-choice naturally participants in sharing the full breadth of their experience.
 Likert scale is ordinal scale question that asks a participant to respond to a statement or questions with 5 answers: Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree

	- Strongly Disagree	
•	A question that is similar in structure but has than those 5 should be referred to as a Likert-type scale	or less options
•	Semantic Differential is a format that asks a respondent to respondent a "rating" between two or adjectives. Extension or star" system for rating satisfaction.	• •
•	however they will have an impact on the type of analysis one can u type, and Semantic will all produce ordinal dat	a. Forced choice
	 is likely to be And open-ended is a whole other Be mindful of how you will perform analysis before making a surtool! 	•
2.3	"Good" Questions	
•	Question writing can have a large impact on the validity of a question - writing good questions is often time-consum	and constructing and intense!
•	While there are many ways for a question to go "wrong", There are are especially and dangerous	a few pitfalls that
2.3.1	Leading Questions	
•	questions are when a question is worded or o	designed in such
	a way that is likely to respondents towards a coutcome. This can be accidental, or intentional.	certain answer or
•	In general, avoid using terms that are in nate "bad", "dangerous", etc. If your questions is likely to play up the participant, it is possibly a leading question.	ure - like "awful", emotion of your
2.3.2	Double-barreled Questions	
•	This occurs when a question is actually two questions one. The problem is that this might cause confusion in capturing the feelings of an individual.	into e real opinions or
•	A good way to this mistake is if you see an "a the question - tread cautiously	ınd" anywhere in

2.3.3 Negative Wording

•	Negative wording is when a question is w of a question. - Ex. "Do you not agree with"	orded in such a manner that confounds the
•		analyze in a study, it can also be generally and produce inaccurate responses.
•	Wherever possible, avoid "not", "nor", "ne Sometimes, these sorts of issues can be re up, similar to procedures for double-barre	
•		ative and positive version, one can use a onbach's α to ensure that same-direction (and if they don't - we have a problem)
2.3.4	Question Order	
•	ing this issue is sometimes best investig	ard to fully prevent problems with. Identify- pated through a pilot study , which occurs and is usually meant to ensure that a mea- al sample".
•	different groups. Then	effect, you may use two different versions questions, and give those versions to two the answers of the groups to see if they omething in the order affecting the scores.
•	"Solving" this issue often involves a good,	understanding of the
	literature and some way to delicate questions.	as to why participants may react a certain
2.4	Getting Accurate Responses	
•	·	report - they require and dent. In a lot of research, we must trust bility of a person to report on their internal
•	However, for a variety of reasons, we m which are when a participant follows a	ust be cautious of certain response sets , of responses which

	informative. In the worst casesponding by the participant	ase, a response set represents, which confounds results.
 Response sets general scales 	ly occur more	in Likert or Likert-type
2.4.1 Acquiescence / Yea-s	saying	
	cipant carelessly selects the regions yes throughout a measure	nost positive
When a respondent is y that	rea-saying, it makes it incre represents their opinions	dibly difficult to discern whether //disposition.
 We may try to use rever "I feel happy most of the sad most o		ect this - Ex:
2.4.2 Fence Sitting		
• This is when a person k	eeps choosing the	or neutral option
		middle option, but this also limits choose to use a forced-choice
2.4.3 Socially Desirable Re	esponding / Faking Good	
respond in a way that s embarrassment or shan	eems	where they intentionally try to to most people, maybe due to
•	ned with faking bad/maling neuropsychological setting	ering , which is especially true in
We can help limit these so would be absurd for any know results are from collateral reports a	one to be that good or bad. . Finally, we m	questions that We can also ensure participants hay also get converging evidence

2.5 Other Confounds in Surveys

 We may often run into other unexpected in general related to people's ability to 	ed problems with our self reports and surveysaccurately
why they made a choice. There may	ay choose options intuitively, and may not know be a number of underlying cognitive reasons y, even if people don't know it!
ask for people to report on more distar not be quite accurate. Just because s	ean that it is accurate. The best way we can
3 Construct Validity in Beh	avioral Observations
3.1 Overview	
	to frequency, association, and s also give a sense of "objectiveness", as they bility of a person to accurately introspect and
 Think about the historical movement avenamicism to behaviorism - just like those data as the "superior" type of measure 	se early behaviorists, some see observational
 However, just like with self-reports, we validity of these observations. 	must be of the construct
3.2 Claims on Observational Dat	a
	iors and what we can tangibly sense with our
looking at what a person is doing.	articipant is doing. Most of the time, we are

3.3 Reliability and Validity in Observation I	Data
---	------

•	Construct validity in observational data can be confounded by 3 types of biases: bias, observer effects, and reactivity.
3.3.1	Observer Bias
•	Depending on the circumstances, observers may be biased to "see" a certain in participants due to some preconceived notions or beliefs
•	This is why it is important to have observers to the study they may be observing and to have rigorous training that helps ensure a smooth and consistent process (double-checked with reliability analysis! - but what type) - It is common to use codebooks to clearly highlight how a variable or behavior is to be for a particular study.
•	Blinded studies may also be called studies.
3.3.2	Observer Effects
•	Observer effects are when participants act un-natural in response to the or perspectives of the researchers. Participants may readily
	act a certain way to appear "good" or they may be careful or stiff or modify actions as they watch how experiments react.
•	Participants may also be <i>unintentionally</i> reacting to the behaviors of the observers as well!
•	The same solutions can help, especially blinding the, because they won't be unconsciously swayed by their knowledge.
3.3.3	Reactivity
•	Reactivity is somewhat similar to Observer effects, but hinges on the mere of the observer causing behavior changes in the participant.
•	Three solutions to minimize reactive behaviors - Find some way to be "unobtrusive" in observation, whether viewing from afar or outside the of the subject
	- Allow a subject to to having you there and let any initial reactivity die out

is gone - but this is still observational

- Measure

3.3.4 E	thics in Observation
nee	e any procedures planned for research, observation techniques and tools ed to be approached in an ethical and sensible manner, with informed telling participants how and why their data is used
	ne deception, such as watching through a one-way mirror may bellowed by the IRB), as long as it has good, scientific rationale.

results of the behavior once the subject themself