[Demo] NLP Dataset for Customer Service Automation

Company Type	Credit Card Companies
Inquiry Category	Card application and approval status
Inquiry Sub- Category	Credit Limit Enquiries
Description	Responding to customer queries regarding the credit limit assigned to their approved credit card, including clarifications on initial limits, potential for increases, and the factors influencing credit limit decisions.
Data Size	5,015 paraphrases
Want to buy data?	Please contact nlp-data@qross.me via your business email address.

Masked sample paraphrases of one "Credit Card Company" customer inquiry. (Purchased data will not be masked.)

Do _	result in equal responsibility for privileges, necessarily line for applicants?
	it mutual same responsibilities but the exact amounts for each user?
Does	s the accountability as as different amounts among involved?
The	amounts of not always the applications mean responsiblity for?
	the line for all different, joint result equal for usage privileges?
	application equal use accountability, while differing of among?
Do _	applications use as as different amounts?
Does	s for joint mean not the same amounts everyone?
	_ line amounts are not same, will they equal for ?
	there a possibility giving the same responsibilities the line amounts?
If	applications equal privileges not, the of applicants are not the
Are _	equal in accountability and line ?
Does	s joint equal responsibility usage privileges, but for line ?
	applications claim equal usage but the amount of
Joint	applications responsibility for usage privileges but not the
	joint equal responsbility usage privileges or not, amounts all is not the?
Does	s joint result usage privileges if amounts are for?
	_ it equal responsibility for granted to applicationers, but line?
For_	joint mean responsibility usage privileges, for amounts?
	application equal of and amounts among co-applicants?
	who has responsibility but not the same limits, applying for credit
	possible mutual application give responsibilities do not allocate exact amounts _ _ user situation
Are _	applications equal in and allowing different ?
Is it	true that applications mean for privileges without ?
	result equal for usage privileges, but the same
Does	s for responsibility for usage
	applying equal responsibility for using privileges, but with different ?
	isint and institute and an artist and but not

oint	re			- 3				
	_ result e	qual for	_ privileges,	not	same line _	for		
it	applying	with outcor	nes match	ning	_ also different _	across _	?	
int app	lications o	claimed resp	onsibility for u	sage, but		_ line		
oes	joint	equal res	sponsibility for	privilege	es but the sa	ame	?	
res	ponsibility for _	by	y joint applicati	ons not	6	as line amour	nts.	
	equal in	accountability _	well as	line aı	mong?			
qual res	sponsibility	usage	by	_ applications,	but by	_ same	amounts for other	
join	nt mean	for use _	not,	line amo	ounts of all	necessa	rily the?	
	is the re	esponsibility for _	witho	out uniform _	lines eq	ually us	?	
oes	_ application g	ive for	privilege	s if	not t	he same for _	applications?	
oes ame?	applications i	mean equal respo	nsbility us	sage	not, the		applicants	not the
the	amounts	all _	is	responsible	e for privile	ges?		
join	nt mean eq	ıual for	or not, _	ame	ounts	applicants lo	ok different.	
oes	someon	e else every	one will be	1	have different		spend?	
о		_ accountability _	different li	ne amounts _	co-applicants	?		
pos	sible mut	ual give	_ same	but don't a	allocate the	amounts	line per users	·
	for usage has	s by joi	nt applications	but	_ the	of		
int app	olications	claim equalf	for	the	of line	e sums.		
	with each	mean we	responsil	oility for usage	e privileges	uniform	?	
it _		give the util	ization bu	ıt t	the exact line am	ounts to	user?	
o joint a	applications equ	ualize	privileges	, not	same?			
it _	mutua	al application give	e uti	lization	but don't	exact	_ amounts?	
							amounts a	ccording
				<u> </u>				<u> </u>
s r	ocnoncibility	1						
´ —— -	esponsibility	usage privileg	es c	redit lines sha	ared	?		
		usage privileg equal u				?		
	assign e	equal u	ısage, not	the sam	ne amounts?		privileges?	
the	assign e	equal u	usage, not ent applicants,	the sam	ne amounts? result		privileges?	
the	assign e	equal u lifferent for differe	usage, not ent applicants, ge, not the	the sam joint ef	ne amounts? result or all?			
the to	assign e line are d mean equ applications	equal u lifferent for differe al usag	usage, not ent applicants, ge, not the or usage h	the samjoint ef out	ne amounts? result or all ? same line 1			
the o oes re the _	assign e line are d mean equ applications equally use	equal u lifferent for different al usage fo	usage, not ent applicants, ge, not the or usage k	the sam joint efout amounts	ne amounts? result or all? _ same line f _ co-applicant?	for everyone?		
the o oes oes	assign e line are d mean equ applications equally use make	equal u ifferent for different for dif	usage, not ent applicants, ge, not the or usage h onsibility for	the sam joint ef out amounts privileges	ne amounts? result ; or all ? _ same line ; _ co-applicant? having	for everyone?		
the the coes coes coes coes coes coes coes coe	assign e line are d mean equ applications equally use make amounts are	equal u lifferent for different for different for different for usage	ent applicants, ge, not the or usage k consibility for joint	the samjoint ef out amounts privileges	ne amounts? result or all? _ same line f _ co-applicant? having usage	for everyone?		?
the oes oes the it p	assign e line are d mean equ applications equally use make amounts are ossible that	equal u ifferent for different for different for ed in equal in respondifferent for has the sa	ent applicants, ge, not the or usage h onsibility for joint ame response	the sam joint ef out amounts privileges onsibilities	ne amounts? result ? or all ? same line f co-applicant? having usage the	for everyone?? privileges? exact a	umounts per	_?
the o oes re the _ oes the it p	assign e line are d mean equ applications equally use make amounts are ossible that claimed	equal using the control of the	ent applicants, ge, not the or usage h onsibility for joint ame responsibility usage	the samjoint ef out amounts privileges onsibilities enot nec	ne amounts? result ? or all ? same line f co-applicant? having usage the	for everyone?? privileges? exact a	umounts per	_?
the o oes re the _ oes it p	assign e line are d mean equ applications equally use make amounts are ossible that claimed	equal usage al usage al usage at a grant for different for and different for has the sage at give equal in give equal at give equal give	ent applicants, ge, not the or usage h onsibility for joint ame responsibility usage l for usage	the samjoint ef out amounts privileges onsibilities enot nec	ne amounts? result ? or all? same line f co-applicant? having usage the essarily the	for everyone?? privileges? exact a	nmounts per ines.	_?
the	assign e line are d mean equ applications equally use make amounts are ossible that claimed that join for	equalu different for different for different for different for has the sall equal responsibilint give equal resp	ent applicants, ge, not the or usage h onsibility for joint ame respondity usage l for usage	the samjoint ef out amounts privileges onsibilities enot necee? privileges	ne amounts? result ? or all ? same line f co-applicant? having usage the essarily the	for everyone? privileges? exact &	amounts perines.	
the o oes re the _ oes it p oes s r	assign e line are d mean equ applications equally use make amounts are ossible that claimed that join for possible possible	equalu ifferent for different for different for different for has the sall equal responsibilint give equal mean resp application givents.	usage, not ent applicants, ge, not the or usage h onsibility for joint ame responsibility usage l for usage onsibility for ve	the samjoint ef out amounts privilegesnot necee? privileges responsib	ne amounts? result ? or all ? same line f co-applicant? having usage the essarily the not the bilities not a	for everyone? privileges? exact i	nmounts per ines.	
the o oes ithe it p oes it we	assign e line are d mean equ applications equally use make amounts are ossible that claimed that join for osssible together,	equalu different for different for different for ed in equal in respondifferent for has the sall equal responsibilit give equal mean resp application girddoes equal does	usage, not ent applicants, ge, not the or usage h onsibility for joint ame respondity usage l for usage onsibility for ve equa	the samjoint ef out amounts privileges onsibilities enot necee? privileges responsib l in responsib	result result result ? result ? same line f co-applicant? having usage the essarily the not the pilities not a ility usage p	for everyone? privileges? exact a llocate the exprivileges?	amounts perines.	
the o oes the it p oes we a	assign e line are d mean equ applications equally use make amounts are ossible that claimed that join for possible together, application	equalu ifferent for different for different for different for has the sall equal responsibilit give equal mean resp application girdoesusing	usage, not ent applicants, ge, not the or usage h onsibility for joint ame respo lity usage l for usag onsibility for ve equa well as d	the samjoint ef out amounts privilegesnot necee? privileges responsib l in responsib	result result result ? result ? same line f co-applicant? having usage the essarily the not the pilities not a ility usage p	for everyone? privileges? exact a llocate the exprivileges?	amounts perines.	
the o oes re the _ oes it p oes F we a join	assign e line are d mean equ applications _ equally use make _ amounts are ossible that claimed that join for cossible together, application at applications it applications are d	equalu ifferent for different for different for ed inequal in responsibility t special equal responsibility mean responsibility using using responsibility	usage, not ent applicants, ge, not the or usage h onsibility for joint ame respondity usage l for usage onsibility for ve equa well as d y for	the sam	result result ? result ? or all ? same line f co-applicant? having usage the essarily the not the bilities not a ility usage p co-apple	for everyone? privileges? exacta lincate the exprivileges? dicants?	nmounts per ines. everyone? xact amounts	
the o oes it p oes F we join it p	assign e line are d mean equ _ applications equally use _ make amounts are ossible that claimed _ that join _ for together, application at applications _ ossible that ossible that applications _ ossible that applications _ ossible that ossible that applications _	equal using responsibility	usage, not ent applicants, ge, not the or usage h onsibility for joint ame respondity usage l for usage lonsibility for equa well as d y for same usage re-	the sam	result result ? result ? result ? result ? same line f co-applicant? having usage the essarily the not the pilities not a sility usage p co-applicant?	for everyone? privileges? exact a llocate the exacticants? exact line	amounts per ines. everyone? exact amounts	
the o oes re the _ oes it p oes F we	assign e line are d mean equ _ applications equally use _ make amounts are ossible that claimed _ that join _ for ossible together, application at applications _ ossible that mean	equal using responsibility	usage, not ent applicants, ge, not the or usage h onsibility for joint ame respondity usage l for usage onsibility for ve equa well as d y for same usage refor privil	the sam	result result ? result ! result	for everyone? privileges? exact	amounts per ines. everyone? xact amounts ? all applicants?	each
the coordinate the co	assign e line are d mean equ _ applications equally use _ make amounts are ossible that claimed _ that join _ for ossible together, application at applications _ ossible that mean	equal using responsibility	usage, not ent applicants, ge, not the or usage h onsibility for joint ame respondity usage l for usage onsibility for ve equa well as d y for same usage refor privil	the sam	result result ? result ! result	for everyone? privileges? exact	amounts per ines. everyone? exact amounts	each
the loos loos loos loos it p loos loos it p loos loos it p loos _	assign e line are d mean equ applications equally use amounts are ossible that claimed that join for together, application at applications ossible that amounts of all	equalu different for different for different fore different for has the set lequal responsibility mean responsibility responsibility responsibility aren't necessity are	usage, not ent applicants, ge, not the or usage h onsibility for joint ame respondity usage l for usage onsibility for ve equa well as d y for same usage refor privile	the samjoint ef out amounts privilegesonsibilities e privileges responsib l in responsib ifferent line? esponsibilities eges appear	ne amounts?result	for everyone? privileges? exact a llocate the exacticants? exact line ifferent t application	mounts per ines. everyone? xact amounts? all applicants? s mean for	each
the oo ooes re the _ ooes it p ooes s we s join it p oot f it p	assign e line are d mean equ applications equally use amounts are ossible that claimed that join for together, application at applications ossible that amounts of all ine d	equal	usage, not ent applicants, ge, not the or usage h onsibility for joint ame respondity usage l for usage lonsibility for equa well as d y for well as d y for responding to general design. same usage references for privilessarily does joint	the sam	result result ? result ? same line f co-applicant? having usage the essarily the not the polities not a sility usage p co-appl s, but co-appl the will join the	for everyone? ? privileges? exact ? collocate the exprivileges? licants? exact line ifferent t application for privileges?	mounts per ines. everyone? xact amounts? all applicants? s mean for	each
the oo the	assign e line are d mean equ _ applications equally use _ make amounts are ossible that that join for cossible together, application at applications _ ossible that amounts of all ine d mounts d	equal	asage, not ent applicants, ge, not the or usage h onsibility for joint ame respondity usage l for usage onsibility for equa well as d y for same usage refor privile essarily does joint joint application	the sam	ne amounts? result ? result ? same line for co-applicant? having usage the essarily the not the illity usage process, but co-applicants are do will join the the for the for the the for the	for everyone? privileges? exacta llocate the exprivileges? dicants? exact line ifferent t application for privileger.?	nmounts per ines. everyone? kact amounts? all applicants? s mean for ileges?	each
the oo the oo the oo the oo the oo the the the the oo	assign e line are d mean equ applications equally use make amounts are lossible that lossible together, application at applications at applications and amounts of all line d mounts and d mounts and mu	equal	usage, not ent applicants, ge, not the or usage h onsibility for	the sam	result result ? result ? result ? same line for all ? co-applicant? having usage the result the not all ility usage co-applicant and all ility usage the result don't allocate for all d	for everyone? privileges? exacta llocate the exprivileges? licants? exact line ifferent t application for priv pr? lin	nmounts per ines. everyone? kact amounts? all applicants? s mean for ileges?	each

the	while allowing differing lines of credit co?
s joint application	usage line are for everyone?
equal responsbility for	or not, the amounts not ?
it possible applica	ation gives same responsibilities, but does line to
	cants not the same, applications mean equal usage privileges.
	lity in different line amounts among ?
	veryone,joint result in responsibility usage?
possible mutual a situation?	application to the same utilization responsibilities, allocate exact
	een by joint applications, the exact amount line
	ive same responsibilities, but not allocate the exact individual use
	ual for not, the line of all not necessarily
	ty for usage privileges the are all applicants?
	grant the utilization responsibilities variances in line amounts?
	qual usage privileges, of all applicants are also not same?
	o identical utilization responsibilities differing users?
	qual responsibility necessarily the number of lines.
	cation gives theutilizationbutthein linesituation
	qual responsibility for privileges line amounts of all are
	for but necessarily the same line?
	ication the same utilization but not exact to to
	se of card, lines?
	ation the same responsibilities allocate exact line amounts?
	usage but same line sums.
should given e	equal responsibility for but be the amount.
	responsibility for necessarily same line amounts?
	esponsibility for but the same amounts?
nt for	but the same amounts.
ll both applicants	use obligations even they not the ?
application result _	responsibility usage line amounts for different applications?
joint applications mean e	qual responsbility usage or applicants aren't always
for privil	leges not, the amounts of applicants aren't necessarily what ?
	the same amount?
	 tion give the same do not allocate exact amounts user
	responsbility for usage privileges the amount all applicants is not
	pplication give utilization but exact per users' ?
	use accountability as different amounts co-applicants?
	responsibility if line are?
	as well as allowing among are applicationlicants?
	for usage but not the same number everyone?
	_ use well as allowing among people involved?
	equal responsibility line amounts?
	responsibility usage privileges, necessarily same line amounts all _
	ze but the same?
	ntability lines of
es joint in r	
	not always the same line amounts?
application equivale	ent use while line amounts co-applicants?
e line amounts of	the same this case, joint mean equal or not?

applications may responsibility but always the same
$ \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
the use accountability and line for co-applicants?
Will applications equal responsiblity for privileges ?
Are equivalent use as well amounts for co-applicants?
applications in equal for usage privileges not same line?
The line amounts all applicants aren't what they will joint applications mean ?
mean for usage, but line for everyone?
Will joint equal for usage not, the line amounts of what they?
Is it possible that same utilization responsibilities not exact line amounts according to
Does application result use privileges, but same amount?
application gives the utilization allocate the line amounts per user's situation?
Will applications equal responsiblity, the of aren't same?
Joint applications claim not the amount of Line
Is applications similar use accountability well different amounts ?
joint usage privileges not, the line of applicants are also same in this
Is possible that the responsibilities but allocate the exact according to the user's
application equal in accountability, different for co-applicants?
joint application equal responsibility for line amounts different?
Will applications ensure equal responsibility usage privileges or line of necessarily?
Is there an privileges if line are for applications?
application have responsbility usage or not?
line amounts of all is certain, joint equal for privileges or?
Does mean responsibility usage but not always the same amounts everyone?
If joint mean equal responsiblity usage the amounts all the same.
Does applying we're for usage?
Is it possible mutual give same not exact ?
Will joint applications responsiblity privileges or line of applicants are not
equal responsibility privileges result joint?
Joint applications assign usage, not necessarily same amounts.
applications mean responsbility for usage or the line all not what say they
the line are joint equal responsibility for privileges?
Does the application equal in accountability different amounts people who are?
joint applications responsiblebut not necessarily the?
applications mean equal for usage privileges line amounts of applicants also same
Is usage equal if amounts for all applications?
joint applications have usage privileges or of applicants aren't necessarily the?
If the line applicants are different, in equal usage?
The amount applicants not always the joint applications mean for usage?
responsibility for not line joint applications?
the use accountability as well as different line ?
mutual give the but do not allocate exact amounts according individual
equal usage granted to joint applicationers line amounts
Can applicants equal use card, but different ?
it that give the responsibilities not allocate amounts in line amounts?
If line all applicants, does the joint application equal responsibility ?
possible that mutual give the same utilization not exact in line per ?
Is it possible application give the utilization the exact to the?

	_ equal	use accountabilit	у	_ different li	nes of use h	oy?			
Can joint	equal _	their ca	ard,	cre	edit lines?				
Equal for applicants	Privile	ges should	by	_ application	ns but	be	_ the	amounts	_ different
Is possibl	le	applications	identical _		dif	fering line a	nounts per _	situation?	
		_ amount of line							
		for usage							
		use all							
		or applications, d					re?		
		responsbility						cants not the	e this
case.		· · ·	_	J					
	mutua	al application to g	rive sa	me	but	allocate	_ exact line	amounts accordin	ıg users
?			1.00			_			
		allowi							
		cations mean							
		l responsbility					is	unknown?	
		al in use							
		usage privileges (
		responsbility						sarily?	
		that we					lit?		
it equal in	n	differe	nt lines of c	redit	applica	nts?			
Do e	equal fo	or with	out uniform	credit lines	if	?			
Does app	lications	_ equal	_ usage	_ the line _	all	l applicants $_$	not alwa	ys the?	
app	lication	same	responsibili	ty for usage	privileges	lir	ne amounts _	different?	
If the line	_ are do	es joint mea	in resp	onsibility _		?			
joint appl	licants equall	у	?						
Does app	lication	:	for usage pr	rivileges	line	are differen	t?		
	_ means we a	are in respon	nsibility for	?					
Can	a equitable	division of usage	v	ve	_?				
joint appl	lication	in the same	usage	e if	are	for all	?		
	_ mean equa	l responsbility	usage pr	ivileges or n	ot,	amounts	of	necessarily what	?
joint appl	lications mea	n responsbil	lity for usag	e	not, the lin	ne	applicants _	necessa	ırily
Do applic	ations equali	ze responsibility		no	ot the	for eac	h ?		
		ıal application giv						ounts per sit	uation
		nean respon						-	
		 _ equal				ie ?			
		qual respons							
		gives the san					ounts accord	ina us	er'
		for usage p							
		for usage,							
		responsbilit				Julius.			
		responsbillt ve same				o ovact	in amo	unto	2
		for							
								_ Joint Credit	_ f
Do Joint	equal	for privil	ieges,	not sa	me	everybody	y :	: 1:	
		101 prividucation							users
		responsibil							
joint appl be	lications mea	n equal	usage priv	rileges	not, the	amounts _	ap	plicants aren't _	what
	nsibilities	privilege	s without	credit	shared	equally	the	?	
		utilization resp							

Is	_ responsibility _	lines shared equally by different applicants?
		responsibility for usage but not necessarily the same
	applications	for but not always line amounts?
Equal	for is c	laimed applications not amount of amounts.
		for the same their amounts.
		different for application, does joint application result equal for ?
		plications responsibility for usage but not same amounts ?
		privileges arise if line all applications?
		use as line amounts among ?
		r for usage without uniform equally by if we apply?
		an that we responsibilities for ?
Will _	mean	responsiblity for not necessarily what they
Is	of pri	vileges uniform credit shared by different?
		lications equalize responsibility for the same for ?
· ·		
		by joint applications but always amount.
	possible that mu the situation	atual same utilization responsibilities allocate the amount according
		claim responsibility always the sums.
		butfor line amounts, for all?
		all applicants same, will applications responsibility for privileges or?
		use while allowing different use by?
		ifferent applications, joint result in for usage?
		_ usage claimed by not amount.
		ase as allowing different line ?
		uniform credit lines shared by different applicants we apply?
Will jo	oint mean	for usage or not, line of are not ?
Is	in use	e as well as for?
	means a	all have responsibility use, not always limits?
Will _	mean	for usage privileges not, all applicants are not the?
Is	possible	application the utilization but not allocate the exact line on the
Equal	responsibility	usage is applications not amounts.
		grant identical responsibilities but not allocate in ?
		vileges to be shared the if together?
		al to utilization but not exact line amounts according to the users ?
		mean equal usage privileges, the same amounts .
		mean equal responsibility for privileges or the seem strange
		l give utilization don't allocate exact per situation.
		for usage, but not line?
		use accountability with different use co-applicants?
i	it responsibil	ity for if the line different applications?
Is	mutu	al give the responsibilities but exact line?
It	that mutua	l gives to the user's
	equal _	responsbility for but not always the line?
Is	equal in	as well allowing different amounts ?
		privileges or line amounts for joint
		accountability different line amounts for co-applicants?
		ge privileges exist if the line applications?
		l the same utilization does exact line according to
		different applications, joint application responsibility usage?
		with lines of co-applications?

it true joint	have mutual	when	using the	eir cards,	_ though	_ lines	could be?
together	have equal resp	onsibility	usage privileges	s?			
The line amounts of all	necessarily		applicatio	ns equa	al responsbility	y	privileges.
Does equal for	about if _	amou	ints fo	or all applica	nts?		
Will a mean	responsbility for _	privileges	not, the lin	e amounts _		aren't nece	ssarily?
joint result i	n an equal amount	use	e?				
Is responsibility _	usage	credit lines		the different	t applicants?		
	responsbility for	or	_ and the line _	all a	applicants are	not	in this case?
Is for _	but not the sa	ame Line?					
If	different everyor	ie,joint ar	oplication result	in equal	usage _	?	
Is muta	ual give the	resp	onsibilities yet _	different	line amounts	per user's _	?
Do applications _	equal responsbility		_ not the	Amount?			
Does applications	responsbilit	y for	_?				
Is there usage	joint application	ns lin	ne?				
Does the	accountability a	as well as	amou	ints among _	?		
joint assign	for use,	not same	e line?				
joint mean e	qual responsbility	usage or	not, line ar	nounts of	:	not necessar	ily?
the amounts	are different, does	_ application _	equal r	responsibility	?		
mean _	responsibility,	_ line amounts	of applican	ts not _	the same	?	
Is that mutu	al application	_ same re	sponsibilities bu	t do not	lir	ne acco	rding
situation?							
it possible that						er user's	_
	mean equal responsibil						
	in responsibility fo						
Is it that app	olication	respons	ibilities but don't	t allocate the	exact	accordin	g the
Does joint result is	n equal responsibility	usage.		amou	nts	applicants?	
	responsibility for usa					_ appnounce:	
Do joint in _					·		
Is it for appl					act amou	nts accordin	α
?							<u> </u>
Is there equivalent	in joint	allowing	amounts?	?			
Is it possible	application		allo	cate the exac	t line amount	s to	user situation?
Do applications re	esult in for _	privileges,	neces	sarily s	ame	_ everyone?	
Do joint mean equ	ıal and	line amounts, _	6	everybody?			
ir	n use while giving	lines	use to co-appls	itants?			
Is there equivalent	and line	a	applications?				
joint to	equal responsibility	usage	but not the	e same	?		
"Do joint give equ	al but	always the	e Amo	ounts?			
applications	mean equal	privileges	s, and line a	amounts	_ all are	not sar	ne?
u	sage granted to jo	oint but	each applicat	tioner's line _	?		
Do joint applications	responsibility	for usage privile	eges,	necessarily _		_ amounts _	?
together me	an resp	oonsibility for u	sage privileges _	a unifor	m?		
Joint do not	the number	lines	responsib	ility usa	ige.		
possible that	t mutual application giv	e the utili	zation but	do	amount	s according	individual
possible that				th	ne exact line a	mounts per	situation?
the application eq							
Will joint eq							same?
Is responsibility _							
the for	different, do	oes applic	ation in equ	ual	_ usage privile	eges?	
Does result i	in	the	amounts d	lifferent for d	ifferent peopl	e?	

joint applications mean responsibility	line of applicants necessarily wha	t they seem?
If the amount for everyone, joint mean	usage privileges?	
Do joint applications result in equal responsibility for but	applicants?	
Does joint equal responsibility for usage	e?	
applying for joint limits, can we who	but not always same credit _	?
Is equal usage result of applications?		
Does applying for mean equal responsibility usag	e not same ?	
The line amounts applicants aren't necessarily the		
for been claimed joint applications,		
If line amounts different for joint		
applications give equal for usage		2
the privileges without credit lines shall		•
joint applications mean for or not, are		
Equal usage is claimed applications,		
in equal responsibility usage line		
the different, does the application		
possible application give the same utilization	don't allocate the line amounts	individua
it would be a supplied to the	2	
it possible applications grant		
the application equal accountability lin		
mutual application the utilization responsibilities		
Is application equal allowing line		
The line amounts of applicants will		
Is possible mutual same bu	not allocate line amounts the individu	al user?
the responsibility for usage credit share	ed by the applicants, if ?	
application equal use accountability di	for co-applicants	
	icicit for co-applicants	
Will applications responsiblity for usage privilege		?
	s are always the same	
Will applications responsiblity for usage privilege the applications equal in use accountability	are always the same different amounts people who	
Will applications responsibility for usage privilege the applications equal in use accountability applicationlicants? sometimes equal responsibility for usage but	are always the same different amounts people who	
Will applications responsibility for usage privilege the applications equal in use accountability applicationlicants? sometimes equal responsibility for usage but equal use of a card, lines, be from	are always the same different amounts people who line sums.	
Will applications responsibility for usage privilege the applications equal in use accountability applicationlicants? sometimes equal responsibility for usage but equal use of a card, lines, be from equal for it's always the same	are always the same different amounts people who line sums.	
Will applications responsibility for usage privilege the applications equal in use accountability applicationlicants? sometimes equal responsibility for usage but equal use of a card, lines, be from equal for it's always the same the equal in use while different line	arealways the same differentamountspeople who line sums? ne asamounts?	
Will applications responsibility for usage privilege the applications equal in use accountability applicationlicants? sometimes equal responsibility for usage but equal use of a card, lines, be from	are always the same always the same different amounts people who line sums ? ne as amounts ? if we ?	_
Will applications responsibility for usage privilege the applications equal in use accountability applicationlicants? sometimes equal responsibility for usage but equal use of a card, lines, be from equal for it's always the same the equal in use while different line	are always the same always the same different amounts people who line sums ? ne as amounts ? if we ?	_
Willapplications responsibility for usage privilege the applications equal in use accountabilityapplicationlicants? sometimesequal responsibility for usage butequal use of a card,lines, befromequalforit'salways the same theequal in usewhiledifferent linelinessometimesequal responsibility	are always the same always the same different amounts people who line sums ? ne as amounts ? of we ? on allocate the exact amounts	_
Will applications responsibility for usage privilege the applications equal in use accountability applicationlicants? sometimes equal responsibility for usage but equal use of a card, lines, be from equal for it's always the same the equal in use while different line lines be from always the same the equal in use while different line lines possible that we equal responsibility possible that application same utilization individual lines use accountability different line and lines use accountability different line lines use use lines use use lines use use lines use	are always the same different amounts people who line sums ? ne as amounts ? if we ? on allocate the exact amounts unts co-applicants?	_
Will applications responsibility for usage privilege the applications equal in use accountability applicationlicants? sometimes equal responsibility for usage but equal use of a card, lines, be from equal for it's always the same the equal in use while different line Is possible that we equal responsibility possible that application same utilization individual use accountability different line among contability among contability with among contability with among contability with among contability with among contability among contability among contability with among contability	are always the same different amounts people who line sums ? ne as amounts ? if we ? on allocate the exact amounts unts co-applicants? -applicants possible?	_
Will applications responsibility for usage privilege the applications equal in use accountability applicationlicants? sometimes equal responsibility for usage but equal use of a card, lines, be from equal for it's always the same the equal in use while different line lines, be from equal for application same utilization individual use accountability different line among companying applications mean equal for and line be	are always the same always the same different amounts people who line sums ? ne as amounts ? if we ? on allocate the exact amounts unts co-applicants? -applicants possible? at for ?	_
Willapplications responsibility for usage privilege the applications equal in use accountabilityapplicationlicants? sometimesequal responsibility for usage butequal use of a card,lines, befromequalforit'salways the same theequal in usewhiledifferent line possible that weequal responsibility possible thatapplicationsame utilization individual Isuse accountabilitydifferent line among company applications mean equalforand lineb applications mean equalforand lineb responsibility for usage but not always	are always the same different amounts people who line sums ? ne as amounts ? if we ? on allocate the exact amounts a	_
Will applications responsibility for usage privilege the applications equal in use accountability applicationlicants? sometimes equal responsibility for usage but equal use of a card, lines, be from equal for it's always the same the equal in use while different line lines possible that we equal responsibility possible that application same utilization individual lines use accountability different line among comparison accountability with among comparison applications mean equal for and line be responsibility for usage but not always lis sharing responsibility creater	are always the same different amounts people who line sums? ne as amounts? on allocate the exact amounts	_
Will applications responsibility for usage privilege the applications equal in use accountability applicationlicants? sometimes equal responsibility for usage but equal use of a card, lines, be from equal for it's always the same the equal in use while different line possible that we equal responsibility possible that application same utilizate individual Is use accountability different line among company applications mean equal for and line be responsibility for usage but not always Is sharing responsibility creater the application application and line be responsibility for usage but not always Is sharing responsibility creater the application appli	are always the same different amounts people who line sums ? amounts ? amounts ? if we ? allocate the exact amounts unts co-applicants? -applicants possible? ut for ? on lines. dit limit something that joint applications ? or all applicants?	to the
Willapplications responsibility for usage privilege the applications equal in use accountabilityapplicationlicants? sometimes equal responsibility for usage but equal use of a card, lines, be from equal for it's always the same the equal in use while different line Is possible that we equal responsibility possible that application same utilization individual Is use accountability different line amount applications mean equal for and line be responsibility for usage but not always Is sharing responsibility creater that gives the same utilization it possible that gives the same utilization in the it possible that gives the same utilization it possible that	are always the same different amounts people who line sums ? amounts ? if we ? allocate the exact amounts amounts amounts amounts amounts amounts amounts amounts ? trace for ? on lines did limit something that joint applications ? or all applicants? don't allocate the according to	to the
Will applications responsbility for usage privilege the applications equal in use accountability applicationlicants? sometimes equal responsibility for usage but equal use of a card, lines, be from equal for it's always the same the equal in use while different line lines be from equal for application same utilization individual use accountability different line among company applications mean equal for and line be applications mean equal for and line be responsibility createst applications mean equal for and line be it possible that gives the same utilization line	are always the same different amounts people who line sums ? amounts ? amounts ? if we ? allocate the exact amounts amounts amounts amounts ? and allocate the exact amounts amounts ? and allocate the exact amounts ? and allocate the amounts ? or all applicants? don't allocate the according to amounts ? amounts co-applicants?	to the
Will applications responsbility for usage privilege the applications equal in use accountability	are always the same different amounts people who line sums? amounts? amounts? allocate the exact amounts unts co-applicants?applicants possible? for? on lines dit limit something that joint applications? or all applicants? don't allocate the according to erent amounts co-applicants? we apply together?	to the
Will applications responsbility for usage privilege the applications equal in use accountability	are always the same different amounts people who line sums ? amounts ? amounts ? if we ? allocate the exact amounts amounts amounts amounts ? tr for ? on lines dit limit something that joint applications ? or all applicants? don't allocate the according to amounts co-applicants? me apply together? we apply together? for ?	to the
Willapplications responsibility for usage privilege the applications equal in use accountability applicationlicants? sometimes equal responsibility for usage but equal use of a card, lines, be from equal for it's always the same the equal in use while different line lines same utilization same utilization same utilization individual use accountability different line among comparison accountability with among comparison applications mean equal for and line be responsibility for usage but not always Is sharing responsibility credit shared equally Is the for usage credit shared equally Is the application in accountability of Will applications mean usage or not, the credit shared equally for applications mean usage or not, the credit shared equally for applications mean usage or not, the	are always the same different amounts people who line sums ? amounts ? amounts ? if we ? allocate the exact amounts amounts amounts amounts ? tt for ? on lines. dit limit something that joint applications ? or all applicants? don't allocate the according to amounts amounts co-applicants? amounts co-applicants? amounts co-applicants? amounts co-applicants? amounts be what the amounts be what the	to the
Willapplications responsbility for usage privilege the applications equal in use accountability	are always the same different amounts people who line sums. ? ne as amounts ? on allocate the exact amounts amounts amounts amounts amounts ? on lines. dit limit something that joint applications ? or all applicants? don't allocate the according to amounts amounts amounts according to according for amounts amounts according to according for amounts according to according for according to according for according for according to according for according for according for according for according for according for be what the ferent?	to the
the applications responsibility for usage privilege the applications equal in use accountability applicationlicants? sometimes equal responsibility for usage but equal use of a card, lines, be from equal for it's always the same the equal in use while different line Is possible that we equal responsibility possible that application same utilization individual Is use accountability different line among comparison applications mean equal for and line be responsibility for usage but not always Is sharing responsibility credit shared equally Is the usage accountability joint different line is the application in accountability of will applications mean usage or not, the applications to use well as different	are always the same different amounts people who line sums. ? ne as amounts ? if we ? on allocate the exact amounts amounts amounts ? unts co-applicants? -applicants possible? ut for ? on lines. dit limit something that joint applications ? or all applicants? don't allocate the according to amounts co-applicants? amounts co-applicants? be what the ferent? amounts ?	to the
Willapplications responsbility for usage privilege the applications equal in use accountability	are always the same different amounts people who line sums? amounts? allocate the exact amounts amounts amounts amounts amounts ? tr for ? on lines lines dit limit something that joint applications ? or all applicants? don't allocate the according to amounts co-applicants? ment amounts co-applicants? we apply together? for ? te line amounts be what the ferent? amounts ? necessarily the line amounts everyore.	to the

Does this mean we are equal for usage?
Is it possible that give responsibilities not allocating the exact users situation?
Is application equal in responsibility usage line amounts ?
joint mean equal responsibility usage privileges or line amounts questionable.
the application equal in accountability as allowing different amounts ?
Will joint mean responsbility for usage line all are not what they?
may give equal for but the same amounts.
give same responsibilities do not the exact line individual
joint application equal responsibility forprivileges if the for different?
Does responsibility for privileges, though not for ?
Does joint bring responsibility privileges if the line for?
Will applications equal for usage or are line all ?
applications the same or line for co-applicants?
Doesjoint applicationsequal responsibility for usage not same amounts ?
joint applications result equal responsibility for usage privileges, amounts for all
applicants?
Will joint for not the same line?
We together, is the for without shared equally by ?
the different for everyone, does application create responsibility privileges?
the line are different everyone, joint application mean responsibility ?
Will it of all applicants different?
Joint applications for usage, but not amounts.
it that mutual the but allocate exact line to the users situation?
Does applying joint everyone?
joint applications mean usage privileges not, line amounts applicants always san
Is in accountability as allowing different amounts applicationlicants?
responsibility usage be given by but may by line amounts.
application result equal for privileges?
Is it for joint applications for the same line?
Is it possible mutual application same responsibilities don't according the users situation?
If the line amounts are for application in equal for ?
there allowing line amounts among co-applicants?
line amounts applicants are different, joint result equal responsibility ?
Does allow lines use co-applicants equal in use ?
Doapplicationsequal responsibility not necessarily line amounts?
Does joint we all have same use, but always ?
joint mean equal responsibility usage line applicants might not be seem.
applications may result responsibility for usage but for everyone.
Does applications mean equal responsibility for but the everyone?
If applications responsibility for what about amounts?
result in responsibility for but not the line amount all?
can claim usage but not exact amount.
Will mean for usage or not, line amounts applicants are not the?
application for usage line amounts are different for?
If together, does mean we hold responsibility usage ?
joint applications equal for privileges or will the of be same?
is equal responsibility privileges granted to applicationers, each amounts.
The all applicants aren't will applications equal for usage privileges or?
If amounts for everyone, does result in responsibility for

	_ joint	mean	responsbility	privileges, is	_ line all	applicants the	_?	
	_ joint a _l	pplications _	equal responsbility _	privileges	not, the line	of applicants _	not	seem
Is _	appl	ication	accountability	when there	line amounts _	co-applicants?		
		for using	but not with the sa	ame	applying for joint	limits is	•	
	a	pplications g	ive equal for?					
	_ joint a _l	pplication giv	e equal responsibility	usage privileges	line		applications?	
	a	way	give the sa	ame but	not exact	t amounts per users	s' situation?	
			_ the responsibility of _	privileges witho	out uniform lin	es shared equally b	y applica	ints?
		mean	usage priv	ileges not, line	e amounts of all app	licants are	in this	_?
Is _		possibility o	of giving	same utilization res	sponsibilities	the exact	per users'	?
	_ applica	ations	responsibility for usa	nge, not	amount of line	<u> </u>		
Join	t	equ	ıal responsibility us	age, but alway	ys on the			
	r	esponsibility	use privileges with	out uniform credit l	ines equally	?		
		mean ea	ch of us has fo	or privileges w	vithout credit _	?		
Join	t	equ	ıal responsibility	privileges,	for line amou	nts.		
	_ joint a _l	oplications _	responsibility fo	or usage	line amo	ounts?		
Is it	th	at mutual apj	olication gives	responsibiliti	es doesn't	exact	amounts for us	ser's
	fo	or appli	cations equal respo	nsibility	privileges, but	_ the same	everyone?	
Will	joint		responsbility usag	e or will	amounts of all	l applicants	?	
	a	pplications _	equal responsbility f	or usage	not, amo	ounts of appli	cants will be	
			gives same u					
			l application					
Will	joint ap	plications	responsbility for	usage privileges	line _	of	not necessarily	?
			im of line					
Is _	poss	ible that	the same	responsibilities,	but doesn't allocate	e the	for?	
			sponsbility for usage priv					
Will	joint	mean equ	al for	the a	mounts of app	licants arene	cessarily	seem.
			usage has been					
			usage by joint					
			equal in whi					
			responsibility					
			r, it we hold					
			h someone ma			oss ?		
			different for everyone, is					
			nt applications shar				t?	
			equal re					
			tofor usa					
			ean usa					
			use and allow dif			itant?		
			sage giv					
			al usage,					
			equalusag			nounts of	be ?	
			ibility usage p					
			equal for usage [?	
			equal responsibility					
			usage privileges without					
			ean for				they seem?	
			is claimed					
			and					
			in responsibility			same line amounts	?	
		_						

Does responsiblity for usage or not mean line same?
Is application equal use accountability lines co-applsitant?
joint mean responsbility usage privileges the of all applicants are also not ?
Is the applications accountability as as line for?
Is there way the same utilization responsibilities, not line according to the
user
joint applications mean for usage privileges, but line ?
joint result responsibility, not same amounts for everyone?
Does joint to equal responsibility line are different applications?
Does joint responsibility for privileges the?
equal usage privileges for line amounts?
Do joint mean responsibility usage, but for line ?
Is possible give the utilization the exact line amounts?
equal responsibility privileges or not, the line amounts of all applicants necessarily
the line are for all applicants, joint equal to responsibility ?
I joint applications responsibility privileges, but not same .
Is mutual application the same but allocate the exact line to user's
Joint equal responsibility for usage line amounts not they .
Does applyingjoint applications responsibility for usage necessarily line for everyone?
If for doapplication in equal for usage privileges?
Will mean equal for or amount all applicants aren't necessarily what they?
the amounts for are does mean equal responsibility usage?
Does with we hold equal for privileges?
joint applications mean equal for not, all applicants not the same?
joint usage but not line amounts?
Joint applications for usage but not number.
Should assign equal usage, but necessarily the amounts?
possible for to grant utilization responsibilities yet in line?
Different amounts among are in use?
Will equal responsiblity for not, line amounts of all applicants what ?
Will mean responsiblity usage privileges and line of all applicants?
a result in equal responsibility privileges, but not amount ?
Is the responsibility for usage shared equally applicants if we apply?
it possible that application but doesn't exact amount according to the
situation
joint for usage privileges, not amounts?
it applications equalize responsibility usage privileges but for everyone?
Joint applications equal but necessarily exact of line
we that we have equal responsibility usage?
Does joint equal responsiblity usage privileges or line amounts all applicants are ?
line amounts are not the same in case so mean usage privileges or?
Can joint applications equal usage, the same amounts?
applications similar in different line for co-applicants?
$It \underline{\hspace{1cm}} possible \ that \ mutual \underline{\hspace{1cm}} give \underline{\hspace{1cm}} same \underline{\hspace{1cm}} but \ do \underline{\hspace{1cm}} exact \ amounts \ in \underline{\hspace{1cm}} per \ users' \underline{\hspace{1cm}}.$
The all applicants will joint applications mean equal?
Is it mutual the same responsibilities, don't allocate exact amounts according user
applications you have for your usage privileges?
the application in use accountability, lines use for?
Is possible but always the same Line Amounts?
joint responsibility usage privileges but the for each ?
Would be mutual application to the same utilization responsibilities but line?

$_$ for usage is claimed $_$ but it is $_$ the $_$ as their line $_$.
joint mean responsibility privileges?
together mean we equal responsibility usage without credit?
Will joint responsibility for usage privileges, the amounts applicants necessarily they appear
mutual application same not the line amounts according to the user's
situation
possible joint applicants to expect equal of but lines?
Does application equal in different line amounts co-applicants?
it possible that joint we all same responsibility for not limits?
Is in responsibility if the line amounts different?
If is for usage privileges without a shared equally by the ?
Will equal responsiblity for or not, the line amounts not the same case
mean equal for usage line amounts different?
Will there be use obligations for both potentially a process?
line are various application result in equal responsibility for usage?
Does the equal use accountability for co-applicants?
Is application equal comes to and different of co-applicants?
joint applications usage privileges or not,line amounts ofapplicants same
this case.
applications the responsbility for?
If we apply together, will we be privileges ?
joint mean equal responsibility usage but not ?
If the line amounts are different does application for ?
joint applications responsibility usage privileges, the amounts applicants not what the
$ \hbox{ Is $___ possible for mutual $___ grant $___ responsibilities $___ allocate differing $___ to $___ ? } $
Joint claim equal but necessarily the number lines.
Is in accountability and allowing different lines of ?
possible that mutual assigns the utilization the exact amounts?
The line of are certain, and mean equal?
joint applications in usage but not necessarily line amounts for ?
Do applications share responsibility for but line?
claim equal for not always amount of amounts
mutual application the same utilization responsibilities but don't the ?
equal responsibility usage, not the line amounts
Will who has responsibility but not the credit limits applying for credit?
the amounts are everyone, application an equal responsibility for usage?
Is it give same responsibilities but exact amounts?
joint mean the privileges or not?
joint applications mean usage privileges not, amounts of all are not ?
for be given by not be the same everyone.
joint applications in for privileges the line amounts?
applications responsibility for usage not same line amounts, for applicants?
joint mean responsibility usage or not, the line of applicants to
Joint applications give equal but not necessarily the
Is privileges granted joint applicationers for each line?
Can joint application mean we all but not always ? the application equal in use as allowing different with ?
there equivalent usage accountability different amounts ?
Is responsibility for usage to applicationers, each applicationer's ?

Is possible mutual application situation?	responsibilities	the exact amounts	line amounts per
While allowing amounts among are	eguivalent	accountability?	
line applicants		-	
the responsibility for usage without			?
Is application equal in use allow		_ approunts we apply _	·
Is it mutual application same ut		the line to ir	ndividual ?
responsibility for usage privileges			
joint applications mean responsibility			icants different?
Is that mutual give the same re			
Ispossible mutual application the			ccording
? · =			<u> </u>
Do applications mean equal for	usage?		
Will joint $___$ mean equal responsbility if the $___$ a			
Does we for usage p			
are different for application			
Do mean equal responsibility for priv	rileges, for a	mounts applicant	ts?
Is it agree on who has responsibility			
joint applications give responsbility for usa			
line amounts different app			oility for usage?
Does equal responsibility			
Joint applications for privileges but _			_
we who responsibility for using,			
line amounts of aren't the same			ileges
If line amounts joint application			
applications equal in accountability a			
the line for is joint		sage privileges?	
Does give equal		1:	
the privileges credit			
Are the of without credit s it that give utilizatio			n line amounts usors
	ii responsibilities	anocate the amounts i	ii lille dilloulits users
joint result in the same	if line amounts are	?	
line amounts are join	nt application mean equal	for privileges?	
it mutual give	utilization responsibilities, _	not allocate exact l	line amounts to the
mean equal privilege			
Is mutual application sam			line amounts per users
we apply is possible that have _		ges?	
Is application in use as			
the line all applicants, doe			nationliaanta?
Can the be in accountability If mutual same utilization responsibility			
The amounts all aren't different			
that mutual application			
individual user?	responsibilities, bu	t do the inie	according to the
joint application result equal responsibility	for privileges the	ere for	different?
applications have the use	different line among _	?	
line amounts different all	application give	for usage privileges?	
Applying for applications might mean equal	privileges,	line amour	nts everyone.
Is it that mutual give the same	don't exact l	line to user	
joint applications in responsibility for	r privileges,	same line amoun	ts?

joint the same usage but with different ?
joint application responsibility privileges, but the same amount everyone?
applications often responsibility for not always the same amounts.
possible give same but do allocate exact amounts according the individual
user?
joint applications equalize usage?
Equal is by applications not always the as line amounts.
Joint applications claim equal responsibility but always
Is the responsibility for usage privileges uniform shared ?
Is the use accountability as as different line
equal usage privileges, the of all applicants not known?
If line amounts applicants are not same case, applications mean equal responsibility?
Is possible for applications to utilization line amounts to users?
Have joint applications responsibility not the same line for applicants?
joint applications responsibility but not necessarily the amounts?
Is it possible give the responsibilities but not allocate exact amounts to ?
Will mean equal privileges the line amounts of applicants necessarily to be.
Equal for has been claimed by joint same amounts.
Is joint equivalent usage while allowing ?
Doapplications for privileges, but the same number all?
that mutual application gives but doesn't allocate the exact amounts user's?
Is it mutual application give the not the line per situation?
Will joint mean equal for usage privileges, line all ?
Is joint equal not always the same ?
Is it responsibilities potentially amounts for application?
Does application we all the responsibility not always limits?
Do applications equal responsibility but for amounts ?
Is true: shared responsibilities different amounts each ?
Joint applications may claim usage but not the same
joint mean equal responsibility for but line ?
Does joint applications responsibility but not the ?
Does joint the for line amounts are for everyone?
Do the equal in accountability well as different line ?
application give same responsibilities but not the amounts in per users' ?
have of accountability different amounts for co-applicants?
lines of use among co-applicants, application equal ?
Will mean usage or not, line amounts of all applicants is the?
applications mean for usage the line amounts of all?
applications lead to responsibility for usage but line for?
for usage but line amounts not the same.
Is equal use accountability while different co-applicants.
Do joint applications equalize but same amounts?
line amountsall are same, applications responsibility for privileges or not?
the line amount is all result equal responsibility usage privileges?
mean equal responsibility, amounts of all are necessarily the?
Is joint application for privileges if amounts are other?
claim equal responsibility for usage, always the number
mean privileges or line amounts all applicants are also not the same?
Does a joint application lead equal privileges line amounts ?
applying we hold responsibility privileges without credit line?

line amounts for everyone, does application result in responsibility ?
Is there of accountability well different line co-applicants?
Is equal use while allowing lines of applicants?
Is that mutual application the responsibilities but don't the amounts to user?
Is it for mutual identical utilization responsibilities allocate situation?
line amounts are for all does joint mean responsibility privileges?
applications mean equal for not, the amounts of what they appear to be.
it possible mutual give same but don't allocate exact line to user?
Is true applicants are accountable line amounts?
applications mean equal responsibility usage or not, line all the same?
"Do joint applications assign equal not necessarily line
Is it possible joint give equal for usage, the ?
Is it possible applications to utilization differing line amounts ?
joint mean equal responsiblity for or not, the applications the same?
responsibility is some joint applications
Is it possible that applications responsibilities but don't allocate exact line ?
Is it that joint applications for usage but not ?
Will mean equal for not, line all aren't necessarily different?
Is have an equivalent accountability amounts among co-applicants?
Is it possible joint applications responsbility but Line Amounts?
Joint applications responsibility for usage, not line
If the line amounts are applications, does application equal usage privileges?
applications different line does joint application result equal privileges?
Will the amount of responsibility privileges or ?
for to grant utilization responsibilities yet give amounts user's situation?
The application can amounts for co-applicants, in accountability?
Do the well different line amounts for co-applicant?
have the same use accountability and amounts ?
the amounts are different all application equal in usage?
Do joint for usage, not same line amounts?
Is equal in use allowed for lines by?
joint equal responsibility there different line amounts for everyone?
Do joint applications give equal for not ?
joint applications equal for usage line amounts all applicants aren't necessarily
joint application to usage if amounts are all applications?
Is equivalent usage accountability joint applications, amounts for?
applications responsibility for usage privileges, but not for applicants?
the privileges credit lines shared by two applicants?
The line of all are the will joint applications equal or not.
Does result responsibility for privileges?
Is the in using well as different line ?
Joint applications may but but same number of lines.
Do joint in equal usage privileges, but not necessarily same for ?
Joint may equal usage, always the amount.
equivalent accountability line amounts among co-applicants?
If line all applicants, joint in responsibility for ?
Doesapplication in equal responsibility privileges line amounts are different ?
Joint applications equal but not the of amounts.
Joint equal responsibility, but always same line

Diagnostication	Does mean equal usage privileges, line amounts?
applying	
The book The properties The proposability The private The proposability The private Th	equivalent accountability and varying line among?
Mill	applying someone means will accountable have different approved to?
Joint Joint applications	the equal in as well as between co-applicants?
Will joint applications the same but do not the exact according to the application the same but do not the exact according to the application the same but do not the exact according to the according to the application the same credit shared equally the involved? size that mutual the according to the and the same application the same application the same application ap	Will applications mean responsbility usage are are of all applicants what they seem?
application	Joint assign equal for not the amounts.
application	Will joint applications mean equal for use privileges the of same?
S	
Si	
If _ line _ are _ for _ application result in equal _ for _ ?	
Inte_ are	
Mill joint	
applications for usage, is not the as line amounts.	
Joint	
Will joint applications equal inusagenutsame?	
joint applications equal in	
the applications in use	
applications mean	
Do	
Is it mutual application to the same responsibilities but the exact each ? the applications in accountability different line applicationicants? Will applications equal usage privileges or not, the amounts of all what they applications give for but always Amounts. Is it possible give the same responsibilities do not exact line to ? It possible the application in but allowing lines of co-applicants? Will joint application in but allowing lines of co-applicants? It is application in but allowing lines of co-applicants? It is possible mutual application give same utilization responsibilities, and in exact line amounts per user's situation? Will joint mean line of all applicants necessarily the same? possible mutual application give same utilization responsibilities, don't exact line according to ? It's mutual give same utilization responsibilities, don't exact line according to applications in of and line among co-applicants? joint expect card but have credit lines? joint equal usage granted joint applicationers, not applicationers's is a Equal usage granted joint applicationers, not applicationers's is a In equal usage granted fifterent for joint application have equal for privileges? It that mutual give same don't allocate the according to the user's It hat mutual give same don't allocate the according to the user's It hat mutual give same don't allocate the according to the user's It hat mutual give same don't allocate the exact line shared equally ? It is possible responsibility for privileges credit lines shared equally ? The in use accountability, with different lines	
the applications in accountability different line applicationicants? Will applications equal usage privileges or not, the amounts of all what they applications give granted to joint each line Joint applications give for but always Amounts. Is it possible give the same responsibilities do not exact line to ? it possible that mutual application the responsibilities, but amounts per user's situation? Is it possible that mutual application the responsibilities, but amounts per user's situation? Is it possible for give same utilization responsibilities allocate amounts amounts? Will joint mean line of all applicants necessarily the same? possible mutual application give same utilization responsibilities, don't exact line according to ? It's mutual give same utilization responsibilities, don't exact line according to exact line according to of all applicants aren't say they joint expect card but have credit lines? joint expect usage granted joint applicationers, not applicationer's is a . Equal usage granted joint applications, while line amounts co-applicants? It hat mutual give same don't allocate the according to the user's line that mutual give same don't allocate the according to the user's card that mutual give same don't allocate the according to the user's line applications applications sharing responsibility or privileges credit lines shared equally ? When together, is responsibility for privileges credit lines shared equally ? It that mutual give same don't allocate the exact line on user's responsibilities doesn't allocate the exact line on user's responsibilities doesn't allocate the exact line on user's responsibilities doesn't allocate the exact line applications sharing responsibility or agaptications applications sharing responsibility or agaptications applications applications sharing responsibility or agaptication applications applications sharing responsibility or usage ?	
Will applications equal usage privileges or not, the amounts of all what they provided by the same responsibility for granted to joint applications give for but always Amounts. Is it possible for give the same responsibilities do not exact line to ? It possible that mutual application the responsibilities, but amounts per user's situation? It he application in but allowing lines of co-applicants? Is it possible for give same utilization responsibilities allocate amounts amounts? Will joint mean line of all applicants necessarily the same? possible mutual application give same utilization responsibilities, don't exact line according to give applicants according to give same utilization responsibilities, don't exact line according to same utilization responsibilities, don't exact line according to same utilization responsibilities. It's mutual give same utilization responsibilities, don't exact line according to same utilization exponsibilities. Joint expect card but have credit lines? Joint equal usage granted joint applicationers, not applicants aren't say they Equal usage granted joint applicationers, not applicationer's is a It there accountability in applications, while line amounts co-applicants? It that mutual give same don't allocate the according to the user's don't allocate the user's responsibility or privileges credit lines shared equally ? When together, is responsibility for privileges credit lines shared equally ? It possible gives responsibility or privileges doesn't allocate the exact line on user's responsibilities doesn't allocate the exact line applicants the ?	
?responsibility for granted to joint each line	
Joint applications give	will applications equal usage privileges or not, the amounts of all what they ?
Joint applications give	responsibility for granted to joint each line
Is it possiblegive the same responsibilitiesdo notexact lineto	
it possible that mutual application the responsibilities, but amounts per user's situation? the application in but allowing lines of co-applicants? Is it possible for give same utilization responsibilities allocate amounts amounts? Will joint mean line of all applicants necessarily the same? possible mutual application give same utilization responsibilities, don't exact line according to ' It's mutual give same utilization responsibilities, don't exact line according to ' applications in of and line among co-applicants? joint expect card but have credit lines? joint equal usage privileges or not, the of all applicants aren't say they Equal usage granted joint applicationers, not applicationer's is a line different for joint application have equal for privileges? there accountability in applications, while line amounts co-applicants? It that mutual give same don't allocate the according to the user's Does applying together has equal for usage uniform credit? When together, is responsibility for privileges credit lines shared equally ? It that mutual give responsibilities don't allocate the exact line on user's Is it possible gives responsibilities don't allocate the exact line on user's	
the application in but allowing lines of co-applicants? Is it possible for give same utilization responsibilities allocate amounts amounts? Will joint mean line of all applicants necessarily the same? possible mutual application give same utilization responsibilities, don't exact line according to? It's mutual give same utilization responsibilities, don't exact line according to ' applications in of and line among co-applicants? joint expect card but have credit lines? joint equal usage privileges or not, the of all applicants aren't say they Equal usage granted joint applicationers, not applicationer's is a line different for joint application have equal for privileges? there accountability in applications, while line amounts co-applicants? It that mutual give same don't allocate the according to the user's Does applying together has equal for usage uniform credit? When together, is responsibility for privileges credit lines shared equally ? the in use accountability, with different lines ? Is it possible gives responsibilities doesn't allocate the exact line on user's applications sharing responsibility usage? Does joint applications mean equal responsibility or usage?	
Is it possible for give same utilization responsibilities allocate amounts amounts? Will joint mean line of all applicants necessarily the same? possible mutual application give same utilization responsibilities, don't exact line according to It's mutual give same utilization responsibilities, don't exact line according to It's applications in of and line among co-applicants? joint expect card but have credit lines? joint equal usage privileges or not, the of all applicants aren't say they Equal usage granted joint applicationers, not applicationer's is a line different for joint application have equal for privileges? there accountability in applications, while line amounts co-applicants? It that mutual give same don't allocate the according to the user's	
Will jointmean	the application in but allowing lines of co-applicants?
possible mutual application give same utilization responsibilities, don't exact amounts according to	Is it possible for give same utilization responsibilities allocate amounts amounts amounts
according to? It'smutualgivesame utilization responsibilities,don'texact lineaccording to' applicationsin ofandlineamong co-applicants? jointexpectcardbut havecredit lines? jointequalusage privileges or not, theof all applicants aren'tsay they	Will joint mean line of all applicants mecessarily the same?
It's	
applications in of and line among co-applicants?	<u> </u>
jointexpect cardbut havecredit lines? jointequal usage privileges or not, the of all applicants aren't say they	
Equal usage granted joint applicationers, not applicationer's is a line different for joint application have equal for privileges? there accountability in applications, while line amounts co-applicants? It that mutual give same don't allocate the according to the user's Does applying together has equal for usage uniform credit? When together, is responsibility for privileges credit lines shared equally ? the in use accountability, with different lines ? Is it possible gives responsibilities doesn't allocate the exact line on user's applications sharing responsibility usage ? Does joint applications mean equal responsibility for usage line applicants the ?	
	joint expect card but have credit lines?
thereaccountability inapplications, whileline amountsco-applicants? Itthat mutualgivesamedon't allocate theaccording to the user's Does applying togetherhas equalfor usageuniform credit? Whentogether, isresponsibility forprivilegescredit lines shared equally? thein use accountability, with different lines? Is it possiblegivesresponsibilitiesdoesn't allocate the exact lineonuser's applicationssharing responsibilityusage? Does joint applications mean equal responsibility for usagelineapplicantsthe?	
It that mutual give same don't allocate the according to the user's Does applying together has equal for usage uniform credit? When together, is responsibility for privileges credit lines shared equally? the in use accountability, with different lines? Is it possible gives responsibilities doesn't allocate the exact line on user's applications sharing responsibility usage? Does joint applications mean equal responsibility for usage line applicants the?	joint equal usage privileges or not, the of all applicants aren't say they
Does applying together has equal for usage uniform credit? When together, is responsibility for privileges credit lines shared equally ? the in use accountability, with different lines ? Is it possible gives responsibilities doesn't allocate the exact line on user's applications sharing responsibility usage? Does joint applications mean equal responsibility for usage line applicants the?	joint equal usage privileges or not, the of all applicants aren't say they Equal usage grantedjoint applicationers, not applicationer's is a
Whentogether, isresponsibility forprivilegescredit lines shared equally? thein use accountability, with different lines? Is it possiblegivesresponsibilitiesdoesn't allocate the exact lineonuser's applicationssharing responsibility usage? Does joint applications mean equal responsibility for usage lineapplicantsthe?	jointequalusage privileges or not, theof all applicants aren'tsay they Equalusagegrantedjoint applicationers,notapplicationer'sis a linedifferent forjoint application have equalforprivileges?
Whentogether, isresponsibility forprivilegescredit lines shared equally? thein use accountability, with different lines? Is it possiblegivesresponsibilitiesdoesn't allocate the exact lineonuser's applicationssharing responsibility usage? Does joint applications mean equal responsibility for usage lineapplicantsthe?	joint equal usage privileges or not, the of all applicants aren't say they Equal usage granted joint applicationers, not applicationer's is a line different for joint application have equal for privileges? there accountability in applications, while line amounts co-applicants?
Is it possible gives responsibilities doesn't allocate the exact line on user's applications sharing responsibility usage? Does joint applications mean equal responsibility for usage line applicants the?	jointequal usage privileges or not, theof all applicants aren'tsay they Equal usage grantedjoint applicationers, not applicationer's is a line different for joint application have equal for privileges? there accountability in applications, while line amounts co-applicants? It that mutual give same don't allocate the according to the user's
Is it possible gives responsibilities doesn't allocate the exact line on user's applications sharing responsibility usage? Does joint applications mean equal responsibility for usage line applicants the?	joint equal usage privileges or not, the of all applicants aren't say they Equal usage granted joint applicationers, not applicationer's is a line different for joint application have equal for privileges? there accountability in applications, while line amounts co-applicants? It that mutual give same don't allocate the according to the user's
applications sharing responsibility usage ? Does joint applications mean equal responsibility for usage line applicants the ?	jointequal usage privileges or not, theof all applicants aren'tsay they
Does joint applications mean equal responsibility for usage line applicants the?	jointequalusage privileges or not, theof all applicants aren'tsay they
	jointequal usage privileges or not, the of all applicants aren't say they
	jointequalusage privileges or not, theof all applicants aren'tsay they
Is grant the same utilization responsibilities allocate line amounts?	

applications claim	responsibility	_ but always	the am	ount of line		
the application	use accountability, w	hile different _	use an	nong?		
applications may clain	n responsibility	not	same number of	of		
the are diff	erent for applicants	, joint mea	nn equal	usage?		
Will joint applications mean	responsbility	privileges or no	t, an	nounts	may	what they
We if applie	cations fo	or privileges or	not, the line am	ounts of	not the	
Is possible for mutual	identical		_ differing	amounts to users?		
applications resu	ılt for usa	age, but the	_ line amounts?	?		
amounts are diff	erent all	applicatio	n result in	responsibility for	privileges?	
Do joint mean equal _	for usage privileges, l	but	?			
may e	equal responsibility	privileges, but _	the sa	ame line amounts f	or	
Is responsibility	without unifor	m shared	equally by the _	?		
Is possible	give the	but don't alloca	te the exact	amounts to	user's	
line	not s	same, will joint	mean equal	_ for usage privile	ges or not?	
a joint application mea	an equal usage	privileges or th	ne	all applicants a	re	?
Equal responsibility us	sage claimed _	joint i	is	same as line am	ounts.	
joint applications	equal responsibility	_ usage, but	the	_?		
If joint line	among is	_ equivalent usage	?			
Is the use _	while different	line co-ap	plicants?			
possible for muti individual?		the same respo	onsibilities but _	allocate	exact line a	ccording
equal	as well as	different line amou	nts applic	ationlicants?		
Do joint give						
Does	privileges from joint	application?				
Is that mutual			e exact amount	?		
Does joint give equal r	responsibility	lines	are?			
a application res	ult in equal	but nec	cessarily s	ame amounts	for all?	
Is the in ac						
joint applications	_ about equal		necessarily the	same line amounts	s everyone?	1
Joint applications equa	al responsibility	not the s	same			
joint	responsibility for use	age but	the same lin	e for all appli	cants?	
responsibility for usag	re by	_ applications but it _	not always	the	line	
Do have	for usage privileges	apply togetl	ner?			
joint application equal	for	?				
Is equivalent usage ac	countability joint	while allowing	amoun	ts?		
the assign	equal for?					
application	accountability	while allowing	lines of a	mong co-applicants	?	
Is it gives t						
it possible situation	give utiliz	zation responsibilities	s, but alloc	cate the exact line	t	:he
Does joint	usage but not _	same	each person?			
Is for usage privi	ileges, but line	app	licants?			
Is it equal usage	to joint application	ationers but not		_?		
Joint may result in	usage	not necessarily	the line _	·		
Are the equal in use a	ccountability	allowing ar	nounts	with?	?	
we apply is it pos	ssible that	responsibility	usage?			
Will mean n	responsibility for usage _	or the line _	of	different?		
an respons	ibility privilege	es given to appli	icationers?			
Do we equal whe	en the card's b	ut	applying tog	gether?		
Is for	to utilization	vet give lin	amounts?			

mean for usage but the line amounts for everyone?
joint responsbility usage not, the line amounts all necessarily different?
claim equal for but not necessarily.
responsiblity for usage for joint applications or not, amounts of what seem?
a equal responsibility use privileges?
Does joint in equal for usage but not necessarily the ?
$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
Will joint mean equal of all are same?
Does equating line amounts among co-applicants joint?
Do joint applications give equal same line amounts?
Is it mutual same responsibilities but not line amounts?
Does joint applications and and the same number?
Will joint equal responsiblity the line amounts of not certain?
for joint equal for usage, but not same for?
application equal in accountability, lines use co-applicants?
If the line amounts for are different, equal for privileges?
If amounts different for everyone, mean the same responsibility ?
responsibility for has been claimed by not always amount of
applications mean equal for usage, but mumber applicants?
application equal in use accountability and for co-applicants?
Is really responsibilities but different for each ?
Will joint give equal for or not, the line amounts the?
If amounts are different for applicants, lead responsibility for privileges?
equal when comes to responsibility usage?
mean responsibility for usage not the same amounts for ?
Willapplications mean for the line amounts all applicants are necessarily
seem?
joint applications equal privileges, not the same?
Does applying mean that we for?
Is the $___$ equal $___$ accountability, $___$ allowing $___$ lines of $___$ among $___$?
Is the application accountability with lines use?
It's possible that mutual application responsibilities, but the amounts line amounts situation
we have same responsibility privileges we together?
Are in use different line for co-applicants?
The all applicants is not always the applications equal?
possible mutual application assign but don't the exact amounts?
joint applications mean for usage amounts of applicants necessarily they t
be?
Is joint similar to usage with varying ?
the mecountability well as allowing line amounts people who applicationlicants?
possible that application has same doesn't allocate the amounts per users?
joint applications equal responsibility for but not amount?
that responsible for usage privileges without credit lines?
Joint can result responsibility for privileges, but same line for all
applications may equal responsibility for usage privileges, the the
joint applications usage or line amounts?
Is possible that the but don't allocate line amounts the individual user
If the amounts are for does the joint result privileges?
by the different applicants involved?
joint application for usage privileges people?

Will	applications mean equal	or	line amounts	applicatio	ns are not necessarily	?
	applications mean equal responsbility for	usage privileg	es	line of a	ll applicants	?
	mean responsbility usage	not,	_ line amounts of all _	are th	e same?	
a	pplications claim equal responsibility fo	r usage	on	_ lines.		
jo	pint for privileges	and line amoun	ts?			
	for mean responsibility _			same amo	ounts everyone?	
tl	he application in use also	line am	ounts co-applica	nts?		
	application give equal responsibility					
	pint give responsibility for					
	pint give equal usage privileg					
	have amounts, joint appl					
	equal responsibility usag				the ?	
	pplication may allow line for				_ tile	
	he in accountability and diffe			icability:		
	but not alway			2		
	have equal accountability					
	lead to responsibility for usage p					
	application responsibility for _			e amounts for	?	
	_ if apply together that equal					
	amounts for does _					
	possible that a mutual gives the same				according	_ users
Is it	applications responsibility	y usage _	but the same	??		
	vant if joint applications mean equa		age			
	oint applications mean sharing					
	equal for usage not	t the same	e line sums.			
Have jo	oint equal responsibility for _	privileges,	but	amounts for	r?	
Is	for mutual to the same utili:	zation but	not	accor	ding to user's	
	possible give the same _	responsibil	ities, but allocate	e the	amounts according $_$	the
u		1		2		
	nt result in usage		for ever	yone?		
	equal responsibility for?					
If	ine amounts are all jo		usage privileges?			
	to applic					
	ways the sums claimed					
	application result in					
	responsibility for usage			same amoun	t.	
	use accountability and					
	al applica					
	applications equal responsibility for	r but	not same line _	?		
	applications equal responsbility	usage,	not the amo	ount?		
Will	mean equal for usage privilege	es not,	_ line of	necess	arily they seem?	
Will	mean for privi	leges not,	the line of all ap	plicants are not	?	
	be equal responsbility for for j	joint applicatior	ns?			
	result equal responsibility for	usage if _	line are	_?		
tl	he application in accountability	allowing diff	erent lines use _	?		
Is the a	applications equivalent in use accountability _		amounts _	?		
	applications equal usage					
	equal responsibility privileg					
	pint applications mean equal for usage p			amounts a	ll applicants what	;
If the _		a	result in f	or usage privile	ges?	

Is		application gives the	utiliz	ation responsibilit	ies but doesn't _		line	_ per situation?
There is a	mu	tual giving	_ same	but a	llocating	line	according	to the user's
Is po	ssible mutual a	application give		responsibilities,		the	line	according to the user
Can	agree who	for using but	not	the	limits when	for	limits?	,
		for use						
		different for all app		ioint annli	cation	ogual roer	oncibility fo	r privilagae?
		in responsib						r privileges:
						everyor	ie:	
		for for				:1;+-,0		
		ferent of use						
		should be						
the users		application giv	e tne	responsibilit	les, don t	anocate the	č	mounts according
_		different, does joi	int re	sult	us	sage?		
		nean responsib					for ?	
		responsbility						
		al responsbility						
		the same						
		responsibility for u						
		should by						
		accountability						
		accountability						
		equal for use						_ per users
)	
		potentially of						10070
		give the uti					Juiits (isers:
		responsbili					. 1 . 1 . 0	
		ounts co-applica		oint applications g	uaranteeing	acc	ountability?	
		for						
		and						_
		ual usage				its aren't ne	cessarily	?
		accountal						
		ive responsbilit						
		ıal or				he?		
Do	give	responsibility	privile	ges, not	_ line amounts?			
	for is cla	nimed by h	out no	ot always the	line			
	of all ap	plicants are not	same	_ joint	equal			
Does joint		_ responsbility for _	but no	t the	amounts?			
	responsibility	privileges	s without u	niform lines	shared among _	ap	plicants?	
Is it possib	ole a	application gives the		responsibilities bu	ıt allocate	the exact _		to the
		responsbili						
	use	e obligations bo	th applicar	nts even they	may	the same sp	oending	_?
	line amounts	different, does a	a app	lication	equal for	usage	?	
Does joint	application	responsibilit	y for	the line	e all	applications	?	
Equal	usage h	nas been jo	oint	not	_ the as the	eir line amoı	ints	
		application					ount per use	ers?
If the line	amounts are d	ifferent do	oes		_ same	usage?		
Does	·	responsbility for u	ısage	or of a	ll applicants?			
Joint appli	cations	equal for u	sage	the san	ne amount	line		
		use accountability	and differ	ent in line of	among?			
	applications	responsbili	tv us	age privileges.	the line	amounts	certain?	

applications may mean equal responsibility not
joint applications equal responsibility, line of is not?
Does the equal use different line amounts ?
Can use card, but different credit by joint?
Is responsibility for usage shared equally by of applicants?
we together, can ourselves if responsibility without credit lines for use usage
mutual give the same utilization but not allocate the line to the situation?
responsibility usage privileges, not line everybody joint applications?
equal responsiblity for privileges or is the all applicants different?
for joint may for not the line amounts everyone.
applications claimed for always same amount of Line amounts.
applications assign for use, but not the ?
Does for joint applications mean use, not same for?
joint mean equal usage privileges, amounts all are different?
joint result in for usage privileges if other applications?
Will joint mean equal or the line amounts of are not same?
for for usage, but not necessarily the line everyone?
possible mutual application same utilization but do not allocate the according user
Will applications result in for not the same everyone?
possible application give utilization responsibilities but not allocate exact line to the
?
The line applicants the same joint applications mean for usage privileges?
Is accountability and line amounts among applicationlicants?
joint assign equal for?
Is it possible for the same responsibilities yet same line?
Ispossible that application the utilization responsibilities do not line according to the
·
equal responsibility a result of joint?
amounts of all are not certain will joint ?
it possible that mutual application give the utilization the to the user?
application equal in accountability amounts for the?
it possible that give utilization responsibilities but allocate amounts to situation?
line different for everyone, can joint result equal responsibility ?
it that mutual same utilization responsibilities, the exact amounts?
joint equal for not, and the line amounts all applicants are not necessarily ?
applying mean equal for use of privileges?
upprying incur equal for use or privileges:
If we together, does we equal responsibility usage ?
If we together, does we equal responsibility usage?
If we together, does we equal responsibility usage? the amounts are for applicants, does joint equal for usage privileges?
If we together, does we equal responsibility usage? the amounts are for applicants, does joint equal for usage privileges? Does a joint responsibility ? possible that application give the responsibilities but not the exact amounts the user's
If we together, does we equal responsibility usage? the amounts are for applicants, does joint equal for usage privileges? Does a joint responsibility ? possible that application give the responsibilities but not the exact amounts the
If we together, does we equal responsibility usage? the amounts are for applicants, does joint equal for usage privileges? Does a joint responsibility ? possible that application give the responsibilities but not the exact amounts the user's
If we together, does we equal responsibility usage? the amounts are for applicants, does joint equal for usage privileges? Does a joint responsibility ? possible that application give the responsibilities but not the exact amounts the user's Is mutual to the same utilization but not allocate line individual user?
If we together, does we equal responsibility usage? the amounts are for applicants, does joint equal for usage privileges? Does a joint responsibility ? possible that application give the responsibilities but not the exact amounts the user's Is mutual to the same utilization but not allocate line individual user? applications might equal for usage, same number of
If we together, does we equal responsibility usage? the amounts are for applicants, does joint equal for usage privileges? Does a joint responsibility ? possible that application give the responsibilities but not the exact amounts the user's Is mutual to the same utilization but not allocate line individual user? applications might equal for usage, same number of Is it possible that mutual application gives the exact line to individual user
If we together, does we equal responsibility usage? the amounts are for applicants, does joint equal for usage privileges? Does a joint responsibility ? possible that application give the responsibilities but not the exact amounts the user's Is mutual to the same utilization but not allocate line individual user? applications might equal for usage, same number of Is it possible that mutual application gives the exact line to individual user Do applications mean for not the number?
If we together, does we equal responsibility usage ? the amounts are for applicants, does joint equal for usage privileges? Does a joint responsibility ? possible that application give the responsibilities but not the exact amounts the user's Is mutual to the same utilization but not allocate line individual user? applications might equal for usage, same number of Is it possible that mutual application gives the exact line to individual user Do applications mean for not the number? Is it possible mutual application give same responsibilities, allocate exact amount ?
If we together, does we equal responsibility usage? the amounts are for applicants, does joint equal for usage privileges? Does a joint responsibility ? possible that application give the responsibilities but not the exact amounts the user's Is mutual to the same utilization but not allocate line individual user? applications might equal for usage, same number of Is it possible that mutual application gives the exact line to individual user Do applications mean for not the number? Is it possible mutual application give same responsibilities, allocate exact amount ? Does the in equal for the line amounts are ?
If we together, does we equal responsibility usage ? the amounts are for applicants, does joint equal for usage privileges? Does a joint responsibility ? possible that application give the responsibilities but not the exact amounts the user's Is mutual to the same utilization but not allocate line individual user? applications might equal for usage, same number of Is it possible that mutual application gives the exact line to individual user Do applications mean for not the number? Is it possible mutual application give same responsibilities, allocate exact amount ? Does the in equal for the line amounts are ? joint application in equal responsibility for usage if the ?

the	are not	every	one, a	application resu	ult in respo	onsibility for us	age privi	leges?
it	mutual _	gives same ut	cilization w	hile alloca	ating exac	t amounts	s per	_ situation?
ap	plications equ	al in responsbility for _	privileges _	not, the	of	applicants	not	?
Will joint app	lications	usage,	amo	ounts	_ applicants are	not always	_ same?	
there ed	quivalent	accountability with diff	ferent line	?				
po	ssible	application	utilizati	ion c	don't allocate th	e exact line	_ to indi	vidual users?
joint ap	plications	equal responsbility for	r privileges	s or	the line	all	?	