[Demo] NLP Dataset for Customer Service Automation

Company Type	Property Insurance Companies
Inquiry Category	Property valuation for insurance purposes
Inquiry Sub- Category	Valuation for vacant or unoccupied properties
Description	Customers with vacant or unoccupied properties seek clarification on how to determine the appropriate valuation for these types of properties, as insurance coverage requirements may differ from those of occupied properties.
Data Size	6,128 paraphrases
Want to buy data?	Please contact nlp-data@qross.me via your business email address.

Masked sample paraphrases of one "Property Insurance Company" customer inquiry. (Purchased data will not be masked.)

Does insuring at make?	instead of full cos	t secon	ndary residence,	which u	nfurnished	_ of
it sense to our it protect the em					pty?	
Is it getting value	_ for ho	ome?				
it smart to cash value				1 1 6		2
Is it practical						'
It's opt for actual					ondary	
it a good to cover the	unfurnished	value	full	cost?		
Is it practical for the secondary r	esidence have insu	ırance		?		
based real year?	and not	expenses _	realistic for a	a house	kept furn	iture-free every
Do think sense t reimburs	0	our second p	property based _	its n	nonetary	rather than
it logical to protect our	property with ca	sh of fu	ıll bed	cause its	?	
I choose cash value co						
a vacant, used _						
Does make to th				P1	detical.	
		6,11	cocondor	u rooidonoo?		
it an		1uii	secondary	y residence:		
Is it to cover					_	
Does it make sense to have				large	of	?
reasonable have						
Does it to protect	_ vacant secondary		instead full	l restoration $_$?	
Is it logical protect en	npty secondary property	·	6	a full replacen	nent?	
Would make hav	re an on	vacant second	?			
it make sense to	valuation of insurance	of complete	a di	a	property?	
Should cas						condary dwelling?
the cash value insurance			•			, s
choosing actual cash			replacement o	coverage	sense for	_ lightly

secondary?
Is to cover our secondary value instead of a cost?
it to cover the value unfurnished home, instead of the of?
Is idea cash value on our second unfurnished that is large parts of ?
Is it better secondary for its worth, the total when empty?
Considering that rarely the year, obtaining insurance coverage based world property reasonable?
it make sense to out insurance ?
bare secondary residence have actual value full replacement cost?
Is it wise protect secondary for cash value, full ?
Should we buy value insurance second?
Is smart to secondary home value of the full ?
Does it make sense have policy our ?
Islogical to cover our unfurnished cash value the cost?
make to vacant secondary with cash value, fully?
Is it to provide our residence value of replacement?
Does it choose actual cash value replacement cost empty and unfurnished home?
to have a second value instead of full replacement?
insured our barely-furnished second on its current value replacement cost?
Do the vacant insured its real instead of the replacement expense?
Is worth it insurance on remains vacant through large parts of the?
is logical our secondary residence to have cash of replacement
it make to value our vacant home?
Is sensible to property cash value instead of a cost?
Do we need real cash insurance ?
we an cash policy over replacement policy for our secondary?
Is insuring a a infrequently furnished secondary residence?
logical secondary residence that is unfurnished an actual ?
Is have insurance coverage for our second based its simple than us
it sense use a cash valuation of insurance coverage for secondary property?
to cover unfurnished secondary residence at actual of a full cost?
Should our be insured cash not the replacement?
aidea to have cash value insurance on unfurnished second that parts the
we choose actual cash value over one full for secondary?
Is value needed for home?
Insurance our vacant second property should be based on simple reimbursing
Considering that we occupy our dwelling it sense get insurance on real-world values?
It's logical get actual full cost for secondary residence.
sense cash value on our second isn't occupied for a time?
Does it for coverage to based the simple monetary vacant property fully reimbursing
possible an cash value insurance policy one coverage for our lightly dwelling
which empty most the be at the actual cash
a good cover for cash value instead of cost?
Do it make to empty, vacation ?
Does it sense that is unfurnished?
insuring second home an make sense?
insuring second nome dii induc sense cash value for our unfurnished home?
secondary home for cash instead of full replacement cost?

Does a at value make?
it make sense cover our empty house at current price?
possible to our residence at cash value rather than cost it unfurnished throu ?
s it reasonable actual cash value over full cost empty vacation
Does make sense to on our second that isn't most of year?
Does it cash of insurance instead of full coverage for mostly ?
have a dwelling on its value full replacement cost?
Considering that we rarely dwelling the year, based on property seem reason
sense insurance coverage for the vacant second based its rather fully
reimbursing
s better cover home cash than the replacement cost?
Does selecting value policy over full policy make sense for our used ?
Does make protect secondary property value full restoration value?
s it a good to insurance our second based simple worth rather than
worth protect unfurnished residence an actual cash value?
s smart to a cash our secondary?
Does sense to protect our vacant a?
Do you it to insure unfurnished ?
it to protect our for cash than full cost?
s choose real cash insurance unfurnished home?
s it worth insuring our at?
s it taking on unfurnished second?
good cover the unfurnished secondary for cash?
it make INSURE secondary residence?
it make INSURE secondary residence? Should take out or replacement our second home?
Should take out or replacement our second home? Is it our our residence at cash full cost, because remains mostly unfurnished
Shouldtake outorreplacementour second home? s itourresidence atcashfullcost, becauseremains mostly unfurnished
Should take out or replacement our second home? s it our residence at cash full cost, because remains mostly unfurnished chroughout ? s it a good to on unfurnished ?
Shouldtake out orreplacement our second home? s it ourresidence atcash fullcost, becauseremains mostly unfurnished s it a good to onunfurnished? Does sense to our its current value rather than replacement?
Shouldtake out orreplacement our second home? s it ourresidence atcash fullcost, becauseremains mostly unfurnished throughout? s it a goodto onunfurnished? Does sense toour its current value rather than replacement? make sense toour unfurnished for of our replacement cost?
Shouldtake out orreplacement our second home? s it ourresidence atcash fullcost, because remains mostly unfurnished s it a good to on unfurnished? Does sense toour its current value rather than replacement? make sense toour unfurnished for of our replacement cost? it idea to have real on our second remains large parts of year?
Should take out or replacement our second home? s it our residence at cash full cost, because remains mostly unfurnished s it a good to on unfurnished ? Does sense to our its current value rather than replacement ? make sense to our unfurnished for of our replacement cost? it idea to have real on our second remains large parts of year? s it empty at cash or a full cost?
Shouldtake out orreplacement our second home? s it ourresidence at cash full cost, because remains mostly unfurnished s it a good to on unfurnished ? Does sense to our its current value rather than replacement? make sense to our unfurnished for of our replacement cost? it idea to have real on our second remains large parts of year? s it empty at cash or a full cost? make sense to cash insurance for a mostly unfurnished secondary property?
Shouldtake out orreplacement our second home? s it ourresidence atcash fullcost, because remains mostly unfurnished s it a good to on unfurnished ? Does sense to our its current value rather than replacement ? make sense to our unfurnished for of our replacement cost? it idea to have real on our second remains large parts of year? s it empty at cash or a full cost? make sense to cash insurance for a mostly unfurnished secondary property? protect our unfurnished second its current value?
Should take out or replacement our second home? s it our residence at cash full cost, because remains mostly unfurnished hroughout ? s it a good to on unfurnished ? Does sense to our for of our replacement ? make sense to our unfurnished for of our replacement cost? it idea to have real on our second remains large parts of year? s it empty at cash or a full cost? make sense to cash insurance for a mostly unfurnished secondary property? protect our unfurnished second its current value? Ones use cash value insurance secondary residence?
Shouldtake out or replacement our second home? s it our residence atcash fullcost, because remains mostly unfurnished s it a good to on unfurnished ? Does sense to our its current value rather than replacement ? make sense to our unfurnished for of our replacement cost? it idea to have real on our second remains large parts of year? s it empty at cash or a full cost? make sense to cash insurance for a mostly unfurnished secondary property? protect our unfurnished second its current value? Does use cash value insurance secondary residence? s wise to have insurance on ?
Shouldtake out orreplacement our second home? s it our residence at cash full cost, because remains mostly unfurnished hroughout? s it a goodto on unfurnished? Does sense to our its current value rather than replacement? make sense to our unfurnished for of our replacement cost? it idea to have real on our second remains large parts of year? s it empty at cash or a full cost? make sense to cash insurance for a mostly unfurnished secondary property? Does use cash value insurance secondary residence? s wise to have insurance on ? better only cover value our second rather than full cost?
Shouldtake out or replacement our second home? s it our residence atcash fullcost, because remains mostly unfurnished s it a good to on unfurnished ? Does sense to our its current value rather than replacement ? make sense to our unfurnished for of our replacement cost? it idea to have real on our second remains large parts of year? s it empty at cash or a full cost? make sense to cash insurance for a mostly unfurnished secondary property? protect our unfurnished second its current value? Does use cash value insurance secondary residence? s wise to have insurance on ?
Shouldtake out orreplacement our second home? s it our residence at cash full cost, because remains mostly unfurnished hroughout? s it a goodto on unfurnished? Does sense to our its current value rather than replacement? make sense to our unfurnished for of our replacement cost? it idea to have real on our second remains large parts of year? s it empty at cash or a full cost? make sense to cash insurance for a mostly unfurnished secondary property? Does use cash value insurance secondary residence? s wise to have insurance on ? better only cover value our second rather than full cost?
Shouldtake out orreplacement our second home? s it ourresidence atcash fullcost, becauseremains mostly unfurnished hroughout? s it a goodto onunfurnished? Does sense to our its current value rather than replacement? make sense to our unfurnished for of our replacement cost? it idea to have real on our second remains large parts of year? at cash or a full cost? make sense to cash insurance for a mostly unfurnished secondary property? protect our unfurnished second its current value? Does use cash value insurance secondary residence? s wise to have insurance on ? better only cover value our second rather than full cost? think our secondary residence at cash makes sense?
Should take out orreplacement our second home? s it ourresidence at cash full cost, because remains mostly unfurnished
Should take out orreplacement our second home? s it our residence at cash full cost, because remains mostly unfurnished hroughout? s it a good on unfurnished? Does sense to our its current value rather than replacement? make sense to our unfurnished for of our replacement cost? it idea to have real on our second remains large parts of year? s it empty at cash or a full cost? make sense to cash insurance for a mostly unfurnished secondary property? protect our unfurnished second its current value? Does use cash value insurance secondary residence? s wise to have insurance on ? better only cover value our second rather than full cost? think our secondary residence at cash makes sense? it a smart to have cash value secondary? practical to at actual cash value replacement our secondary?
Should take out orreplacement our second home? s it ourresidence at cash full cost, because remains mostly unfurnished hroughout? s it a good to on unfurnished? Does sense to our unfurnished ? make sense to our unfurnished for of our replacement cost? it idea to have real on our second remains large parts of year? s it empty at cash or a full cost? make sense to cash insurance for a mostly unfurnished secondary property. protect our unfurnished second its current value? Does use cash value insurance secondary residence? s wise to have insurance on ? better only cover value our second rather than full cost? think our secondary residence at cash makes sense? it a smart to have cash value secondary? practical to at actual cash value replacement our secondary? logical for residence to have cash value of full
Shouldtake out orreplacement our second home? sit ourresidence at cash full cost, because remains mostly unfurnished hroughout ? sit a good to on unfurnished ? Does sense to our its current value rather than replacement ? make sense to our unfurnished for of our replacement cost? it idea to have real on our second remains large parts of year? sit empty at cash or a full cost? make sense to cash insurance for a mostly unfurnished secondary property. protect our unfurnished second its current value? Does use cash value insurance secondary residence? s wise to have insurance on ? better only cover value our second rather than full cost? think our secondary residence at cash makes sense? it a smart to have cash value secondary ? practical to at actual cash value replacement our secondary ? logical for residence to have cash value of full the insurance of our mostly home ?
Shouldtake out orreplacement our second home? s it our residence at cash full cost, because remains mostly unfurnished hroughout? s it a good to on unfurnished ? Does sense to our its current value rather than replacement ? make sense to our unfurnished for of our replacement cost? it idea to have real on our second remains large parts of year? s it empty at cash or a full cost? make sense to cash insurance for a mostly unfurnished secondary property. protect our unfurnished second its current value? Does use cash value insurance secondary residence? s wise to have insurance on ? better only cover value our second rather than full cost? think our secondary residence at cash makes sense? it a smart to have cash value secondary ? practical to at actual cash value secondary ? logical for residence to have cash value of full the insurance of our mostly home ? s better to have the home ?
Should take out or replacement our second home? s it our residence at cash full cost, because remains mostly unfurnished hroughout ? s it a good to on unfurnished ? Does sense to our its current value rather than replacement ? make sense to our unfurnished for of our replacement cost? it idea to have real on our second remains large parts of year? s it empty at cash or a full cost? make sense to cash insurance for a mostly unfurnished secondary property? protect our unfurnished second its current value? Does seash value insurance secondary residence? s wise to have insurance on ? better only cover value our second rather than full cost? think our secondary residence at cash makes sense? it a smart to have cash value secondary ? practical to at actual cash value replacement our secondary ? logical for residence to have cash value of full . the insurance of our mostly home ? s better to have the home for cash instead full ? Does sense the second home at cash value?
Should take out orreplacement our second home? s it ourresidence at cash full cost, because remains mostly unfurnished hroughout? s it a good to on unfurnished? Does sense to our unfurnished ? make sense to on our unfurnished for of our replacement cost? it idea to have real on our second remains large parts of year? s it empty at cash or a full cost? make sense to cash insurance for a mostly unfurnished secondary property. protect our unfurnished second its current value? Does use cash value insurance secondary residence? s wise to have insurance on ? better only cover value our second rather than full cost? think our secondary residence at cash makes sense? it a smart to have cash value secondary ? practical to at actual cash value replacement our secondary ? logical for residence to have cash value of full the insurance of our mostly home ? s better to have the home ? s the second home at cash value? Does sense the second home at cash value? Should cash considered for our ? insure unfurnished home on its value? possible to our secondary rether than replacement because remains
Should take out or replacement our second home? Is it our residence at cash full cost, because remains mostly unfurnished hroughout ? Is it a good to on unfurnished ? It is current value rather than replacement cost? It idea to have real on our second remains large parts of year? Is it empty at cash or a full cost? In make sense to cash insurance for a mostly unfurnished secondary property. It is current value? It is current val

during the?	
Should we actual cash value one with full replacement our?	
that rarely our secondary dwelling it make to obtain coverage on real-world property?	
Do think it's choose value insurance over replacement cost coverage empty h	ome?
it better our house for its worth than total for year is?	
Does it make sense toour house its current of our ?	
it sense to take out cash value insurance our through parts the year?	
for secondary to be insured at rather than replaced?	
Should we consider seasonal second oncurrent rather than cost?	
it make sense for the on vacant second property its rather than reimburs	
we rarely our dwelling during obtaining coverage based property values make sense?	S
to to empty second home at cash or replacement ?	
possible keep secondary residence at an actual a replacement cost?	
sense to protect our a real cash value?	
The insurance our second based on simple worth rather fully us	
Should we an value policy instead full coverage for our dwelling?	
Is value of a option an furnished secondary?	
Is good idea cash insurance on unfurnished second property remains vacant through ma	iority
	JOTTLY
Is the residence insured the full replacement cost?	
Is it the for value instead of replacement?	
Is it a good to value insurance our second property portion the year	ar?
worth it for furnished secondary residence to insurance value?	
It's for bare secondary that's often vacant to for value replacement cost.	
Shouldn't our secondary be insured its of it's empty?	
Does it sense to cash insurance our second isn't being ?	
it sense have cash value on unfurnished second property, through part of year?	of
Does it sense use cash of instead of complete coverage for ?	
Should we cash our unfurnished ?	
make sense vacant secondary property with value than full?	
it make sense actual cash valuation instead complete reconstruction largely secondary property?	
It's logical our bare residence to be insured for	
Should we consider barely-furnished second dwelling its current value replacement	_?
Does it make have value insurance unfurnished property, vacant through the year?	_ of
Should we cash value unfurnished home?	
Should we cash second home?	
Does it make sense to cover value than the replacement?	
Is it better have the for its instead of full cost?	
Does make vacant secondary property insured?	
make sense our unfurnished secondary residence with ?	
Does it keep cash value the property?	
ithaveinsurance policy unfurnished secondary home?	
it actual unfurnished cash value instead for secondary residence?	
Is consider value for our second ?	
Does make sense our unfurnished at rather replacement cost?	
insurance our unfurnished secondary home, smart?	
Is it smart our vacant secondary cash restoration?	
	

Insurance _	on	second proper	ty b	ased on	simple	worth rather	reimbursi	ng us.
p	ossible	actual cash va	lue insurance	full re	eplacement c	ost coverage for	empty	?
t's	_ the bare sec	ondary that	often	hav	e actual	value		
p	ossible	our	home at its cu	ırrent marl	ket inst	ead of the	cost it?	
you thi	nk insuring	hom	ne makes?)				
it practica	nl the	to	actual		rather than	full?		
		our secondary dv	elling the	e year, doe	s obtaining _	based	on real-world proper	ty make
?								
		home						
							welling in the year?	
		our home _						
		acement cost for _						6
it make ?	e sense	val	uation of insur	ance	of complete	reconstruction _	for largely	infurnished
 s	the	sound an ir	nfrequently fur	nished	residence?			
							lightly use	ed ?
		of our vacant						~
		for un		_				
					full	policy for	secondary?	
		a value						
		value on s					r - r	
							ost, minin	ıal usage?
		our second l				a or		ar abago.
				ndary	?			
						roperty	vacant through la	arge parts of
?	idke selise		varue on	our umum	h		vacant unrough ic	ige parts or
it reaso	onable for our	unoccupied	to in	sured	a valu	ıe a r	eplacement?	
oes the	value t	the	an infre	equently	secondar	y residence?		
m	nake to	unfurnis	hed secondary	at	actual ca	sh value?		
m	nake sense to _	our extr	a house at	_ current _	instead	of the	?	
oes it	to	the second	at ac	tual cash _	?			
		insured th				?		
		et cash						
						verage a	empty, unfurnishe	d home?
							world	
easonable?								
re	easonable to _	cash valu	ue insurance ov	er full		for a	sparse vacation	ı home?
hould	seconda	ry	_ at cash _	rathe	r the fu	ıll replacement o	eost?	
's logical _	actua	al insur	ance our	bare	residence, w	hich	vacant.	
it	cover	second fo	or cash _	th	nan the	replacement cos	st?	
w	orth insuring a	at cash	instead	replac	ement for _	resider	ice?	
g	et cash	for our unfurr	nished hor	me?				
	th it to have	insu	rance ou	r unfurnish	ied pro	perty rema	ins vacant large	:
ear?		_	_			_		
		our unfurnishe						
							to its current?	
		which				ectual value	e?	
		_ to cas						
							ll replacement cost?	
		_ secondary						
oes		cash value	of replace	ment cost	our	residence rea	lly make?	
oes it make	e sense h	ave insurance	on vaca	nt		monetar	rather than	us?

Would the second house? Is it possible protect actual cash value, than full cost, it remains mostly If our secondary stays for while, is a to it to current? sense to INSURE our unfurnished secondary with? Does make sense cash value our second property, which is through large of? Is it better cover the home cash replacement? it make sense unfurnished secondary a realistic value? it possible to insured secondary residence at actual value of replacement cost, since? logical our bare to have value of full cost. Should we have value or vacant home? about cash insurance for second home? covering second based on its current value than full?
If our secondary stays for while, is a to it to current ? sense to INSURE our unfurnished secondary with ? Does make sense cash value our second property, which is through large of ? Is it better cover the home cash replacement ? it make sense unfurnished secondary a realistic value? it possible to insured secondary residence at actual value of replacement cost, since logical our bare to have value of full cost. Should we have value or vacant home? about cash insurance for second home? covering second based on its current value than full ?
If our secondary stays for while, is a to it to current ? sense to INSURE our unfurnished secondary with ? Does make sense cash value our second property, which is through large of ? Is it better cover the home cash replacement ? it make sense unfurnished secondary a realistic value? it possible to insured secondary residence at actual value of replacement cost, since logical our bare to have value of full cost. Should we have value or vacant home? about cash insurance for second home? covering second based on its current value than full ?
sense to INSURE our unfurnished secondary with? Does make sense cash value our second property, which is through large of? Is it better cover the home cash replacement? it make sense unfurnished secondary a realistic value? it possible to insured secondary residence at actual value of replacement cost, since? logical our bare to have value of full cost. Should we have value or vacant home? about cash insurance for second home? covering second based on its current value than full ?
Does make sense cash value our second property, which is through large of ? Is it better cover the home cash replacement ? it make sense unfurnished secondary a realistic value? it possible to insured secondary residence at actual value of replacement cost, since ? logical our bare to have value of full cost. Should we have value or vacant home? about cash insurance for second home? covering second based on its current value than full ?
Is it better cover the home cash replacement? it make sense unfurnished secondary a realistic value? it possible to insured secondary residence at actual value of replacement cost, since ? logical our bare to have value of full cost. Should we have value or vacant home? about cash insurance for second home? covering second based on its current value than full ?
it make sense unfurnished secondary a realistic value? it possible to insured secondary residence at actual value of replacement cost, since? logical our bare to have value of full cost. Should we have value or vacant home? about cash insurance for second home? covering second based on its current value than full ?
it possible to insured secondary residence at actual value of replacement cost, since ? logical our bare to have value of full cost. Should we have value or vacant home? about cash insurance for second home? covering second based on its current value than full ?
Should we have value or vacant home? about cash insurance for second home? covering second based on its current value than full ?
Should we have value or vacant home? about cash insurance for second home? covering second based on its current value than full ?
about cash insurance for second home? covering second based on its current value than full ?
covering second based on its current value than full ?
incurance the each ention for an recidence?
insurance the cash option for an residence?
cash insurance good unfurnished second?
Does sense to protect place?
Is to the unfurnished of the replacement cost?
logical to wacant second based its simple monetary worth, than fully reimbursing
our bare vacant to opt for cash insurance?
Is a idea the secondary home for cash rather cost?
insurance based estate and not total reinstatement expenses you to house
kept furniture-free?
Does to cover empty house at its current full cost?
Is it insuring actual unfurnished of replacement cost for ?
It's logical buy cash value of full replacement our
Is it to the vacant, rarely used property's value, ?
selecting an cash value policy over coverage sense used secondary dwelling?
it have insurance coverage on monetary worth of vacant property instead us?
Does it sense at actual rather full replacement for residence?
we insured our barely-furnished dwelling on its replacement cost?
feasible to go for valuation of insurance reconstruction for a largely unfurnished ?
Should our home insured at cash of the replacement?
Is it wise home?
Is logical our unfurnished at cash instead of full replacement?
it feasible market value of the secondary home instead the replacing?
Does it insurance coverage our property its monetary worth rather than us?
a protect our property real worth than the entire replacement expense?
itto choosecash value insurancefull replacementformostlysparse vacation?
Is it to only of of property instead of the replacement cost?
Should our residence be insured a cash the ?
it sense cash valuation insurance of reconstruction coverage for a unfurnished property?
• • •
Does it make sense cover with cash value rather ?
Is it possible to secondary actual of a replacement?
worth getting cash value for barely-used ?
sense to have on unfurnished home.
Does make to actual cash insurance full replacement cost empty, unfurnished vacation
it make to choose cash value insurance over replacement empty vacation home?
Should our on current value than full replacement cost?

wise insurance our unfurnished?
Does it provide coverage for unfurnished ?
Should at the value rather than full replacement?
it make have coverage on unfurnished ?
consider value for the second?
Is better to protect our secondary value rather the year when empty?
Is cash value for our second?
it smart cash our unfurnished home of a replacement cost?
Considering that rarely occupy our throughout the year, getting insurance on make?
Does it make for residence be actual cash of replacement cost?
should actual coverage out extra completely replace all the crummy stuff second
our second for its actual cash value, than full ?
buying on and not total reinstatement expenses when applied a vacation that's furniture-free
it make to insurance on second property based its monetary fully reimbursing
it sense cash value insurance over full replacement cost coverage mostly
home?
What about cash our secondary home?
Is to cover home cash instead of a replacement?
Is a good to secondary home value instead of a full ?
we choose to have our secondary actual cash value rather than replacement cost,
it possible to secondary insured at value than full replacement?
it make sense cover house at its instead of the price?
barely-furnished dwelling insured based its current rather replacement cost?
insurance with value sound for furnished secondary?
secondary residence at value instead full replacement it sits empty most of ?
If house empty for most of the is wise out an it?
Better choose actual our unfurnished home?
Is it wise our unfurnished?
logical for our secondary be with a cash of a cost?
it our unfurnished secondary residence value?
ithavecoverage onvacant property based on itsmonetary reimbursing us?
itinsured our residence actual cash value rather it is mostly unfurnished th
?
secondary residence, most of the year, insured instead of full
Smart to choose actual our secondary
better to the house insured its worth than total a year it's empty?
it good idea out an insurance on our secondary house stays while?
be take an policy on our vacant second ?
it good idea to a value secondary home?
it to choose value insurance the secondary?
our second home real value sense?
a idea our unfurnished for value of the full cost?
to get cash for our secondary home?
our secondary be insured at full full cost?
it to out an insurance second property?
take an cash value insurance policy full replacement policy our ?
Is it logical the cash instead of the full cost?
it wise take an house if it stays empty for a?

Does it	make		second home	its	_ instead of	full repla	acement?		
				full replacement					
it	sense to	cash	insurance _	our	_ property, wh	ich	through larg	je	the year?
ou	ır secondary _	which	c	of year, be in	nsured at actua	1	rather than	replaceme	ent?
Does it	make	have actua	al in:	surance on our _		is vac	ant through	parts	the?
	sense	: r	real cash value	for our	residence?				
	at actual _	val	ue instead	full cost fo	r our	sens	e?		
	practical _		us	sed second prope	rty's current va	lue instead	the	cost?	
Is		_ our vacan	t property	with 1	not restoration	worth?			
it	to _	real	_ value insurar	ice sec	condary proper	ty?			
If	secondary	_ stays	for most	year, i	t a idea to	o it	its	_ worth?	
Would i	nsuring	second	property	?					
Does ca	ish insura	ance	for unf	urnished second	?				
Is	wise	_ cash value	insurance	unfurnishe	ed second	rema	ins vacant throu	ıgh	_ of the?
Is	to choos	e cash _	over	full	for our mos	stly unoccup	oied vacation	?	
				sidence at					
it	make to	the em	oty house	at current v	alue rather		?		
				ıred at					
	insur	ing our unfur	mished?						
Is it		home	be	its current	_ rather than r	eplaced?			
				dwelling du			ng insurance co	verage	on
	reasonable	?							
it	logical	a	with	instead	d of full re	placement	cost?		
Is	secondary	_ insured _	cash?						
Does _	make	to choose _	cash	over full	_ cost coverage	e	mostly u	nfurnished va	cation?
		which		the b	e insured at ac	tual cash va	alue rather than	full cos	t?
	to cov	ver vaca	ant,	second property's	s current value		of its cost	?	
we	e consider insu	ring our bar	ely-furnished Se	easonal	_ based on			replaceme	nt cost?
Should	secondar	y residence _	insured at	the	of	cos	t?		
		cover our	secondary h	ome for cash	_ instead of the	e	?		
	to ha	ve our secon	d home	its actual cash	ı	the full r	replacement	_?	
Should		_ be insured	at	than th	e full replacem	ent?			
Does it	make to		reside	nce at actual cas	h value	re	placement	?	
it	make sense to	cash	on		remain	s vacant thi	rough the major	rity the	?
		our	home, would	be benefici	al to have		cash valu	e rather than	complete
				secondary a					
				ation of insurance					ondary?
				curre				st?	
Is it			at a ca	sh value	the full repla	acement cos	st?		
Is	logical c	over our	_ secondary	with a	instead	repl	acement?		
Is it rea	listic to	based o	n estate _	and	expens	es a h	ouse that	?	
Should	we buy real	value		property?					
it	to c	our secondar	y at		of full	_ since it re	emains mostly _	througho	out the?
				_ its worth r					
				on our unfurni					
it		our second		value rat					
through	out the?								
				instead _					
it	smart to	our unfurnis	hed home	for	f	full replace:	ment?		
		sh value	_ policy or	ne rep	lacement cover	rage s	ense	lightly used	secondary
dwelling	q?								

Does _	not make s	sense	choose	cash	_ insurance _	full		for	empty vacatio	on home?	
	be if	we ou	r vacation h	ome at ac	tual cash	tha	an	costs	use it		
	opting	_ actual cas	sh value insu	rance for	' s	econdary _	?				
The bar	re secondary		often va	cant	logical	opting	actual	insur	ance instead	full c	ost.
									rather than		
	smart to buy							-			
							urrent	rather than tl	ne full replaceme	nt cost?	
	sense								P		
	we cash					ir varao om	., .				
	to protect o						total	when is	emnty?		
	make to										
	ur house										
	to										
			-						omas to	2	
									comes to	f	
	ash										
	choose								.1		
									n than	us	
	econdary hous							on	?		
	worth						ed home?				
	insuring										
	to pu										
								rage policy _	dv	welling?	
	better										
Should	our	insur	red at v	alue	the	replace	ement cost?				
		in	surance cov	erage	_ our vacant	second pr	operty		monetary	than	fully
us	to :	horro	infirmiched		incurod?						
	to . idea _					ooch w	luo?				
									. n		
	to co a go							cos	r.		
									111	f	
									l whol	e oi ye	ar?
	logical for										
									lacement cost?		
	seasonal s						than full	_cost?			
	to wit										
	to insure						replacemen	t?			
	rth considerin										
	cover										
	seconda:										
Does in	suring	unfurni	shed cash va	ılue		replac	ement cost m	nake for	our?		
it	make sense to	protect	empty	a	t its current		than	replacem	ent?		
Is it wis	se c	our vacant _	property	у	cash	·	_ restoration	worth?			
	wise to	unf	furnished sec	condary h	ome cas	sh value _	of f	ull	?		
Does _	make sens	e our	unfurnished		_ has to	?					
it	possible	use ca	ash ins	tead of _	for	secon	ndary?				
It's	our em	npty	to have o	eash	insurance _		replacer	nent cost.			
it	sense to	our _	seconda	ry home f	or value	e,		cost?			
Should	we	value insur	ance		house?						
	logical to o	coverı	unoccupied s	secondary	'a	ctual	instea	d r	eplacement cost?	•	

Is it to to home for cash value instead of cost?
it make sense to cash insurance property?
it make sense to second?
Is it to our home its cash instead of ?
Should we use or replacement cost second?
Should we value our residence?
logical that our secondary has an cash instead of cost?
sense have our secondary residence a value?
it make sense carry an insurance our secondary?
we our secondary dwelling throughout is obtaining insurance on world values
reasonable?
make sense protect our empty with cash value?
It logical bare residence have instead full replacement cost.
Should our secondary with cash of full replacement?
Do think the insured based on worth not the replacement expense?
Does make to have our house?
Is it better to use actual of of complete reconstruction coverage ?
our house empty for a long of time, wise take it?
possible to insurance unfurnished based on actual cash?
Is it to choose actual cash insurance full cost our vacation?
we cash value for secondary?
Is beneficial to the insured actual than complete costs given little we?
Does make sense choose actual value insurance cost coverage a empty vacation?
idea to have value insurance our secondary property?
Is it better to an second a value replacement?
Would take out insurance on vacant second?
reasonable to actual cash insurance over a home is mostly empty and
unfurnished?
insurance based on real estate and total reinstatement house kept furniture-free yearly?
Should we an cash value over a full for our ?
logical to secondary residence at a cash our actual replacement?
Our residence insured cash value rather than full
It makes protect property with cash value, not
cover vacant, rarely used second property's current its replacement cost?
vacantshould be insured based on its and notcomplete expense?
at actual unfurnished cash of make sense the residence?
it to protect our for cash value instead cost?
Is cash value appropriate for unfurnished ?
Is a idea to protect our for cash instead of ?
it idea to protect our property its real worth and cost?
our home at actual cash value the full replacement?
itsecondary residenceactual cash than fullcost,itmostly unfurnished
the year
practical to cover secondary at its current total cost of replacing it?
it to protect second home cash of the replacement cost?
we pick actual cash value policy over full for ?
Is it our secondary house instead of the total when it's empty?
Is it to coverage vacant second based on simple monetary worth, reimbursing
Should choose cash value instead shelling extra replace second house?
Does it make sense its actual cash instead of the cost?
Is it getting cash insurance barely used ?

It's logical to have actual insurance of a cost for
to cover second its cash rather than a cost?
Would it be to actual our residence?
Does it make $___$ have cash value $___$ on the unfurnished $___$ that $___$ vacant $____$ the $___$?
it make use actual cash valuation of complete reconstruction an property?
$ \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
Is have value insurance for our home?
Does to a home at cash value?
actualvalue insurance of fullcost is bare secondarythatvacant.
I wonder if consider value second home.
Considering we rarely or our secondary the does make to insurance coverage on real
Is practical to cover secondary home the value instead the total cost?
Does make sense protect our unfurnished real value?
better cover the home cash instead of full replacement?
practical for the secondary residence have an than a ?
it our secondary home its current market value, of cost of it?
better to pay for value our rather than the cost?
Is it cash value insurance our home?
home for its cash value rather than a replacement?
Is it to second home at full replacement cost?
It's logical our residence to actual value replacement cost.
Should we choose value insurance policy over replacement our used secondary?
we value insurance our second?
Should insured cash value?
Should we bother insurance second home?
if selecting an insurance over one offering replacement coverage makes our secondary dwelling.
it be to value for secondary home?
Is it practical to insurance property?
it make sense an our unfurnished secondary?
it to actual cash of a our secondary residence?
Does to protect the vacant secondary property rather restoration?
you think is wise have cash value residence?
Is it a home cash instead of full replacement?
Is it smart to actual cash insurance for ?
coverage our vacant second property be based on its than fully
Considering we secondary dwelling year, obtaining insurance coverage based real-world
sound reasonable?
a option for an infrequently have insurance with value?
Is it sensible only vacant, rarely second value instead cost?
it choose actual cash insurance full cost for a mostly unoccupied ?
Is our to have a cash of a replacement?
it sense to our second actual value?
on our secondary home make sense?
make sense to vacant secondary property cash restoration worth?
logical forsecondary property have value of a replacement?
our secondary residence be an instead the full replacement?
is empty for most of be insured at cash value full replacement cost?
It is logical secondary to have cash instead of full
·

possible to our unfurnished vacation based on cash not the ?
Is it our secondary to have actual cash full?
to our secondary at an actual cash than a replacement cost?
it realistic to purchase on real worth and expenses house is kept yearly?
Is it good idea to unfurnished for value?
Should we chose actual insurance over policy for our secondary?
it sense to cash value on our vacant ?
it value replacement cost for our second?
Is it home for its value instead of replacement?
make sense use cash insurance instead of largely unfurnished secondary property?
Is a good idea to value unfurnished second that is through of year?
ittoactual cash valueof fullcostfor ourempty vacation?
Should residence, is empty for year, at actual cash value?
Is it wise to actual value insurance ?
make sense for coverage on property based on its monetary worth than being
Is practical cover unfurnished market value, than the total of replacing it?
make cover the current value rather than the full replacement cost?
reasonable protect our secondary at rather than all furnishings?
Does insuring actual cash instead of our make sense?
it sense to coverage for home is vacant?
Is it a good cover secondary for value of replacement?
Does it make to unfurnished residence at value?
Is to cover secondary residence at instead of full replacement?
Should protect our seasonal dwelling based on current value ?
Doesmaketo cover ourhousevalueof the replacement?
would like to know if choosing cash value offering full makes for lightly used
Is good to value policy unfurnished secondary home?
weanvalue insurance policy over one thatreplacementourdwelling?
make sense toactual cashofcomplete reconstructionforlargelyproperty?
value insurance considering for second?
Does it sense cash value insurance unfurnished that remains vacant parts year?
it idea to insurance secondary according to into account the of the
house?
Does make valuation of insurance of complete reconstruction mostly unfurnished secondary property?
Does sense have cash value our unfurnished second that not occupied a?
it worth it to choose insurance over full cost mostly empty?
Do sense to cash full cost coverage our and unfurnished vacation home?
Do go cash value or cost our vacant ?
logical protect unoccupied secondary property cash instead of replacement cost,
minimal?
Is it our unfurnished?
Should our secondary empty of the year, be actual ?
wise to actual coverage for our home?
select an actual cash value insurance over one full used dwelling?
it sense to policy for our unfurnished ?
It's for secondary residence that vacant to value insurance.
Should we use real value property?

Do actual value insurance our secondary?
that rarely our secondary year, does obtaining insurance real-world property values sensible?
consider insuring dwelling on its current instead full replacement cost?
possible to insure residence at cash value of replacement?
Does it make to have value unfurnished ?
I actual cash value instead of extra replace all crummy in house?
sense to opt for actual value of cost for our residence.
Since our secondary residence can have low coverage?
Does cash the unfurnished home?
cash coverage of shelling extra to replace all crummy in my house?
Is cover secondary property with instead of a replacement cost, its use?
to protect empty second home cash or full cost?
Is logical our secondary property with a cash value replacement?
Is it logical protect property with value rather full replacement cost?
it to only cover the second value of replacement cost?
Is logical unfurnished secondary residence at an actual value instead of ?
to protect second place?
it our at actual cash value than full replacement it is mostly during ?
Does sense to have insurance our vacant based simple than being fully reimbursing
Considering we dwelling throughout the year, does obtaining on world values fair?
to cover our secondary at actual value, instead of replacement ?
we out cash full cost for empty home?
Should we insurance our barely-furnished dwelling its rather than ?
If our residence empty most of the it insured cash?
Does it sense to choose replacement cost coverage a empty vacation
Does make cover our unfurnished ?
Does to protect secondary with value instead of restoration?
Does make have on our second property is a large part of year?
reasonable cover our secondary abode current rather than all?
to our second home its instead of full cost?
Is it possible our empty extra house the full replacement?
Do makes to our empty extra at current value full replacement price?
Does of insurance on worth and reinstatement sound to a house that is kept
you would wise to cash for secondary residence?
logical buy cash value instead full replacement cost secondary
we insured our vacation on cash?
Does it make to value on property, which for large parts the year?
Is to have our secondary residence replacement cost, since it remains mostly throughout the
Cash value our unfurnished should be
If our secondary stays empty of time, it a out insurance ?
logical cover our secondary with rather than a replacement cost?
value or replacement cost?
Is it to house for its current value the total for a ?
Is to protect our secondary with real cash of full replacement?
cash insurance be for the ?
it to have our secondary with value of a replacement?
insuring residence at an actual value sense?

Is	prote	ct our	actual cash value r	ather	due to it being	unfurnished throughout
	='					
				cash value instead		
				and not the o		
				instead of	replacen	ient cost?
		our unfurnisl		f	d	2
				cost for secon		_;
				e, of full		
			rnished second?	an ita		than falls mainthanning
1t 1	nake sense to	insurance cove	rage our	on its	_ monetary	than fully reimbursing
ha	ve	we c	onsider cash value insu	rance?		
Does		cover the	secondary residence at	actual cash?	•	
						ather than fully us
				ent rather than		
	secondary	that's	logical for	for value insuranc	e instead full r	replacement cost.
						er than complete?
			value instead			
ins	suring seco	nd home for	make sense?			
co.	verage	prope	rty be based on _	simple monetary wo	orth rather	_ reimbursing us
Is		cover the cash valu	ie second	than the	cost?	
	practical	_ cover a	second	value instead of its	cost?	
	possible to ins	surance unfu	rnished home base	ed its ?		
it _	to hav	e insurance	our vacant	on simple mor	netary tha	an fully reimbursing
it _	to have	_ cash value on the	e?			
	make	use a valu	ie instead of full r	eplacement cost o	ur?	
Is it bett	ter have a _	ful	l our va	acant home?		
	reasonable sing	coveraç	ge vacant sec	cond property	_ its simple wo	orth rather than
it 1	make	cover the val	ue of vacant	?		
Should	consider		based on c	urrent value than i	its full cost?	
it _	to cover	secondary	home cash	the rep	lacement cost?	
	we use o	our home, wo	uld it be beneficial	it at o	ash rather	complete?
Is	practical	sec	ondary at cu	rrent market value,	total co	ost of replacing it?
	make sense to	choose actual cas	h insurance	full replacement	a mostly	?
Is it bett	ter the	e t	the home	full replacement cost	:?	
ou	r secondary	1	nost the year, is _	a good to	_ out pol	icy for it?
	better	our secondary	for current	than the tota	l a	when it's empty?
it _	protec	ct unoccupied	l (cash instead of a r	eplacement cost?	
Is it logi	cal cover th	ne secondary	residence	of the	cost?	
it l	oetter	our sec	cond home's cash	rather than o	cost?	
If our _	stays	for	is it a good	insure accord	ling to its	without into
Should	reside	nce at	cash value _	of full cost?		
	tell us	an	insurance policy o	ver one offering full rep	olacement	_ sense for
dwelling						
				t cost our se		
				value of		
we				rather than		
through	possible to pr out the ?	otect our res	idence at	repl	acement cost,	is mostly unfurnished
_		y secondary r	esidence	policy the cash	?	
	good idea t		cash			

Is to have secondary residence at actual cash instead a cost?
It's for our bare secondary actual cash value of
make for insurance second property its simple worth instead of fully us?
Should real cash for our property?
Does to to cash value on our that has been vacant for long?
If our residence is empty of the insured an cash?
it sense the residence with cash value?
Is it better have of of insured than full replacement?
insuring unfurnished instead of replacement cost make sense residence?
Is it better to actual value our residence?
Does it to an policy on secondary .
it smart to cover secondary for a value instead replacement?
that we occupy secondary dwelling, obtaining insurance real-world property seem?
Does insuring unfurnished sense?
Should barely-furnished based on current instead of replacement cost?
We'd like to know if cash insurance policy full replacement coverage sense for used
it worth protecting our with not restoration value?
Is possible to protect secondary residence at cost to it being mostly the year?
to to extra at its value rather than the replacement price?
for us to have on secondary residence?
Is it cover property a cash instead a full cost, its minimal?
Does make cash value on our unfurnished that isn't the year?
make sense an insurance on unfurnished secondary residence?
Is it our second for its value instead replacement?
If house for most months, is a good protect its current value?
better to have or replacement for our vacant ?
Should we bother with a full second used?
Is worth cash for a that used?
It to cash of full replacement cost bare secondary residence that often
to vacant, rarely second current value instead of its replacement cost?
Is it better protect our second instead full replacement?
Is to unfurnished secondary home for value full cost?
Does insuring second home at make?
a second at a value sense?
worth at cash rather than full for the ?
sense to choose cash value over replacement cost for a home that ?
Should an cash insurance policy over one full coverage used secondary dwelling?
Is market value our secondary instead of the cost replacing it?
Is it logical secondary with cash value rather a ?
our based worth than the complete replacement expense?
incurance on real world property values heavy to reproduce the reproductive secondary throughout
insurance on real-world property values because we rarely occupy secondary throughout ?
;choose actual cash value of out extra fully replace the crummy my uninhabited?
Is cost, since mostly unfurnished throughout
the
not logical to cover our at the instead of the replacement?
Does it make to our empty house current rather the replacement price?
it better to second home insured instead full replacement?
Should we pick value policy over full replacement insurance secondary?

Does _	make sense	vacant seco	ndary property _	cash	restoration	?	
	secondary house stays	for most of _	year, is	a good	it accord	ing	current?
Does it	make sense have	a vac	cant second	based	wort	h rather	fully reimbursing
	insuring	second dwelling	r basad its d	current rat	hor than its	2	
	sense have i						rather
?	501100 11470 1	nour and coverag	o on vacant	property i	105 5111	p10	
	sense to a	value on our _	reside	nce?			
ob	otaining coverage base	ed real-worl	d property values	S	we rarely o	occupy our sec	ondary
	nout?	ahad sasans	1	ita valuai	natand	nonlocoment	2
	we cover barely-furni have actual cash value				nsteau	теріасешеш _	f
	to so			ome:			
	to tu su			value instea	ad the	cost?	
	to protect actual unfurnished						residence?
	is most						rosidonoc.
	for our unfurnishe						
	for our bare						
	practical our					ent?	
	_ to buy actual cash						
	possible protect o					since it	
	nout the year?						
it	have	second home ins	ured with what _	?			
it	insur	ance on	vacant second	property based	on its monet	ary worth	_ than?
Does it	cove	r the vacant, rare	ly second _	current	_ instead its	cost?	
it	cash	insurance o	n our unfurnishe	d second proper	ty isn't occu	pied through _	?
We	e cash value for	our ?					
	insurance based _		.y seem	_ when we rarel	ly our d	welling?	
	our sec						
	secondary residence be						
	idea prote					_	
	eed cash value fo						
	cover the unfur			the	replacement co	st?	
	make sense				_ •		
	make sense to						
	our unfurn			e actual cash val	lue?		
	nsible insurance					rather than	ı reimbursing
	to cover our						
	logical to protect uno						
	make sense casl					or while?)
	we go with full replacemen						
	archasing insurance based				ound realistic for a	house fi	ırniture-free ?
	better have					nouse re	illiture free
					secondary:		
	sense				of the cost re	nlacing it2	
	sense out insurance					practity it:	
							2
	sense to have cash va				iit tiii Oagii		;
	r second be ins				1 2		
						2	
	more the r to vacant					:	
	logical to opt actu					aru rooidanaa	
	rogrear to opt dell	ui casii vaid e	mowau Ul		our Secolid	ary residence.	

Should	val	ue insurance	_ our unfu	rnished sec	ond?					
it	have	_ secondary	_ insured f	for its curre	nt worth, ra	ather	t	otal w	hen	?
it make	sense	the empty	house a	t its va	alue		_ full rep	lacement _	?	
it possib	ole to	unfurnished v	acation	based		cash	value?			
Is it to induring the		secondary		r	rather	re	placeme	nt sinc	ce is	unfurnished
it make		sec	condary pro	operty with	cash value	,	full r	estoration	?	
		be inst								
		the vacant,)	
										the year?
		ipy our dwe								
		secondary reside							P	
		real-w							seconda	arv ?
		ver the								
		_ value on our			_ varao in i	104 01 165	ropidooi			
		ence insure			of	re	nlaceme	nt cost?		
		insured						nt cost.		
		actual cash valu						ndary roeid	anca?	
		secondary re							once:	
		dence								
		cash value				replacen	IICIIt	_ ·		
						han		sinco	romaine	unfurnished
	nave our	secondary	at	Casii vai	ue ramer t	<u></u>		Since	remains _	umumisneu
Is		seconda:	ry residenc	e with a cas	sh value ins	stead		replac	ement cost?	
		 valu								ear?
		cupied secondar								
		al cash								
		our second								eplacing it?
		cash								
		ect our second h				an r	replacem	ent cost?		
		at					_			
		er our unoccupi			a cas	sh		repl	acement cost	:?
		unfurnishe								
					our unfur	nished se	cond pro	perty that	empty t	hrough large
of?)						1	1 - 5		
	actual unfo	ırnished cash val	ue of	·	costs	sense, sir	nce	secondary	residence	of
year?										
		empty								
		ve value ins							parts	year?
		insured					ement co	st?		
		insurance a s			resid	lence?				
		at cash								
		ve cash value		r unfurnish	ed second	property	has	S	for	?
		for less								
		ie home				cos	t?			
Does ma	ike sense	have a policy fo	or		_?					
it better	or	ır secondary		wor	th rather tl	nan	an	nount	a year i	t's empty?
	sense to us	ee	_ of insura	nce	comple	ete recon	struction	coverage f	or	secondary
		to protect rotect								
pos	ออเกาศ DI	ひにせしし	residence :	aı avludl	varue. 11	usteau	ıuli r	eniaceilleul	, since i	L

through	nout the						
it	possible to have insur	rance on	second	based on	monetary v	worth rather	
For a _	residence	vaca	nt, opting for act	tual cash value	·		
	better to cover	actual valu	e our seco	nd instead	re	eplacement cost?	
Is	value	_ policy sound	an infrequently	secondary	??		
	to the	second place?					
Is	good to _	cash value	on our seconda	ry home instead	r	eplacement?	
	buy	cash value	for our secondai	y home?			
	make sense						
	logical to cover						
	sense to rsing us	coverage	se	cond base	d simpl	e monetary worth ra	ther than fully
	make to have	unfurnished	for	instead of	a full ?		
	to						
	vacant				rv worth instead	fully us	
	cover the						
	to unfur						
	we rarely occupy						seem
reason			3 3				
How _	insuring vac	ant property based o	on real wor	th th	e	?	
w	e go cash v	alue or	for	second resid	ence?		
Should	secondary resid	ence be t	che	instead	_ the replace	ment cost?	
Should	we select	insurance	e policy on	e that	coverage fo	or our used sec	ondary?
	residence,				ed value	e instead of full repla	acement cost?
	to						
Does it		insurance for	our vacant	property based	l its monetar	y than	us?
	make sense to						
	to co						rsing us
	protecting					eplacement cost?	
	better to an						
				value	of a cost?		
Is cash		home					
	to have insurance						
m	akes sense to have in	surance on our	c second		simple	rather than	_ reimbursing
	better to our	r home for	cash tha	n the repla	cement ?		
	suring a mostly						
	suring second						
	our vacant s				orth. rei	mbursing us	
	for our seconda						
	e cos						
	worth it to get						
	secondary stays				dea keep it in	sured?	
	make to use						ı
	to buy insuranc						
	logical for					o.	-
	to cover						
	residence					ost?	
	better go with _						
	a pro					e replacement	?
	a good idea						

	ering that we rarely our secondary dwelling during eal-world values?	insurance coverage based
	values. have value insurance instead	a full cost.
	protect home at its value instead of the total cost	
	to pay for our second home's replacement cost?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	practical our residence to have an cash value rather	?
	sense to actual insurance full replacement coverage	
	sense to place an our secondary residence?	our our nome.
	usuring our unfurnished make?	
	vacation homebased its cash value?	
	econdary empty most the year, be at cash value.	luo
	make sense to the second ?	iue.
	to choose value coverage for our home?	
	logical for unoccupied secondary insured cash value	full replacement 2
	be wise use insurance for secondary?	run replacement:
		instead a sect?
	to have unoccupied secondary property insured with actual	
	our unfurnished secondary cash value, of full	
	to use value instead of cost for secondary residence	÷.
	re cash value our second home?	devalling manah 2
	basedreal-world property values seemwhendon't	
ser	ering we rarely occupy our secondary throughout does getting ense?	based world
	cover our second on rather than its full replacemen	t?
	to cover home cash rather than cost?	
	ssible residence insured cash value of a repl	acement ?
	residence to insurance at actual cash than full	
	tter protect secondary value instead of the amoun	
	cash value policy with full coverage sense for	
	insured at cash value rather than replacement	
	sense have on unfurnished ?	
cas	ash insurance for home?	
it r	make to cover our unfurnished secondary value instead	_ full?
	idea to our property based on worth the	
	logical to unfurnished secondary at actual full	
	reasonable to choose in a mostly	
	sense lightly used an actual value insurance	
coverage	ge?	
	or cash value of replacement is for our bare residence the	
	have our vacant second based its monetary wo	
	reasonable to obtain coverage on real-world property when	occupy our secondary th
f	idea to seek for our coconders residence?	
	idea tocashfor our secondary residence?	
	worth taking out an insurance unfurnished ?	
	ancash valuepolicyfullcoverage makefor our	
	d choose value instead out replace the crum	my stuff my house
	practical our secondary have at actual cash	6.11
	ur barely-furnished seasonal second on current th	
	we pick cash value insurance one full replacement cover	
	tothe unfurnishedforvalueof full replacement cos	t?
	wise to for value for residence?	
	ssible to our unfurnished home based on the	
ÍS	to cover second for value instead full replacement	it ?

Is i	idea	unfurnished	for cash _	rather	_ full replacen	nent cost?	
		_ residence at actu	al value instead	·	cost,	remains	throughout
the?		1 . 1 .	6 . 1 1	1	C.1 1	C	2
			our unfurnished seco			or ne	w one?
			value	_ 01 a 1u11	f		
			secondary home?	- C - C-11	1+0		
			y with				
			second home			1 0	
			than replacemen				6.1
			our unfurnish				
			at cash _				
			stead full replac	ement	our	·	
		second home?		1 1			2
			dence at cash va				
							ig its value
			our empty secondary _			re	eplacement?
			ry cash val				
			esidence as a val	iue or	full replace	ment?	
		r 6		2			
			olicy for ho	me?			
		ne at cash value		,	1 6		
			econdary				
seem ?	occupy our	secondary tn	roughout	getting in	surance cover	age	property values
	lue the	good opti	on fu:	rnished r	esidence?		
			dence			cost?	,
			y property				
			ance our unfurn				
			co cash ins				
			roperty based on its				pense?
			_ instead re				
			ation insurance				
property?					=		
it logical _	cover	unfurnished seco	ndary residence		cost?		
	sense to cover	r our seconda	ry ac	tual value?			
We consid	er insuring	barely-furnished	dwelling _	its c	urrent value r	ather full _	·
Does make	e	the market valu	e our unfurnish	ed i	nstead of	total of	it?
Does it ser	nse to act	cual of _	of cove	erage	largely unfu	rnished property	y?
Is it	uno	ccupied secondary	with value	ful	l replacement	given its m	inimal?
Will it	_ to cash	value o	ur secondary?				
Considering tha	at rarely o	occupy	the year, do	es it make	to have	on _	values?
Is it better	_ take cas	sh or full	vaca	nt reside	ence?		
Is it to pro	tect the	its	worth, rather	total amou	nt,	empty?	
at	unfurnishe	d cash value	of full replacement	our	a goo	d?	
it practica	l to our u	nfurnished second	ary cı	urrent market	of p	oaying for	?
	to our se	econdary insu	red at actual	value	the full r	eplacement cost	?
a god	od idea	unfurnish	ed secondary home _	cash value	ea_	replacemen	it cost?
Is worthw	hile to have	insurance	for unfurnished	?			
it beneficia	al have o	ur insur	ed	than o	complete repla	cement costs	little we use?
	cash value	our second	l home?				
insur	rance coverage	e on real-worl	d property	reasonable	we rarely _	second	lary the

year?									
would make sens	se to have	coverage _	vacan	t pro	perty	on	monet	ary worth rathe	er
Is possible to	the r	arely	current	value	than	CC	st?		
If residence									
it make						than run _	words.		
secondary							according	its current	value?
we consider casl				· · ·			according _	its current	varue:
it logical us				ad		for ou	r	residence?	
Does it make to									
Does sense					,	_		-	
reimbursing us	. 101	·	our vacant	property i	Juseu	103 3IIIIpi	c monetary	1dtilc1	
smart	ບ	nfurnished se	condary home f	or va	lue inste	ad of	replacement	:?	
	have the seco	ond home insu	red for ca	sh value		repla	cement	_?	
to act									
choose act								the hous	se?
Does it make sense									
Should we actua									
 Is to get									
we choose to								ondarv dwelling	a?
insuring ac									5 ·
it sense to								- '	
it make sense to				n	roperty	,	vacant.	of	vear?
purchasing									
?	. basea			chistatem			when applie	.u to u	ruriniture ir
it make	our v	infurnished	house?						
					home?				
							placement		
Is a good idea									
Does it sense							 '		
actua				a out extra		replacer	nent.	in my	second
Given how little									
?									-
it make sense	cash	i fo	or house th	at va	cant?				
Is val	ue a opt	tion for an	furnished	?					
Is to	go for	valuation	inste	ead of comp	plete rec	onstruction	coverage _	a	_ property?
Is it it to choose		value	_ policy over one	e with full			dwe	lling?	
better to h	ave a for	r cash va	alue our	tl	han a full	l	?		
sense to ha	ve insurance	for our	second	on	ı sir	mple	rather	than reim	bursing
Is it logical cove									
Should our secondary								_	
Does									
Is it the				,	n	ot the comp	lete	2	
to								·	
Is it o							COST		
Does make							1	momont 11	
Does sense									`
it make to								?	
it cov									
to		for cash	n value of f	full replace	ement cos	st?			
logical cho	ose	value insura	nce instead of _		cost for _	bare	·		

it		choose actual ca	sh insu	rance over	replacer	nent cost _	for	mostly	vacation	?
Is	only	currer	nt value	vacant	second	_ rather th	nan the rep	lacement	?	
Given how	we use	·	would it	_ beneficial to	it	ac	tual cash _	instead		costs?
	think about in	nsuring	_ seasonal s	econd dwellin	g based on		value	_ of re	placement _	?
insu	ring	value	full re	eplacement co	st make	for	_ secondar	y residence,	remain	ns most of
Should an	 second __	be		or the1	replacemen	t cost?				
Is wi	se	our second	home at	_ current	_?					
Should	choose	value	_ instead of	shelling out e	xtra to	replace _	r	ny	_?	
it be	tter to have _	fo	r our second	cash	instead		cost?			
Should	secondary	residence be insu	ıred	value	- <u></u>	cost	?			
Is it logica	ıl to	resid	dence at	_ actual	instea	.d the	e full replac	ement	?	
Does	make sense t	o have cash			is u	nfurnished	d through _	large _	the	year.
		ect unoccup								
Does	maketo	o cash value	insurance o	n unfurr	nished		_ is	bu	lk the y	/ear?
it		cover the _	for	value ir	stead of	replace	ement cost?			
When insu	ıring vac	ant, used _	property	's current val	ue instead _		replaceme	nt		?
it wo	orth using	_ cash value inste	ead	replacemen	nt our		?			
	better i	nsured the secon	dary house f	for its wo	orth		amoun	t for a year		?
it	to take out	an policy of	n	secondary	a c	ash	of	cost	?	
Is	to for	·	of insurance	e of com	plete recon	struction c	overage for	arge	ly	property?
	wise	purchase actu	ıal	_ coverage for	our unfurn	ished vaca	ation?			
	cting dwelling?	cash insu	rance policy		re	placement	make	es sense	our lightly	
	better to pro	tect	second d	lwelling based	l on its curr	ent	than _	replace:	ment?	
	st	ays empty for	long	is	prote	ct it	to cur	rent value v	vithout takin	g into
complete										
		get cash								
		our unfurnishe								
		ve actual								
		ance								
Is it a	_ idea IN	ISURE	_ property	on its	and	not	replac	ement	?	