

# Week 13 Lecture - Quasi-experiments & Small-n Designs

Undergraduate Research Methods in Psychology

Quinton Quagliano, M.S., C.S.P

Department of Psychology

Tá	Table of Contents				
1	Learning Objectives21.1 Textbook Objectives21.2 Professor's Objectives2				
2	Chapter Overview 2.1 Chapter Overview				
3	Quasi-Experiments         2           3.1         Types           3           3.1.1         Non-equivalent Control Group Pretest/Posttest Design          3           3.1.2         Non-equivalent Control Group Pretest/Posttest Design          3           3.1.4         Non-equivalent Control Group Interrupted Time Series Design          3           3.2.1         Non-equivalent Control Group Interrupted Time Series Design          3           3.2.1         Selection Effects          4           3.2.1         Selection Effects          4           3.2.2         Design Confound          4           3.2.3         Maturation Effects             3.2.4         History Effect             3.2.5         Regression to the Mean             3.2.6         Attrition             3.2.7         Testing & Instrumentation             3.2.8         Observer Bias, Demand Characteristics, & Placebo Effects            3.3.1         Real-world Opportunity            3.3.2				
4	Small-n       6         4.1 Overview       6         4.2 Core Characteristics       7         4.3 Types       7         4.3.1 Stable-baseline       7         4.3.2 Multiple-baseline Design       7         4.3.3 Reversal Design       8         4.3.4 Single-n       8         4.4 Balancing Priorities in Small-n       8         4.5 Disadvantages of Small-n       8				
	4.6 Assessing Validity in Small-n				

# 1 Learning Objectives

## 1.1 Textbook Objectives

- Articulate how quasi-experiments differ from true experiments.
- Use the design and results of quasi-experiments to evaluate the support they provide for causal claims.
- Explain the major differences between small-N designs and large-N designs.
- Use the design and results of small-N experiments to evaluate the support they provide for causal claims.

#### 1.2 Professor's Objectives

 Understand the natural limitations and appropriate situations for quasi-experiments and small-n designs

## **2** Chapter Overview

### 2.1 Chapter Overview

<ul> <li>For practical and</li> </ul>	reasons, we may find our hypotheses to be
difficult to investigate causal relation	ships with experiments and large-scale studies
But, we may still want to establish	validity in our relationships
and/or still present results among sn	nall groups of individuals
• In this scenario we will fall back on oth	er methods, which we call
experimental and small-n designs	
<ul> <li>You can think of these as the</li> </ul>	designs for when we have
especially limited resources or	difficulty in manipulating a variable

## 3 Quasi-Experiments

- Unlike with \_\_\_\_\_ experiments, like we've discussed so far, quasiexperiments do not have full control of the IV
  - E.g., assignment to different classrooms or different school programs by administrators, not researchers

•	Because we lack control, it is better to refer to these as quasivariables.
3.1	Types
3.1.1	Non-equivalent Control Group Posttest-only Design
•	Similar to our previous groups posttest-only design, this design will have a control group and a treatment group, both measured on an outcome
•	However, they are still only tested the "intervention" occurs
•	In the "Quasi" version, the researchers have the ability to randomly assign who goes in the experimental or control groups
3.1.2	Non-equivalent Control Group Pretest/Posttest Design
•	Largely an of above, this follow the same procedures as the previous design, but now includes measurements before and after the intervention.
3.1.3	Interrupted Time-series Design
•	This is when we are some variable for a period of time, and then it's measurement is "interrupted" by some clear event
3.1.4	Non-equivalent Control Group Interrupted Time Series Design
•	A of the time series and non-equivalent control group designs, where we have both comparison groups and also a historical event that interrupts some variable measured over time.
3.2	Internal Validity
•	Just like with true experiments, these designs are similarly affected by threats to internal validity
	– E.g.,, selection, order, attrition, etc.
•	We can still make to control for these possibilities, <i>but</i> we should be cautious on how the "quasi-" part of these studies are cause for concern

Thankfully, the counterparts!	for many of these are sim	ilar to their non-quasi	
3.2.1 Selection Effects			
<ul> <li>Recall that a selection effect occurs condition of the IV.</li> </ul>	if there is	variability in one	
This can be especially lack of random assignment - which		ecause of the general hese effects normally	
<ul> <li>Solution: <ul> <li>Carefully monitor and consider groups</li> <li>Use a pretest/posttest design trends over time</li> <li>Compare</li> <li>Plan a</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>3.2.2 Design Confound</li> <li>Similar to above, but this is when there is some systematic variation that occurs at the time as the change in condition.</li> <li>Solution: <ul> <li>Same as above</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
3.2.3 Maturation Effects			
<ul> <li>Like as with the "normal" maturation effects, we can account for this by observing comparison groups and using a pretest/posttest design.</li> </ul>			
3.2.4 History Effect			
<ul> <li>First thing to consider is whether a one</li> </ul>	history effect cause system	natic variability in only	
<ul><li>Solution:</li><li>Still using comparison groups</li></ul>	and pretest/posttest!		

3.2.5 Regression to the Mean
• Surprise, surprise, this is still the same as discussed before
<ul> <li>Solution:</li> <li>Still using comparison groups and pretest/posttest!</li> </ul>
3.2.6 Attrition
Be mindful to check for attrition effects across variables and IV conditions.
3.2.7 Testing & Instrumentation
<ul> <li>Largely, just ensure construct validity and use parallel forms to prevent practice effects.</li> </ul>
3.2.8 Observer Bias, Demand Characteristics, & Placebo Effects
Observe bias is only present if we use measures
• characteristics will be minimized if participants are blinded and unaware of what "condition" they are in
Placebo are only a concern when we have a comparison group receiving an inert treatment, and can be nullified with a control group.
3.3 Priorities of Validity
3.3.1 Real-world Opportunity
• Sometimes, societal change presents an interesting question for researchers, that wouldn't otherwise be possible on such a
3.3.2 External Validity
• In some ways, these types of studies are more and observe participants in a more natural environment, enhancing external validity.
But still watch out for sampling!

9	1	1	
J	.5	.3	Ethics

3.3.3	Ethics
•	Like with Real-world Opportunity, quasi-experiments may be done on naturally occurring groups that wouldn't be ethical to
3.3.4	Construct and Statistical Validity
•	Just like with previous studies, our construct validity is an analysis of how well our variables are captured in the study
•	Statistical validity is:
	<b>-</b>
	- Magnitude (effect size)
	- Precision (confidence intervals)
3.4	With Correlational Studies
•	The primary between the correlational and quasi-experimental studies is intentions
•	Quasi-experiments usually are looking at a specified separate groups or specific event, whereas correlational studies deal more with just casting a wider net and measuring naturally occurring phenomena.
3.5	Quasi-independent vs. Participant Variables
•	Quasi-independent are primarily those that change over a large portion of society or people
•	Participant Variable are personal characteristics, such as age, gender, race, etc.
4	Small-n
4.1	Overview
•	Small-n designs are unique in their extremely small sample  Sometimes, it is just one person!

<ul> <li>The of small-n studies are often more concerned on individualized impact, which is a departure from the traditional probabilistic goals of mos quantitative research.</li> <li>4.2 Core Characteristics</li> <li>Each person is treated as an individual, rather than with others</li> </ul>
Fach person is treated as an individual rather than     with others
Each person is treated as an individual, fairlet than with others
Data is not (i.e., turned into a mean or median)
Designs are used to closely monitor timing and to interventions
Often used in therapeutic or care settings
Small-n designs all share a relatively small sample size, but have differen   1.3.1 Stable-baseline
This is when a person or few people are held at a for sufficien time to observe an unchanging status on some outcome variable.
This baseline period is then followed-up with some or change and more measurements
4.3.2 Multiple-baseline Design
• This design requires people, and necessitates staggering the timing of the intervention across the participants, to see if the timing alone is explanatory in the change.
It also helps in observing whether multiple participants see the same     of behavior change

4.3.3	Reversal Design	
•	This is when a naturally undesirable	is allowed to occur at
	baseline, and then a therapy is applied to reduce it.	
•	Then, after sometime, the treatment is removed, and the _the behavior is analyzed.	of
4.3.4	Single-n	
•	This is a general category term that captures any study that loover usually a period of time.	
	<ul> <li>It is common that multiple measurements for this per</li> <li>the study.</li> </ul>	son may be gathered
•	This may sometimes be called a study	
4.4	Balancing Priorities in Small-n	
•	These studies are naturally very limited in their ability to other situations and people, due to the uniqueness of the pe	rson under study.
•	However, they tend to be useful in examining and describing unique cases that cannot be replicated - and some implication in future research.	or n may inform directions
4.5	Disadvantages of Small-n	
•	Without comparison groups, we often open ourselves up to nu .	merous internal validity
	- E.g., threats, regression threats, e	etc.
•	External validity will tend to be naturally	as the cases are so
	specific to individual tendencies.	<u> </u>
	<ul> <li>A single person can hardly be considered</li> </ul>	of many people!
4.6	Assessing Validity in Small-n	
•	Internal validity can be reasonably , es	specially in the case of
	multiple baseline and reversal designs!	
	<ul> <li>Like with any design, the central question to internal values</li> <li>was for possible confounds.</li> </ul>	alidity is whether there

•	External validity is relatively	weak, but may be	more with further
	larger studies.		_
	<ul><li>And not all</li></ul>	need to generalize!	
	<ul> <li>E.g., a clinician working</li> </ul>	ng with only a few clients with a specific	c problem
•	Construct validity is assesse	ed just like any study - with the use of	
	bias tools and observations	S	
	<ul><li>I.e., look at the</li></ul>	statistics for tools, as	s well as authors
	explanations and ratio	nale	
•	Statistical validity tends to o	often be more so graphical than truly s	tatistical (because
	most	statistics require large groups).	
	- E.g., our trusty friend,	plots!	