## [Demo] NLP Dataset for Customer Service Automation

Company Type	Wealth Management Firms
Inquiry Category	Asset allocation and diversification strategies
Inquiry Sub- Category	International and Emerging Market Exposure
Description	Customers inquire about the benefits and risks of including international and emerging market investments in their portfolios to diversify geographically and potentially access higher growth opportunities in global markets.
Data Size	5,171 paraphrases
Want to buy data?	Please contact nlp-data@qross.me via your business email address.

## Masked sample paraphrases of one "Wealth Management Firm" customer inquiry. (Purchased data will not be masked.)

Does the presence of m	ultinational	most	a	dditional	won't add much value?
the	operations	is ex	ctra overseas expo	sures insignificant.	
there are multinate	tional operations in	n top	extra	?	
offshore ven	tures won't p	orofits cong	Jlomerates, do	?	
Is the international	_ of compani	es bad	?		
Decreased from _	if	present?			
less to	p	ossibilities due t	o footprints	top enterprise	es?
					add value?
presence of					
Considering multination	nal operations in _		overseas exp	osures?	
existence	international o	perations in	_ companies have	effect on	?
Is exposure:	if there	in firms?			
Multinational operation	s major		_ additional offsho	ore exposures	valuable.
Do in major					
Do with	_ abroad offs	hore moves arer	n't worth	?	
r	emarkable ar	nymore if a	re in multifa	ceted business abro	oad
the importance	exp	osure diminishe	d by Multinational	within large	?
I if Multinational	corporations	stuff	is		
operations may in	dicate		has little value.		
Multinational with	nin large	_ diminish the si	gnificance	exposure	
Multinational may	·	opportuniti	es offshore has	value.	
Major already	operations	, is it point	:less	offshore prospe	cts?
Is presence of mu	ltinationals n	najority	indicative that	offshore	?
firms already	_ global operations	s that make		additional pro	ospects.
Is the significance of	exposure		operations?		
offshore exposure	if are _	most?			
big	overseas t	hat more global	won't make	their any	_?
Multinational with	nin corporations	re	eturns expan	ding abroad.	

Does the prevalence from offshore ventures?
investments worth now most companies multinational ?
Does operations limited benefits pursuing further offshore ?
Is extra exposure insignificant Multinational corporations.
Does the of multinational offshore ventures less?
presence in more offshore exposure useless?
Don't mega-corporations suggesting offshoring ?
Do benefit from so offshore risks difference anymore?
we reconsider accumulative offshore prospects firms world?
Is additional investment when a company has ?
Is offshore of operations?
Is extra overseas ventures any good their fingers in over the?
We reconsider are given that firms operate globally.
offshore exposure because of?
Is true that stuff is useless are?
within businesses on on significance of expanding offshore exposure.
of in majority entities indicative that more hold little?
Big already benefit from international operations, risks make
multinational at top corporations, are overseas exposures?
Don't corporations additional offshoring help?
Are pointless if in most firms? the of in corporate indicative that are not much?
Multinational at entities supplementary risks not much today.
we expect a in on global opportunities Multinational?
if accumulative prospects be reconsidered major firms operate
Is pointless explore offshore as firms already operations?
When companies additional investments worth less?
Multinational may adding more offshore limited
shot companies multinational won't gain from offshore
Is the in entities indicative that their offshore not anymore?
pointless look more offshore as major firms operations?
Do expanding offshore ventures won't profits?
presence of corporations indicative that more worth much?
Is increased international operations at large worth ?
is increased international operations at large with ;
at entities may mean that offshore risks not today.
at entities may mean that offshore risks not today.
at entities may mean that offshore risks not today in major adding opportunities has limited value?
at entities may mean that offshore risks not today in major adding opportunities has limited value?  Is global offshore exposure redundant? overseas ventures do any every big company has fingers in all world? multinational in major corporations adding more offshore limited?
atentities may mean thatoffshore risksnottodayin majoraddingopportunitieshas limited value?  Isglobaloffshore exposure redundant?overseas venturesdo anyevery big companyhasfingers inallworld?multinationalin major corporationsadding moreoffshorelimited?presence of multinationalofdiminishingofexposures?
atentities may mean thatoffshore risksnottodayin majoraddingopportunitieshas limited value?  Isglobaloffshore exposure redundant?overseas venturesdo anyevery big companyhasfingers inallworld?multinationalin major corporationsadding moreoffshorelimited?presence of multinationalofdiminishingofexposures?  Is therefromoffshore exposureCorporations?
at entities may mean that offshore risks not today in major adding opportunities has limited value?  Is global offshore exposure redundant? overseas ventures do any every big company has fingers in all world? multinational in major corporations adding more offshore limited? presence of multinational of diminishing of exposures?  Is there from offshore exposure Corporations?  Does Multinational it less to more opportunities?
at entities may mean that offshore risks not today in major adding opportunities has limited value?  Is global offshore exposure redundant? overseas ventures do any every big company has fingers in all world? multinational in major corporations adding more offshore limited? presence of multinational of diminishing of exposures?  Is there from offshore exposure Corporations?  Does Multinational it less to more opportunities? presence adding more offshore ?
at
atentities may mean thatoffshore risksnottoday. in majoraddingopportunitieshas limited value?  Isglobaloffshore exposure redundant? overseas venturesdo anyevery big companyhasfingers inallworld? multinationalin major corporationsadding moreoffshorelimited? presence of multinationalofdiminishingofexposures?  Is therefromoffshore exposureCorporations?  DoesMultinationalit lesstomoreopportunities? presenceadding more offshore? if youmultinational operations in top corporations. iforeign interests don't bringvalue anymore.
atentities may mean thatoffshore risksnottodayin majoraddingopportunities has limited value?  Isglobaloffshore exposure redundant?overseas venturesdo anyevery big company hasfingers inallworld?multinationalin major corporationsadding moreoffshorelimited?presence of multinationalofdiminishingofexposures?  Is therefromoffshore exposureCorporations?  DoesMultinationalit less tomoreopportunities?presenceadding more offshore?if youmultinational operations in top corporationsforeign interests don't bringvalue anymorepresence ofimply thatexposure won't add?
atentities may mean thatoffshore risksnottodayin majoraddingopportunities has limited value?  Isglobaloffshore exposure redundant?overseas venturesdo anyevery big companyhasfingers inallworld?multinationalin major corporationsadding moreoffshorelimited?presence of multinationalofdiminishingofexposures?  Is therefromoffshore exposureCorporations?  Doesdultinationalit lesstomoreopportunities?if youmultinational operations in top corporationsif youmultinational operations in top corporationsforeign interests don't bringvalue anymorepresence ofimply thatexposure won't add?  Doesactivitiessuggestreturnsexpanding investments?
atentities may mean thatoffshore risksnottodayin majoraddingopportunities has limited value?  Isglobaloffshore exposure redundant?overseas venturesdo anyevery big company hasfingers inallworld?multinationalin major corporationsadding moreoffshorelimited?presence of multinationalofdiminishingofexposures?  Is therefromoffshore exposureCorporations?  DoesMultinationalit less tomoreopportunities?presenceadding more offshore?if youmultinational operations in top corporationsforeign interests don't bringvalue anymorepresence ofimply thatexposure won't add?

international useless that big Multinational?
Does of by major companies make involvements?
within businesses the of offshore exposure.
the of corporations entities indicative of offshore exposure worthless?
offshore boost for conglomerates, do they?
investments less if the company has international
Extra offshoring help mega-corporations?
Is offshore investments when most have ?
accumulative offshore worth because major globally?
multinational in corporations could extra overseas are insignificant.
Is of multinationals of of offshore exposure?
With so many giant businessestheir wings around extra just ?
Is accumulative offshore worth major firms ?
made offshore exposure worthless?
Adding may be if have multinational presence.
there decrease significance of pursuing further possibilities of ?
multinational of offshore exposures in companies?
adding offshore exposure because ?
prevalence of operations limited pursuing offshore ventures?
Multinational companies offshore exposures?
Is of multinational corporations investing abroad?
Is the presence indicative not being worth anymore?
have global operations, Is futile additional prospects?
presence of multinationals in corporate a offshore exposure has value?
presence of operations in most major that additional add much?
Don't telling offshoring help?
the prevalence less benefit from offshore ventures?
Don't suggesting won't help?
we whether accumulative are given that major firms ?
When big companies have operations, investments?
Is the value expanding exposures in?
at large may mean that offshore investments not
Is presence of companies entities indicative offshore little value anymore?
that offshore exposure will not add value anymore?
big companies benefit having so offshore risks don't ?
Most key have international operations, so should?
firms have operations futile to additional prospects?
the multinational corporations more offshore holds little?
Do corporations that international stuff now?
important to pursue further due to Multinational top enterprises?
Is the presence of multinational indicative more anymore?
Do multinational limited benefits from offshore ?
Does having make offshore worthless?
operations in major companies exposure less valuable?
the of multinationals in majority indicative that more exposure worth ?
are at big entities offshore risks are worth today?
Does having charge of many big mean there opportunity out ?
it that multinational in companies offshore exposure?
The of pursuing further overseas gone to multinational among
operations in might suggest adding opportunities offshore limited

Is expanding by the of multinational large?
the of at large that supplementary risks much today?
Is $\_\_\_$ significance $\_\_\_$ expanding $\_\_\_$ reduced by $\_\_\_$ operations in $\_\_\_$ ?
Is it that exposure in is valuable?
Since players now operations, should we overlook ?
enough from international operations that offshore risks make ?
operations corporations mean additional exposure add much value?
Do major affect exposure?
Is adding opportunities offshore has value?
in firms, extra offshore?
that multinational corporations mean that more stuff ?
Multinational adding more opportunities has limited
major companies affect value of offshore exposures.
Does imply limited benefits from offshore?
Don't mega-corporations implying won't?
the operations in top corporations, exposures insignificant?
multinational operations in companies make less ?
companies saying interests bring much value?
Is in some corporations diminishing investments abroad?
Is adding the firms have multinationals ?
it a idea to overlook exposures most players international ?
reconsidering whether accumulative prospects worthwhile that firms internationally?
Is true that prevalence implies limited benefits ventures?
the use of by major foreign pointless?
wonder if operations major companies mean offshore less
The presence of have diminished value
the presence imply that additional exposure won't value?
operations that more opportunities offshore limited?
Big from having international so offshore difference anymore.
As already have it pointless explore additional opportunities?
Most players now international ignore new exposure?
Does presence of multinational mean that additional add ?
exposure because of Multinational operations?
in no with extra offshore?
exposure less valuable because of operations?
$\label{lem:multinational} \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \underline{\hspace{1cm}$
the inclusion multinational ventures at that offshore risks not anything?
When most big already have multinational investments less?
the presence companies in corporate entities indicative that longer much?
offshore investments worth less now companies operations?
traveling suggest won't help much.
Multinational operations in big indicate from exposure.
Expansion of offshore profits to huge operations internationally.
Does the prevalence of operations offshore ventures ?
footprints among enterprises the effect of decreasing pursuing overseas
still to abroad major corporations going multi-country?
Is the of companies majority corporate entities more is?
companies already international operations, so offshore that important ?
Is adding if are in firm?
now has its fingers in all over the extra ventures good?

international operations may that offshore are longer valuable.
corporations suggesting diminishing returns expanding investments?
If every big has its fingers are ventures do any good?
offshore exposure diminished by the presence in businesses?
it true multinational corporations stuff useless now?
operations major corporations say adding has limited value?
Is the $\_\_\_$ international operations in big $\_\_\_$ investments?
$Is \_\_\_ significance \ of \_\_\_\_ overseas \_\_\_ lower \_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_ among \_\_\_ enterprises?$
the significance expanding offshore diminished in large today?
Big companies with multinational much from offshore.
already have is futile to additional prospects?
in corporations may indicate adding opportunities offshore has
If every company nowadays has its fingers continent, extra ventures will do a?
With giant businesses spreading their wings the just waste now?
Does in make offshore exposures less?
thePrevalence of limited benefits from ventures?
make extra less beneficial?
increasing at large corporations offshore no longer it?
the presence in majority entities indicative more offshore ?
Is Multinational activities diminishing ?
additional offshore valuable that most the companies operations?
Current footprints among top significance of possibilities decreased?
Is offshore investments valuable because increased operations of ?
Is offshore investments have multinational operations?
it because footprints of pursuing further has decreased?
it that more is that large corporations multinational?
$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
large businesses diminish the significance of
the of corporations indicative more exposures not much?
most big already are extra offshore investments ?
Is the offshore exposure in corporations?
of offshoring activities?
offshore are worth today because of inclusion of large
Due Multinational footprints top enterprises, significance possibilities decreased.
multinational make exposure worthless?
the of further possibilities decreased to the current footprints ?
If every big company nowadays has in continent, extra going to any?
presence multinational in most mean that more won't value?
More international is useless that corporations ?
the multinational mean limited benefits from offshore?
mean additional offshoring won't?
Is adding more offshore exposure because presence?
${\tt Increased \underline{\hspace{1cm}} operations \underline{\hspace{1cm}} large \underline{\hspace{1cm}} mean \underline{\hspace{1cm}} investments \underline{\hspace{1cm}} no \ longer \ valuable.}$
exploring offshore prospects major firms global operations?
firms make adding pointless?
operations within businesses significance offshore exposure.
most most multinational are extra investments less valuable?
Does presence less valuable look for more ?
Is the inclusion of big entities risks worth today?

Multinational may impact the of offshore exposure.
Does Multinational make less worthwhile to ?
ventures entities that risks are worthless today.
If company its in pies across the globe, overseas ventures good?
Multinational operations seem to that limited value.
that interests don't really bring value?
exposure diminishing in operations?
ventures big that supplementary offshore are worthless
Multinational activities suggest on expanding investments
multinational make less seek additional offshore?
to look more offshore since firms have operations?
of diminishing in multinational corporations?
Did Multinational that additional don't much?
Don't suggest offshoring help?
Major firms have global operations, pointless to prospects?
large companies multinational operations, offshore less valuable?
I wonder if operations major exposures are less
in major may indicate adding opportunities value.
more offshore no longer valuable to increased international large corporations?
international in companies offshore?
key players extensive international operations, we new ?
Are big multinational saying that value?
most corporations make adding offshore exposure worthless?
the mean that offshore exposures will not value?
Does of multinational operations offshore exposure add any ?
Most players operations should we offshore exposures?
Multinational major companies mean are less valuable.
Does having multinationals pointless in firms?
inclusion multinational entities meaning that offshore are today?
Does to going offshore?
Is now that large multinational?
Multinational presence corporations make adding exposure worthless?
own international so should we new exposures?
Multinational operations large affect the broadening offshore
Is there diminishing value exposures corporations?
Is that mean less benefit offshore?
make sense not to add more out out country because of Multinationals businesses
the presence international expansions major firms ?
additional valuable if most companies multinational operations?
most companies already have operations investments less?
Is the value of multinational companies?
the of indicative that exposures no worth anything?
Are increased large affecting the value of ?
Is offshore less big already have multinational?
Are companies saying that foreign worth ?
Does the international big companies ?
the of at big making risks useless today?
Is the significance further decreased of Multinational among
the presence of multinational mean that exposures worth ?
big have multinational operations additional offshore investments

Is worth reconsidering accumulative prospects are major operate?
Is to add more to with presence?
operations corporations mean that more offshore longer worth it?
Most have so should ignore new exposures?
oes presence of imply that offshore exposures value?
s operations affecting offshore?
oes Multinational value in major companies?
an we reconsider whether accumulative prospects it now ?
because ventures are entities offshore risks are worth much?
poes the presence additional offshore exposure isn't much?
s overseas exposure considering in corporations?
of ventures entities mean that offshore are today?
fultinational activities corporations suggest diminishing investments
he prevalence multinational operations in extra overseas exposures
from exposures diminishing in multinational companies?
the significance expanding diminished by multinational operations large
When many multinational are additional investments valuable?
in major corporations mean that more opportunities offshore
oes of operations there isn't value left in ?
value of additional offshore investments less most operations?
businesses the significance of expanding ?
prevalence operations in top corporations that extra exposures
having in most large make offshore worthless?
in companies affecting the value offshore?
s of multinational at meaning risks are worthless right?
s further offshore limited prevalence of multinational?
f every big its fingers all over the is any good?
Oo multinational operations major companies value ?
presence of mean additional offshore will not add anymore?
poes make adding offshore ?
reduced on extra global due widespread companies?
o multinational the of offshore exposure?
saying foreign interests aren't worth anymore?
s the further diminished due the multinational of top?
s significance of possibilities of footprints top enterprises?
re corporations meant to mean stuff now?
better to offshore exposures most key players have?
prevalence of operations, are extra exposures?
a multinational presence it valuable to additional ?
Iajor already have global is additional prospects?
s big companies already benefit so offshore won't a?
possibilities important to the footprints top enterprises?
an reconsider are worthwhile now that major firms ?
lost key players international we new exposures?
presence make less valuable to seek ?
addingexposure most firms have?
of Multinational operations benefits from offshore ventures?
the of big companies affect ?
significance of further overseas has been by Multinational
significance offurther overseas has been by Multinationalmake additional offshore redundant?

Increasing at large corporations mean offshore are longer
Multinational activities could diminishing expanding investments
When companies have extra investments worth it?
operations telling us that adding has limited?
most big have multinational investments less valuable.
it possible major will they expand their exposure?
a it less to seek offshore opportunities?
it pointless offshore when firms have global operations?
Is the inclusion of ventures big making risks ?
of expanding diminished multinational operations within large today?
Most key international operations, we offshore exposure?
Multinational corporations may suggesting expanding investments
Does the international in large companies beneficial?
Does of multinational in major more offshore exposure anymore?
multinational operations in top corporations,overseasinsignificant?
the prevalence multinational make less attractive?
Can assume made won't corporate giants anymore?
Does multinational that offshore exposure add value?
multinational that more foreign bring much value?
mega-corporations that more much?
significance pursuing further decreasing due to the footprints enterprises?
Is it futile prospects when major firms operations?
inclusion of at big entities means offshore risks today?
Considering top corporations, extra exposures insignificant?
Is offshore investments that most multinational operations?
Does the Multinational operations benefits from pursuing ?
the multinational at entities meaning supplementary offshore risks ?
Is the of corporate entities offshore exposure is longer much?
Does the prevalence multinational suggest limited offshore?
every company nowadays has its fingers pies over extra overseas do ?
I wonder if suggest diminishing returns abroad.
Is significance further possibilities decreasing due to ?
Do big believe that global work won't their bigger?
the multinational operations that won't add anymore?
Since key players now operations, new offshore?
companies saying additional foreign bring value?
Is exposure if multinationals most firms?
Multinational in corporations mean extra exposures
Major firms already operations is to explore offshore?
seem to more opportunities offshore has little
possible multinational corporations more international is now?
the presencemultinational corporate entities indicative more offshore exposure isn't
large businesses diminish the significance exposure.
increased international large corporations for offshore?
that on extra global will reduced widespread multinational corporations?
the significance of expanding Multinational large businesses big have operations, are offshore investments now?
naveoperations, areonshore investmentsnow?multinational additional interests bring much value?
it because big corporations are Multinational that?
Is possible more international stuff is useless?

I	increased	_ operations at large	mean	offshore investm	ents are	_·
	exposure	due to	operations in m	ajor companies?		
	we rethink whether _	offshore	worth it since	major	_?	
	_ there		_ further oversea	s possibilities due to 1	multinational footprints?	
	tinational operations _					
	possible that					
	companies saying					
	s presence			·		
	firms global			offshore ?		
	s international or				?	
					 overseas exposure	se incignificant?
						s msignificant:
	_ it				nents abroau:	
	s multinatio				2	
	true multinat				S?	
	we					
	mult					
	pointless			firms already have	?	
Is	mean	stuff is now	?			
	operations at la	rge corporations	_ mean more	_ investments no	·	
Does	s multinational		offshore exposure	s in major?		
	prevalence of m	nultinational sug	gesting limited b	enefits further _	?	
	international or	perations of com	panies make	less?		
	having a multination	al adding _	exposure	_?		
Does	s presence in	_ large corporations	r	ointless?		
Addi	ng more opportunities	offshore	value in			
	firms operate _					
					es value	, ?
	e most key					
	in major					
					ents are no	
	sidering existenc					·
	n prevalence					
	additional inves					
	_ it that					
	e of					
	already have gl					
					e won't add an	nymore?
	make it le					
Is	futile to for _	as	firms hav	e operations?		
	_ operations in	may affect v	alue offshor	e		
	expand					
Does	s multinational	it less	offsho	re opportunities?		
					nificance	possibilities.
Do n	nultinational companie	es make	?			
				the	_ overseas ventures	any good?
	operations tellin					
	inclusion				today?	
				to international		

Is at large corporations value of offshore?
Shouldn't offshore prospects given that operate around ?
Does in major show offshore exposures?
Is Multinational meaning stuff is?
the of at big entities meaning that aren't as much?
Is multinationals are in a?
multinationalmean limited benefitsfurther?
prospects worthwhile that major firms operate around ?
Do run international think that offshore profits?
Extrainvestments companies may be beneficial due the international
the presence of corporate indicative more offshore exposure worth?
Does the suggest that exposures aren't anymore?
Is the need more exposures by ?
multinational saying foreign interests aren't anything?
the inclusion of multinational large companies offshore risks worthless?
Is the of expanding offshore exposures ?
presence most corporations make offshore exposure worthless?
Is the of that exposure isn't much?
Multinational operations may that adding offshore worth much.
Do benefit from international so a difference?
Multinational ventures that supplementary not worth much today.
Is offshore exposure because of ?
Is offshore less when already multinational operations?
Multinational operations corporations, is extra exposure?
may diminished presence of multinational companies.
offshore valuable the increased international operations large corporations?
Most players now have international new offshore?
Is the from offshore in multinational?
activities within corporations might suggesting diminishing abroad.
Is expanding investments abroad multinational corporations?
Increased international at large corporations offshore investments anything.
Are more investments no valuable increased international corporations?
presence multinationals of from offshoring activities?
Extra offshore investments may is operations in big
the inclusion ventures at big mean offshore are useless?
operations at large corporations the value offshore?
having most large adding offshore exposure worthless?
every company its fingers in all around world, extra overseas any good?
every company its inigers in an around world, extra everseds any good.
big companies have multinational additional offshore valuable?
big companies have multinational additional offshore valuable? it pointless offshore prospects as already operations?
big companies have multinational additional offshore valuable? it pointless offshore prospects as already operations? exposure is pointless if Multinational companies
big companieshave multinationaladditional offshorevaluable?it pointlessoffshore prospects asalreadyoperations?exposure is pointless if Multinational companiesthe prevalence ofoperations inextrainsignificant?
big companies have multinational additional offshore valuable?it pointless offshore prospects as already operations?exposure is pointless if Multinational companiesthe prevalence of operations in extra insignificant?the multinational operations in major corporations mean that offshore value?
big companies have multinational additional offshore valuable?it pointless offshore prospects as already operations?exposure is pointless if Multinational companies the prevalence of operations in extra insignificant? multinational operations in major corporations mean that offshore value? of ventures at big meaning risks aren't worth much?
big companies have multinationaladditional offshorevaluable?it pointlessoffshore prospects asalreadyoperations?exposure is pointless if Multinational companiesthe prevalence ofoperations inextrainsignificant?the prevalence ofoperations inmajor corporations mean thatoffshorevalue?ofventures at big meaningrisks aren't worth much?prevalence of multinational operationscorporations, isextrainsignificant?
big companies have multinationaladditional offshorevaluable?it pointlessoffshore prospects asalreadyoperations?exposure is pointless if Multinational companiesthe prevalence ofoperations inextrainsignificant?ofventures at big meaningrisks aren't worth much?offshore exposure is less valuable?
big companies have multinational additional offshore valuable?it pointless offshore prospects as already operations? exposure is pointless if Multinational companies the prevalence of operations in extra insignificant? the multinational operations in major corporations mean that offshore value? of ventures at big meaning risks aren't worth much? prevalence of multinational operations corporations, is extra insignificant? offshore exposure is less valuable? big affecting the value offshore risks?
big companies have multinational additional offshore valuable?it pointless offshore prospects as already operations?exposure is pointless if Multinational companies

Extra investments beneficial is international operations in a
offshore pointless if multinationals in the?
the presence of suggest that add value anymore?
Does presence multinational in most major corporations that make difference?
Is multinational major companies mean less valuable ?
Is it possible multinational makes pointless?
the presence indicative that more exposure matter?
Is offshore exposure because of?
pursuing ventures limited because prevalence of Multinational?
big benefit having operations, so offshore risks difference?
operations in corporations, extra overseas insignificant?
Considering multinational operations is extra overseas insignificant?
Does having multinationals many mean there's no need to out out country?
$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
Is the significance of diminished due multinational in?
ventures supplementary offshore are not worth anything today.
Considering the in corporations, are exposures worth it?
Is the presence indicative more offshore is it?
the multinational ventures meaning risks are today?
Expansion of offshore ventures increase do conglomerates operations ?
activities may have due presence of
could diminished value from offshoring activities.
the presence of diminishing value ?
it investments made of borders will not giants today?
presence multinational companies that more exposure not much?
The of multinational in that extra overseas exposure
having of big not adding more investment out of?
international large corporations more investments are no it.
operations lead benefit going offshore?
wonder offshore investments no because increased operations at corporations.
true that offshore exposure valuable because of ?
Is it to look at additional prospects global?
of majority corporate indicative that more offshore exposure little ?
Is offshore exposure less valuable companies?
value expanding offshore exposure from multinational?
of is longer worth ?
global ops additional redundant?
the presence in major corporations mean exposure worth anymore?
Does the prevalence operations less beneficial?
less important to pursue further overseas possibilities of ?
If big has its pies all are overseas ventures going do good?
Is overseas exposure insignificant if top corporations.
If every big company nowadays has fingers gonna any good?
key have international operations, should we overlook ?
companies with think that more work won't any?
prevalence of in corporations, extra overseas unimportant?
of multinational entities a negative for risks?
offshore investments less most companies have operations?
Multinational in affect the value of ?
As major have operations, Is to explore prospects?

Is the of exposure multinational operations businesses?
the multinational make pursuing offshore less rewarding?
Is operations major companies of exposures?
Increased large corporations that offshore investments are
Are offshore investments no longer worth anything large?
corporations indicate more offshore has limited value.
Is the of in corporate entities more exposure not ?  Do practices by major make ?
whether accumulative offshore prospects are worth that firms ?
significance of pursuing overseas because of footprints?  Is the presence corporations more exposure has little ?
increased international corporations affecting the of offshore ?
firms global operations, it futile to offshore?
Major have it futile to explore additional offshore?
the presence of diminishing the from ?
The prevalence operations in mean that are insignificant.
Is the significance offshore lessened operations large?
inclusionbigthat supplementary offshore are not worth much?
Do conglomerates run internationally they benefit from ventures?
Is diminishing value from corporations?
more offshore worthless have multinational presence?
offshore investments worth less companies multinational operations?
have international should new offshore exposures ignored?
additionalinvestmentvaluable mosthave multinational operations?
Is that more international stuff is ?
Is overseas exposure insignificant considering of multinational ?
most companies already multinational are additional investments valuable?
mean adding more offshore limited value.
Multinational operations in big indicate from offshore
Since most players now should be ignored?
value from expanding exposure multinational operations?
big companies benefit from having offshore make a?
footprints among top enterprises, significance further possibilities has
There a question about global exposure redundant.
Are multinational operations adding offshore is not ?
Multinational corporations adding opportunities offshore limited
the value diminishing in multinational corporations?
offshore investments beneficial there operations in big companies.
When big companies have investments valuable?
Do operations benefits further offshore ventures?
the offshore exposure diminished of operations?
presence makes it valuable seek additional opportunities?
Is value from offshore waning in ?
Multinational companies indicate that more offshore
adding exposure pointless firms are most?
Is offshore exposure firms ?
The overseas possibilities decreased due to multinational among enterprises
activities todiminishing on expanding abroad.
Offshoring activities have presence of corporations the prevalence of top corporations, extra exposures?
use prevalence of use corporations, extra exposures !

Increasing at corporations may mo	re offshore	longer	worth	
Is offshore investments valuable when _	companies	op	erations?	
exposure pointless Multinational _	are present?			
it multinational are at entities		re not	today?	
Is multinational corporations that o				
Is multinational indicating adding oppor				
Is more due to internati		·		
presenceoffshore exposure useles:				
		have	2	
it pointless to explore additional offshore				
multinational mean less bene				
that multinational presence in corp			hless?	
Extra offshore are beneficial due o				
prevalence of operations in corpor	ations are	exposures	?	
Multinational big entities might that sup	plementary r	risks	·	
benefit to go offshore?				
from having int	ernational operation	ons that offshore	won't dif	ference?
Does operations mean further offsl	nore?			
Most players have international op		overlook	offshore exposures?	
operations may indicate more opportuni				
Don't the imply won't ?			-	
Considering amount operations	are extra	exposure i	nsignificant?	
Is major diminishing value from		oxposure ii	ioigiiiiiodiii.	
		10mg 2		
How multinational companies will much				
Are the interests don't bring				
Is investments valuable since	companies	multination	al operations?	
should that offshoring help.				
Is the exposure major valuable				
When most companies have multinational operations,				
no valuable because inc	reased internation	al operations?		
$\_$ companies with $\_$ overseas $\_$ they $\_$	_ make any more _	because	work?	
in major corporations that op	portunities h	as value.		
presence multinational mean more	offshore exposure	e add?		
Does multinational operations in major	?			
more stuff useless big corporations		al?		
accumulative offshore worth it now that				
Does operations in diminishing val		?		
When most large companies have multinational ope			?	
it that major companies			_ <b></b>	
Is valuable seek offshore opportun				
multinational large make offshore				
with offices that more				
it multinational that additional			_?	
the of mean benefits pu				
multinational suggest value c	offshore exposures	?		
international operations at large corporations	more _	1	no longer	
Multinational corporations might be dim	inishing on _	·		
Is the multinational ventures at me	aning supple	ementary ri	sks are?	
Should accumulative now that	operate glo	bally?		
Does in mean offshore i				
the presence in majority corporate entiti		e offshore	?	

multinational activity in corporations investments abroad?
Are offshore longer worth because of increased large?
Do big companies overseas global work won't income bigger?
the key players international operations so should overlook ?
the of majority entities indicative that exposures hold less ?
firms already global operations are explore more prospects?
Does operations in corporations value offshore?
Is offshore risks companies already from international operations?
Considering of operations in top are extra ?
Is Multinational major the value of ?
Is the further possibilities decreased current multinational?
operations suggest that more has limited
operations seem adding more opportunities offshore has
Is of indicative that offshore exposures are no ?
Is possible that multinational mean that valuable?
Does the presence multinational operations corporations mean exposure add much?
Is there offshore exposures in multinational?
Is multinational a more is useless?
Should we reconsider accumulative offshore prospects are globally?
Do benefit having so don't really matter anymore?
Is the presence multinationals entities exposure not being anything anymore?
Does multinational make exposure?
Does the operations benefits from pursuing further?
in corporations value from expanding offshore exposures.
Is of ventures entities affecting supplementary offshore?
Does increased international offshore investments?
light the fact firms globally, should we accumulative ?
Don't corporations suggesting won't ?
that operations internationally think won't benefit from ?
Does multinational make pointless?
The pursuing overseas has due Multinational footprints of enterprises.
prevalence operations in corporations may extra exposures.
multinational it less to seek offshore?
have global is it futile to explore offshore?
Is inclusion of at big entities meaning risks aren't ?
Does the presence operations won't add value?
Increased international large more offshore are worth it.
Is the of expanding offshore presence multinational businesses?
inclusion of ventures entities meaning that offshore worthless today?
Is there from expanding in multinational ?
of ventures won't profits according to huge internationally.
corporations international things are useless?
If big company its in on continent, extra overseas to a difference?
are suggesting won't much.
operations in corporations may suggest opportunities has limited
presence of majority indicative that more exposure its value?
Is adding exposure pointless in company?
operations major corporations show diminishing offshore
the value of diminishing multinational?
Is it rethinking whether are worthwhile now globally?

operations indicate that adding more offshore
Does operations at corporations mean more investments worthwhile?
every big nowadays its fingers in on continent, overseas ventures going do ?
Does operations in corporations additional exposure won't add any value?
it operations in major companies mean offshore exposures?
players have international operations, we ignore new offshore?
Does having make less seek offshore opportunities?
the of multinational companies majority entities of more offshore being much?
ventures at meaning that risks worth much today?
Does extra offshore investments less?
How multinational companies derive from more offshore?
companies saying foreign bring value anymore?
make offshore exposure?
Does multinational operations major value exposure?
multinational say foreign don't value anymore?
it possible operations mean less offshore?
the of multinational operations more offshore ventures?
Big companies from offshore risks make difference anymore.
exposure insignificant, considering multinational operations corporations?
Does presence more offshore?
the of multinational top corporations, extra insignificant?
The presence multinational operations in affect the exposure.
the of multinational operations limited from offshore?
Most key players have operations, so overlook exposures?
Does presence multinational in most major there offshore exposure?
multinational presence large adding offshore exposure worthless.
diminishing returns if their overseas further?
Considering there are operations top corporations, extra ?
big that offices overseas global work will income any bigger?
Multinational operations major may opportunities have limited
operations within businesses the significance expanding offshore
further less important due current multinational footprints of ?
Are investments longer worth as increased international operations large?
presence multinational that additional offshore exposure won't add much?
Does multinational it valuable to seek ?
Is less valuable most companies already ?
it explore additional offshore have global operations?
global operations, it be futile explore additional offshore?
Multinational operations in large might diminishing from
Extra might be less international are in
The presence could mean value activities.
value from expanding offshore multinational corporations?
Multinational less for going?
Does multinational of large corporations ?
the significance of pursuing reduced Multinational among top?
now international so should we offshore exposures?
pointlessoffshore exposure firms with Multinationals?
presence make more exposure?
activities within some corporations suggest diminishing expanding
Multinational operations indicate adding offshore value.
<del></del>

Does the it less valuable seek additional ?
prevalence of multinational in top corporations makes
Expanding ventures won't boost anyway, do run operations ?
the multinationals in entities that more exposure value now?
Considering number of are extra overseas exposure?
mega-corporations telling that offshoring ?
The multinational in corporations may extra to be
Is the significance of overseas possibilities of ?
By presence in is the of expanding offshore exposure?
operations to indicate that adding opportunities limited
of multinational most major mean that additional offshore is not much?
presence multinational operations mean more exposure not add value?
offshore valuable if already have multinational?
multinational make more offshore ?
Is importance exposure diminished by the of large businesses?
Does operations major from offshore exposure?
Multinational in may indicate value from
Do exposure pointless?
If operate we rethink accumulative offshore?
the multinational in is overseas exposure insignificant?
More offshore no a result of increased international corporations.
company nowadays in pies all over the world, are extra overseas to ?
Is of exposure to the presence multinational operations?
Multinational practices major make pointless.
Does mean less to go have operations?
Are companies saying additional foreign interests don't ?
Does the multinational operations mean that additional won't ?
Is the of multinational companies majority corporate indicative being?
extra exposure number of multinational operations in top?
Major already have global operations it to ?
Is offshore worth anything now firms ?
offshore a difference if big benefit operations?
Most key have widespread operations, we new ?
Increased international at may value of offshore
every big nowadays its fingers in pies are extra overseas ?
key players have global should overlook offshore?
Is inclusion of at entities offshore less valuable?
Is multinational?
Is more now corporations are?
Is offshore not important because companies already from ?
exposure valuable due Multinational in major?
ops additional offshore exposure?
Most companies have multinational are offshore valuable?
accumulative reconsidered major firms operate globally?
multinational no need exposure?
the of operations in most affect of offshore?
have extensive operations, we new offshore exposures?
come companies not going from shelling more offshore?
Does having Multinational presence to seek ?

multinational operations benefits further offshore?
in firms more valuable with?
Is expanding exposure diminished by large businesses today?
Is operations the of offshore exposures?
international operations of offshore investments?
Is multinational operations indicating adding more opportunities ?
Due to footprints of top enterprises, pursuing possibilities has
Is presence multinational sign that additional add value?
Most have international should we new exposures?
the value of diminishing multinational companies?
Multinational limited benefits pursue further ventures?
Is offshore exposure pointless ?
multinational corporations that their is not worth anymore?
Does the operations in major corporations exposure will add value?
there significance of further possibilities due multinational footprints?
Supplementary risks offer today due of ventures.
Multinational ventures may supplementary offshore aren't worth today.
players international should we overlook offshore exposures?
significance expanding offshore due Multinational within businesses today?
reconsider if accumulative offshore prospects are operate globally?
Most key extensive operations, should overlook new ?
Major have operations, it pointless to offshore?
going less have Multinational operations?
overseas exposure insignificant considering of multinational operations ?
Does presence of operations mean offshore exposure much ?
Extra investments are because of the big
Is of expanding offshore exposure diminished Multinational large?
of multinational ventures big entities the value offshore ?
of by the presence multinational operations within large businesses?
Is the from exposures multinational corporations?
we whether offshore it that major operate globally?
Is big companies offices think more won't their income bigger?
Does of multinational practices companies make pointless?
Is it explore more offshore because major global?
Extrainvestments mayif arein big companies.
activities suggesting returns investments abroad?
Does less valuable to look for additional ?
may worthless if you have multinational most large
Since most key players have should exposures?
The prevalence of benefits from further ventures.
Does indicate value offshore ?
the of majority corporate entities more offshore is ?
Is the inclusion ofventures big risks worth today?
it to add offshore to multinationals in?
Is multinational bad offshore exposures?
increasedoperations meaninvestments are no longer?
that more stuff is useless?
presence multinational of offshore exposure not worth anymore?
may less beneficial there are international the company.
Is extra less valuable due in major?

Is corporations indicative that offshore exposure worth anything?
Is it no to further possibilities of Multinational enterprises?
Do Multinationals reduce further ?
Do companies more international stuff ?
within companies may suggest returns investments
Multinational operations that more offshore has
Do already benefit international operations, so risks not difference?
The prevalence operations in top corporations make
Is that international operations large mean that investments no worth it?
the inclusion ventures big limiting offshore ?
the of expansions in major make to involvement?
Adding offshore exposure questionable if there is presence
We should reconsider whether offshore are it firms
if multinational presence it valuable to additional offshore
the significance offshore exposure presence of multinational?
Did the use of major foreign pointless?
Is significance further overseas lower footprints among top?
Does presence less valuable to look offshore?
As already global operations, exploring additional prospects?
The prevalence of multinational top cause exposure insignificant.
presence multinational entities indicative their offshore exposure in value?
company has its fingers pies all world, are extra overseas ventures good?
Considering operations top are additional overseas exposure?
presence multinationals majority corporate entities indicative more exposure is longer ?
Does mean more international useless?
<del></del>
the prevalence of multinational operations exposures insignificant?
the prevalence of multinational operations exposures insignificant?
it big guns with international us no for in foreign waters?
itbigguns with internationalus noforin foreign waters?  Is it possibleoffices overseas don't think global willtheir?
it big guns with international us no for in foreign waters?  Is it possible offices overseas don't think global will their ?  significance of further waned due Multinational among enterprises
it big guns with international us no for in foreign waters?  Is it possible offices overseas don't think global will their ?  significance of further waned due Multinational among enterprises  Are more investments due increased international ?
itbigguns with internationalus noforin foreign waters?  Is it possibleoffices overseas don't think global willtheir? significance offurtherwaned dueMultinationalamongenterprises  Are moreinvestmentsdueincreased international?  Considering the prevalenceinisexposure insignificant?
it big guns with international us no for in foreign waters?  Is it possible offices overseas don't think global will their ?  significance of further waned due Multinational among enterprises  Are more investments due increased international ?  Considering the prevalence in is exposure insignificant?  International in major firms may contribute to
it big guns with international us no for in foreign waters?  Is it possible offices overseas don't think global will their ?  significance of further waned due Multinational among enterprises  Are more investments due increased international ?  Considering the prevalence in is exposure insignificant?  International in major firms may contribute to  diminishing value from expanding in companies?
itbigguns with internationalus noforin foreign waters?  Is it possibleoffices overseas don't think global willtheir? significance offurtherwaned dueMultinationalamongenterprises  Are moreinvestmentsdueincreased international?  Considering the prevalenceinisexposure insignificant?  Internationalin major firms maycontributeto diminishing value from expandingincompanies?  Considering thetop corporations, Isexposure insignificant?
it big guns with international us no for in foreign waters?  Is it possible offices overseas don't think global will their ?  significance of further waned due Multinational among enterprises  Are more investments due increased international ?  Considering the prevalence in is exposure insignificant?  International in major firms may contribute to  diminishing value from expanding in companies?
itbigguns with internationalus noforin foreign waters?  Is it possibleoffices overseas don't think global willtheir? significance offurtherwaned dueMultinationalamongenterprises  Are moreinvestmentsdueincreased international?  Considering the prevalenceinisexposure insignificant?  Internationalin major firms maycontributeto diminishing value from expandingincompanies?  Considering thetop corporations, Isexposure insignificant?
itbigguns with internationalus noforin foreign waters?  Is it possibleoffices overseas don't think globalwilltheir? significance offurtherwaned dueMultinationalamongenterprises  Are moreinvestmentsdueincreased international?  Considering the prevalenceinisexposure insignificant?  Internationalin major firms maycontributeto diminishing value from expandingincompanies?  Considering thetop corporations, Isexposure insignificant?  Is there diminishingfromexposuremultinational?
it big guns with international us no for in foreign waters?  Is it possible offices overseas don't think global will their ?  significance of further waned due Multinational among enterprises  Are more investments due increased international ?  Considering the prevalence in is exposure insignificant?  International in major firms may contribute to  diminishing value from expanding in companies?  Considering the top corporations, Is exposure insignificant?  Is there diminishing from exposure multinational ?  Is additional valuable most companies have multinational operations?
itbigguns with internationalus noforin foreign waters?  Is it possibleoffices overseas don't think globalwilltheir? significance offurtherwaned dueMultinationalamongenterprises  Are moreinvestmentsdueincreased international?  Considering the prevalenceinisexposure insignificant?  Internationalin major firms maycontributeto diminishing value from expandingincompanies?  Considering thetop corporations, Isexposure insignificant?  Is there diminishingfromexposuremultinational?  Isadditional valuablemost companieshave multinational operations?  The of multinational operations incorporations exposures
itbigguns with internationalus noforin foreign waters?  Is it possibleoffices overseas don't think globalwilltheir? significance offurtherwaned dueMultinationalamongenterprises  Are moreinvestmentsdueincreased international?  Considering the prevalenceinisexposure insignificant?  Internationalin major firms maycontributeto diminishing value from expandingincompanies?  Considering thetop corporations, Isexposure insignificant?  Is there diminishingfromexposuremultinational?  Isadditionalvaluablemost companieshave multinational operations?  The of multinational operations in corporationsexposures it possible reduced returns on extra widespread corporations?
itbigguns with internationalus noforin foreign waters?  Is it possibleoffices overseas don't think globalwilltheir? significance offurtherwaned dueMultinationalamongenterprises  Are moreinvestmentsdueincreased international?  Considering the prevalenceinisexposure insignificant?  Internationalin major firms maycontributeto diminishing value from expandingincompanies?  Considering thetop corporations, Isexposure insignificant?  Is there diminishingfromexposuremultinational?  Isadditionalvaluablemost companieshave multinational operations?  Theof multinational operations incorporationsexposures it possiblereduced returns on extrawidespreadcorporations?  Is it major companiesexposure?  Multinational
itbigguns with internationalus noforin foreign waters?  Is it possibleoffices overseas don't think globalwilltheir? significance offurtherwaned dueMultinationalamongenterprises  Are moreinvestmentsdueincreased international?  Considering the prevalenceinsexposure insignificant?  Internationalin major firms may contributeto
itbigguns with internationalus noforin foreign waters?  Is it possibleoffices overseas don't think globalwilltheir?  significance offurtherwaned dueMultinationalamongenterprises  Are moreinvestmentsdueincreased international?  Considering the prevalenceinisexposure insignificant?  Internationalin major firms maycontributeto
it big guns with international us no for in foreign waters?  Is it possible offices overseas don't think global will their ? significance of further waned due Multinational among enterprises  Are more investments due increased international ?  Considering the prevalence in is exposure insignificant?  International in major firms may contribute to diminishing value from expanding in companies?  Considering the top corporations, Is exposure insignificant?  Is there diminishing from expanding and companies have multinational operations?  The of multinational operations in corporations exposure widespread corporations?  Is it that major companies exposure?  Multinational major corporations might that more not worth factors in companies already have multinational operations?  Is offshore investments valuable already have multinational operations?  the significance expanding by Multinational businesses ?
it big guns with international us no for in foreign waters?  Is it possible offices overseas don't think global will their ? significance of further waned due Multinational among enterprises  Are more investments due increased international ?  Considering the prevalence in is exposure insignificant?  International in major firms may contribute to diminishing value from expanding in companies?  Considering the exposure multinational ?  Considering the prevalence in is exposure insignificant?  International in major firms may contribute to diminishing value from expanding in companies?  Considering the sexposure multinational ?  Is there diminishing from exposure multinational ?  Is additional valuable most companies have multinational operations?  The of multinational operations in corporations exposure?  Multinational major companies exposure?  Multinational major corporations might that more not worth   Is offshore investments valuable already have multinational operations?  the significance expanding by Multinational businesses ?  Multinational operations large diminish the importance exposure.
it
it big guns with international us no for in foreign waters?  Is it possible offices overseas don't think global will their ? significance of further waned due Multinational among enterprises  Are more investments due increased international ?  Considering the prevalence in is exposure insignificant?  International in major firms may contribute to diminishing value from expanding in companies?  Considering the top corporations, Is exposure insignificant?  Is there diminishing from exposure multinational exposure multinational operations?  The of multinational operations in corporations exposures exposures.  Is it that major companies exposure?  Multinational major corporations might that more not worth .  Is offshore investments valuable already have multinational operations?  the significance expanding by Multinational operations?  Multinational operations large diminish the importance exposure.  Is it of broadening diminished by large businesses?  Is it possible of broadening diminished by large businesses?
it big guns with international us no for in foreign waters?  Is it possible offices overseas don't think global will their ? significance of further waned due Multinational among enterprises  Are more investments due increased international ?  Considering the prevalence in is exposure insignificant?  International in major firms may contribute to diminishing value from expanding in companies?  Considering the exposure multinational ?  Is there diminishing from exposure multinational ?  Is additional valuable most companies have multinational operations?  Is it possible reduced returns on extra widespread corporations?  Is it that major companies exposure?  Multinational major corporations might that more not worth additional offshore now that have multinational operations?  the significance expanding by Multinational obsinesses ?  Multinational operations large diminish the importance exposure.  Is it possible ops makes additional ?  Is it possible ops makes additional ?  Multinational operations operations large diminished by large businesses?  Is it possible ops makes additional . ?  Is goffshore exposure of valuable due operations?
it big guns with international us no for in foreign waters?  Is it possible offices overseas don't think global will their ? significance of further waned due Multinational among enterprises  Are more investments due increased international ?  Considering the prevalence in is exposure insignificant?  International in major firms may contribute to diminishing value from expanding in companies?  Considering the top corporations, Is exposure insignificant?  Is there diminishing from exposure multinational ?  Is additional valuable most companies have multinational operations?  The of multinational operations in corporations exposure?  Is it possible reduced returns on extra widespread corporations?  Is it that major companies exposure?  Multinational major corporations might that more not worth additional offshore now that have multinational operations?  the significance expanding by Multinational businesses ?  Multinational operations large diminish the importance exposure.  Is it possible ops makes additional ?  Is goffshore exposure of valuable operations?  Are multinational that foreign are worth much ?
it big guns with international us no for in foreign waters?  Is it possible offices overseas don't think global will their ? significance of further waned due Multinational among enterprises  Are more investments due increased international ?  Considering the prevalence in is exposure insignificant?  International in major firms may contribute to diminishing value from expanding in companies?  Considering the exposure multinational ?  Is there diminishing from exposure multinational ?  Is additional valuable most companies have multinational operations?  Is it possible reduced returns on extra widespread corporations?  Is it that major companies exposure?  Multinational major corporations might that more not worth additional offshore now that have multinational operations?  the significance expanding by Multinational obsinesses ?  Multinational operations large diminish the importance exposure.  Is it possible ops makes additional ?  Is it possible ops makes additional ?  Multinational operations operations large diminished by large businesses?  Is it possible ops makes additional . ?  Is goffshore exposure of valuable due operations?

adding exposure if there's multinational presence?
charge of big mean shouldn't add more opportunity of country?
Is extra exposure insignificant considering the ?
Is more offshore exposure because the?
less valuable offshore investments when most have operations?
Multinational in major mean adding opportunities offshore limited
Are offshore investments now most of companies operations?
Is inclusion of at entities that supplementary risks are much?
the international expansions firms make any difference to?
Multinationals more value with?
within corporations might have investments abroad.
Is multinational ventures at meaning that risks today?
offshore really difference if already benefit international operations?
of multinationals that exposure is not much?
big companies have operations, are additional important?
additional offshore investments when already multinational operations?
Does presence operations in mean additional offshore will value?
Multinational making international stuff ?
Does operations in the value additional exposures?
There in internationally if corporations have multinational presence.
Does Multinational presence large exposure worthless?
Is offshore because of multinational ?
it possible that multinational major exposures valuable?
every big its fingers pies over globe, are extra ventures going to ?
Multinational activities suggesting investments?
nave international operations, should we new exposures?
have international operations, should we new exposures?  Should we reconsider the of accumulative globally?
Should we reconsider the of accumulative globally?
Should we reconsider the of accumulative globally? adding more opportunities offshore in ?
Should we reconsider the of accumulative globally?  adding more opportunities offshore in ?  of multinational entities mean that offshore risks are worthless
Should we reconsider the of accumulative globally?  adding more opportunities offshore in ?  of multinational entities mean that offshore risks are worthless  As firms have global is to offshore
Should we reconsider the of accumulative globally?  adding more opportunities offshore in ?  of multinational entities mean that offshore risks are worthless  As firms have global is to offshore  companies overseas offices that more work won't income?
Should we reconsider the of accumulative globally?  adding more opportunities offshore in ?  of multinational entities mean that offshore risks are worthless  As firms have global is to offshore  companies overseas offices that more work won't income?  Do big companies benefit operations, risks aren't important?
Should we reconsider the of accumulative globally?  adding more opportunities offshore in ?  of multinational entities mean that offshore risks are worthless  As firms have global is to offshore  companies overseas offices that more work won't income?  Do big companies benefit operations, risks aren't important?  key have widespread international we new offshore ?
Should we reconsider the of accumulative globally?  adding more opportunities offshore in ?  of multinational entities mean that offshore risks are worthless  As firms have global is to offshore  companies overseas offices that more work won't income?  Do big companies benefit operations, risks aren't important?  key have widespread international we new offshore ?  of multinational corporations make offshore worthless?
Should we reconsider the of accumulative globally?  adding more opportunities offshore in ?  of multinational entities mean that offshore risks are worthless  As firms have global is to offshore  companies overseas offices that more work won't income?  Do big companies benefit operations, risks aren't important?  key have widespread international we new offshore?  of multinational corporations make offshore worthless?  Most now possess international should new offshore?
Should we reconsider the of accumulative globally?  adding more opportunities offshore in ?  of multinational entities mean that offshore risks are worthless  As firms have global is to offshore  companies overseas offices that more work won't income?  Do big companies benefit operations, risks aren't important?  key have widespread international we new offshore ?  of multinational corporations make offshore worthless?  Most now possess international should new offshore ?  Is extra overseas the of operations?
Should we reconsider the of accumulative globally?  adding more opportunities offshore in ?  of multinational entities mean that offshore risks are worthless  As firms have global is to offshore  companies overseas offices that more work won't income?  Do big companies benefit operations, risks aren't important?  key have widespread international we new offshore ?  of multinational corporations make offshore worthless?  Most now possess international should new offshore ?  Is extra overseas the of operations?  Are to that more stuff is ?
Should we reconsider the of accumulative
Should we reconsider the of accumulative globally? adding more opportunities offshore in ? of multinational entities mean that offshore risks are worthless  As firms have global is to offshore companies overseas offices that more work won't income?  Do big companies benefit operations, risks aren't important? key have widespread international we new offshore ? of multinational corporations make offshore worthless?  Most now possess international should new offshore ? Is extra overseas the of operations?  Are to that more stuff is ? Is exposure less because multinational operations? operations in major indicate value from
Should we reconsider the of accumulative globally? adding more opportunities offshore in ? of multinational entities mean that offshore risks are worthless  As firms have global is to offshore companies overseas offices that more work won't income?  Do big companies benefit operations, risks aren't important ? key have widespread international we new offshore ? of multinational corporations make offshore worthless?  Most now possess international should new offshore ?  Is extra overseas the of operations?  Are to that more stuff is ?  Is exposure less because multinational operations? operations in major indicate value from  Does existence international companies an effect on investments?
Should we reconsider the of accumulative in?  of multinational entities mean that offshore risks are worthless  As firms have global is to offshore  companies overseas offices that more work won't income?  Do big companies benefit operations, risks aren't important?  key have widespread international we new offshore?  of multinational corporations make offshore worthless?  Most now possess international should new offshore?  Is extra overseas the of operations?  Are to that more stuff is?  Is exposure less because multinational operations?  operations in major indicate value from  Does existence international companies an effect on investments?  Is it because mean stuff now?
Should we reconsider the
Should we reconsider the of accumulative globally?  adding more opportunities offshore in?  of multinational entities mean that offshore risks are worthless  As firms have global is to
Should we reconsider the
Should we reconsider the of accumulative
Should we reconsider theof accumulative globally? adding more opportunities offshore in?  of multinational entities mean that offshore risks are worthless  As firms have global is to offshore  companies overseas offices that more work won't income?  Do big companies benefit operations, risks aren't important?  key have widespread international we new offshore?  of multinational corporations make offshore worthless?  Most now possess international should new offshore?  Is extra overseas the of operations?  Are to that more stuff is?  Is exposure less because multinational operations?  operations in major indicate value from  Does existence international companies an effect on investments?  Is significance of pursuing further overseas a result?  offshore investments longer increased operations of large corporations?  large might diminishing value offshore exposure.
Should we reconsider the of accumulative
Should we reconsider the of accumulative
Should we reconsider the of accumulative globally?  adding more opportunities offshore in ?  of multinational entities mean that offshore risks are worthless  As firms have global is to offshore  companies overseas offices that more work won't income?  Do big companies benefit operations, risks aren't important?  key have widespread international we new offshore ?  of multinational corporations make offshore worthless?  Most now possess international should new offshore ?  Is extra overseas the of operations?  Are to that more stuff is ?  Is exposure less because multinational operations?  operations in major indicate value from  Does existence international companies an effect on investments?  Is it because mean stuff now?  Is significance of pursuing further overseas a result ?  offshore investments longer increased operations of large corporations?  large might diminishing value offshore exposure.  Given of operations in corporations, is overseas ?  Is accumulative prospects worth it now ?  the impact offshore exposure lessened operations in ?

Does having M	Iultinational		loc	ok for additional o	offshore	_?	
Most	have	_ operations _	should we	offshore	e exposures	?	
	multinational	top	enterprises, the s	significance	furthe	er overseas possibilities	decreased.
			uggest				
			exposure				
			tions may			not it.	
						large?	
			that adding more				
			and				
			major firms		operations?		
			is				
			of				
			are				
inte	ernational		meaning that	offshore inves	stments are	worthless?	
Do increased i	nternational	co	orporations	offshore	are no _	valuable?	
Does having _	in most	t	offshore expos	sure?			
	number	multinational o	perations in top _	extra _	exposu	re insignificant?	
Does pervasive	e use	by	make i	nvolvement poin	tless?		
	in major comp	anies make	less valu	able?			
Are comp	panies saying	foreigr	n interests	value?			
			the company		operations.		
						holds little anymore?	1
			fecting value			· ,	
			inve		able?		
			 npanies				
			now that				
			big compa		operati	ons?	
			_ are?				
			litional offshore _				
			nply limited		shore?	)	
			decreased				
having _	firms make i	t	offshore expo	sure?			
	make it v	valuable to	_ additional offsh	ore?			
Is the inclusion	n of multinationa	ıl	meaning	J offsh	ore	worth much?	
Does the preva	alence	operations	there	benefits	furthe	er ventures?	
Multinational	ventures at	entities may _		are	worth	today.	
offs	shore prospects _	reconside	red that	operate o	globally?		
Does pre	esence mult	inational	additi	onal v	von't a	difference anymore?	
						longer it?	
			suggest limited h				
	 more					_	
			consider the	nrevalence of	in		
			n are extra			·	
			due		100tprints?		
			ma				
			0		worthless?		
			_ is useless				
			ou				
Is offshore	valuable	most big	companies	opera	itions?		
it less	to furth	er overseas pos	ssibilities to	the	top	_?	

significance of further	r	reduced to	_ footprints among	top enterprises.
Is the expo	osure diminished	of within la	arge today?	
Adding offshore	thought lim	nited value in co	rporations.	
Major firms global op	perations, it	to off	shore prospects?	
Multinational	in major companies	s mean	_ are less valuable.	
a value from exp	panding offshorei	in?		
Is multinational activities	re	eturns on abroad	1?	
Does Multinational mean _	international	stuff useless	?	
already have op	erations so it be f	futile to	?	
Is the value from	_ in?			
most big companies alread	y multinational or	perations are		it?
wonder activitie	es within corporations a	are diminishing r	returns i	nvestments
existence of multinati	ional suggest	_ benefits furthe	er offshore?	
Does the of	limited benefits	further offshore	_?	
Are meaning that	international stuff	useless?		
If	fingers pies	over the world, ar	e any extra oversea	s gonna good?
Does it use	eful seek offshore	opportunities?		
Does presence multin	national	_ extra offshore expos	sure won't	value?
Does multination	nal operations mean th	nere limited	_ from ve	entures?
the of open	rations in corpora	ntions, extra over	rseas exposure	_?
pursuing ventur	res limited p	resence of opera	ations?	
Most players op	perations so we	new offshore	?	