[Demo] NLP Dataset for Customer Service Automation

Company Type	Car Insurance Companies	
Inquiry Category	Complaints and dispute resolution inquiries	
Inquiry Sub- Category	Policy coverage disputes	
Description	Inquiries regarding disagreements regarding the extent of coverage provided by an insurance policy.	
Data Size	5,066 paraphrases	
Want to buy data? Please contact nlp-data@qross.me via your business email address.		

$\begin{tabular}{ll} Masked sample paraphrases of one "Car Insurance Company" customer inquiry. (Purchased data will not be masked.) \\ \end{tabular}$

determination vandalism damage is not covered by our plan's?
$_$ whether vandals aren't $_$ by $_$ comprehensive $_$ of $_$ plan.
we you the damages our plan?
did decide didn't include of vandalization?
can't question of your insurance not cover
Can the idea that policy include of?
How could doubts about not to cover plan?
have an your determination that won't be covered comprehensive?
We your that vandals covered the comprehensive
Do chance doubt determination that covered by the plan?
Can we that of vandalized things the policy?
Your view that our current insurance by vandals by vandals
We can the plan vandalisk damage.
there basis coverage of plan doesn't include vandal damage?
can challenge the of and insurance to cover?
How could we that things by ?
$How ___ you ___ that ___ Coverage ___ instances ___ vandalism?$
we the question coverage of vandaly damage in our?
We determination that coverage of vandaly is plan.
Is it to clarify basis your firm conclusion comprehensive does extend to
we allowed vandals won't be covered by the?
not for vandal so question the decision of and your insurance?
Is your view our insurance cover caused defensible?
it that a basis for stating plan's comprehensive vandal?
Is your coverage doesn't vandalic damage valid?
How could we not to cover our plan?
Did you the vandal damage isn't coverage plan?
How you if incidents of vandalising?
can decision by insurance company not to Damage?
did you decide Coverage instances vandals?
How do you determination comprehensive coverage does ?
we challenge that are not covered under ?

challenge theory that isn't for vandals?
do decide that Comprehensive not include?
Can challenge decision the damages from current?
possible for to your decision to cover damage to under current?
the conclusion that our coverage doesn't ?
challenge costs from vandalised property under the plan?
${\tt Can \underline{\hspace{1cm}} challenge \ the \underline{\hspace{1cm}} coverage \underline{\hspace{1cm}} damage \ caused \underline{\hspace{1cm}} vandals \underline{\hspace{1cm}} your \underline{\hspace{1cm}}?}$
How can we question decision by your that comprehensive for damage?
possible for to challenge your regarding damages vandals plan?
can question decision by you your company is not coverage?
How we challenge your decision under plan?
have the ability the determination that won't by plan?
How we question your company's to vandal damage?
your conclusion that coverage doesn't extend damage?
It not to the decision company not Vandalism Damage.
How we the decision you insurance company coverage for vandals?
question your not include vandalized things in coverage?
How can decision your company not provide vandals?
We can question your plan Vandalia damage.
us to challenge decision to under the we have?
How could cover stuff under the plan?
Is there basis for that plan not vandal?
there a basis for belief comprehensive the plan not ?
that vandals weren't by the coverage the
Can challenge decision about the damages from ?
We can question your determination that vandaly the
Can we challenge policy cover from acts of?
can we decision of your insurance company to for?
How could not to cover vandalized in plan?
How question your that we comprehensive for?
How can decision not to cover vandal?
we the decision company is no for vandal damage?
can we question decision of and company not coverage ?
How could we doubts about the there vandalized? We question your coverage vandaly isn't included in
Is possible a basis that our comprehensive coverage excludes ?
we question your conclusion that by coverage?
we challenge your coverage of vandaly damage in the?
decide that coverage does not include incidents ?
we your that aren't covered under plan?
How can question the of not vandals
Can challenge your beliefs that the coverage ?
Is a you to say coverage excludes damages?
we challenge about the damages in ?
We challenge determination that the vandaly is the plan.
question the decision of you company to provide comprehensive coverage for
conclusion that our coverage not to valid?
Can challenge your on caused by under your ?
Can decision not damage from under our current?

How we doubts about decision that things by our?
$\label{thm:coverage} \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
How not to include vandalization coverage?
we decision regarding Vandalism under current?
could raise about decision to that were vandalized?
challenge the idea not acts of vandalized?
inquire about your determination vandals are plan
we the that our excludes acts of vandalized things?
we to question why vandaly not the plan?
the power to question your coverage of vandaly in the plan?
Can we about of damage caused under plan?
We might vandals be covered by plan.
Do chance your that be covered by the comprehensive?
Is a basis for belief that the comprehensive of plan?
a basis to say that comprehensive coverage damages?
Is there basis statement coverage not covering vandal?
can we of insurance company that there not for vandal?
Can we decision on covering damages under have?
Can we cover caused by vandals?
Can challenge the the of damage caused vandals?
challenge the idea that acts of objects?
challenge your conclusion are not under our?
question decision to cover vandalized in our
How can your that be covered by?
How can your conclusion damage covered by plan? Can we challenge the about coverage for ?
Can the decision regarding the of damage under existing?
Can we the on damage by present plan?
Is
We questions your that does Vandalia damage.
How can question the decision your no for ?
we question decision of your insurance to offer vandals?
could about the coverage of things?
How can we about determination are under plan?
we you the that you make vandals?
the decision to include things plan's comprehensive coverage?
How can question you and your insurer that comprehensive for damage?
we question vandals covered by the?
We doubts about your to cover things our
can we question determination plan does Vandalism?
ask the insurance not to cover vandals?
We ask your that vandals not plans.
How decide that Coverage applicable instances of?
Is it to the that policy of Things?
How could we object cover vandalized under the coverage?
can your conclusion does not Vandalia damage
it possible your declaration our comprehensive excludes is not?
can you about your conclusion that vandals are by the by the
your determination vandal damage doesn't coverage.
can challenge your not to cover coverage the plan?

can question determination that will covered under plan?
do you conclude coverage of vandalization?
What is basis for saying that by vandals?
How ask decision cover vandalized things the plan's?
Comprehensive coverage doesn't include vandalising?
ability question decision not include damage in the plan?
How that Comprehensive Coverage instances vandals?
How you determine Comprehensive doesn't instances vandalizing?
Is any for your declaration our coverage vandalising?
Do need to question determination be by the?
we challenge your not damage vandals under your?
Are we your that the of damage is ?
bring doubts about decision cover things the plan's comprehensive coverage?
Is it possible our plan's coverage is false?
ask are not covered by our?
we question your to things in your?
Can the notion that the policy excludes ?
How could we your to cover our ?
the that our comprehensive cover valid?
How can determine does include vandalization?
Is there a plan's coverage does extend to vandal?
challenge the idea policy excludes of?
Do have ability to determination the coverage of damage is not? Is for to challenge your decision covering in ?
challenge decision on the damages Vandalism the?
How can decision that vandalized are not comprehensive coverage?
we question aren't covered by comprehensive coverage?
able to question your that the isn't in plan?
How can challenge there is no coverage under ?
your determination the of vandaly not included in the plan?
can the insurance there is coverage for Vandalism Damage?
a basis for your that our plan's coverage ?
we the your conclusion the coverage of not included in our?
could we doubts the plan's for ?
can question conclusion excludes Vandalia damage.
How we challenge the your insurance that no coverage ?
How ask why aren't covered plan?
it possible challenge the that the is vandals?
your conclusion comprehensive coverage does not include ?
Can we challenge decision under plan?
can we question the by you your insurance that there no ?
did you determine Coverage include of?
How could decision exclude things under the plan?
your decision not to include vandalized things comprehensive of?
How did determine doesn't apply to vandals?
did you that Comprehensive didn't extend ?
that the acts of vandalized things?
How could question decision whether or not vandalized things ?
we challenge about the damages under plan?

can question your decision vandalized your plan?	
we your regarding under our current?	
the idea that policy excludes acts of?	
Can we challenge belief not for?	
question that the plan cover vandals?	
Is possible to your costs from vandalised property plan?	
can question your decision not cover under?	
could doubts your decision cover things with plan?	
Can challenge about damages under your?	
question your that doesn't cover damage.	
Areable to challenge of damage caused by ?	
made that plan's comprehensive coverage excludes	
Do we have option to won't covered the comprehensive? Can of caused vandals?	
How are not covered our plan?	
have about conclusion that plan doesn't cover	
question that vandals won't be by plan.	
challengeour policy doesdamages acts of vandalism?	
did you Coverage to instances of vandalizing?	
Can challenge of our not covering acts ?	
your the isn't enough for vandals?	
You contend covered by the coverage the?	
it that you have reason for declaration comprehensive wandalising thing	gs?
How could question vandalized things aren't by our ?	
the conclusion that plan doesn't cover	
you about your decision to not vandal coverage.	
${\tt Can ____ the \ determination \ that ___ coverage ___ vandaly \ damage ____ included ___ our ___?}$	
the ability question your to include vandaly in the	
Can we challenge your decision damage by?	
there a basis that our plan vandal damage?	
What basis for saying policy doesn't damages caused ?	
How can we question your vandals by?	
How that Comprehensive coverage of vandalising?	
Is it possible challenge idea of vandalized things policy?	
possible that you are saying that doesn't include?	
How can we by you your insurance company that comprehensive ?	
can question your opinion does cover Vandalia	
we the to not cover the caused vandals ?	
How we the of aren't covered our plan?	
the decision regarding the plan? it that you have basis for that our coverage damages?	
How could we about coverage vandalized things?	
How we your vandalism covered our plan?	
determination that vandals not covered under plan.	
can we decision of and that there is comprehensive ?	
could your not to stuff under the plan's ?	
How doubt your that aren't by our plan's ?	
Can we decision of the under plan?	
Is that our current insurance cover damages from ?	

Does	s ability	your determinat	ion that the	vandaly dama	age incl	luded in exist?
We r	nay your	doe	sn't cover Vandalia			
How	can we question _	decision you	compa	ny	_ cover vandal	?
	did you decide	did	_ extend insta	nces of?		
Wou	ld	question your _	the	of vandaly damag	e is included	in the?
	your co	nclusion the pla	n Vanda	alia damage		
How	did you	Comprehensive	does not	_ of?		
		have basis fo				
Is	reason for	you state	plan's c	overage excludes	?	
		determination				
		r decision				·
		on				
		your o				
		ge decision of y			orehensive for	r damage?
		e that the plan's			1.11	
		our policy			idalization?	
		does			uun nlon?	
		the polic				
		that polic.		e acts		
		_ decision not		ngs our nlan	's coverage?	
		the decis			is coverage.	
		included			ensive policy?	
						Vandalism Damage?
		to question the				
		challenge				
		that v				
		on the decision not				
	you abo	out the coverage	v	andals our o	current plan?	
How	we challenge	of a	nd your	not Va	indalism Damage?	
	can question	decision of	and insuran	ce company to _	cover	_?
How	question	n the decision _	van	dalized things?		
	questio	n the decision y	our that there	e is not	vandal	_?
	raise	your that va	ndalized things are	e not	plan?	
		n the decision				
		u're that p				
		decision about				nt plan?
		comp				
		decision you				
		our coverag				
		Coverage			2	
		comprehensive				roadol domose
		oubts about				rage vandal damage
						coverage the?
		decision				overage tile!
		comprehensive			vorugo.	
		to cover			?	
		_ incurred from				
		ur to evelude w				

Do the ability to question you coverage	being included the plan?
How doubts your decision not cover vandalized	the coverage?
did decide that Coverage instances of vandalizatio	n?
Can we conclusion that the cover ?	
How can question your determination that plan ?	
it possible us to decision not the caused	vandals?
it possible us decision regarding from under	plan?
We question that aren't covered our	
Can your belief coverage enough for	
said the comprehensive excludes vandalisk damages.	
challenge you the damages Vandalism under current	?
it possible for to regarding covering damages from	plan?
How you determine that Comprehensive not of?	
How we of company provide coverage f	or vandals.
Is it possible you have reason that plan's coverage	?
can question the decision you company that you do	on't coverage for?
Do to your determination the coverage of dar	mage excluded from plan?
How can we your decision not to cover ?	
you that Comprehensive Coverage include of?	
Can we idea that coverage vandals?	
we ability your the coverage for	not included in our plan?
can we question decision you and your company not	coverage vandal?
challenge that the coverage isn't adequate ?	
How can we question the vandals under ?	
Can we challenge your decision the coverage vandals in	?
Do we a chance to doubt you vandals won't	?
can you that coverage incidents vandalization?	
said the plan didn't include vandalisk damage, ?	
did that Coverage doesn't include of?	
Can the decision to cover caused our plan?	
can we question your insurance to inclu	de comprehensive coverage for?
Can we your determination that the coverage includes	ided plan?
Can decision regarding the damages from Vandalism	?
Can justify your view our current from vanda	ls?
How we doubt that vandalized aren't by ?	
Is there to say our plan's cover vandal of	lamage?
Do we have power conclusion the	is included in our plan?
How the decision cover vandalized things pla	n's coverage?
What can we do by and your company	over Damage?
How can your claim aren't under our?	
question vandals aren't covered under our plan?	
How could doubt your decision vandalized under _	
How can we aren't by the coverage of p	
Do we mot by the	ne plan?
Is it of property under	r the?
can you state the plan damage?	
possible you that comprehensive coverage do	
Do we have to your that of vandaly dam	
we doubts to cover vandalized under the	_ comprehensive coverage?
Can your that coverage for vandals ?	

			2		
can challenge	the no	ot to cover Vandalism	f		
		not include		?	
		is not			
did you decide that	does a	apply of?			
there a	say our	r plan's comprehensive _	not	vandal damage	?
		ır to to			
		coverage			
we the _					
		er under plan?			
		coverage does		mages?	
		ermination that the			r ?
		re of caused by			·
		r insurance company that			?
Can you not			1011 0	vanadnom _	·
		s of	2		
		is not			
		overage doesn't			
		s coverag		2	
		damage is no			
		of vandaly	include	d in?	
challenge the					
low the	company's decisi	ion to not			
		adals won't by	plan?		
we chanc	ce doubt that van				
we chanc low could object _	ce doubt that van	ndals won't by	our?	ve coverage for va	ndals?
we chance low could object we	ce doubt that van decision you and you	dals won't by _ to cover things	our? ve comprehensi	ve coverage for va	ndals?
we chance flow could object we flow can challenge	ce doubt that van decision you and you that is	dals won't by to cover things ur not gi	our? ve comprehensi	ve coverage for va	ndals?
we chance tow could object we we tow can challenge Ve conci	ce doubt that van decision you and you that is lusion that plan _	dals won't by to cover things ur not gires by plan'	our? we comprehensi ?	ve coverage for va	ndals?
we chance ow could object	ce doubt that van decision you and you that is lusion that plan decision the	dals won't by to cover things ur not gi s by plan' cover damage. insurance not	our? ve comprehensi ??		ndals?
we chance tow could object _ we we tow can challenge we concide tow can question _ tow we question	ce doubt that van decision you and you that is lusion that plan decision the decision that vanda		our? ve comprehensi ?? our compr		ndals?
we chance tow could object we tow can challenge tow can concide tow can question _ tow we question tow we question tow can ye can ye	ce doubt that van decision you and you that is lusion that plan decision the decision that vanda your determination	dals won't by to cover things ur not gi s by plan' cover damage. insurance not als vandals covered	our? ve comprehensi ? ? our compr the	rehensive?	ndals?
we chance low could object we low can challenge low can question _ low we question ve can y us	decision that is lusion that the decision the decision that vandarour determination challenge the	to cover things ur not gir s by plan' cover damage. insurance not als vandals covered that our excludes	our? ve comprehensi ?? our compr the acts vand	rehensive?	ndals?
we chance low could object	decision decision you and you that is lusion that plan decision the decision that vandatour determination challenge the decision of the decision of decision of decision of decision of decision decision decision of decision	dals won't by to cover things ur not gi s by plan' _ cover damage. insurance not als vandals covered that our excludes _ company to not prov	our? ve comprehensi ? ? our compr the acts vand ide	rehensive? lalized things? vandals.	ndals?
we chance ow could object we ow can challenge fow can question ow we question ye can us can question ow question ow question question	decision decision you and you that is lusion that plan decision the decision that vandatour determination challenge the decision that van	dals won't byto cover things ur not gir s by plan' _ cover damage. insurance not als vandals covered that our excludes _ company to not provendalized undalized undali	our? ve comprehensi ? ? our compr the acts vand ide plan	rehensive? lalized things? vandals. I's coverage?	ndals?
we chance low could object we low can challenge low can question _ low we question low us us can question _ low a basis y	decision you and you that is lusion that plan decision that vanda your determination challenge the decision of decision that van our declaration that	dals won't byto cover things ur not gir s by plan' cover damage. insurance not als vandals covered that our excludes company to not provindalized u comprehensive	our? ve comprehensi ? ? our compremente acts vand ide under plan not	rehensive? lalized things? vandals. l's coverage? vandal?	ndals?
we chance tow could object we tow can challenge tow can question tow we question ye can question tow question	decision decision you and you that is lusion that plan decision the decision that vanda your determination challenge the decision that van our declaration that that that	dals won't byto cover things ur not gi s by plan' cover damage. insurance not als vandals covered that our excludes company to not prov adalized u comprehensive policy include	our? ve comprehensi ? our? our comprement the acts vand ide not not of the	rehensive? lalized things? vandals. t's coverage? vandal? hings?	
we chance low could object we low can challenge low can question low we question ve can us can question low a basis y it possible challenge low have recould	decision you and you that is lusion that the decision that vanda your determination challenge the decision that van our declaration that llenge that warse question	to cover by to cover things ur not gir s by plan' cover damage. insurance not als vandals covered that our excludes company to not proventialized ure comprehensive policy include vandededetermination vandedededededededededededededededededede	our? ve comprehensi ? ? our comprement the acts vand ide plan not of the dals won't	rehensive? lalized things? vandals. l's coverage? vandal? nings? covered by	?
we chance low could object we low can challenge low can question low we question ve can us can question low a basis y it possible challenge loo have recould have have recould low have recould	decision you and you that is lusion that plan decision the decision that vandarour determination challenge the decision that van our declaration that llenge that tree question doubt determination that lenge that decision decision that decision doubt determination doubt determination decision that llenge that doubt determination doubt determination decision determination determination determination determination decision decision that decision determination determination decision	dals won't by to cover things ur not gir s by plan' cover damage. insurance not als covered that our excludes company to not provent of the company to not pro	our? ve comprehensi ? ? our comprement the acts vand ide plan not of the dals won't	rehensive? lalized things? vandals. l's coverage? vandal? nings? covered by	?
we chance low could object we low can challenge low can question low we question low question can question low question low question a basis y it possible challenge low have recould low can ask have recould low can ask have recould low can have have recould low can have recould low can have	decision	to cover things to cover things ur not gir s by plan' cover damage. insurance not als vandals covered that our excludes company to not provential in the company to generate graphs and the company to generate graphs are graphs. The company to graphs are graphs are graphs are graphs are graphs are graphs. The company to graphs are graphs are graphs are graphs are graphs. The company to graphs are graphs are graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the control of the company to graphs are graphs. The control of the co	our? ve comprehensi ? ? our comprement the acts vand ide plan not of the dals won't boometiment won't be b	rehensive? lalized things? vandals. I's coverage? vandal? nings? _ covered by y comprehen	? sive?
we chance low could object we low can challenge low can question low we question low us can question low question low a basis y it possible challenge loo have recoult low a basis y it possible challenge loo have recoult have recoult loo have recoult have	decision	to cover things ur not gir s by plan' cover damage. insurance not als vandals covered that our excludes company to not provendalized to comprehensive policy include determination van termination that vandals were depth the ur statement the	our? ve comprehensi ? ? our comprement the acts vand ide plan not of the dals won't boometiment won't be b	rehensive? lalized things? vandals. I's coverage? vandal? nings? _ covered by y comprehen	? sive?
we chance ow could object we fow can challenge fow can question fow we question fow we question fow us can question fow question fow a basis y it possible cha o have recou have for can ask for can ask for can object for can for can ask	decision	to cover things to cover things ur not gir s by plan' cover damage. insurance not als vandals covered that our excludes company to not provential in the company to generate graphs and the company to generate graphs are graphs. The company to graphs are graphs are graphs are graphs are graphs are graphs. The company to graphs are graphs are graphs are graphs are graphs. The company to graphs are graphs are graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the company to graphs are graphs are graphs. The control of the control of the company to graphs are graphs. The control of the co	our? ve comprehensi ? ? our comprement the acts vand ide plan not of the dals won't boometiment won't be b	rehensive? lalized things? vandals. I's coverage? vandal? nings? _ covered by y comprehen	? sive?
we chance ow could object we ow can challenge fow can question fow we question fow question can question fow question fow question a basis y it possible challenge for have recoult have did you decide that	decision	to cover things ur not gir s by plan' cover damage. insurance not als vandals covered that our excludes company to not provendalized to comprehensive policy include determination van termination that vandals were depth the ur statement the	our? ve comprehensi ? ? our comprement the acts vand ide not not of the dals won't won't be b	rehensive? lalized things? vandals. l's coverage? vandal? nings? _ covered by y comprehen cludes damag	? sive?
we chance low could object we low can challenge low can question low we question low us can question low question low a basis y it possible challenge low have recould have low have did you decide that low did you decide that low have deter	decision	to cover things to cover things ur not gir s by plan' cover damage. insurance not als vandals covered that our excludes company to not provent of the company to not provent	our? ve comprehensi ? ? our compremente acts vand ide inder plan not of the dals won't won't be b comprehe	rehensive? lalized things? vandals. l's coverage? vandal? nings? _ covered by y comprehen cludes damagensive.	? sive?
we chance low could object we low can challenge low can question low we question low us can question low question low a basis y it possible challenge have low have low have did you decide that low we have any determined to we have any low determined to we have any	decision	to cover things ur not gir s by plan' cover damage. insurance not als vandals covered that our excludes company to not provent alized to policy include determination van termination that vandals referred by the ur statement the ur statement the won't covered ation not	our? ve comprehensi ? ? our compremente acts vand ide inder plan not of the dals won't won't be b comprehe	rehensive? lalized things? vandals. l's coverage? vandal? nings? _ covered by y comprehen cludes damagensive.	? sive?
wechand low couldobject we low canchallenge weconcide we question low we question we can us	decision	to cover things ur not gir s by plan' cover damage. insurance not als vandals covered that our excludes company to not provent alized to policy include determination van termination that vandals referred by the ur statement the ur statement the won't covered ation not	our? ve comprehensi ?? our comprementsi the acts vand ide not not of the dals won't won't be b comprehensi the but but	rehensive? lalized things? vandals. l's coverage? vandal? nings? _ covered by y comprehen cludes damagensive.	? sive?
we chance low could object we low can challenge low can question low we question ve can us can question low question a basis y it possible cha loo have recou have ve can ask s possible did you decide that loo we have any ve you about you	decision	to cover things to cover things ur not gir s by plan' cover damage. insurance not als vandals covered that our excludes company to not proventialized include determination van termination that vandals van tered by the ur statement the nclude ? won't covered ation not by our	our? ve comprehensi ? ? our compremente acts vand ide under plan not of the dals won't won't be b comprehence be by ?	rehensive? lalized things? vandals. l's coverage? vandal? nings? _ covered by y comprehen cludes damagensive ?	? sive?
we chance low could object we low can challenge We concidence low can question low we question ve can question can question low question a basis y it possible challenge We can ask recould have We can ask we determined the concept of	decision	dals won't byto cover things ur not gir s by plan' cover damage. insurance not als vandals covered that our excludes company to not provent of the company to not prove of the co	our? ve comprehensi ?? our compremente the acts vand ide not of th dals won't won't be b comprehe be by ? cove	rehensive? lalized things? vandals. l's coverage? vandal? nings? _ covered by y comprehen cludes damagensive ?	? sive?
we chance low could object we low can challenge low can challenge low can question low us can question low question low question a basis y it possible challenge low have recould have did you decide that low determined we have any low we have any you low can we question the plan	decision		our? ve comprehensi ? ? our compremente r acts vand ide not of th dals won't b comprehensi be by ? cove e?	rehensive? lalized things? vandals. I's coverage? vandal? nings? covered by y comprehen cludes damage ensive?	? sive?
we chance ow could object we fow can challenge fow can challenge fow can question fow we question fow question can question fow question a basis y it possible cha o have recou have did you decide that foe deter o we have any for wo can we question the plan the plan the plan the plan the plan the plan the could get a challenge you about you the plan the plan the could get a challenge you the plan the plan the could get a challenge you the plan the plan the could get a challenge you the could get a challenge you the could get a challenge	decision	dals won't byto cover things ur not gir s by plan' cover damage. insurance not als vandals covered that our excludes company to not provent of the company to not prove of the co		rehensive? lalized things? vandals. I's coverage? vandal? nings? covered by y comprehen cludes damage ensive?	? sive?

can your company to not cover vandals?
can we say about the basis statement policy damage?
we doubts about your to vandalized in our?
How could question decision to things under ?
Can regarding the Vandalism under plan?
Is there basis for conclusion that our doesn't to ?
we challenge that sufficient for vandals?
can question of the insurance company isn't comprehensive coverage ?
can't about decision not to vandalized under the
We ask your vandals covered by the comprehensive our
How raise decision not to comprehensive for vandalized?
can we your conclusion that aren't our?
Do we the to that of damage is not included in ?
we question the make about coverage ?
challenge you on coverage damage caused under plan?
you Comprehensive did not extend to of?
How you conclude include vandalising?
we the damages under the plan?
your view that coverage enough vandals?
Damage by vandals included plan's comprehensive policy?
We can the that vandals by plan
Do we to question determination vandals won't be plan?
you conclude that the plan damage?
a you believe the coverage of our not damage?
decision coverage of damage vandals in the present plan?
can how not covered our plan?
Can we that acts vandalized things in the?
justify not covering damage coverage?
we challenge about the from Vandalism plan?
able explain the basis your that plan's coverage vandal damage?
questions that you make for vandals?
Is it you have declaration that our plan's comprehensive vandalisk?
conclusion that our coverage doesn't right?
How can question of you insurance not to comprehensive for damage?
We can question your that plan
we question not to ?
we the ability to question your covered by ?
Is possible us to your regarding costs from the?
we the decision about the our ?
you make that Comprehensive coverage doesn't incidents?
How can we about decision your company Vandalism Damage?
we decision regarding damages vandals our?
it for us challenge about damages from vandals plan?
How question that are under the plan?
How can ask about your not our plan?
How did you Coverage did include instances ?
How question decision not vandalized things under coverage?
determination that isn't counted under our coverage.
Is it possible for us being covered under ?
Is a basis your plan's excludes vandalising?

How your determination that vandals aren't covered ?
How question your decision to in plan?
How we question decision insurance company to provide coverage vandals?
Can we the damages vandals under plan?
it possible for to decision Vandalism under ?
reason for you to that our comprehensive excludes ?
How can we vandals aren't covered under ?
Can we challenge opinion the coverage not ?
stated our plan's comprehensive excludes things.
Is your comprehensive coverage cover right?
Do we your determination vandals aren't covered plan?
Can question the decision of not not vandal?
Do we ability to that vandaly is included in plan?
can we vandals don't get covered by ?
challenge decision about coverage of vandals?
can your vandalisk damage by the plan.
your decision regarding vandals?
How did you doesn't apply of vandals?
challenge the our does not cover caused of vandalism?
challenge the decision regarding Vandalism our ?
need to question that plan cover Vandalia
can the vandals won't by the comprehensive.
we challenge the decision on Vandalism ?
How you the determination of coverage of?
your conclusion that cover vandalisk damage.
We question your that does have vandalisk
Can challenge the coverage damage caused vandals your ?
Can we decision the damage caused vandals?
We about your conclusion plan cover Vandalia
How we challenge determination aren't covered by ?
How did determine Comprehensive Coverage does instances ?
How you say vandalisk damage?
How can decision by insurance company that there comprehensive coverage vandals?
How can challenge your determination that by ?
Can we challenge the policy have been?
How doubt not to cover under coverage of plan?
couldquestiondecisionvandalized thingscovered the plan's?
Is your conclusion that our damage right?
we the to determination that the damage included in the plan?
Is your conclusion that comprehensive valid?
it for challenge the decision covering from vandals plan?
we your that the coverage for vandals ?
come to property not included in our ?
How we question vandals not covered plan?
Can we decision your company to not vandals?
Is you have basis declaration the plan's coverage vandalising?
Can someone why the from acts of our insurance?
Can we decision Vandalism damages plan?
Is to that the plan's comprehensive excludes vandal?
your decision covering damages under our plan?

Can we your the damage by vandals?
did you determine that Coverage applicable to ?
How could we to not cover vandalized under ?
We inquire your that the doesn't cover
Can the that our policy not of things?
Can we question determination you have about ?
Do the ability to your to not the vandaly in plan?
Can the decision you made coverage damage caused ?
claim that our plan's comprehensive
How could we your decision vandalized things by by coverage?
for challenge on the vandalised property under the plan?
We raise doubts not to vandalized under the comprehensive
Can determination that vandals will covered by ?
Is it for to argue current insurance doesn't ?
Is it possible that the coverage does vandal?
ask about your company's to cover damage?
bring up doubts your not cover things?
How question decision to vandals in plan?
can that vandal damage not affect our
you Comprehensive coverage does not vandalising?
Is basis you state that plan's excludes vandals?
did you that Coverage did cover vandals?
Are able to the regarding costs from under ?
we question why vandals covered plan?
it that you are stating the include vandal?
it possible us to challenge decision to cover vandalised under current?
Can we question of your insurance company there ?
Do we have the wandals be covered by plan?
we have about your that vandalized covered by plan?
Can decision the damage caused vandals?
we challenge you the damage by vandals your ?
How can question determination that vandals plan?
How we that are covered our plan?
How can question decision not offer coverage for?
You claim plan plan not include vandal damage.
we your of is not included in our plan?
We can question that the doesn't
We question your doesn't vandalisk damage.
your decision the coverage of by your existing plan?
Can we ask you vandals coverage?
You that our excludes vandalising?
How we your decision vandalized things not covered the of ?
can question your vandal isn't our plan?
can raise doubts about your conclusion vandalisk damage.
How do question determination vandals covered under ?
We your determination that vandals covered by plan.
Can we the that our policy caused acts ?
way to question your that vandals be by ?
Can challenge the idea that the acts
can question decision your insurance and not provide comprehensive for vandal

We _	determination not covered by plan coverage.
	we challenge decision the Vandalism under ?
How	you make coverage doesn't vandalising?
Do _	the ability question why vandaly damage included in ?
	can we the to cover vandals plan?
	possible to idea that our excludes vandals?
	question your conclusion that plan damage.
Do w	e have the ability to isn't in?
Do _	have to your determination be covered by comprehensive?
How	can the decision not vandalized under comprehensive?
How	decide that Comprehensive Coverage didn't ?
	ask about determination that vandals plan coverage.
	we the right to doubt your that the?
	we question decision cover vandalized things plan's coverage?
	we the that policy does not cover damage of?
	about the that make about the vandals?
	the our policy not cover from acts of?
	question decision by you and your insurance no no of Damage?
	question decision you and your company that there comprehensive for
How	we question the decision by insurance company cover ?
Can	challenge how policy acts vandalized?
Can	about the of by vandals in present?
Is	a for statement that comprehensive coverage damages?
	can regarding your determination that not covered by
Do _	ability to you about the vandaly in plan?
How	decision to not cover vandalized things ?
Is	conclusion that our comprehensive does include ?
We a	re comprehensive insurance, so you justify covering ?
	ave questions your aren't covered by
	could we raise doubts vandalized things from?
	chance of questioning your determination be covered comprehensive?
	might question the doesn't vandalisk damage.
	question your determination vandals covered by comprehensive?
	we question insurance company not to provide comprehensive for
	it possible for challenge about Vandalism plan you?
	we the regarding damages from under your?
	ask your vandal does not affect our plans
	your decision to not things that are?
	determine that Comprehensive doesn't apply to Vandalism?
	challenge our policy excludes acts of ?
	challenge the decision to vandalized the comprehensive of ?
	ave a regarding aren't our plan.
	it possible basis to say plan's comprehensive vandalising things?
	we about coverage damage by vandals under your plan?
	want your that the plan Vandalia damage.
	we challenge the policy of vandals?
	could decision to vandalized things under the plan's?
	can the conclusion that does not cover
	can decision by insurance not to cover ?
How	your plan doesn't damage be possible?

can the by your insurance provide comprehensive coverage for vandal damage?
Can the decision the coverage caused vandals under our ?
there reason you to say plan's coverage excludes ?
Are able determination vandaly damage included our plan?
could question decision that the plan things?
we your that vandals won't covered our?
We can conclusions doesn't Vandalia damage.
Is it can challenge that the excludes things?
Is there basis the coverage of plan include vandal?
How we challenge that vandals covered by plan's ?
How could decision that the not cover ?
possible for to decision about under the plan we have?
How can the decision by insurance provide coverage for vandal damage?
have the ability to your determination that be comprehensive?
How you that Comprehensive not include Vandalism?
can question your plan does not damage.
We can question the does vandalisk damage.
you coverage doesn't include vandalising?
we your decision not to vandals your?
"We can question conclusion doesn't cover " it a to say that our excludes vandalising things?
your our coverage doesn't cover damage ?
Can we ask you if covered coverage the?
the by you insurance company that is no Damage Coverage?
a you to plan's comprehensive coverage vandalism damages?
doubt your decision not to cover vandalized things ?
question your decision to vandalized items under ?
Do have the ability your determination that of is in plan?
we challenge decision to not by under your ?
Is it possible for us decision property under plan?
Can question to to damage in the plan?
Can challenge notion that policy does include of ?
How can question the to not cover ?
we question your decision cover our plan?
How we decision of your company that there full full damage?
Do any your determination that won't covered the comprehensive?
Can challenge your belief that not vandals?
we question your to things under the plan's?
do you determine that coverage doesn't ?
Can we you the damages Vandalism ?
How determination Comprehensive coverage doesn't vandalization?
you and your company that there coverage for vandal damage?
do you determine coverage not vandalising?
your conclusion that the doesn't include damage.
Can weyour the for vandals?
Do the ability question your decision not of vandaly damage plan?
We can claim the doesn't cover
Is for your assertion that our comprehensive covering ?
Is possible challenge the idea that acts excluded our ?
How we the you your to not coverage for vandals?

	we question your	things covered by the?
Is it	to our _	coverage excludes vandalisk damages?
ca	n we your that vanda	ls aren't our?
How	question of y	our insurance to for vandals?
	not covered our plan, _	can that?
	question decision	you and insurance company not covering?
What _	the de	cision you and your insurance to vandals?
Is	a for your that	comprehensive coverage cover ?
	possible you a bas	is that our plan's not covering vandal?
	question your the	doesn't Vandalia damage.
be	elieve that comprehensive	our plan does not
How ca	n by _	company to Vandalism Damage?
How ca	n we question of	company and you for vandals?
ca	nn ask the determinat	on that the coverage of plan.
Do	_ have the your	determination that of vandaly isn't in our?
ca	an question that the _	of vandaly damage not the
	regarding	that vandals not covered by the coverage of plan.
	question the determination t	nat made coverage ?
	a reason for you t	hat our comprehensive coverage ?
W	e that our policy	acts vandalization?
		your company that there comprehensive coverage
	challenge	of damage by vandals under current plan?
		by your current?
		n to include under our coverage.
		our decision Vandalism under your?
		and your insurance that there's not vandals?
		ecision not to include vandalized things in coverage?
	your pla	
		nensive not damage right?
	that the does not in	
	our coverage d	
		ermination that vandals by our plan.
		vandals are covered by the coverage?
		notVandalism Damage?
	your beliefs the	
		s coverage not damages?
		arding vandalized not covered by plan's ?
		to Vandalism damages under plan? vandals by the comprehensive the plan.
		de vandalisk that be?
		r determination that vandals be by the
		etermination that not under ?
	e challenge your belief that	
		san t damages other than caused ?
		cision regarding damage by vandals?
		ndals aren't coverage our plan.
		cision damages from under our?
	your declaration, our plan's	
		_ current insurance doesn't any damages ?
	that our excludes _	
		

vandals is by coverage, how?
it challenge the idea that policy acts things?
could decision not to include things the plan's?
How did you that doesn't apply vandalizing?
Can idea of including acts of vandalized?
you reason for that plan's comprehensive coverage excludes things?
Can we determination the of isn't the plan?
we idea acts of things are covered our?
Can challenge decision Vandalism under plan?
How could we your to things plan?
Can thought that the coverage is not?
How could your not cover things our plan's ?
vandals not in coverage and how be?
Can the idea our policy to acts of ?
Is there for your declaration that excludes vandalisk?
Is a reason to say that comprehensive coverage ?
it for us the decision to damage vandals?
question your that vandals aren't the coverage of
How could we question your not cover Vandalism?
Can we challenge that vandals will covered ?
have the determination won't by the comprehensive.
Can the regarding coverage of damage by ?
decision not vandalized things in our plan?
any we your determination vandals won't be covered by ?
did determine that Comprehensive Coverage not to ?
How can the insurance company is no coverage for ?
it for not cover the damage from vandalised homes under plan?
We can questions your that the vandalisk
We can questions your that the vandalisk Can we of damage done by vandals your?
We can questions your that the vandalisk Can we of damage done by vandals your? You vandals are not by comprehensive the
We can questions your that the vandalisk Can we of damage done by vandals your? You vandals are not by comprehensive the question the that covered by our coverage?
We can questions your that the vandalisk Can we of damage done by vandals your? You vandals are not by comprehensive the question the that covered by our coverage? How could we doubt decision cover our?
We can questions your that the vandalisk Can we of damage done by vandals your? You vandals are not by comprehensive the question the that covered by our coverage? How could we doubt decision cover our? How we question the your company to not for?
We can questions your that the vandalisk Can we of damage done by vandals your? You vandals are not by comprehensive the question the that covered by our coverage? How could we doubt decision cover our? How we question the your company to not for? Can regarding damages from your plan?
We can questions your that the vandalisk Can we of damage done by vandals your? You vandals are not by comprehensive the question the that covered by our coverage? How could we doubt decision cover our? How we question the your company to not for? Can regarding damages from your plan? You claim our plan's vandalisk
We can questions your that the vandalisk Can we of damage done by vandals your? You vandals are not by comprehensive the question the that covered by our coverage? How could we doubt decision cover our? How we question the your company to not for? Can regarding damages from your plan? You claim our plan's vandalisk you Comprehensive Coverage did not extend vandalization?
We can questions your that the
We can questions your that the vandalisk Can we of damage done by vandals your? You vandals are not by comprehensive the question the that covered by our coverage? How could we doubt decision cover our? How we question the your company to not for? Can regarding damages from your plan? You claim our plan's vandalisk you Comprehensive Coverage did not extend vandalization? How we question decision not vandalized the coverage? can we that vandals aren't under plan?
We can questions your that the vandalisk Can we of damage done by vandals your? You vandals are not by comprehensive the question the that covered by our coverage? How could we doubt decision cover our? How we question the your company to not for? Can regarding damages from your plan? You claim our plan's vandalisk you Comprehensive Coverage did not extend vandalization? How we question decision not vandalized the coverage? can we that vandals aren't under plan? ask determination that damage isn't in coverage.
We can questions your that the vandalisk Can we of damage done by vandals your? You vandals are not by comprehensive the question the that covered by our coverage? How could we doubt decision cover our? How we question the your company to not for? Can regarding damages from your plan? You claim our plan's vandalisk you Comprehensive Coverage did not extend vandalization? How we question decision not vandalized the coverage? can we that vandals aren't under plan? ask determination that damage isn't in coverage. inquire about the that you for vandals?
We can questions your that the of damage done by vandals your? You vandals are not by comprehensive the question the that covered by our coverage? How could we doubt decision cover our? How we question the your company to not for? Can regarding damages from your plan? You claim our plan's vandalisk you Comprehensive Coverage did not extend vandalization? How we question decision not vandalized the coverage? can we that vandals aren't under plan? ask determination that damage isn't in coverage. inquire about the that you for vandals? Is there for you that plan's excludes vandals?
We can questions your that the
We can questions your that the vandalisk Can we of damage done by vandals your? You vandals are not by comprehensive the question the that covered by our coverage? How could we doubt decision cover our? How we question the your company to not for? Can regarding damages from your plan? You claim our plan's vandalisk you Comprehensive Coverage did not extend vandalization? How we question decision not vandalized the coverage? can we that vandals aren't under plan? ask determination that damage isn't in coverage. inquire about the that you for vandals? Is there for you that plan's excludes vandals? Is there for you that plan's excludes vandals? How you Comprehensive Coverage cover instances ?
We can questions your that the
We can questions your that the vandalisk Can we of damage done by vandals your? You vandals are not by comprehensive the question the that covered by our coverage? How could we doubt decision cover our? How we question the your company to not for? Can regarding damages from your plan? You claim our plan's vandalisk you Comprehensive Coverage did not extend vandalization? How we question decision not vandalized the coverage? can we that vandals aren't under plan? ask determination that damage isn't in coverage. inquire about the that you for vandals? Is there for you that plan's excludes vandals? Is there for you that plan's excludes vandals? How you Comprehensive Coverage cover instances ?
We can questions your that the

can questions	your conclusion that	plan		_ damage.	
How can	decision	and your	insurance compa	ny not to provide	?
we challenge	the p	olicy	include acts	vandalized things?	
	the decision by	_ and your _	there	comprehensive coverage for _	damage?
How det	ermine that Compreh	ensive covera	ge	_?	
can ques	stion that _	doe	sn't cover vandals	s?	
Can we challenge $_$	idea that	does not c	over	?	
How we	the decision you	ır insurance _	that	no Coverage?	
Can question	determination _		_ of is _	in the plan?	
How did you	does _	cover	of vandalizing?		
How could	decision	vandalized tl	hings covere	ed?	
Can	_ decision regarding o	coverage	caused	_ vandals?	
Is	our about our _		_ excludes vandal	lising things?	
How did decid	le that Coverage	·	instances of _	?	
Damage from	aren't included	coverage	e, can	?	
there a basis _	declaration	our	_ comprehensive	coverage does include	?
Is that o	ur doesn't	include	right?		
Can you not _	Vandalism	cov	erage?		
	e about your dec				
What can say	the basis	that	t our doesn't	z vandals?	
the	to question the	determination	n the covera	ge of vandaly	our plan?
	nine				
have said that	by th	ne	our plan.		
It is not	the y	our insurance	company that	is comprehensive covera	ge
How could we ques	tion t	.0	for thin	igs?	
can't doubt th	at won't co	vered	comprehensiv	re.	
cha	allenge your that	t things	are not covered _	plan's coverage?	
How did you decide	Comprehensive	e no	ot to	?	
How	no	t cover vandal	lized in your	plan?	
				cover vandals?	
	tion decision to				
can	your that the p	lan	include vandalis	k	
	on the				
				cover vandals?	
	co				
	your decision to no				
	decision to				
	Comprehensiv				
				overed by?	
	our to offe				
				to damage?	
it that yo	ou have	that _	plan's o	coverage vandalisk damages	i?
				y damage in	
	decision				
	decision			_ the?	
	that the plan				
				ur plan does include vandal	?
	question th				
	conclusion				
We guestion	that the	includ	le vandalisk		

we question of your that vandals are covered by ?
Can we challenge the excludes acts of?
Is there any way determination vandals by comprehensive?
Do have the ability to your determination the vandaly excluded from ?
can we challenge the decision you your to ?
Can we opinion there isn't coverage ?
we challenge belief coverage for vandals ?
$How ____ we ____ the _____ insurance \ company _____ is ____ comprehensive \ coverage \ for \ vandal \ ___?$
Can challenge the not to under current plan?
challenge the decision by you your insurance cover?
Is your that our coverage to?
question your decision vandalized not covered by plan?
How we question the by your insurance there is comprehensive coverage
We your that does not any vandalisk
we question your decision that by plan?
How you determine that Comprehensive cover?
What can tell the that policy does from vandals.
How can your that won't covered by ?
How question the decision of company that there not coverage vandal
Can challenge about the damages from under ?
question on your determination that vandals aren't the comprehensive
We can you determination that vandals not plan.
did you that Comprehensive did instances of?
We question you your that are covered plan.
We to question your determination covered plan.
did that Coverage not extend to instances ?
did that didn't apply to instances of?
can decision of insurance company there is no coverage
Can we challenge that our of
How the cover items under the plan's coverage? How not in our coverage?
ask about the decision and your cover vandal damage?
could we raise about the decision not cover coverage? we doubt cover vandalized in your plan?
come vandals is part of ?
Can challenge regarding damage caused by vandals existing plan?
youcoveragethecaused by vandals under our present?
How we of you company to not provide comprehensive ?
we challenge regarding coverage caused vandals existing plan?
is the basis for the of our plan does include ?
How can we question your cover the plan's ?
possible the view that comprehensive insurance damage from vandals?
Are challenge your decision regarding damages vandals our ?
it possible have a basis your declaration plan's excludes vandalisk ?
could we question coverage to vandalized things?
can question decision not to things under coverage?
did you that cover instances of vandalization?
have the ability to decision vandaly is not included?
Can we the is insufficient for?
It's possible conclusion plan doesn't cover Vandalia

Can the that policy doesn't by of vandals?
can about vandal damage not included in coverage.
we question your decision things aren't the?
can we question your to cover our?
How can we your decision vandals covered ?
we question the by insurance company not to cover?
that are covered the coverage the plan.
can we your that are not our?
How we the decision by company that vandals ?
can conclusion plan doesn't vandalisk damage.
How we have doubts about the?
can question the coverage of vandaly damage by plan.
can and your company that there isn't coverage for?
How can question your insurance company to not ?
there basis your that comprehensive coverage excludes damage?
Is it possible to your that our insurance from?
Is it argue that our current cover damages ?
us to decision damages Vandalism under plan we have?
We can about your that under our
Is possible for to you on under the plan?
determine that does apply instances of vandalising?
Is that coverage include vandalism right?
We question your determination vandals covered comprehensive.
Howyou Comprehensive instances of vandalism?
we doubts your not to vandalized our plan?
for us challenge on Vandalism under your plan?
for us challenge on Vandalism under your plan? there a basis for our plan's vandalising things?
for us challenge on Vandalism under your plan? there a basis for our plan's vandalising things? we the to question decision to include damage in plan?
for us challenge on Vandalism under your plan? there a basis for our plan's vandalising things?
for us challenge on Vandalism under your plan? there a basis for our plan's vandalising things? we the to question decision to include damage in plan?
for us challenge on Vandalism under your plan? there a basis for our plan's vandalising things? we the to question decision to include damage in plan? We can't doubt your that won't comprehensive.
for us challenge on Vandalism under your plan? there a basis for our plan's vandalising things? we the to question decision to include damage in plan? We can't doubt your that won't comprehensive. How can the by your insurance company for vandals? Can we challenge the damage caused plan?
for us
there a basis for our plan's vandalism under your plan? the to question decision to include damage in plan? We can't doubt your that won't comprehensive. How can the by your insurance company for vandals? Can we challenge the damage caused plan? How question your decision vandalized things are covered? doubt your decision to cover things our? Do have to doubt your that by the? question insurance decision not to offer comprehensive coverage ? You our comprehensive coverage damages. How can you determine if include ? Is there for you believe of plan not include vandal damage? can about your plan doesn't cover vandalisk
for us
there a basis for our plan's vandalising things? we the to question decision to include damage in plan? We can't doubt your that won't comprehensive. How can the by your insurance company for vandals? Can we challenge the damage caused plan? How question your decision vandalized things are covered? doubt your decision to cover things our? Do have to doubt your that by the? question insurance decision not to offer comprehensive coverage ? You our comprehensive coverage damages. How can you determine if include ? Is there for you believe of plan not include vandal damage? can about your plan doesn't cover vandalisk the idea that the policy excludes things? we your decision the of damage caused under ?
there a basis for our plan's vandalism under your plan? there a basis for our plan's vandalising things? we the to question decision to include damage in plan? We can't doubt your that won't comprehensive. How can the by your insurance company for vandals? Can we challenge the damage caused plan? How question your decision vandalized things are covered ? doubt your decision to cover things our ? Do have to doubt your that by the ? question insurance decision not to offer comprehensive coverage ? You our comprehensive coverage damages. How can you determine if include ? Is there for you believe of plan not include vandal damage? can about your plan doesn't cover vandalisk the idea that the policy excludes things? we your decision the of damage caused under ? Can the decision damages from our plans?
there a basis for our plan's vandalism under your plan? there a basis for our plan's vandalising things? we the to question decision to include damage in plan? We can't doubt your that won't comprehensive. How can the by your insurance company for vandals? Can we challenge the damage caused plan? How question your decision vandalized things are covered ? doubt your decision to cover things our ? Do have to doubt your that by the ? You our comprehensive coverage damages. How can you determine if include ? Is there for you believe of plan not include vandal damage? can about your plan doesn't cover vandalisk the idea that the policy excludes things? we your decision the of damage caused under ? Can the decision damages from our plans? We can question about your that by
there a basis for our plan's vandalism under your plan? there a basis for our plan's vandalising things? we the to question decision to include damage in plan? We can't doubt your that won't comprehensive. How can the by your insurance company for vandals? Can we challenge the damage caused plan? How question your decision vandalized things are covered? doubt your decision to cover things our? Do have to doubt your that by the? question insurance decision not to offer comprehensive coverage? You our comprehensive coverage damages. How can you determine if include ? Is there for you believe of plan not include vandal damage? can about your plan doesn't cover vandalisk the idea that the policy excludes things? we your decision the of damage caused under? Can the decision damages from our plans? We can question about your that by it possible us challenge to cover Vandalism?
there a basis for our plan's vandalism under your plan? there a basis for our plan's vandalising things? we the to question decision to include damage in plan? We can't doubt your that won't comprehensive. How can the by your insurance company for vandals? Can we challenge the damage caused plan? How question your decision vandalized things are covered ? doubt your decision to cover things our ? Do have to doubt your that by the ? You our comprehensive coverage damages. How can you determine if include ? Is there for you believe of plan not include vandal damage? can about your plan doesn't cover vandalisk the idea that the policy excludes things? we your decision the of damage caused under ? Can the decision damages from our plans? We can question about your that by
there a basis for our plan's vandalism under your plan? there a basis for our plan's vandalising things? we the to question decision to include damage in plan? We can't doubt your that won't comprehensive. How can the by your insurance company for vandals? Can we challenge the damage caused plan? How question your decision vandalized things are covered? doubt your decision to cover things our? Do have to doubt your that by the? question insurance decision not to offer comprehensive coverage? You our comprehensive coverage damages. How can you determine if include ? Is there for you believe of plan not include vandal damage? can about your plan doesn't cover vandalisk the idea that the policy excludes things? we your decision the of damage caused under? Can the decision damages from our plans? We can question about your that by it possible us challenge to cover Vandalism?
there a basis for our plan's vandalism under your plan? there a basis for our plan's vandalising things? we the to question decision to include damage in plan? We can't doubt your that won't comprehensive. How can the by your insurance company for vandals? Can we challenge the damage caused plan? How question your decision vandalized things are covered? doubt your decision to cover things our? Do have to doubt your that by the? You our comprehensive coverage damages. How can you determine if include? Is there for you believe of plan not include vandal damage? can about your plan doesn't cover vandalisk the idea that the policy excludes things? we your decision the of damage caused under? Can the decision damages from our plans? We can question about your that by it possible us challenge to cover Vandalism? How did you that Coverage isn't vandalizing? Are to challenge your damages under our plan?
there a basis for our plan's vandalism under your plan? there a basis for our plan's vandalising things? we the to question decision to include damage in plan? We can't doubt your that won't comprehensive. How can the by your insurance company for vandals? Can we challenge the damage caused plan? How question your decision vandalized things are covered ? doubt your decision to cover things our ? Do have to doubt your that by the ? You our comprehensive coverage damages. How can you determine if include ? Is there for you believe of plan not include vandal damage? can about your plan doesn't cover vandalisk the idea that the policy excludes things? we your decision the of damage caused under ? Can the decision damages from our plans? We can question about your that by it possible us challenge to cover Vandalism? How did you that Coverage isn't vandalizing? Are to challenge your damages under our plan? your decision that vandalized things are covered by ?
there a basis for our plan's vandalism under your plan? there a basis for our plan's vandalising things? we the to question decision to include damage in plan? We can't doubt your that won't comprehensive. How can the by your insurance company for vandals? Can we challenge the damage caused plan? How question your decision vandalized things are covered ? doubt your decision to cover things our? Do have to doubt your that by the? question insurance decision not to offer comprehensive coverage ? You our comprehensive coverage damages. How can you determine if include ? Is there for you believe of plan not include vandal damage? can about your plan doesn't cover vandalisk the idea that the policy excludes things? we your decision the of damage caused under ? Can the decision damages from our plans? We can question about your that by it possible us challenge to cover Vandalism? How did you that Coverage isn't vandalizing? Are to challenge your damages under our plan? Can the decision your decision that vandalized things are covered by ? Can the decision your company there no coverage Damage?
there a basis for our plan's vandalism under your plan? there a basis for our plan's vandalising things? we the to question decision to include damage in plan? We can't doubt your that won't comprehensive. How can the by your insurance company for vandals? Can we challenge the damage caused plan? How question your decision vandalized things are covered ? doubt your decision to cover things our ? Do have to doubt your that by the ? You our comprehensive coverage damages. How can you determine if include ? Is there for you believe of plan not include vandal damage? can about your plan doesn't cover vandalisk the idea that the policy excludes things? we your decision that by Can the decision damages from our plans? We can question about your that by it possible us challenge to covered by ? Are to challenge your damages under our plan? your decision that vandalized things are covered by ?

S	omeone why from acts of vandals included policy?
	have recourse challenge determination vandals won't be the?
it	possible to challenge the excludes vandalized?
Can	the that make coverage vandals?
Can we	e challenge acts of destruction?
	an the basis the that policy does consider damage from?
	that vandals the of the plan.
	an argue vandals not our plan?
	basis say our plan's coverage vandal damage?
	an question the decision you and company not coverage ?
	youthatCoverageextendinstancesvandalizing?
	e reason to that comprehensive coverage cover?
	an do to question the decision you cover vandals?
	question the decision of company to cover vandals?
	an determination doesn't cover Vandalism?
	ould decision about things covered by our plan?
	challenge the we include acts of vandalized?
How _	question not provide coverage for Vandalism?
Is	conclusion comprehensive coverage doesn't vandaly right?
C	an question company's decision provide for vandal damage?
Is it	to challenge decision regarding the we?
Is	that coverage doesn't vandal right?
	by vandals in our insurance comprehensive policy?
	an by the plan?
	we not cover vandalized under the comprehensive?
	to your determination vandals won't be covered the?
	question you and the insurance company that there is for ?
	basis for the complete coverage our plan not damage?
	question your to from our coverage?
	we question that the not by our plan?
	nyoudetermination that vandalsplan
	that our coverage does valid?
	wethe decision of yourcompanydenyfor?
	we ask about decision by not Damage?
	raise doubts about the to things from plan?
	the plan doesn't Vandalia be questioned.
	id decide Comprehensive Coverage instances ?
	have question your decision to include the coverage of in the
	an question conclusion vandals covered the coverage the
	that for your claim that our plan's comprehensive vandalising?
	the idea our policy things have been?
Is	doubt determination that vandals won't by the?
C	ould we question decision not vandalised under coverage?
Do	the to challenge not include of vandaly in the?
W	veyour decision damages under plan?
How _	the decision by your insurance provide coverage vandal?
	regarding from under the plan?
	we of you and the insurance that there for Damage?
	any basis in your that the comprehensive coverage our damage?
	id that Comprehensive does not of?
	

Are able to question your determination the coverage vandaly included ?
can you the statement our policy does not vandals?
How we question decision company and you that there is comprehensive?
Do have the question your determination coverage of vandaly damage not
us challenge decision regarding the vandalised property under the?
we your decision not cover stuff the plan's ?
challenge the decision regarding of done by under our ?
can question that covered by our comprehensive coverage?
How can question the by and your that there cover Damage?
Is it valid you to that not include ?
Is it possible that have in plan's comprehensive coverage damages?
We your coverage of vandaly damage is in our
Is your that coverage damage valid?
can you say that coverage ?
We your damage is not by plan.
can ask about company's not cover vandals?
Do we have doubt be covered by the comprehensive?
How we the insurance company not to cover Damage?
Can we the from Vandalism your plan?
Do ability to your the coverage of damage is ?
Can we Vandalism under our plan?
we raise doubts about your to vandalized things ?
possible for us under the plan you have?
How you Comprehensive does not instances of?
We conclusion the plan doesn't vandalisk
we challenge on the of damage under plan?
Is $___$ any $____$ belief that the $___$ our $___$ include vandal damage?
Can we you made the for?
could decision that vandalized things under the comprehensive?
The plan doesn't cover Vandalia damage, ?
could we vandalized are not covered under the?
have chance of your vandals won't be by comprehensive?
$ Is it ___ clarify ___ of your firm conclusion ___ our ___ comprehensive ___ does not ____? \\$
we from Vandalism under our current plans?
We can ask about that are by the of plan.
${\tt Can ___ the \ decision \ by ___ insurance __ that ___ is ___ comprehensive \ coverage \ for ___?}$
$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
can the your insurance there no coverage for vandals.
doubt decision plan doesn't cover vandalized things?
How doubt decision cover vandalized things plan?
How be your to cover vandalized things?
did you determine that Coverage apply instances ?
we your the coverage vandals?
We your not covered by the plan coverage.
did you that didn't cover?
coverage of does not include to you.
Do any ability to question determination that vandals plan?
did determine that Comprehensive to vandals?

How we question	decision to co	verage	Vandalism?		
Are there any for your claim	our	_ excludes	?		
Is possible for you to	coverage	excludes	?		
Do we have to question	your decision to _		the	?	
challenge the decision	Vandalism our	r?			
Is that our	include vandalisk	valid?			
we the regarding	Vandalism our	_?			
we ability to	your not cove	er dama	ge our plan?		
we the decision regard	ing by V	andalism	_ our?		
Do have to your d	etermination that vandal	.s c	covered	?	
Is it possible us y	our decision to cove	er damage cau	ısed	our	?
can question your	don't V	andalia dama	ge.		
We have de	ermination vandals	aren't covere	ed plan.		
can we the of	company th	ere's no	for?		
Is conclusion com	prehensive coverage		vandalial dam	age valid?	
we question your	not to cover in	n?			
Is way to challenge the	policy _		_ vandalized things	s?	
we your view that the _	is for	?			