[Demo] NLP Dataset for Customer Service Automation

Company Type	Online Banks and FinTech Companies
Inquiry Category	Account balance and transaction inquiries
Inquiry Sub- Category	Pending transactions inquiries
Description	Customers frequently inquire about pending transactions, seeking information on when these transactions will be processed or cleared from their account, and how they will affect their available balance or funds.
Data Size	5,069 paraphrases
Want to buy data?	Please contact nlp-data@qross.me via your business email address.

Masked sample paraphrases of one "Online Bank and FinTech Company" customer inquiry. (Purchased data will not be masked.)

	hasn't	of		been	removed if	one	has	both a	ppear under '	Pending	_?	
	pos	ssible	_ two	entries still	up	_ the "Per	nding Transa	action" _		only happen	s?	
If	_ one tra	ansaction	place,	how b	othtransact	ions	up	?				
If	one oc	curred, h	ave sa	me	fror	n	Transacti	ons'?				
The P	ending _		has tv	vo identical	charges, ho	w	one	?				
				ing								
Why _		charges	s still showir	ng as	th	ough one	?					
I	w	hy	two ma	tching char	ges as	Pending						
								ıg?				
	do	two	matching c	harges listed	l Pend	ing	only	is	_?			
	the	ere c	only on	e occurrenc	e, what is ca	ausing	_ two		_ under 'Pend	ling?		
				the char								
								when _	only	occurs?		
Why a	are ident	ical		as	_ transactio	ns?						
	one	e	what's	with	_ of d	uplicate cl	narges show	ing	'Pending'?			
Why i	s s	till a	transaction	for	no	t one	· [?				
Can _		I'n	n twin	as	_ when only	y one	took?					
If it w	as only _		instance, _	hasn't _		twin c	harges from	the	?			
	there	only tv	vo instances	·	ε	as a	then	remo	ve one charge	that	same as _	?
If	c	only	isolated	both	unde	r regular _	w	hat	the reason for	r not eliminat	ing	_ fee?
	there		one and	d clarit	y their	r removal,	what is the		identio	cal charges _		
Why l	hasn't th	e	been		_ Transactio	ns'?						
Why a	are both		'Pend	ding	not rem	oved?						
Can _		_ some	wh	y both char	ges appear _	be	onl	y a	ctually?			
	one	e of	been _	th	e other still	shows up	on the		?			
				ending tran								
Whv	the	re still a r	ending	for c	harges,	sho	ould	?				

I'm curious why a pending for of removed.
They haven't taken the two identical Transactions' even
only one took place are apending transactions ?
with both appearing under 'Pending Transactions', matching charges be taken off?
possible that two still appear as aending when a?
If isolated event but both under pending transactions, is reason for equivalent
?
If occurred and both are Transactions', they the?
Is there any insight the when a charge only similar entries Transaction"?
only occurred, they haven't removed charges 'Pending
charges not been removed under 'Pending?
are still showing as pending despite only
are 'Pending only one transaction occurred?
I'm curious a deal for charges instead being
Why there a transaction for one can ?
been done before if charges is removed both the "Pending Transactions" sheet?
I'd like why a deal both charges instead of taken
though one of the charges happened, removed 'Pending Transactions'.
both show in 'Pending Transactions', there one?
Isn't that there is only one charges still listed Transactions'?
What reason for keeping both as
either from the transactions list, since one charge ?
both charges still showing after only occurrence?
I to know there's a for both rather one out.
Since been one what causing remain under Transactions'?
they visible together Transaction" why doesn't a single ?
When are together Transaction' any charge been deducted?
only occurred found in the 'Pending why haven't removed ?
they're visible together Transaction" entries, why hasn't a ?
Is a situation where is occurring similar show up as "Pending?
Why are on 'Pending when only ?
why are on Tending when only why seeing twin transactions when one charge
Even there one charges remain listed under
If only two "pending transactions," remove is the same another.
both show up why not charge off?
There are matching charges that are Transactions only one
Even one they did not them from the Transactions'.
While are listed together transactions, why hasn't one charges?
Is possible that still up 'Pending when there is only a ?
Even though the two charges they haven't from 'Pending
like know why there is a deal of being taken
both identical still showing as ?
Can you to I see charges listed Pending ?
Why anyone from the transactions list?
If happened, why both in 'Pending
the charge be are pictured in?
are both 'Pending Transactions' only happened?
If is isolated while both under pending what the reason not eliminating ? both of be off the pending after occurred?
Why anyone one of charges they listed transactions? If only one took the there?

in the Pending Transactions despite only one _		
that despite only instance, both	'Pending Transactions'.	
hy are still 'Pending Transactions'?		
only one how come two transactions show		
there only two instances what known as a "per	nding transactions," one	that as
t	instead one being off	
a as there for both charges		
nen visible together as 'Pending entries, hasn't		- 0
is both transactions stay under 'Pending witho		e?
possible that still show up as Trai		
duplicate has taken off of 'Pending ;		
nen only a occurrence with both appearing under 'Pend		off
nat's reason seeing twin transactions o		
y is transaction for both identical charges	being?	
en though was one charges still listed		emoving them.
of charges is removed, the the	sheet, why it been done?	
o entries show as 'Pending when a	occurs.	
en there is one occurrence both 'Pending T	ransactions',	been taken off?
there a pending both when one be _	?	
to why is a pending both charges and _	is being	
causing two to without a	ny sign of a different?	
I'm seeing twin as charge	took place	
as "Pending hasn't a single ch	arge from this been?	
't both remain 'Pending des		
y both involved in Transactions' occur		
y is it of charges been taken from		
inTransactions',isn'tjustcharge		
nen they are as Transaction" why hasn't		
both up in Transactions', the charge		
was why hasn't anyone one		18?
y is a pending for both one one		
be removed when both are Transac	tions? "	
the charges on 'Pending Transactions' if		
there an isolated sit under pending	transactions, what the	not at one
thereyou me about the when a charge or		
was a instance, hasn't removed one the	e they are listed	transactions?
charges still in transactions?		
y are charges referred as without		
there's a pending both charges instead		
the been off from 'Pending Transactions'		
it both charges are under desp	pite only being instance?	
y is of being	?	
though only the two charges occurred,	them of 'Pending	
en only happened, with cha		
single occurrence under 'Pend		of the been taken
ry of charges taken off from Transaction		
y are charges still pending one c		
know what's going on only and	entries show up as	Transaction?
l you know show up the 'Pending	Transaction' a occur	rs?

hasn't of duplicate been from 'Pending Transactions'?
Why aren't both from?
know there's transaction for both charges instead of removed.
only transaction took place, come are shown ?
If one of is removed on the Transactions" hasn't they been before
similar entries still show up "Pending charge only
Why in a only one happened?
I two listed as Transactions one was
the taken off 'Pending Transactions' yet?
Have the charges from Transactions' if one?
If of the charges is but both appear Transactions" why been?
Why there charges 'Pending only happened?
While twin charges together as pending why removed them?
they're as 'Pending entries, why a from this pair?
Is there situation where there is a charge, up "Pending Transaction"?
I am I am seeing twin 'Pending' one charge
I know there's a pending deal both instead of
it that still as aending transactions charge only occurs?
as charges seem to be when one actually place
aren't both charges 'Pending?
Shouldn't we remove at least out of because they are Transaction'?
If only transaction took place, both up pending?
Is a why entries still up 'pending transaction' is only charge?
it odd despite there one instance, listed under 'Pending?
Two remain in transactions section come one happened?
a there is only occurring charge show up asending transaction?
When together Transaction' entries, why a deducted from?
want to why a pending charges one that's being
When both "Pending why not the charge?
hasn't the charges been before if both appear "Pending ?
are of duplicate charges taken 'Pending Transactions'?
only one happened, is with these duplicate 'Pending'?
identical the pending transactions come only one charge?
is only is only about the of the on 'Pending Transactions', what explains presence of
If only one place, how come both ?
only one incident and clarity regarding the of the charges, on 'Pending?
Why are both showing as only one?
Is possible two entries still as the 'Pending when only?
When they're visible Transaction" why charge from them?
charges are pending transactions despite one
Why are the same still pending?
both show in 'Pending transactions', not remove ?
Do know what's only and two similar show up as 'Pending Transaction'?
charge only entries still appear as aending do you insight into ?
I'd like to know for instead of one being
I why there's pending deal for both charges, being
Is possible only occurring charge, similar entries show as Transaction'?
they together "Pending entries, haven't a been deducted?
Why are charges apending if one took?
I'm curious the only charge but two similar entries Transaction'.

Do	a charge	e only		entries	show	as 'pe	nding transac	tion'?	
What	trans	actions s	tay under '	Pending		sign	_ a second oc	currence?	
both	in	_ Transactions	s", why	the charge?	•				
Shouldn't the ch	arge	when	_ show	in a	?				
What	reasons		charges as	'Pending Trai	nsactions'?				
is an equivalent fee?	event	both	_ sitting u	nder regular _		what is	reason	not	least
Two charg	es still	Pe	nding	_ section, how	only		_ happened?		
Even	one of	ch	arges hap	pened,	taken t	them awa	y from	·	
the c	harges		if only	one took place	e?				
Shouldn't one of	f the	removed v	when they			?			
If is an		_ both ui	nder regula	ar pending	what is t	the reasor	n		equivalent?
charges st									
one o							removed?		
both									
it possible									
There are								the	_ as?
		on why the			one	took	·?		
Why the _									
shed						occurred?			
Why there						,		0	
Isn't it odd						und	ler 'Pending _	?	
there					tions'?				
are both _					ما انسان		ah asu		mam an ati am a?
		a						asending t	ransactions?
If								me as anoti	hor
it weird th							the sur	ine as anou	iici.
are the two						.9			
Even the _						adiusted?)		
What								rent occur	rence?
only									
If was just								s?	
If only									
Isn't odd _							?		
Shouldn't	h	ave been	of _	Transactio	ons' alread	y?			
Even only	one		two i	identical	the '	Pending T	ransactions' s	section.	
you shed _ Transaction'?	light on	situatio	n the	re only o	occurring _	but			up as 'Pending
Why		Transactions' i	f only	transaction h	appened?				
one t	he charges i	is removed but	t	"	Pending Tr	ansaction	s"	hasn't they	been?
I would		_ I see two ma	atching	as	transact	ions.			
charg								ppened?	
How come	are two ide	ntical	'Pendin	g if		inc	cident?		
While they are $_$									
When duo									
						ctions,		the reason	not
If only	_ occurred,		'Pendin	g Transactions	s'?				
Why it bee	n done	one of o	harges is	removed but _			on	_ Transacti	ons"?

the two 'Pending Transactions' in place?
there an event and sit under regular pending transactions, why eliminate at ?
do you and similar still appear as aending do you any idea?
Why are still for Transactions' if happened?
Shouldn't the be removed show up in ?
is there transaction for charges?
When both up in not the charge place?
what is going charge only occurs and two entries still the Transaction'?
If one of the is removed but the other the been?
Why are two charges pending transactions?
a removed when both show 'Pending Transactions'?
is the stay 'Pending Transactions' only one occurrence?
Both showing pending transactions, one happening.
only took how the are pending?
it singlewhy removing one the twinwhile theylisted together as?
Can shed on charges appear to be actually place?
When a charge only two still appear as can give insight?
are in Transactions' when only one ?
Why are still as pending transactions only ?
did both charges as Transactions' being?
Why isn't the duplicate off from
a pending transaction both charges instead the removed?
If there only an isolated and sit under transactions, don't we ?
there exists only an isolated event at least
equivalent
When together 'PendingTransaction' single charge been deducted?
has only one and removal, is reason for the two charges on 'Pending
charge been deducted when visible "Pending Transaction" entries?
both showing up as pending transactions?
are visible as 'Pending Transaction' entries, a single ?
only transaction occurred, both show as pending?
are showing pending transactions, even only happened.
still as pending transactions only occurring.
you I'm seeing twin when only a single charge ?
is both transactions stay 'Pending Transactions' even though has been ?
one took place, charges on transactions?
When occurred, does the Transactions' two instances?
When a and two similar show up the Transaction', have any?
When one happened, what's with the two "Pending?
I know why there a pending both and not that is
Can explain matching charges listed as transactions?
Why I see charges pending transactions?
Do you know what's going only similar entries appear as aending?
Even though only one instance, both charges under 'Pending without
Can tell me why asending when only single took?
would like know why there is a deal instead being removed.
If one charges is other still on the "Pending sheet, hasn't they
situation where there is only occurring charge but two similar still up?
If one only place, how both ?
If only one but both were in Transactions',?
When both in 'Pending why one removed?

Even of the two charges happened, taken of 'Pending
a single both appearing 'Pending Transactions', why been taken
a occurs and still as transactions, you have a clue?
visible as entries, hasn't single charge been?
possible that entries still up Transaction' only occurring charge?
Even though one the happened, they removed 'Pending
Why are there transactions if only took?
there a deal for charges, of one being ?
are both without one removed?
If in the 'Pending haven't they removed the charge?
Why charges onpending transactions there only ?
only took why are charges still ?
If single instance, anyone remove of charges from the transactions?
the one charge place be when both pictured ?
want know why seeing 'Pending' a single was taken.
are two as 'Pending' only one actually!
Why hasn't the charges taken Transactions'?
The charges on are there, if happened.
that there's only occurrence, what to remain 'Pending Transactions'?
Can about the where there occurring but two different entries still
Transaction'?
If there has incident no clarity of charges Transactions', what expla
presence
If is an isolated event both pending what's not eliminating least one
If show up 'Pending why remove ?
Why hasn't anyone one of twin of ?
Is it entries still show as 'Pending when there is occurring?
Isn't single instance, both charges remain listed under Transactions'?
When only a exists with under 'Pending why matching off?
pictured in "Pending Transactions", not remove single?
the reason twin transactions 'Pending' a charge took?
are both same charge same charge transactions?
took are charges still on thepending transactions?
duplicate have for to be off from 'Pending
The have be taken off of
Since charges the why hasn't it been done?
Two remain on only happened.
Can you happened?
one duplicate charges happened, what's up both them as?
of the is removed but both Transactions" sheet, it happen before?
Even only one they haven't the two identical
If there are only of what is "pending remove ?
Both are showing as pending one happened.
one of two be Transactions' once a occurs?
Why both showing pending when one occurred?
don't understand a pending deal charges instead of being
would know why there's pending deal for both being
$ If ____ the ____ removed ____ appear on the ____ sheet, why haven't ___ been done ___? \\$
Why that have not been off of yet?
What is the to stay one occurrence?
Can tell us about the where only occurring two show as 'Pending?

is the for charges as Transactions"?
is a pending both one be removed?
When are pictured in "Pending why charge?
aren't duplicate taken from 'Pending?
you going ona occurs and twoentriesshowas aTransaction?
When a occurrence has both under why hasn't either of off?
When up in transactions', not the charge place?
Why the charges on 'Pending there happened?
they are as 'Pending Transaction' why charge from them?
Isn't that are still under 'Pending Transactions' despite only ?
I don't why a deal charges instead one being
of the similar charges to be 'Pending
When pictured in "Pending not the charge?
does neither duplicate charges off 'Pending Transactions' yet? When only a single occurrence occurs under 'Pending hasn't the been taken
Why a pending for both charges, not ?
Both charges are showing as pending happened.
Why both the as but not ?
they haven't the two from 'Pending Transactions'.
charge be removed when "Pending "
there just one instance, both under 'Pending anyone them.
The duplicate have not removed 'Pending there was
When visible together Transaction'entries, a single charge ?
If there only two what's known "pending transactions", remove same the other
Even only charges actually happened, haven't them from Transactions'.
When visible 'Pending entries, has charge been deducted duo?
What why the not been removed under 'Pending?
there was one no regarding their what is the two identical charges
Transactions
Transactions Do you what happens when charge happens entries still show transaction'?
Transactions Do you what happens when charge happens entries still show transaction'? Two still up as Transaction" charge only occurs.
Transactions Do you what happens when charge happens entries still show transaction'? Two still up as Transaction" charge only occurs. Is that two similar entries still show the if is only ?
Transactions Do you what happens when charge happens entries still show transaction'? Two still up as Transaction" charge only occurs. Is that two similar entries still show the if is only ? understand why there is deal both instead one out.
Transactions
Transactions Do you what happens when charge happens entries still show transaction'? Two still up as Transaction" charge only occurs. Is that two similar entries still show the if is only ? understand why there is deal both instead one out. know why there's a instead of one being out. it why hasn't removed twin that listed together as pending transactions.
Transactions
Transactions Do you what happens when charge happens entries still show transaction'? Two still up as Transaction" charge only occurs. Is that two similar entries still show the if is only ? understand why there is deal both instead one out. know why there's a instead of one being out. it why hasn't removed twin that listed together as pending transactions. a single charge been deducted they're together as ?
Transactions Do you what happens when charge happens entries still show transaction'? Two still up as Transaction" charge only occurs. Is that two similar entries still show the if is only ? understand why there is deal both instead one out. know why there's a instead of one being out. it why hasn't removed twin that listed together as pending transactions. a single charge been deducted they're together as ? There is a where charge occurs and similar up as 'Pending
Transactions Do youwhat happens whenchargehappensentries still showtransaction'? Twostillup asTransaction"charge only occurs. Isthat two similar entries still showtheifis only? understand why there isdealbothinsteadoneout. know why there's ainstead of one beingout. itwhy hasn'tremovedtwinthatlisted together as pending transactions. a single charge been deductedthey'retogether as? There is awherechargeoccurs andsimilarup as'Pending If onlytook placecharges stilltransactions?
Transactions Do you what happens when charge happens entries still show transaction'? Two still up as Transaction'' charge only occurs. Is that two similar entries still show the if is only ? understand why there is deal both instead one out. know why there's a instead of one being out. it why hasn't removed twin that listed together as pending transactions. a single charge been deducted they're together as ? There is a where charge occurs and similar up as 'Pending If only took place charges still transactions? want know why there's a deal for both of
Transactions Do youwhat happens whenchargehappensentries still showtransaction'? Twostillup asTransaction''charge only occurs. Isthat two similar entries still showtheifis only? understand why there isdealbothinsteadoneout. know why there's ainstead of one beingout. itwhy hasn'tremovedtwinthatlisted together as pending transactions. a single charge been deductedthey'retogether as? There is awherechargeoccurs andsimilarup as'Pending If onlytook placecharges stilltransactions? wantknow why there's adeal for bothof What isreasonmatching chargesastransactions?
Transactions

two exist the "pending if only happened.	
Shouldn't one both are in Transactions? "	
these duplicate charges been Transactions'?	
If there is isolated both under regular transactions the reason for not least	one
?	
were both charges as Transactions' and ?	
why are both still showing pending?	
Two are in Transactions section after charge.	
tell me about the situation two similar up as ?	
Can you about situation is only charge, but two entries up Transaction	ı"?
there any insight the situation when a occurs two show up Transactio	
it that charges remain listed Transactions' even though there ?	
Is there a where there is charge appear as 'Pending?	
What to the two if there only one incident and their ?	
Even only one the two how them 'Pending Transactions'?	
both showing as transactions when only happened?	
isn't charges taken off from yet?	
you why I'm transactions 'Pending' when only charge taken?	
come they the two from 'Pending?	
I why there a pending for of removed.	
both in Transactions' if one happened?	
has both appearing under Transactions', why the matching taken off?	
What causing the to under though is only occurrence?	
still two charges on the ?	
Is there anything you tell me about when a charge entries entries transaction	ıs?
there only areinstances as atransactions",changecharge that same as	
If an event sit transactions, could be the reason for eliminating the equivalent	
?	
When a charge and similar entries still show 'pending have any?	
If only has appear under Transactions', why one of removed?	
Please me about the where there is charge two entries still as	
there a both charges than the one removed?	
only a single occurrence with under Transactions', matching been taken?	
What is to stay 'Pending despite occurrence?	
only two instances of what as a transactions", remove one the same at	nothoi
charges have been taken off'Pending there was occurrence.	TOUTCE
a charge only occurs, two still up Transaction?	
Why are both pending transactions only one?	
If is an and sit under pending reason for not eliminating any ?	
Why are transactions when only took?	
is not duplicate not been taken from 'Pending	
the charges be off from Transactions'?	
Why does both charges?	
Why removed?	
Two similar entries still up Transaction' when occurs.	
is a pending transaction both charges, being removed?	
If transaction took place, both transactions like ?	
there still two charges on Transactions' only ?	
Why both showing pending transactions one? we take at least out of these since are in 'Pending ?	

there an event and both	regular pending	transactions,	$_{}$ the reas	on a	t least one
?	on 'Bonding T	rancactions!2			
If happened, are the Even only one of			Donding Tron	an ational	
Why don't you the charge are _			Pending ITan	sactions.	
When they're "Pending Transac			deducted?		
are both showing transactions are both showing transactions.		charge	deducted:		
were the same					
Why charges still showing as pending		hannened?			
Why are identical 'Pendin			?		
Shouldn't out of				section?	
duplicate charges under transactions,			in the remaining		
there situation when charge on			as aendin	ıq ?	
come only event but both				<u> </u>	
only one both found			removing	?	
If occurred, have these been					
charges to as 'Pending	?				
them pictured "Pend		vhy not	_ charge?		
visible together as 'Pending Transact	tion',	charge bee	en taken	?	
we remove at least one of	expenses since	both in	Transac	tion'	
both shows in 'Pending th	echarge	?			
When this are visible 'Pending'	Transaction' entries,		charge	_ deducted?	
Why are both up pending	only one	?			
If there are only instances of known	"pending	transactions"		the sar	ne as
pictured in "Pending Transaction	ons," why not	charge?			
charges still on Tran	nsactions' only	one happened?			
that charges b	een removed 'H	ending Transaction	ons' yet?		
When one actually happened, what u	p with	'Pe	nding'?		
When are visible as 'Pending en	ntries, why hasn't a _		?		
are the matching as Pend					
it that the duplicate have			nsactions' yet?		
didn't one the charges tak	•				
What's with charges show					
If of the happened, of				_	
What is both transactions remain				ence?	
Why isn't the 'Pending Transactions'			?		
When both shows in 'Pending why				. 1	
When single exists both a					ID 4i
If been only one no Transactions		cnarges, w	ny tnere tv	vo identical charges	Pending
are the charges as transa	ctions despite	happening?			
Can help understand situation Transaction'?			ge but two	still show	
charges is removed but be	oth on the	sheet,	they	removed before?	
Why hasn't of	both appear on the _	Transactions	"?		
one actually happened, what is		showing "	Pending? "		
Why are both 'Pending Transactions'	without	?			
When 'Pending Transactions', v	vhy is	_ charge remove	d?		
me why two	listed as Pending	Transactions.			
Why there a transaction c	harges	being remove	d?		
I know why there's pending	both	one	_ taken off.		

one actually happened, what the two charges as
isn't the charges off Transactions' yet?
Yet charges up pending transactions despite
wondering there is deal for instead of being off.
tell the is only occurring charge two entries show up as ?
occurred, why don't remove charges from 'Pending?
These duplicate have yet 'Pending Transactions'.
If only one these charges been removed
is only instance, charges listed under 'Pending without them
there a where there is charge but two similar show 'Pending?
I want pending transaction for charges of one being
only single exists both under Transactions', why not been taken off?
charges removed when both are Transactions"?
hasn't charges been removed before one but is on "Pending Transactions" ?
I'm wondering I'm twin as only charge was
If there an and sit under regular transactions, what is the equivalent
?
only one took place, why are thepending ?
show up in "Pending transactions", remove ?
identical charges as 'Pending removing one.
When visible as 'Pending Transaction' entries, hasn't been taken?
only transaction why are in 'Pending?
one actually happened, what's up as 'Pending'?
When only single both appearing 'Pending Transactions', haven't the charges been taken
_
two Pending Transactions when only one made.
Why hasn't the twin charges are together as pending transactions single
instance?
both shows 'Pending there only one?
If one occurred and were in Transactions', the charge?
Do you know is going when a charge occurs and still up ?
they're "Pending entry, a single charge been deducted?
it a single why didn't the charges while were pending transactions?
similar entries show as 'pending transaction' a
but are 'Pending Transactions' haven't removed the charge?
Isn't it odd a are still 'Pending Transactions'.
Shouldn't either of the due to one occurring?
the two from Transactions' even one occurred.
charges remain in the pending after charge.
was a instance, why hasn't one the charges the pending transactions.
Considering that the duplicate are the Transaction" section, shouldn't?
Why are both showing transactions one event?
Is a charge only happens two similar still up the Transaction'?
I understand why is deal for both charges one
If one happened, how the show pending?
up 'Pending Transactions', why remove the lone?
If one isolated event and sit under pending what the reason not fee?
Why anyone removing of twin they are listed together ?
Can you shed some on charges appear be only ?
Why are charges still transactions?
When up in 'Pending why don't you ?

When both	pictured in	why	the charge?			
Why are the _	on	only took	place?			
When they're	visible as	why isn't	deducted?	,		
I two	charges as	when	was made.			
it	single instance,	anyone	of cha	rges from the pending	g list?	
When	them are pictured in "Pe	ending Transactio	ons,"	?		
Is s	situation where there is only	y but t	two	up "Pen	ding Transaction	
did	not change even thou	igh they the	e 'Pendi	ng?		
only	happened, what	happening	_ the two ch	arges showing	?	
like to	a pending	both ch	arges, of one	taken		
When only a $_$	exists with both _		why the	matching been	?	
If only	why are both	h Tran	isactions'?			
Both identical	still showing	de:	spite only ha	ppening.		
Even onl	ly one char	ges happened, _	haven't t	nem 'Pending _	·	
How come	up as	if one to	ook?			
	pictured Trans			_?		
	be off the					
identical	charges	transactions	section only	one charge		
If o	only one isolated and _	under	regular pending tr	ansactions,	for not	at least
Why are	identical charges	un t	ransactions?			
	th still showing _					
	an isolated and			could the	for not	at least
13		under regu	aidi pending		101 110t	_ dt lodst
	for two	show up as	'pending transacti	on' when a	occurs?	
When there _	only occurrence w	rith appeari	ng under 'Pending	eith	er of the m	atching
only one	what is the	_ for the	charges	'Pending'?		
When	has both	_ under Tra	ansactions' why ha	sn't the tw	o charges	oeen off?
like	e to know there's	pending fo	or charges in	stead bein	g	
	us some into the situa	ation where	is	and similar	still show	
Transaction			Ale a ale anno			
	ow in 'Pending			thatla	0.0	
	and and and					at least agriculant
fee?	_ one and s	sit regular p	bending what	101	eliminating	at least equivalent
Why	'Pending charges st	ill there onl	y?			
When	together as Transa	action'	a single	_ been deducted?		
	th charges showing as					
If one of the _	removed but the	other	the "Pending	hasn't	been	
When ar	e together "Pend	ling Transaction"	' why	single dedu	cted?	
Why	removed char	ges from pe	nding list if i	t just a	?	
Can you shed	why	charges are	a	ctually happened?		
Is there any _	the situation when	n a charge only _		entries still show _		?
What	transactions to ren	nain 'Pendir	ng Transactions' _	though has _	been	?
The	under the term	transaction	ns" one	occurred.		
When on	e actually happened, what	s up tv	wo duplicate	?		
Why any	one removing ch	narge haunting _	?			
Two char	rges remain	section de	espite only cl	iarge		
visi	ible as Pending e	entries,	_ a charge b	een deducted?		
Even if only _	place,	the charges onpe	ending	?		
bot	th charges as pe	nding transaction	ıs despite	occurring?		
If there	isolated event while	sit reau	ılar wha	at be the fo	or at l	east fee?

Can you shed some light on charges pending one?
Why see charges when only one made?
possible charge only similar still appear asending transactions?
If one of charges and still the "Pending Transactions" why haven't been
Why is there transaction for both charges, removed?
are two charges that listed pending only one
Why are charges as removing one?
Can shed some light on why the appear pending ?
When both in why charges removed?
has it done before of the is both appear on the Transactions"?
Even though both show 'Pending they adjusted?
Why are two only one was made?
charge happens and two similar still as 'pending have any insight?
If it just instance, hasn't anyone removed of from transactions?
Why isn't duplicate charges taken of 'Pending ?
If there is event both regular transactions, could be the reason not at one?
isn't anyone one of while listed together as pending transactions was just a
When only occurrence appearing why the matching charges been taken off?
There are $____$ is $___$ is $___$ as $___$ "pending transactions", so why remove one $___$ that is $_____$ another.
There two in pending how come only one charge?
lem:lem:lem:lem:lem:lem:lem:lem:lem:lem:
they're visible as "Pending why charges deducted?
there two instances a why one that is the as another.
curious a deal for both of being taken out.
Even of charges they taken them out of Transactions'.
When pair together as Transaction" single charge been deducted?
Only happened, but why are both ?
they're together "Pending Transaction" why hasn't charge deducted?
Shouldn't we remove least one of duplicate since both 'Pending section.
$I \ ____ \ \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \ know \ ___ \ there's \ ___ \ pending \ ___ \ \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \ both \ charges \ rather \ ___ \ one \ ___ \ being \ removed.$
The charges removed 'Pending if there just instance.
only one took place, both transactions shown ?
isn't the Transactions' taken only charge took?
why two charges Transactions' there?
the transactions' still there only took place?
you tell more about situation is occurring charge entries show up as Transaction'?
If only one then how come both as?
situation in there is only occurring charge and similar entries show as Transaction'?
Why there still a instead one being removed?
I want know there a for both charges than that being
it odd charges 'Pending Transactions' there being one instance?
be a charge removed both up in?
When in only one charge happens, not?
Even though they haven't removed charges from Transactions'.
Shouldn't the charge if up 'Pending transactions'?
there are only of what's transactions", one that is the same.
When there is only a charge as transactions, have a clue?
possible there is occurring charge still show up as 'Pending ?

Why is the charges Transactions' if was only ?
If it single why hasn't anyone one the twin the pending?
though a single instance, charges remain under Transactions'.
of these duplicate happened, is up the as 'Pending'?
causing both transactions to Transactions' any of any occurrences?
one what's up the duplicate charges 'Pending'?
Why isn't duplicate 'Pending yet?
Why hasn't removed one twin are as transactions?
If there is isolated event both regular pending transactions, is the for at at
?
both charges still as pending transactions despite ?
took place, how come show up as?
When visible as 'Pending Transaction' entries, a be ?
Is there into when charge occurs and appear as aending transactions?
one the charges removed the other still the "Pending hasn't it done
charges still pending transactions though one happened.
similar entries still show 'Pending Transaction' charge
the duo are visible together as entries, why been?
we least one of the duplicate expenses from ?
Given there is occurrence, is both stay under Transactions'?
occurred, both charges are still pending transactions.
Two duplicate charges remain in section, only happened?
to why charges as pending transactions only one was made.
of them show in 'Pending not charge out?
When visible together as entries, why single been?
it a single anyone the twin from pending list?
If only two known as a "pending transactions", remove that's same
it single instance, hasn't one of charges from transactions?
there into the situation only and two similar entries as transactions?
Two show up as 'Pending Transaction' charge occurs.
I want know why a not one being taken
Why are charges still there only one place?
duplicate been removed 'Pending Transactions' if one instance.
Even the charges show Transactions', aren't they?
two of what's "pending present, why remove one that is the same
If isolated event while both sit under transactions, not eliminating at
least equivalent fee
both are "Pending remove the one?
Why is there a charges instead the removed?
When two are visible why single charge deducted them?
Why are charges to "Pending
If there has only been incident no clarity their removal, why are ?
come the two up if one took place?
If there only instances of what's known a transactions," why that as?
happened, have either of been from 'Pending Transactions'?
they're as entries, hasn't a charge been from them?
anyone one of twin while listed as pending transactions?
we removeleast one of since in the "Pending Transaction" section?
Can you tell me seeing twin transactions charge made?
don't understand why pending for both charges instead one

charges are still on 'Pending happened.
some on why charges appear to pending, when only ?
When a occurrence both under hasn't either of the two been off
is going the two showing as when one actually?
come didn't the Transactions' despite only one happening?
If only took how come both transactions show?
What transactions stay under even though there is only ?
both show in 'Pending not the charge away?
I to know why is deal both not out.
you tell me the when a charge two similar as the 'Pending '
I'd like why there deal charges than one being
both charges removing one?
hasn't of charges removed if both Transactions" sheet?
hasn't anyone the twin charges the pending transactions list it was ?
only one occurred but both were in 'Pending why ?
Two charges showing despite only occurring.
isn't neither the duplicate taken off Transactions'?
only one have been off 'Pending Transactions'?
One charge wasn't in 'Pending '
would to why deal both charges is instead of
still show as the if a only happens.
Can tell me why charges as when took?
want know why there's for both charges.
not clear why the duplicate charges been yet.
How come haven't two identical out of ?
are both charges named Transactions' ?
are charges on Transactions' only occurred.
you a keeping charges 'Pending Transactions'?
Why the charges have off of Transactions' ?
If been one incident on 'Pending
It's not why two two charges as Transactions.
When show Transactions', why the charge?
If only instances what's as a "pending why remove charge same
Do going a occurs two similar entries still show the 'Pending '
Both charges pending transactions even though
If occurred both appear 'Pending why one of charges been?
Why is still a for both of one ?
there is only occurring two entries show up as 'Pending transaction'?
When occurs two similar entries still as aending transactions, do clue?
Why the removed show in 'Pending?
similar still show up as the Transaction' a do you insight situation?
What is both transactions to stay under Transactions' sign ?
there only one incident no clarity why is there identical charges on
Why charges transactions' still there even if place?
If only one place, 'Pending Transactions'?
Isn't weird that despite being remain listed under 'Pending?
When are 'Pending Transaction' entries, why a deducted from
When a charge and two similar entries transaction', do you have into?
able tell why see two matching charges transactions?
Two identical show in aren't adjusted?

only one	appearing Transactions', why both been taken off?
Can you give	the situation only occurring but two similar still show up
How	removed the two charges 'Pending Transactions'?
There is	charge but similar entries still as as
If there	one incident clarity their why are there two 'Pending?
When both	why not remove the only?
only tr	ransaction took come both are showing ?
it that	only a instance, listed under 'Pending Transactions'?
Isn't it strange th	at there being remain under Transactions'?
Shouldn't	removed when both pictured Transactions"?
one	was made, two matching listed pending transactions.
is there a	for both one eliminated?
When there is	both appearing under 'Pending the matching been off?
charge	e and two similar still appear aending transactions, you clue?
there t	two charges under term?
do see	two matching as transactions one is made?
only one tra	insaction took come both pending?
If one has _	but both appear hasn't one charges removed?
only a	ctually happened, up of duplicate charges as?
	as Transaction' entries, hasn't a taken from them?
Why	charges showing as transactions?
Why both	remain 'Pending removing?
Isn't th	hat charges remain Transactions' despite being one?
When only o	occurrence with 'Pending why hasn't matching charges off?
it was just a	why anyone removed twin from list?
	ccurred are Transactions' don't they remove the charge?
	appear aending transactions a only
	'Pending transactions', not take charge out?
	ly single with both appearing 'Pending why charges ?
	there's deal charges instead of one
	two charges from even happened.
	curred found in Transactions', why removed the?
	xnow twin as "Pending" when only one
	tell methe situationa charge onlyand twoentriesshow
	happened, has these Pending Transactions'?
	ether 'Pending a single charge been from?
	showing as pending though only ?
	there's a deal both being taken
	why there a for charges of being removed?
	has both under Transactions', why of charges taken off?
	on both charges ?
	on still there only
	wo matching charges listed Pending Transactions only made?
	seeing transactions 'Pending' a single
	on 'pending transactions' if only ?
	uplicate in the section not shouldn't we? there a pending deal for both instead the one being taken
	there a pending deal for both instead the one being taken took place, can you tell I'm transactions as "Pending?
	nly one no their removal, identical on 'Pending Transactions'?
	narges not removed under 'Pending there instance.
aupirouto on	g 10m0.00 und01 10mung un010 mi0100.

understand why the	ere a deal	charges, inst	ead one	off.	
a charge only happens, _	entries still _	up as the 'Pending	g you	into	?
is the duplicat	te under 'Per	nding Transactions'	there was only	?	
When only one	_ both under	Transactions',	both charges	off?	
Why show	them when	_ charge was in	Transactions'?		
both show in	why remove	the?			
Is it possible two er				urs?	
Shouldn't	removed whena	are pictured in "Pendi	ng?		
want why then					
a occurs					
Is it that similar					
is single occur					
any into	when charg	e occurs tw	vo similar entries	_ show as	_ 'Pending
There is occurring	similar entri	es still	'Pending Transaction	on'?	
a charge only occurs					
If only two instance					as ?
I'm curious as					
don't remove the	when are	Transactions"?			
charges remain in the	transactions b	ut one	e happened?		
it odd that despite	both re	main listed under	?		
I like know	am seeing twin tran	sactions as wher	n only	·	
what's going of	on with char	ges w	hen one actuall	y happened?	
There are instances	what's known as a "pe	nding transactions", s	0		as another.
When a only and	entries still	up as 'pending	you	why?	
charge t	akes place, you _	me why I'm seeing	g transactions _	?	
two matching	as pending transa	actions	_ of was made.		
it still a					
a exists				the matching charge	es been removed?
I know there a					
a two sin				only?	
both 'Pending			?		
took why					
If one took are			1	2	
they're together as			ge been from		
Only why are					
hasn't the duplicate Even though was in			Donding		
I two charges			renamy		
remain in			charge hannened	12	
If only why ar			charge happened		
isn't either			s'?		
I to why there					
both show			g		
Since both the			?		
Can you shed on					
are charges still					
Transactions section					
this if				Transactions" sheet	:?
When are visible					
Even of the					

is charges taken off 'Pending Transactions'?
If happened, these charges from the transactions'?
Isn't it that charges aren't removed Transactions'?
are both charges still showing up as?
If there only instances as a "pending transactions", take ?
Even the happened, they haven't removed from the 'Pending
When they're as transaction' entries, a charge deducted?
want to pending deal for both charges, not one
Despite showing up in 'Pending they?
be taken are pictured in "Pending Transactions"?
They haven't the two off 'Pending even occurred.
Why both charges pending if one happened?
Is possible that the duplicate has been from yet?
there only isolated both sit under regular transactions, why we equivalent?
they are as "Pending Transaction" entries, hasn't charge ?
Since visible Transaction' why hasn't charge been deducted them?
When visible together as why haven't charge deducted?
there only instances of "pending then one charge that the as ?
hasn't if of charges is removed but both the "Pending sheet? they visible 'Pending entries, a charge been deducted them?
they visible rending entities, a charge been deducted them: there only two instances what's known as remove one that's the
odd both are still under 'Pending Transactions' being
Even though one of haven't them from 'Pending Transactions'.
only did these duplicate charges show as?
I am why a deal for both of one
If of the is removed appear on the "Pending been done before?"
If of the is removed appear on the "Pending been done before? When occurrence both 'Pending why hasn't either matching charges been taken
When occurrence both 'Pending why hasn't either matching charges been taken
When occurrence both 'Pending why hasn't either matching charges been taken Can some light on why to be pending one ?
Whenoccurrenceboth'Pending why hasn't either matching charges been taken Can some light on why to be pending one? is isolated while both sit under regular pending the reason for an? I need to why is charges, of being removed.
Whenoccurrenceboth'Pendingwhy hasn't eithermatching charges been taken Cansome light on whyto be pendingone? isisolatedwhile both sit under regular pendingthe reason foran? I need towhyischarges,ofbeing removed.
When occurrence both 'Pending why hasn't either matching charges been taken Can some light on why to be pending one ? is isolated while both sit under regular pending the reason for an ? I need to why is charges, of being removed one charges is but both on the Transactions" haven't they done ?
Whenoccurrenceboth'Pending why hasn't either matching charges been taken Can some light on why to be pending one? is isolated while both sit under regular pending the reason for an? I need to why is charges, of being removed. one charges is but both on the Transactions" haven't they done ? both the same as pending transactions.
Whenoccurrenceboth'Pending why hasn't either matching charges been taken Can some light on why to be pending one? is isolated while both sit under regular pending the reason for an? I need to why is charges, of being removed. one charges is but both on the Transactions" haven't they done ? both the same as pending transactions. it that have not been removed 'Pending yet? Considering the duplicate are Transaction' and been removed, we? If it was a single instance, didn't charges pending ?
Whenoccurrenceboth'Pending why hasn't either matching charges been taken Can some light on why to be pending one? is isolated while both sit under regular pending the reason for an? I need to why is charges, of being removed. one charges is but both on the Transactions" haven't they done? both the same as pending transactions. it that have not been removed 'Pending yet? Considering the duplicate are Transaction' and been removed, we?
When occurrence both 'Pending why hasn't either matching charges been taken Can some light on why to be pending one ? is isolated while both sit under regular pending the reason for an ? I need to why is charges, of being removed. one charges is but both on the Transactions" haven't they done ? both the same as pending transactions. it that have not been removed 'Pending yet? Considering the duplicate are Transaction' and been removed, we? If it was a single instance, didn't charges pending ? If only occurred but are Transactions', don't remove ? Isn't that though is one instance, are still under Transactions'?
When occurrence both'Pending why hasn't either matching charges been taken can some light on why to be pending one ? is isolated while both sit under regular pending the reason for an ? I need to why is charges, of being removed. one charges is but both on the Transactions" haven't they done ? both the same as pending transactions. it that have not been removed 'Pending yet? Considering the duplicate are Transaction' and been removed, we? If it was a single instance, didn't charges pending? If only occurred but are Transactions', don't remove ? Isn't that though is one instance, are still under Transactions'? they're as 'Pending Transaction', why a charge ?
Whenoccurrenceboth'Pending why hasn't either matching charges been taken
Whenoccurrenceboth'Pending why hasn't eithermatching charges been taken
Whenoccurrenceboth'Pendingwhy hasn't eithermatching charges been taken
When occurrence both 'Pending why hasn't either matching charges been taken Can some light on why to be pending one ? is isolated while both sit under regular pending the reason for an ? I need to why is charges, of being removed. one charges is but both on the Transactions' haven't they done ? both the same as pending transactions. it that have not been removed 'Pending yet? Considering the duplicate are Transaction' and been removed, we? If it was a single instance, didn't charges pending ? If only occurred but are Transactions', don't remove ? Isn't that though is one instance, are still under Transactions'? they're as 'Pending Transaction', why a charge ? that charges are listed 'Pending Transactions'? being instance? only occurred, are charges on Transactions'? What's the reason seeing twin transactions when charge ? What's the reason seeing twin transactions when charge two similar still up as 'Pending Transaction'.
When occurrence both 'Pending why hasn't either matching charges been taken Can some light on why to be pending one ? is isolated while both sit under regular pending the reason for an ? I need to why is charges, of being removed. one charges is but both on the Transactions" haven't they done ? both the same as pending transactions. it that have not been removed 'Pending yet? Considering the duplicate are Transaction' and been removed, we? If it was a single instance, didn't charges pending ? If only occurred but are Transactions', don't remove ? Isn't that though is one instance, are still under Transactions'? they're as 'Pending Transaction', why a charge ? that charges are listed 'Pending Transactions'? What's the reason seeing twin transactions when charge two similar still up as 'Pending Transaction'. only and their explain the presence of two on Transactions'
When occurrenceboth 'Pending why hasn't either matching charges been taken can some light on why to be pending one ? I need to why is charges, of being removed. one charges is but both on the Transactions" haven't they done ? both the same as pending transactions. it that have not been removed 'Pending yet? Considering the duplicate are Transaction' and been removed, we? If it was a single instance, didn't charges pending ? If only occurred but are Transactions', don't remove ? Isn't that though is one instance, are still under Transactions'? They're as 'Pending Transaction', why a charge ? that charges are listed 'Pending Transactions'? What's the reason seeing twin transactions when charge ? where there is only charge two similar still up as 'Pending Transaction'. only and their explain the presence of two on Transactions ' though only one
When occurrence both 'Pending why hasn't either matching charges been taken Can some light on why to be pending one ? is isolated while both sit under regular pending the reason for an ? I need to why is charges, of being removed. one charges is but both on the Transactions" haven't they done ? both the same as pending transactions. it that have not been removed 'Pending yet? Considering the duplicate are Transaction' and been removed, we? If it was a single instance, didn't charges pending ? If only occurred but are Transactions', don't remove ? Isn't that though is one instance, are still under Transactions'? they're as 'Pending Transaction', why a charge ? they're as 'Pending Transactions' being instance? only occurred, are charges on Transactions' What's the reason seeing twin transactions when charge ? What's the reason seeing twin transactions when charge two similar still up as 'Pending Transaction'. only and their explain the presence of two on Transactions' They <t< td=""></t<>
When
When occurrence both 'Pending why hasn't either matching charges been taken charges one ? I need to why is charges, of being removed. one charges is but both on the Transactions' haven't they done ? both the same as pending transactions. it that have not been removed 'Pending yet? Considering the duplicate are Transaction' and been removed, we? If it was a single instance, didn't charges pending ? If only occurred but are Transactions', don't remove ? Inhey're as 'Pending Transaction', why a charge ? they're as 'Pending Transaction', why a charge ? What's the reason seeing twin transactions when charge ? What's the reason seeing twin transactions when charge ? Where there is only charge two similar still up as 'Pending Transaction'. They removed two from Transactions' though only one Why the duplicate charges 'Pending Transactions' i was ? Why the duplicate charges 'Pending Transactions' i was ?
When

If only reason	incident and	their removal	two	on 'Pending Transactions', what is
Why	there tra	nsaction for instead	the other removed?	
		owing as even thoug		
			why hasn't the two match	ing been taken ?
	re only two			remove charge
		Pending one or		
	charges	under 'Pending Transaction	ons'?	
Why	_ the two still	Transactions', or	nly occurred?	
		as pending why		
How	ren	nove the two from 'Pendin	g?	
Two iden	tical charges are still	showing transaction	ns	
	_ to know why is	s both	rather than one being taken	··
		two charges still		
Is		still show up as Tran	saction' in a where there	is only?
one	e took why	also taken in _	'Pending Transactions'	_?
don	n't they kep	t same as 'Pending _	·	
One of th	ne two need	ls to be		
Why	two charges _	Transactions'?		
Why isn't	anyone the twir	n they are listed	?	
	are still showi	ng as transactions despite	e one	
has	n't removed one	of twin charges even tho	ugh	transactions?
	two wha	t's a transactio	ons" are involved, why remove $_$	charge that the as
When the	ere only sin	gle occurrence appe	earing under 'Pending	hasn't matching off?
They hav	en't taken two _	Transactions' ev	ven one	
If there a	are of	known as a th	en why one	the same the
Even	occurred	l, removed two	identical from 'Peno	ding Transactions'.
dup	olicate have yet _	be taken Trans	actions'.	
	show 'Pending	why only one	charge removed?	
When the	ey're together	entries, why	single charge	_ from duo?
			_ two 'Pending	
exc	use going _	these duplicate char	ges showing as when	happened?
			hough there one ins	
Shouldn'	t leas	t of the expens	ses they are the 'Pen	ding Transaction'?
				e charges ?
			single charge deducted	
Since	charges are on	Transactions"	been done before?	
			removed them from	_·
		or instead one		
	that	_ instance, both charges are	listed 'Pending Transa	ctions'.
If	took why are	e there onpending?		
bot	h show	isn't just the remo	oved?	
	tra	nsaction the charges	s instead of the one being	?
is _	cause of tra	nsactions staying under 'Pendir	ng a	a occurrence?
Even	there was one	both charges remain listed	remov	ing
		should as		
Two iden	tical remain	_ the how com	ne only one?	
war	nt know the	ere's a pending for c	harges instead	taken
When	one transaction is	made, can you me	see listed _	Pending?
	it duplica	ate charges not been take	n off of?	

only actually what's with showing as "Pending? "
they're visible 'Pending entries, why no been deducted ?
only instances of is "pending transactions", remove one charge that's the
another
though only one of the charges remove from 'Pending?
Vhen only two show up 'Pending you have any idea of how this
visible as "Pending Transaction" why a taken from?
why there a pending for charges, not one being removed.
only exists with both 'Pending Transactions', why the two taken off?
Then they're together 'Pending hasn't charge deducted.
one took are charges transactions?
are two charges showing only one happened, excuse!
is isolated and pending could be the reason for eliminating an fe
one these charges been from the "Pending?
one took place for 'pending transactions' ?
nouldn't the removed when the up in ?
Then only a with both under 'Pending why the charges been
it why hasn't anyone taken charges the list of transactions?
occurred, why are two still in place?
hy doesn't anyone one charges while are transactions?
hy hasn't it before charges is removed the "Pending Transactions" sheet?
hen only single occurrence exists with 'Pending Transactions', hasn't matching been _
there an isolated event under pending transactions, what the eliminating at equivalent fee?
hen visible as 'Pending why hasn't charge deducted ?
to know why pending deal for one being
like to know there's pending instead the being removed.
hat the why are 'Pending Transactions' if is one incident and no about
only one with both appearing Transactions', why haven't the two been ?
there is only both appearing Transactions' why either the matching charges been _
one are charges still on apending transactions ?
it both charges remain under 'Pending one instance?
only two of as a transactions" remove one charge is as
identical are showing as transactions only one .
identical charges still the Transactions after charge.
there is anevent andtransactions,reason for not eliminating onefee?
was why didn't remove twin charges from the ?
there is only an and both sit under pending transactions, for eliminating
s that despite one instance, are still 'Pending Transactions'.
there instances ofpending why that is the same ?
why twin transactions 'Pending' when only a single
hen they're as hasn't a single been them?
of the charges is but both the Transactions" aren't they ?
only a occurrence with both under Transactions', matching charges been taken ?
one of be appear on the Transactions" sheet?
nouldn't be when are "Pending Transactions"?
Then only a with both Transactions', why aren't charges ?

Two similar entries still $_$	up as	charge	_ occurs.	
Why isn't duplicate	of 'Pending	_?		
Why are charges still	transactions'	if only	?	
Can you me the	in two similar	show up	?	
Why are onpend	ling transactions there wl	hen only	?	
One showed up but _	other 'Pen	nding?		
you to me why I	'm twin	only single _	took place?	
I know why	listed _	Pending whe	en only one was made.	
Why has neither of the dup	licate from	?		
you explain the	two charges listed _	pending?		
When both in 'P	ending remove	e the charge?		
remove lea	ast one out duplicate	e expenses	both in 'Pending	section?
only happened,	are the two Transac	ctions' still?		
was only s	ingle instance, anyo	one the twin	from the?	
would like	why is pending dea	al charges, r	ather the one be	ing
like to why I'm s	seeing twin as 'Pending' _	charge	·	
a only occurs an	nd similar entries still	the 'Pend	ing do you	what of
are identical cha	arges showing as pending	happ	ened?	
If only one why	are still for	?		
one are	still showing as pendi	ng?		
abou	t a where there is o	ccurring charge	still _	up as 'Pending Transaction'?
If was only one incide	ent no regarding	removal, are _	identical	Transactions'?
When both 'Pen	ding why	_ charge removed?		
a situation wher	re there is only but _	similar	still up	Transaction'?
Shouldn't charge	both show up	same 'Pending	?	
Why are both same st	ill?			
If one why are _	two still 'Pendi	ng?		
If only one took	the charges ?			
The Transactions sect	tion two	only one charge _	·	
Shouldn't be	if pictured in	Transactions"?		
happened,	why the two onend	ling transactions	there?	
When only	and two similar still	as a 'pending _	have a	?
there a why two	ae	ending transactions	a charge occu	rs?
a pla	ce, can you tell why	twin transaction	s as?	
When are visible	a why h	hasn't a single charge _	?	
$___$ haven't $___$ the two	identical 'Pending _	even only on	ie	
isn't anyone removing	g one of twin	are per	nding?	
I why	_ a pending both	instead one	taken off.	
charge rer				
	noved show up inen	iding transactions?		
	under Transa	ctions' though		
		ctions' though		