Neural Networks and Learning Systems
TBMI26 / 732A55
2024

Lecture 6

Ensemble Learning

Magnus Borga
magnus.borga@liu.se

Classifier ensemble

Ensemble/committee of different *base classifiers*



Example – Atlas-based image segmentation



Images from AMRA® Medical AB

Bootstrap Aggregating (Bagging)

Breiman,1994

Train each base classifier using a subset of the training data



Reduced risk of overfitting

Boosting

Schapire and others, (1989-1990)

Train each base classifier using all training data but with weights indicating how important each training sample is.

$$\mathbf{d} = \{d_i\}_{i=1}^{K}$$



$$H(\mathbf{x}) = \text{sign}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_t h_t(\mathbf{x})\right)$$

Simple/Weak classifiers

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{pmatrix}$$

Example: Threshold ***one*** feature

$$h(x_2) = \begin{cases} +1 & x_2 \geq \tau \\ -1 & x_2 < \tau \end{cases}$$



Decision stump

Polarity p ={-1,1}

$p = 1$ $\qquad$ $p = -1$

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} +1 & x \geq \tau \\ -1 & x < \tau \end{cases} \qquad h(x) = \begin{cases} +1 & x \leq \tau \\ -1 & x > \tau \end{cases}$$



Classification and Regression Trees (CART)

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} +1 & x \geq \tau \\ -1 & x < \tau \end{cases}$$

Decision stump



$$h(x) = \begin{cases} +1 & x \geq \tau_1 \\ -1 & x < \tau_1 \text{ and } x \geq \tau_2 \\ +1 & x < \tau_2 \end{cases}$$





CART – 2D example





Piecewise flat discriminant function

$$f(\mathbf{x};\underbrace{w_1,\ldots,w_N}) \rightarrow \Omega$$

Feature indices, thresholds and polarities

Regression Tree

Same, but with real-valued output!

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 0.75 & x \geq \tau \\ 0.25 & x < \tau \end{cases}$$

Decision stump



$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 0.9 & x \geq \tau_1 \\ -1.5 & x < \tau_1 \text{ and } x \geq \tau_2 \\ 0.3 & x < \tau_2 \end{cases}$$





Random Forest



Bagging + Decision Trees



1. For each tree, select a random subset of the training samples
2. For each split, select a random subset of the features

} Make the trees ”independent”

Image from https://towardsdatascience.com/random-forest-learning-essential-understanding-1ca856a963cb

General boosting algorithm

Train weak classifiers sequentially!



$$H(\mathbf{x}) = \text{sign}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_t \mathrm{h}_t(\mathbf{x})\right)$$

1. Set weights $d_1=1/K$
2. Train weak classifier $h_1(\mathbf{x})$ using weights $\mathbf{d}_1$
3. Increase and decrease weights for wrongly and correctly classified training examples respectively -> $\mathbf{d}_2$
4. Train weak classifier $h_2(\mathbf{x})$ using weights $\mathbf{d}_2$
5. Repeat until $h_T(\mathbf{x})$

Training a decision stump

Find best split threshold τ!

Class label {-1,+1}

Training input: $\{x_i, y_i, d_i\}_{i=1}^{K}$

Consider only one feature

Weight

Normalized weights: $\sum_{i=1}^{K} d_i = 1$

Threshold function: $h(x;\tau,p) = \begin{cases} +1 & p\,x \geq p\,\tau \\ -1 & p\,x < p\,\tau \end{cases}$

Polarity {-1,1}

weighted 0-1 loss function: $\min_{\tau,p} \varepsilon(\tau,p) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} d_t(i) I(y_i \neq h_t(\mathbf{x}_i))$

1 for false classifications

Training a decision stump, cont.

$$\min_{\tau,p} \varepsilon(\tau,p) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} d_i \, I\big(y_i \neq h(x_i;\tau,p)\big) \text{ is always} \leq 0.5!$$

Why? If we classify <u>all</u> training samples wrong, we get:

$$\varepsilon(\tau,p) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} d_i = 1$$



But we can then just change polarity/sign and get all samples correct, i.e., $\varepsilon = 0$!

In general, if we obtain a loss ε between 0.5 and 1.0, we can switch polarity and get the loss $1.0 - \varepsilon$, which is smaller than 0.5.



The Loss Function - Example

$$\min_{\tau,p} \varepsilon(\tau,p) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} d_i \, I\left(y_i \neq h(x_i;\tau,p)\right)$$

Movie!



Brute force optimization

$$\min_{\tau,p} \varepsilon(\tau,p) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} d_i \, I\big(y_i \neq h(x_i;\tau,p)\big)$$

Loss-function jumps at the x_i:s. Enough to test all thresholds in the set $\tau \in \{x_i\}_{i=1}^{K}$ and see which one gives the smallest error.



Loss function is piece-wise constant!

Brute force optimization

$$\text{Training samples } \mathbf{x}_i = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,i} \\ \vdots \\ x_{N,i} \end{pmatrix}, i = 1 \cdots K$$

training samples

feature components

Pseudo code:

ε_{min} = inf
for all feature components n = 1:N
  for all thresholds $\tau \in \{x_{n,i}\}_{i=1}^{K}$

$$\varepsilon(\tau, p = 1) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} d_i I(y_i \neq h(x_{n,i}; \tau, p = 1))$$

    if $\varepsilon > 0.5$
      p = -1
      ε = 1 - ε
    end
  if $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_{min}$ ..... **end**
  end
end

Discrete AdaBoost

Freund & Schapire, 1995

Training data: $\{\mathbf{x}_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^{K}, y_i \in \{-1, +1\}$

Initialization: $d_1 = \frac{1}{K}$, $T =$ # base classifiers

for t = 1 to *T*

Find weak classifier $h_t(\mathbf{x}) = \{-1,+1\}$ that minimizes the weighted classification error: $\varepsilon_t = \sum_{i=1}^{K} d_t(i) I(y_i \neq h_t(\mathbf{x}_i))$

Update weights:

$$d_{t+1}(i) = d_t(i) e^{-\alpha_t y_i h_t(x_i)}, \text{ where } \alpha_t = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1-\varepsilon_t}{\varepsilon_t}$$

and renormalize so that $\sum_{i=1}^{K} d_{t+1}(i) = 1$

end

Final strong classifier: $H(\mathbf{x}) = \text{sign}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_t h_t(\mathbf{x}) \right)$

Discrete AdaBoost

- Discrete output from the weak classifier: $h_t(\mathbf{x}) = \{-1,+1\}$

- Weight update

$$d_{t+1}(i) = d_t(i)e^{-\alpha_t y_i h_t(\mathbf{x}_i)} = \begin{cases} d_t(i)e^{-\alpha_t} & \text{If } \mathbf{x}_i \text{ correctly classified} \\ d_t(i)e^{\alpha_t} & \text{If } \mathbf{x}_i \text{ wrongly classified} \end{cases}$$

- Performance of weak classifier:

$$\alpha_t = \frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{1-\varepsilon_t}{\varepsilon_t}$$



Final strong classifier: $H(\mathbf{x}) = \text{sign}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T}\alpha_t h_t(\mathbf{x})\right)$

Discrete AdaBoost – Toy example

Initial weights $d_1(i) = \frac{1}{10}$, T = 3



10 training samples $\mathbf{x}_i$, i = 1 ··· 10

Images from R. Schapire, ”A Boosting Tutorial”

Discrete AdaBoost – Toy example





$$d_1(i) = \frac{1}{10}$$

$$\varepsilon_1 = \left(\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10}\right) = 0.3$$

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\frac{1-0.3}{0.3}\right) = 0.42$$

$$d_2(i) = \begin{cases} d_1(i)e^{-0.42} = 0.65d_1(i) & \text{If } \mathbf{x}_i \text{ correctly classified} \\ d_1(i)e^{0.42} = 1.52d_1(i) & \text{If } \mathbf{x}_i \text{ wrongly classified} \end{cases}$$

Normalize d_2!

Discrete AdaBoost – Toy example



$$\varepsilon_2 = \left(d_2(j) + d_2(k) + d_2(l)\right) = 0.21$$

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\frac{1-0.21}{0.21}\right) = 0.66$$



$$d_3(i) = \begin{cases} d_2(i)e^{-0.66} = 0.52d_2(i) & \text{If } \mathbf{x}_i \text{ correctly classified} \\ d_2(i)e^{0.66} = 1.93d_2(i) & \text{If } \mathbf{x}_i \text{ wrongly classified} \end{cases}$$

Normalize d_3!

Discrete AdaBoost – Toy example



$$\varepsilon_3 = \left(d_3(p) + d_3(q) + d_3(r)\right) = 0.14$$

$$\alpha_3 = \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\frac{1-0.14}{0.14}\right) = 0.92$$

Discrete AdaBoost – Toy example

Final strong classifier: $H(\mathbf{x}) = \text{sign}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_t h_t(\mathbf{x})\right)$







Problem - Outliers



- Outliers gain weight exponentially
- Will eventually result in bad weak classifiers
- May ruin the final ensemble classifier

Outlier strategies

- Keep an eye on the weights (plot them!)
- Weight trimming
  - Don’t allow weights larger than a certain threshold
  - Disregard training samples with too large weights
- Use alternative weight update schemes with less aggressive increases for misclassified training data
  - LogitBoost
  - GentleBoost

Summary Ensemble Learning
(using decision trees)

- Nonlinear classifiers that are easy to implement
- Easy to use - just one or a few parameters (T)
- Inherent feature selection
- Slow to train – fast to classify (real-time)
- Look out for outlier problems (AdaBoost)

Real-time object detection

P. Viola and M. Jones "*Rapid Object Detection using a Boosted Cascade of Simple Features*", 2001





Sweep a sub-window over the image. for each position, determine if the sub-window contains a face or not.

Haar-features

Rectangle filters



From the sub-window, calculate contrast features (Haar features) at different locations and scales, and in different orientations.
LOTS of different combinations, can be several 100,000 features!

Train detector with AdaBoost

As described previously!

24 x 24 pixels face images

Apply Haar filters
to each image



$$\mathbf{x}_i = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,i} \\ \vdots \\ x_{N,i} \end{pmatrix}, i = 1 \ldots K$$

Similar with non-face images to obtain negative examples.