[Demo] NLP Dataset for Customer Service Automation

Company Type	Car Warranty Providers
Inquiry Category	Claims process and coverage inquiries
Inquiry Sub- Category	Claim Documentation
Description	Customers seek guidance on the specific documentation required to support their claim, including invoices, estimates, photographs, or completed claim forms.
Data Size	5,090 paraphrases
Want to buy data?	Please contact nlp-data@qross.me via your business email address.

 ${\bf Masked\ sample\ paraphrases\ of\ one\ "Car\ Warranty\ Provider"\ customer\ inquiry.\ (Purchased\ data\ will\ not\ be\ masked.)}$

damaged parts	returned, or	photos suffice as	our	_ protection	and conditions?
I looking clarificatio	n return of	destroyed versus	photos for	of car _	•
it broken piece	es will need retu	rn that pics will	accepted	acceptable	vehicle shield
·					
damaged componen					
Should sufficient				cs?	
Should damaged					
Will photographic evidence suff					
Is possible pieces wi				shield plot?	?
to return	or pictures	_ the vehicle warranty?			
Will be p					
is					
it	photographi	c evidence shows that th	e protection _	are compli	ed with?
Maybe broken pieces	pic	tures will accepted	as acceptable	for	shield plot.
$_$ photographic evidence $_$	sufficient	purposes of the protec	ction plan	it be necessar	y?
damage is returned,	photos sufficien	nt our and cor	ditions	·	
I	returning ruined p	pieces using photos	documen	tation for car	guarantee contracts.
If photographic evidence is eno	ugh to be in complian	ce prote	ection	t	o return?
possible broke	n pieces to	be returned	photos	to the of th	e vehicle?
If to	compliance	protection	plan, is it neces	ssary return d	amaged parts?
Will it to	if photogra	aphic evidence for	protection	?	
evidence suffice	_ the our p	protection if n	nust retur	ned?	
it acceptable for	_ show under o	ur agreement	?		
evidence suffice for	the p	rotection plan or	be to	parts?	
for on	return of destroyed	d using photo	s for when	n we fulfill	_ guarantees.
Is return dama	ged parts if evid	lence they are	line prot	ection?	
return parts or	pictures be	for the?			
am searching for clarifica	tion on pie	ces versus	t	he car contrac	ets.
Is damag					
I for clarification	the	_ pieces phot	os for reg	arding guaran	tee contract requirements

Is	_ broken pieces will need to be	photographs	terms?	
pictures	our car policy?			
Will photos	for terms	of the vehicle protection	?	
	the components will subm	itting photos enough _	verify ca	r's warranty?
	evidence for our protection	do I to send	damaged	back?
wondering _	accept evidence	claims without the ne	eed return _	.
Will submitting $_$	sufficient sure _	our warranty	is valid if the par	ts
photos suffic	ce as the terms and	our protection pl	an,	_ parts need to?
Will photos be use	ed for	and conditions?		
Can pics work	conform vehicle shield	pieces need	ded return _	?
Will photographic	e evidence suffice	the plan if	to return?	1
Is to _	in our warranty	show damage?		
Is	back damaged parts if there	photographic evidence	compliance	protection plan?
If photographic $_$	needed compliance _	plan terms, _	damage	d parts be?
require	ed to return take pictures of	the warranty	?	
Can	terms the vehicl	e shield plot if broken	needed to	?
may ne	eed to returned, photos _	the plan.		
	for compliance with our	plan terms, returni	ng parts be	?
we return da	amaged parts, pictures _	proof our?		
damage may	y have be back,	as evidence a _	plan.	
Is our protec	ction dependent damaged	proof?		
am for requirements.	clarification the de	stroyed pieces using	for	fulfilling guarantee
Required return o	or be taken of l	oits on?		
photographs	s be damage under	car agreement?		
Is broken	to can pics work	the terms	vehicle shield	l plot.
	regarding return	vs using photos for	documentation re	egarding our contract
requirements	clarification about the return	lectround pieces us		fulfilling car guarantee
requirements.	clarification about the return o	lestroyed pieces vs		_ turning car guarantee
Will for	r our protection terms	conditions, damage	d parts need	?
If we don't t	che will be	verify the warranty _	?	
Will photographic	e evidence enough for pur	poses protection	will	be necessary return?
it possible _	pieces need to :	returned that can	be acc	eptable terms?
it that	broken pieces be	or photos work	term	s of vehicle shield
Will photos suffice	e as for protection _	or	_damaged parts _	to returned?
Is to _	photographs in	agreement that show?		
Does our red	quire return of componen	ts?		
of	_ be enough to make sure that	warranty va	alid if	is returned
Is that	z pieces will be retur	ned photos	work according _	terms in vehicle shield?
	sufficient to get in compliance	the plan terms, Is	necessary _	return?
want	the return destroyed piece	es for v	when car gua	arantees.
Is necessary	return parts if photograp	ohic evidence the	terms	?
Is okay for p	oics bits on	deal?		
Is it broken	pieces need to returned _	pics conform	n the	in shield?
photographi	ic evidence adequate	plan or it i	necessary re	turn parts?
Can conform	n to vehicle shield	to or no	t?	
photos	s be to verify the if the	components	?	
fo	or about the return	pieces and use of photos for	documentation	·
Is it possible	_ broken need to ret	urned photos be	te	erms?
Will photographic	e proof for the	protection will it	he necessary	?

Should I back pictures for of our plan?
Is necessary bring parts if there is photographic of the vehicle ?
Can broken need be returned or terms in a vehicle ?
Is pieces will need be returned pictures will accepted acceptable a vehicle _
plot?
may need sent photos used in of a plan.
Should back parts or have warranty?
it will returned photographs as acceptable terms for vehicle shield plot?
Should evidence used adhering the vehicle protection plan should ?
If will photos be sufficient for for vehicle?
the damage need be can for the protection plan?
Should we return parts or should be proof ?
Should I the parts or should photos used as ?
it to parts photographic evidence shows vehicle plan is place?
Is photos for of terms and conditions?
the components, will submitting be sufficient the warranty?
Can pics of vehicle shield plot, are broken needed ?
Is possible to photos in that damage?
I want clarification the return of pieces photos documentation when guarantees.
itpiecesneed to be returnedthatpictures could asterms?
for about the return of destroyed pieces versus photos for documentation
Is photographic sufficient for with terms?
The damage may returned, photos plan?
would returning versus photos in documentation a car guarantee.
I'm wondering if photo in without the need return
parts be returned or sufficient for warranty?
I need on returning pieces versus photos in documentation
If is evidence of with protection is to damaged parts?
damage may needback or used for protection plan.
photographic evidence for the the plan or will to return?
Under criteria outlined car agreement, photographs to demonstrate ?
Will the photos enough to if the components ?
Can vehicle shield plot, pieces needed to return? Will photographic evidence if are be returned?
It's questionable as damaged parts should be returned enough fulfill terms.
photographic suffice for adhering the vehicle parts not ?
it necessary damaged if photographic evidence is to comply the protection ?
possible pieces need to returned or to the terms the vehicle shield.
photographic suffice adhering to the vehicle is to return?
Is it possible that pieces need pictures work as terms?
conform the of the vehicle if pieces needed to?
The need be returned, or offer evidence protection
the vehicle protection damaged components proof?
Will photos suffice terms and conditions for if is returned, pictures
pieces willreturned, that some pictures can according to the terms?
Is photographic sufficient for our protection plan?
Is pieces will need to or photos to terms of vehicle shield?
we return damaged or for vehicle?
we letter damaged of we have pictures?
it that to be or can pictures according to terms given the ?

it br	oken pieces will need	return pics _	accepted	terms	
like	returning ruined ve	rsus using photos in o	documentation	·	
it possible	will need	_ be returned	will be accepted _	acceptable	terms shield
plot?					
it possible that	pieces need	5	some pictures can	_ according to _	terms?
Damage to	o sent or photo	os used evidenc	e the of a _		
	evidence in				
	return damaged				
	not you accept				
				·	
	vehicle shield plot				
need on th	ne return destroyed	using pho	otos fu	lfilling car	<u>-</u>
We don't know if	C	omponents pho	to proof.		
	_ damaged parts should b	e returned phot	tographic needed	for	
Should parts be	e or for _	vehicle?			
Is possible that	pieces to	return, or pics	be	?	
	rification the				
	is accepted in				·
	_ accept photo in				
	pieces will				
we don't	components, w	ill photos be en	ough the	warranty	?
Will as	for the and cond	tions our	protection?		
Should parts be	pictures	of plan?			
Will photos	_ proof the terms a	nd ve	ehicle protection?	?	
I about the	e return of	using photos for	regarding	guarantee	requirements.
	cation the				
	on returning ruined piece				
	on about return				
					guarantee contracts.
Is it	damaged if photogr	aphic is sufficie	ent cor	npliance?	
	about the dest	royed pieces	photos for documen	ntation	car contract
requirements.					
	the return of destroyed				
retu	rn the damaged compone	nts, submitting	sufficient to	o confirm	warranty?
Is it that	need	can photos wo	ork according the	vehicle?	
Is it possible	need	be returned or can _	work to	terms the	e?
Is possible that	pieces will have	or	won't to the	ve	hicle shield?
					d be returned?
	of covera				
			and w	.:11	to votum novto?
	idence the pur				to return parts:
	components don't return,			overage?	
Can photos	_ of protection	$_{\scriptscriptstyle -}$ if the damage needs	s?		
Can pictures work ar	nd conform	vehicle shield	d plot, broken	to	_ or?
I want clarification $_$	ruined pieces _	····	for the car guara	ntee	
curious about the	he return destroyed	pieces vs using	documentation		requirements.
	llow for the		_		
	be enough for		?		
	or pho				
	t the for				
	photo evidence				
looking fo	r regarding the	destroyed pie	ces vs	documentation	when fulfilling guarantees
would like	know if you	warranty c	laime without no	ad raturna	h

we return damaged parts pictures for ?
Does plan for returning damaged or?
evidence to comply with of the protection?
our return of parts photo proof?
Will the be enough to coverage the aren't?
get the damaged back for the take ?
Is it for in our warranty show ?
evidence suffice for our will to return parts?
Should damaged or pictures, for the ?
Is pieces will to be returned that pictures work as the ?
If don't return the be enough to warranty?
Do you warranty claims without returning?
The may have to be or photos proof the?
Can used for the plan's terms and?
it will need Returned or can work according to the the vehicle?
If photographic evidence enough meet is necessary to return ?
It's if parts be evidence is sufficient terms of the contract.
Does the vehicle protection return of photo?
send back the damaged items coverage terms ?
Is it to return damaged parts if there photographic proof they ?
you have to return bits pictures on ?
there a a return or of bits warranty?
Can we have in agreement that ?
Is a requirement a return damaged car?
I need of destroyed vs for regarding car guarantee requirements.
The to back, can photos show evidence for our ?
I'm wondering in warranty claims without needing return
we return parts, photographs for vehicle?
I'm wondering you accept evidence without the returned goods.
Should damaged car on warranty deal?
pieces need to be returned, can photos work according the terms shield?
If aren't photos enough to the coverage?
be to demonstrate our car warranty?
anyone know if you evidence in warranty claims for?
I'm looking returning pieces versus using photos for car
If evidence the plan, is it necessary return damaged parts?
the back or should photos show proof protection?
Should the parts the or be taken?
$I \ \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \ clarification \ regarding \ the \ \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \ \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \ pieces \ vs \ using \ photos \ for \ documentation \ \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \ \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \ \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \ \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \ guarantee \ \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \ \underline{\hspace{1cm}}.$
clarification about return pieces using photos documentation when guarantees.
Is photographic enough to satisfy protection ?
Can pictures the terms vehicle shield are pieces to?
Will be enough support the terms and the ?
Can shield plot, pieces needed come back or not?
Will photographic for the purpose of vehicle protection plan are returned ?
be given or used for of the?
Will evidence purposes the protection plan, will it to return?
damage may need to be can photos ?
damage need be sent back, used in plan.
I am the return destroyed using photos for documentation when we fulfill

curious returning pieces using in for the guarantee
I am ruined pieces and the use photos car guarantee contracts.
Can for our I have to return the damaged?
Do evidence for warranty without having return?
Can pictures conform terms vehicle needed return or not?
Should be given back or?
to the of vehicle shield plot, pieces to be returned or?
Will evidence for the purpose of or will it be necessary ?
bring back damaged parts take the?
it that broken will need to be some pictures as the?
am looking for the return destroyed pieces using photos car guarantee
If photographic is into compliance with the vehicle it return parts?
pieces will need to be accepted as acceptable for
it mandatory return take pictures damaged on warranty?
photographic purpose our protection plan if it to parts?
Can photos used as for and vehicle plan?
It is broken will need photos be accepted as terms for plot.
Is parts you photographic evidence with the vehicle protection?
damage may to back, photos may show our .
itbroken need to return,pictures willaccepted terms?
it okay back to have photos prove ?
pictures be evidence for coverage ?
be purpose of adhering to the vehicle plan, or will necessary return?
Isnecessary back damaged partsphotographicshowsthey compliance withprotection terms?
Is to return parts there photographic proof are in the protection?
it to return if is sufficient meet the plan?
possiblebroken will need to returnpics won't conform to terms the?
that will to be returned photos will be accepted as for shield plot?
needed to return or not, the vehicle plot?
Should parts should pictures of our conditions?
it possible broken will to or pics will as terms?
be sufficient for vehicle warranty or we ?
need back, or used in a protection
The may need or may proof of the protection .
suffice as evidence terms of our vehicle protection?
suffice as evidence terms of our vehicle protection : photos satisfy our ?
have a return destroyed vs using for documentation of car guarantee
it necessary toifevidence shows are in compliance withvehicle?
is sufficient to get in compliance protection is it necessary to ?
Can photos be used our protection or return parts?
The may need be can photos offer of ?
proof be vehicle plan or will it be necessary to return?
for clarification on ruined versus using photos in the contracts.
we the components, will be valid the warranty?
I clarification about the return of pieces the car
Is pictures of in our car ?
in shield plot if need to return?
fulfilling ourguarantees, I'mabout theofvs usingfor documentation.
Is it necessary return if they in vehicle protection plan terms?

photos be used	evidence	terms and condit	ions our __		.?	
possible	pieces will need to be	or		acceptable	terms?	
The damage may need _						
Damage need to						
It that				ρ in	shiold	
Will to _			_		Sincia.	
				5115:		
Should return						
If proof is enough _					damaged?	
Should photographs be _						
Should be or						
It is questionable						
Is broke	en pieces	or	can work	according to $_$	terms the veh	icle shield?
Will suffice	vehicle	protection plan's	terms and	da	maged parts retur	rned?
Should give back _	damaged parts for		take?			
I am for clarification	on returning	using	in	the car gu	arantee	
it necessary to						
Is pieces needed _						
It					of vehicle sh	ield.
Damage						
Is it OK pictu				piuii.		
					turned meda	
wi				need re	eturnea goods.	
our plan						
Is it necessary to return						
I'm wondering or n					items.	
only for	terms	for vehicle prote	ction	_ is returned?		
return _	damaged	submitting pho	otos be sufficie	nt to our	coverage?	
When it	and conditions of	f vehicle pro	otection		as evidence?	
Should damaged be	e or should	V	ehicle warrant	y?		
send the					n ?	
damage				-		
Will for				lan narts	returned?	
	pieces					
Is possible that bro						0
photographic evide					_ return damaged parts	6?
Can serve as						
Is possible that						
cla	arification on returning	ruined vers	sus photo	s in documenta	tion for guar	rantee
is possible br	oken need t	o returned o	or pictures		acceptable terms	the shield
plot.						
would o						·
Required return or photo	os that	damaged _	the v	varranty?		
photos e	evidence our prot	ection or	I have to	dama	ged?	
have photos i	n our car warranty	that	?			
have a question	the return of p	ieces vs using	docun	nentation	·	
Does plan require a					_	
Is demo				agreement?		
Is that broken					ntable ?	
				acce	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Should we return					1 0	
be retur						
am looking for clar						arantees
can as eviden	ce for our plan, b	11†	to send	damaged	?	

Can terms the shield are pieces necessary to?	
Is $___$ to $___$ to $___$ if there is $____$ to support $___$?	
that broken pieces return be accepted as acceptable terms for vehicle shield _	·
Is enough compliance with protection terms?	
don't return damaged components, will photos be enough car's?	
I question regarding ruined pieces versus in for contracts.	
looking for returning pieces in documentation for the guarantee.	
I clarification returning ruined and using car guarantee.	
Is it pieces might need to be or according terms of shield?	
Should we or suffice for the warranty?	
can as for protection but do to send back damaged?	
to return if evidence shows their protection plan terms?	
am ruined versus using photos documentation the car guarantee	
the damaged components, will submitting photos our coverage?	
Does returning damaged photo proof?	
we damaged submitting photos be to the warranty?	
Should we damage for or pictures?	
the of pieces and the use of photos documentation when fulfilling gr	ıarantee
requirements.	
photographic sufficient the vehicle plan or it be necessary return?	
suffice for purpose adhering to the vehicle protection will be necessary return	·
Will for our conditions for protection returned, or pictures suffice?	
Will be will we return damaged items coverage terms?	
Can work broken pieces returned or terms in shield plot?	
$___ it ____ damaged ___ if ___ is photographic proof of ___ with ___ vehicle ___ plan terms.$	
serve proof of protection plan needs to be?	
photographic evidence suffice the our protection parts to returned?	
damaged parts be or pictures sufficient the ?	
$\label{eq:will_suffice} \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	
photographic adhering to vehicle protection if parts or not?	
have a on versus in documentation for the guarantee.	
it necessary to return damaged parts if evidence the ?	
Should parts proof returned with the protection?	
Is it possible broken pieces will have that pics won't to shield?	
Is it pieces returned, or can photos according to the of the	
is necessary to parts?	
Can pictures evidence in car policy?	
I'm wondering accept in warranty without the items.	
The damage may need or used evidence the case.	
is possible broken will be pictures accepted for the plot.	
Damage may need be sent back, photos the protection	
satisfy plan, or we back damaged parts?	
I would middle in documentation the car guarantee.	
It is that broken pieces need to can photos according the terms	
If is returned, photos suffice our terms and ?	
I clarification about the return destroyed photos documentation of guarantee	
photographic to support protection plan or it necessary return ?	
I am return of destroyed pieces using documentation car	
terms the vehicle shield plot, but are needed return?	
Photos may suffice as evidence our terms and conditions damaged to	

photographic shows that plan is is necessary to damaged parts?
am if the for goods in warranty claims.
s it possible broken pieces will need be returned the of shield.
it broken pieces will to be or photos can to in the vehicle ?
the of the shield are pieces necessary return or not?
Can photos conform terms the vehicle shield do to to or?
evidence the of our plan or need be returned?
Can protection plan, or does damage to be ?
Will photographic evidence the vehicle protection plan or be?
photographic suffice purpose of protection plan if return parts?
for and conditions for vehicle protection if is returned
pictures the terms of vehicle shield plot, pieces return ?
be to demonstrate damage under our ?
Should we return damaged parts, pictures warranty?
Will photographic be of our protection or need to return?
n our are acceptable to show ?
s it necessary if evidence shows compliance vehicle plan?
s it possible to won't the terms of the vehicle shield?
damaged parts be proof of our warranty?
there for a of damaged on the deal?
The may to or photos can for the plan.
f photographic is get with the plan it necessary to return parts?
Does plan to returned or photo?
Will as for vehicle protection and conditions?
Should damaged parts or send for warranty?
am looking clarification pieces photos documentation for the contracts.
it our plan's terms will photos suffice as?
photos protection plan?
Will evidence be sufficient for of adhering the protection plan, it it
photographic for the of protection or will it needed return?
am wondering you accept for claims for items.
Does photos as evidence the vehicle protection ?
looking for clarification about the of pieces vs for documentation car
There a broken pieces need return be accepted as terms vehicle shield
would clarification returning photos documentation the guarantee contract
am for on photos the car guarantee and pieces.
Required or pics be of on deals?
return damaged parts if compliance with the protection plan?
f the damage needs to provide evidence plan?
Will be for the purpose to the protection?
s it necessary to damaged parts compliance the plan?
The may need back, or show our protection?
whether not you photo evidence in without returned items.
s necessary our protection plan to components or ?
f photographic to to vehicle protection plan, it necessary damaged?
f photographic to vehicle protection plan, it necessary damaged? looking for on returning and in car guarantee contracts.
looking for on returning and in car guarantee contracts.

photographic evidence suffice our protection plan parts are?
Can be used for of adhering vehicle protection?
photographic evidence is get in the terms protection plan, it necessary to
parts.
returned, photos suffice for and conditions for ?
for clarification returning ruined pieces compared to the car guarantee
Is it return or photo for the protection?
I wondering the of destroyed vs photos documentation guarantees.
Will photos suffice proof for our terms ?
Is it that to return pics be as acceptable for shield plot
enough the protection plan, or it necessary parts?
Should returned evidence sufficient get in compliance the vehicle plan?
suffice as our car protection plan's conditions?
Do photographic suffice with plan terms?
Is it pieces have to or that will be terms?
We do if our vehicle components or proof.
I have back parts should used proof of plan?
I am looking for clarification pieces in for guarantees.
I for the return destroyed photos for documentation fulfilling a guarantee.
Can conform shield plot, broken pieces to?
photographic evidence shows compliance with vehicle plan, necessary parts?
Will necessary to return photographic to plan?
Will need return parts, or photographic evidence ?
we don't return damaged components will warranty valid submit?
don't return the damaged will photos confirm warranty?
Is it that broken pieces will to or can photos according ?
I need clarification using for car guarantee.
we return photos the warranty?
our require damaged component proof?
I wondering accept photo in warranty need returned items.
our require damaged or proof?
it to photographs our warranty showing damage?
it be necessary return parts photographic the protection?
return the the photos prove our car's?
possible broken need to return pics can be accepted as for shield?
possible broken pieces need to return or can terms?
protection returning damaged components or accepting proof?
Should return parts should enough for warranty?
Will suffice terms and conditions if damage returned, only pictures suffice?
Is it possible that broken be be according to terms of the shield?
Damage need to be or photos of plan?
Can photos plan, damage needs to be returned?
Is it that pieces will return, photos terms in the shield?
Is it okay for photographs damages warranty?
wondering whether accept photo claims without the need returned
damage may need to returned photos as plan.
necessary to damaged parts if photographic shows they are the protection ?
possible broken pieces need or pictures be acceptable for the vehicle shield
it necessary return damaged if there proof protection?
Should we return damaged or there warranty?
Is it necessary return damaged if that in with the protection?

to sent photos evidence of our protection plan?
Can photos conform vehicle shield pieces needed to?
I want clarification return pieces the use of photos documentation car guarantee _
I am looking returning ruined pieces and documentation for
evidence be enough the of adhering protection plan?
for proof of conditions the vehicle protection plan?
I'm wondering about return of destroyed photos documentation the car
Will a evidence suffice the adhering to vehicle ?
our plan return or photo proof?
the damaged parts be returned or pictures?
Can demonstrate damage a ?
it to return damaged there photographic compliance with the protection plan
photos for vehicle protection terms conditions damaged not returned?
Does include returning components or ?
photographic suffice for the purpose of our or ?
Should damaged returned be enough for warranty?
need to returned or photos used a
I'm wondering ruined versus photos documentation car contracts.
$I'm\ wondering ____\ or\ ____\ you\ accept\ ____\ in\ ____\ claims\ ______\ for\ ____\ goods.$
it that broken need to return photos work to in shield.
$Is \underline{\hspace{1cm}} necessary \underline{\hspace{1cm}} return \ damaged \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \underline{\hspace{1cm}} is \underline{\hspace{1cm}} evidence \ that \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \underline{\hspace{1cm}} the \underline{\hspace{1cm}} protection \ plan \ is \underline{\hspace{1cm}} ?$
Is it that pieces need to returned or as terms?
I would photo evidence accepted in warranty claims the items.
Does our require or proof?
be or the damaged items our coverage?
comes to agreement, acceptable to demonstrate damage?
I seeking about return of photos documentation when car guarantees.
might be back or in case a protection plan.
Can conform to of the are broken to or not?
or pictures damaged bits on the ?
Is evidence sufficient for vehicle plan?
photos be show vehicle protection plan's and?
possible that broken pieces will need to returned that can to the shield
the be back, can photos show proof protection?
I looking for on of destroyed pieces using for when fulfilling car
Should return parts or should be proof for ?
Will suffice the purpose of vehicle plan?
submitting pictures enough make sure car coverage valid damaged parts aren't
was wondering the of pieces using our car guarantee contract requirements.
Should parts be pictures sufficient proof warranty?
If evidence is enough to get plan, necessary return damaged parts?
photographic for of adhering to the plan?
Do we the damaged parts or pictures?
Is it that need return or that some pictures terms?
photographic is enough to get plan, is it necessary return parts?
vehicle protection allow for damaged or proof?
Will suffice evidence the and conditions the protection?
am looking on the of using photos documentation for the car
plan returning damaged accepting photo proof?
photographic evidence be sufficient adhering to or or be necessary the parts?

00 evidence for the vehicle protection ?
to be returned, can photos be protection plan?
he to back or used a protection plan.
o photos the terms conditions our vehicle protection?
he damage need to photos show the plan?
am on the destroyed pieces and using for when the car
oes vehicle meturning damaged parts accepting proof?
an we pictures in car agreement ?
photos to support vehicle protection plan's ?
an show the damage in ?
m photograph evidence claims the need for returned
hould return parts pictures for the warranty?
an serve evidence the plan the damage to ?
is will the our terms for vehicle protection?
f the components returned, will photos coverage?
it possible that pieces need be or will not conform the of
s it possible that broken pieces returned can photos used vehicle?
will need return pictures be accepted as terms the vehicle shield plot?
was the return of destroyed pieces regarding car guarantee contract
am looking clarification destroyed vs using for documentation, when fulfilling uarantees.
or pictures the damaged bits the deal?
may need be sent back, prove protection plan?
be sufficient the to the protection plan?
hould bring parts the warranty pictures?
hould bring parts the warranty pictures? we damaged parts, or pictures for the ?
we damaged parts, or pictures for the ?
wedamaged parts, orpicturesfor the? am lookingon returningpieces versusdocumentationcontractsphotographic evidenceneededour vehicle protection planbe necessary todamaged?
wedamaged parts, orpicturesfor the? am lookingon returningpieces versusdocumentationcontractsphotographic evidenceneededour vehicle protection planbe necessary todamaged? an picturestheshieldare broken piecesnot?
we damaged parts, or pictures for the ? am looking on returning pieces versus documentation contracts photographic evidence needed our vehicle protection plan be necessary to damaged ? an pictures the shield are broken pieces not? hould damaged parts or pictures available the ?
we damaged parts, or pictures for the ? am looking on returning pieces versus documentation contracts photographic evidence needed our vehicle protection plan be necessary to damaged ? an pictures the shield are broken pieces not? hould damaged parts or pictures available the ? m wondering in warranty claims the need for
wedamaged parts, orpicturesfor the? am lookingon returningpieces versusdocumentationcontractsphotographic evidenceneededour vehicle protection planbe necessary todamaged? an pictures the shield are broken piecesnot? houlddamaged parts orpictures available the? m wondering in warranty claims the need fornecessary toparts or will photographic for our?
we damaged parts, or pictures for the ? am looking on returning pieces versus documentation contracts photographic evidence needed our vehicle protection plan be necessary to damaged ? an pictures the shield are broken pieces not? hould damaged parts or pictures available the ? m wondering in warranty claims the need for necessary to parts or will photographic for our ? pictures be considered in our ?
wedamaged parts, orpictures for the? am looking on returningpieces versus documentation contracts photographic evidenceneeded our vehicle protection plan be necessary todamaged? an pictures the shield are broken pieces not? hould damaged parts or pictures available the? m wondering in warranty claims the need for necessary toparts or will photographic for our? pictures be considered in our? am looking for clarification on in for guarantees.
wedamaged parts, orpicturesfor the? am lookingon returningpieces versusdocumentationcontracts photographic evidenceneededour vehicle protection planbe necessary todamaged? an picturestheshieldare broken piecesnot? houlddamaged parts orpictures availablethe? m wonderingin warranty claimsthe need fornecessary toparts or will photographic for our?pictures be consideredin our? am looking for clarification on infor guarantees. houldsenditems backour take pictures?
wedamaged parts, orpictures for the? am looking on returningpieces versus documentation contracts photographic evidenceneeded our vehicle protection plan be necessary todamaged? an pictures the shield are broken pieces not? hould damaged parts or pictures available the? m wondering in warranty claims the need for necessary toparts or will photographic for our? pictures be considered in our? am looking for clarification on in for guarantees.
wedamaged parts, orpicturesfor the? am lookingon returningpieces versusdocumentationcontracts photographic evidenceneededour vehicle protection planbe necessary todamaged? an picturestheshieldare broken piecesnot? houlddamaged parts orpictures availablethe? m wonderingin warranty claimsthe need fornecessary toparts or will photographic for our?pictures be consideredin our? am looking for clarification on infor guarantees. houldsenditems backour take pictures?
wedamaged parts, orpictures for the? am looking on returningpieces versus documentation contractsphotographic evidence needed our vehicle protection plan be necessary to damaged? an pictures the shield are broken pieces not? hould damaged parts or pictures available the ? m wondering in warranty claims the need for necessary toparts or will photographic for our ? pictures be considered in our ? am looking for clarification on in for guarantees. hould send items back our take pictures? an conform to the terms plot, ispieces to not?
wedamaged parts, orpictures for the? am looking on returningpieces versus documentation contracts photographic evidence needed our vehicle protection plan be necessary todamaged? an pictures the shield are broken pieces not? hould damaged parts or pictures available the ? m wondering in warranty claims the need for necessary to parts or will photographic for our ? pictures be considered in our ? am looking for clarification on in for guarantees. hould send items back our take pictures? an conform to the terms plot, is pieces to not? needs to be show of our protection plan?
wedamaged parts, orpictures for the ? am looking on returningpieces versus documentation contractsphotographic evidenceneeded our vehicle protection plan be necessary todamaged? an pictures the shield are broken pieces not? hould damaged parts or pictures available the ? m wondering in warranty claims the need for necessary to parts or will photographic for our ? pictures be considered in our ? am looking for clarification on in for guarantees. hould send items back our take pictures? an conform to the terms plot, ispieces to not? needs to be show of our protection plan? necessary to return if is compliance with the plan?
wedamaged parts, orpictures for the? am looking on returningpieces versus documentation contractsphotographic evidence needed our vehicle protection plan be necessary todamaged? an pictures the shield are broken pieces not? hould damaged parts or pictures available the ? m wondering in warranty claims the need for necessary toparts or will photographic for our ? pictures be considered in our ? am looking for clarification on in for guarantees. hould send items back our take pictures? an conform to the terms plot, ispieces to not? needs to be show of our protection plan? necessary to return if is compliance with the plan? we parts, should pictures the warranty?
wedamaged parts, orpictures for the? am looking on returningpieces versus documentation contracts photographic evidence needed our vehicle protection plan be necessary todamaged? an pictures the shield are broken pieces not? hould damaged parts or pictures available the ? m wondering in warranty claims the need for necessary toparts or will photographic for our ? pictures be considered in our ? am looking for clarification on in for guarantees. hould send items backour take pictures? an conform to the terms plot, ispieces to not? an needs to be show of our protection plan? necessary to return if is compliance with the plan? we parts, should pictures the warranty? me damaged parts or pictures warranty?
wedamaged parts, orpictures for the? am looking on returningpieces versus documentation contractsphotographic evidence needed our vehicle protection plan be necessary todamaged? an pictures the shield are broken pieces not? hould damaged parts orpictures available the ? m wondering in warranty claims the need for necessary toparts or will photographic for our ? pictures be considered in our ? am looking for clarification on in for guarantees. hould send items back our take pictures? an conform to the terms plot, ispieces to not? needs to be show of our protection plan? necessary to return if is compliance with the plan? we parts, should pictures the warranty? returned, usedour and conditions for vehicle ? we damaged parts or pictures warranty? s possible photographs to in our agreement?
wedamaged parts, orpictures for the ? am looking on returningpieces versus documentation contractsphotographic evidenceneeded our vehicle protection plan be necessary todamaged? an pictures the shield are broken pieces not? hould damaged parts orpictures available the ? m wondering in warranty claims the need for necessary toparts or will photographic for our ? pictures be considered in our ? am looking for clarification on in for guarantees. hould send items backour take pictures? an conform to the terms plot, ispieces to not? needs to be showof our protection plan? necessary to return if is compliance with the plan? we parts, should pictures the warranty? f returned, usedour and conditions for vehicle ? we damaged parts or pictures warranty? s possible photographs to in our agreement? s it for be if there evidence complies with protection plan ?
we damaged parts, or pictures for the? am looking on returning pieces versus documentation contracts photographic evidence needed our vehicle protection plan be necessary to damaged? an pictures the shield are broken pieces not? hould damaged parts or pictures available the ? m wondering in warranty claims the need for necessary to parts or will photographic for our ? pictures be considered in our ? am looking for clarification on in for guarantees. hould send items back our take pictures? an conform to the terms plot, is pieces to not? needs to be show of our protection plan? necessary to return if is compliance with the plan? we parts, should pictures the warranty? f returned, used our and conditions for vehicle ? we damaged parts or pictures warranty? s possible photographs to in our agreement? s it for be if there evidence complies with protection plan ? photographs suffice the purpose adhering to vehicle will necessary return parts?
we damaged parts, or pictures for the ? am looking on returning pieces versus documentation contracts photographic evidence needed our vehicle protection plan be necessary to damaged ? an pictures the shield are broken pieces not? hould damaged parts or pictures available the ? m wondering in warranty claims the need for . necessary to parts or will photographic for our ? pictures be considered in our ? am looking for clarification on in for guarantees. hould send items back our take pictures? an conform to the terms plot, is pieces to not? necessary to return if is compliance with the plan? we parts, should pictures the warranty? see a possible photographs to in our agreement? set for be if there evidence complies with protection plan ? photographs suffice the purpose adhering to vehicle will necessary return parts? damaged components or photo proof?
wedamaged parts, orpictures for the ? am looking on returningpieces versus documentation contractsphotographic evidence needed our vehicle protection plan be necessary to damaged? an pictures the shield are broken pieces not? hould damaged parts orpictures available the ? m wondering in warranty claims the need for necessary toparts or will photographic for our ? pictures be considered in our ? am looking for clarification on in for guarantees. hould send items backour take pictures? an conform to the terms plot, ispieces to not? needs to be show of our protection plan? necessary to return if is compliance with the plan? we parts, should pictures the warranty? s returned, usedour and conditions for vehicle ? we damaged parts or pictures warranty? s photographs to in our agreement? sit for be if there evidence complies withprotection plan ? photographs suffice the purpose adhering to vehicle willnecessary return parts? damaged components or photo proof? hould parts for the or pictures?
we damaged parts, or pictures for the? am looking on returning pieces versus documentation contracts
we damaged parts, or pictures for the? am looking on returning pieces versus documentation contracts photographic evidence needed our vehicle protection plan be necessary to damaged? an pictures the shield are broken pieces not? hould damaged parts or pictures available the ? m wondering in warranty claims the need for necessary to parts or will photographic for our ? pictures be considered in our ? am looking for clarification on in for guarantees. hould send items back our take pictures? an conform to the terms plot, is pieces to not? an conform to the terms plot, is pieces to not? an needs to be show of our protection plan? an necessary to return if is compliance with the plan? we parts, should pictures the warranty? so necessary to return if and conditions for vehicle? we damaged parts or pictures warranty? so possible photographs to in our agreement? so it for be if here evidence complies with protection plan? photographs suffice the purpose adhering to vehicle will necessary return parts?
we damaged parts, or pictures for the? am looking on returning pieces versus documentation contracts

	to i	n compliance with	_ vehicle protection	will it	necessary retu	rn damaged parts?
The	be be	ack, or can show	proof our prote	ection		
am _	for clarification of	of	using photos for	when fulfil	ling the guarar	ntee
Should the	e parts be returned _	should	our	warranty?		
	possible broken pieces wi	ll be return	ned or	according	to terms the v	ehicle ?
	if pieces					
	ge may need to return			_		
	and conform the				or not?	
	ing confirm					
	return the damaged con					
	n need of clarification					
	rious about the					
	sufficient					
	about the				car contra	ict.
	clarification					
	sible broken pieces wi					
dam	age may s	ent back, photos	in the	_ a protection _	·	
_	protection plan					
we _		for our coverage term	s or photos?			
Will	be the pur	rpose the protect	tion plan, i	t be to retu	rn?	
If	damaged	will be e	enough to confirm	warranty?		
Can photo	os terms of the v	rehicle plot,	are	return?		
	ooking clarification re	egarding the return _	destroyed vs	s using	our car	
requireme	ents.					
phot	tographic evidence is	be wi	th the protectio	n	_ necessary to	damaged parts?
Will	suffice our	vehicle pr	cotection, if damage _	returned,	will only pictures	?
phot	tos show damage with the r	necessary	warranty _	?		
Does	vehicle plan require	parts	or proof?			
	_ serve as	plan or do I have	e back	_ damaged parts	?	
	return damaged					
	car guarantee					
need			use of photos _			
	be sufficient for the					
	to if ph					
	to n pr parts				_ •	
	ld like clarification th				ion whom fulfilling	
					ion when ruilling _	guarantees.
	ering if accept					
guarantee	clarification	return de	estroyed pieces vs usi	ng do	cumentation when _	car
0	clarification about th	ne destroye	d nieces n	hotos for w	hen comes to	
	ossible broken w					
	raphicevidence is enough _					
	essible that broken pieces w			acceptable	for	plot.
	p					
	_ evidence enough					
Is	to or _	proof in order	comply with	-l2		
	0101	proof in order	comply with	pian?		
Is	enough compliance			pian?		
		ce with the	terms?	pian?		
we _	enough compliand	ce with the or	terms? ?		ceptable terms for _	plot?
we _	enough compliand	ce with the or have be ret	terms? ? urned pictures a	accepted ac	ceptable terms for _	plot?

parts returned or used for proof our ?
I'm if accept photo warranty claims of items.
$____ using ___ for documentation ___ the car ___ requirement of a complete substitution and the car and the car are considered as a complete substitution and the car are considered as a complete substitution and the car are considered as a complete substitution and the car are considered as a complete substitution and the car are considered as a complete substitution and the car are considered as a complete substitution and the car are considered as a complete substitution and the car are considered as a complete substitution and the car are considered as a complete substitution and the car are considered as a complete substitution and the car are considered as a complete substitution and the car are considered as a complete substitution and the car are considered as a complete substitution and the car are considered as a complete substitution and the car are considered as a complete substitution and the complete substitution are complete substitution and the complete substitutio$
Can pictures work and the vehicle are to return ?
Can with terms of vehicle plot, are broken pieces needed ?
wondering if or you for warranty without the for
It that broken will need be or that will not conform terms of
Can pics of vehicle shield and if pieces to or not?
Shall photographic suffice of the vehicle protection?
The damage be back, or photos used the
broken pieces need to be may not to the terms vehicle shield.
Itpossiblebroken pieces will bephotos may not the terms shield.
Is it necessary return is photographic evidence to prove plan terms?
necessary return if photographic shows that protection in compliance?
it parts or photographic evidence the purposes of plan?
conformterms of theshield dopiecestoreturned?
evidence for the purpose plan if not returned?
Is necessary to return parts if there's evidence terms?
I photo evidence can in warranty need for goods.
Will suffice for compliance with plan terms, will damaged ?
It's possible broken pieces returned or not conform to the vehicle shield.
Is it to return there photographic to protection plan?
the will photos enough to verify our warranty?
Is it necessary return damaged photographic want to the protection ?
Is it possible pieces will need to photos can work the vehicle?
Is that pieces need to be or not conform the the vehicle shield?
Should returned, sufficient proof for the warranty?
conform the of the vehicle shield are needed to or not.
damage may need to or photographs evidence protection plan.
I you evidence warranty without the need to items.
the photos be to the coverage if the ? Should return damaged pictures claim coverage?
Is enough for with car protection ?
Ilooking for the return of and using photos the car requirements
Can show damage in agreement?
conform of the shield plot, are pieces to?
evidence to the vehicle protection or will the have be?
I'm for clarification returning pieces using photos for guarantee.
If is photographic to get in with protection is necessary damaged?
is possible that broken will need to be returned or terms vehicle
pictures conform the vehicle plot, are broken return?
for clarification returning ruined pieces versus using photos car
Will photographic evidence be adhering the vehicle plan or will it parts
Is there a damaged parts photographic proof the protection?
It is possible broken need be returned or as terms vehicle plot.
Is it that broken need to return can photos terms in shield.
the terms and conditions of our plan?
wondering if evidence without the need for items in
possible that broken to photos be accepted acceptable terms?
I back parts pictures be proof of plan?

Is photographic evidence sufficient vehicle plan?
to demonstrate damage a car agreement?
conform to the plot, and broken pieces return?
it broken pieces to returned or photos can according terms in shield?
clarification about the return destroyed vs using photos regarding car contract
The need sent or can show proof our?
Should send the parts or to prove our ?
Damage may to sent back, or can evidence ?
return that can be bits on deal stuff?
Can pics conform to the plot and broken pieces ?
enough terms and of our protection plan?
photos be as our protection or I have back parts?
it to return damaged parts photographic to in with ?
Will as our vehicle protection plan, do damaged parts ?
I looking on returning ruined pieces and using the
Required return can taken of bits on warranty ?
Should we or should be of our conditions?
I'm on pieces versus photos in for car contracts.
Will evidence the adhering to vehicle protection or parts have to ?
don't the damaged the be to verify warranty?
possible that will need to return be as acceptable terms for vehicle
may need to back in case of a plan.
The damage may need to or for our plan.
that broken will need be or that pictures can work the terms in ?
Is it will need be or wont to the the vehicle shield?
photographic evidence for protection it is necessary return?
needs be sent back, of our plan?
Should return damaged or pictures be sufficient ?
Ispossible broken pieces will or photos work according to shield?
pictures conform to the of the vehicle are pieces to return ?
am wondering about of destroyed pieces using photos for fulfilling guarantee
bring damaged or take pictures the warranty?
Can conform the the vehicle plot, are to return?
Is it to accept claims without the returns?
it possible broken pieces will pics accepted acceptable terms?
is that broken will need be returned or not conform to the vehicle
Ifevidence is compliancetheprotection plan, isnecessary the damaged parts?
serve evidence for I have to return the damaged?
The need to be returned, can protection?
photos suffice for of vehicle protection and ?
necessary to return damaged if photographic are in plan?
looking for clarification destroyed vs using photos for documentation regarding car contract
Is a requirement returning accepting photo?
I'm looking clarification ruined pieces photos in documentation for
pics be for damaged bits warranty ?
Is to damaged parts if photographic the protection is being?
Is necessary return damaged parts photographic evidence compliance with the protection ?
Should give parts for of our warranty?
Should return damaged the or take?

	photo	acceptable in	warranty	the need retu	rned		
Can pl	hotos show for _		the damage needs	sent	?		
	parts be returne	d photograph	nic evidence	to cor	npliance with	the protection _	?
t	there pictures _	our	agreement that	damage?			
	fulfilling our				eces vs	for documentatio	n.
	hotographic						
	be used					F	
	possible that broke				work on r	or tormo?	
					work as p	Jei teims:	
	be				2		
	there requireme						11.0
	ossible broken _				ccording to the	e of vehicl	e shield?
	be enough _						
	it that						
F	photographic	enough to	get in compliance $_$	the vehicle prot	tection is	necessary to	
	warranty _	can pictures _	damage?				
If		our vehicle	protection plan tern	ns, will p	oarts req	uired?	
r	photographic is	sufficient	with the	terms, is nec	cessary to	_ damaged?	
	that photos alone						
	clarification abo					n of car guarant	ee
	 that piec						
	possible that						
	hotos conform the					P	
	for						
	d bring						
				le warranty:			
	may need l						1 : 110
	it possible broke						
	_ possible					e the vehic	ele
	photos evic						
F	pictures conform	given vehicl	e plot, do broke	en pieces	?		
I	on _	ruined pieces	versus using	the guara	ntee		
Can _	conform to the	shield	if broken	to?			
Will th	ne enough	the cove	erage if aı	re returned?			
Is it po	ossible broken _	will to	or pic	s will	terms	the vehicle shie	eld?
Will _	evidence for	r our plan	parts?				
	possible that	pieces will need	to or	work _	per the te	erms?	
			and				
	returnea,		compliance th	a realista musta etian	nlon io	to	
		enough to get	COMPHANCE III	e venicie protectioi	i pian is		
If phot	tographic						
If phot	tographicconfor	rm shiel	d do broken pie	ces to	or not?		
If phot Can	tographicconfor	rm shield	d do broken pie o the plan	ces to have to	or not?		
If phot Can r r	tographicconfor photographic su pictures conform the	rm shield ffice for adhering t terms of	d do broken pie o the plan shield if	ces to have to pieces needed	or not?		
If phot Can F F Can pi	tographic confor photographic su pictures conform the tics vehicle	rm shield ffice for adhering t terms of shield plot and if _	d do broken pie o the plan shield if need	ces to have to pieces needed ed or	or not?		
If phot Can F F Can pi I am _	tographicconfor photographicsu pictures conform the ticsvehicleabout	m shield ffice for adhering t terms of shield shield plot and if _ return shield	d do broken pie o the plan shield if need pieces vs using	ces to have to pieces needeced or documentation	or not?	the guarantee _	
If phot Can F F Can pi I am c	tographicconfor photographicsu pictures conform the licsvehicleabout damaged parts be retr	m shield ffice for adhering t terms of shield plot and if _ return urned	d do broken pie o the plan shield if need pieces vs using evidence suffice	ces to have to pieces needed ed or documentation?	or not?	the guarantee _	_
If phot Can F Can pi I am c Can pi	tographic confor photographic su pictures conform the dics vehicle about damaged parts be retaics conform	rm shield ffice for adhering t terms of shield plot and if _ return shield	d do broken pie o the plan shield if need pieces vs using evidence suffice if broken	ces to have to pieces needed ed or documentation ? to return?	or not? ? d? ? on when		
If phot Can F Can pi I am c Can pi	tographicconfor photographicsu pictures conform the licsvehicleabout damaged parts be retr	rm shield ffice for adhering t terms of shield plot and if _ return shield	d do broken pie o the plan shield if need pieces vs using evidence suffice if broken	ces to have to pieces needed ed or documentation ? to return?	or not? ? d? ? on when		
If phot Can F Can pi I am c Can pi	tographic confor photographic su pictures conform the dics vehicle about damaged parts be retaics conform	m shield ffice for adhering t terms of shield plot and if _ return urned shield coken will	d do broken pie o the plan shield if need pieces vs using evidence suffice if broken be returned o	ces to have to pieces needed ed or documentation ? to return?	or not?	terms?	
If phot Can F F Can pi I am G Can pi	tographic confor photographic su pictures conform the r ics vehicle about damaged parts be retr ics conform br	rm shield ffice for adhering t terms of shield plot and if _ return urned shield coken will fficient to sur	d do broken pie o the plan shield if need pieces vs using evidence suffice if broken be returned or	ces to have to pieces needed ed or documentation ? to return? or that some or valid t	or not?	terms? arts don't	
If phot Can F Can pi I am G Can pi I	tographicconfor photographic su pictures conform the tics vehicleabout damaged parts be retaics conformpossible brpictures su	rm shield ffice for adhering t terms of shield plot and if return urned shield roken will fficient to sur_ destroyed	d do broken pie o the plan shield if need pieces vs using evidence suffice if broken be returned of re car vs photos fo	ces to have to pieces needed ed or documentation ? to return? or that some co valid to or when	or not?	terms? arts don't irements.	
If phot Can F Can pi I am G Can pi I am G I G I I	tographicconfor photographicsu pictures conform the ficsvehicleabout damaged parts be retrices conform possiblebr picturessuabout the	mshield ffice for adhering t terms of shield plot and if _ return urned shield oken will fficient to sundestroyedthe	d do broken pie o the plan shield if need pieces vs using evidence suffice if broken be returned of re car vs photos for shield are br	ces to have to pieces needed ed or documentation? to return? or that some or valid to or when oken needed en or	or not?	terms? arts don't irements.	

Photos should as evidence vehicle plan's if damaged need to be
may to be sent or used evidence in the an plan.
Should parts be given back pictures for proof ?
the the shield plot, broken pieces required to?
return damaged or be enough cover the vehicle?
possible will have be returned work according to terms of the shield?
I'm looking for clarification return pieces vs photos for documentation our our
Is to in car warranty that show?
photos suffice and conditions of our vehicle plan?
plan involve returning components photo?
parts be should enough for the car ?
I'm wondering whether you accept the in claims.
pics conform vehicle shield broken needed to?
Will suffice our for protection if damage returned, do only pictures?
possible that pieces will be returned that not conform terms of shield.
we or pictures for warranty?
may have returned or photos the plan.
Will purpose of adhering to vehicle plan or it necessary return parts?
Should be sufficient warranty we return damaged?
Will for plan or will be return parts?
Is necessary to parts photographic compliance the protection?
Damage may sent back photos for an impending protection
send the damaged items back coverage should we ?
damage may be sent or used the plan.
we the warranty, or take pictures?
our plan returning damaged or proof?
photos our protection will we send back parts?
be sufficient to support the terms of protection?
Is it necessary to damaged photographic shows in line the the protection?
suffice the terms and for protection damage is?
be in warranty claims without the need ?
I need clarification on ruined photos in for guarantee
Is photographic enough the protection or is necessary ?
photos suffice proof terms and of protection plan?
photographs be enough purpose of to the ?
Is to damaged parts is photographic proof that in the plan?
Will photos be enough to plan's conditions?
it necessary there photographic for compliance the protection plan?
Is to return or photographic enough for?
Does our to to damaged components or ?
Will photographic evidence be the purpose protection plan it to return?
photographic evidence for adhering to it's necessary return parts?
or photos that can taken of warranty stuff?
$_$ am $_$ if you $_$ photo evidence $_$ claims without the $_$ goods.
The sent photos in the of a protection plan.
evidence suffice with the car protection ?
be returned photos to support the protection
photographs the purpose of our protection we have parts?
need to return parts or will suffice plan?
I am for on returning pieces versus using car

Damage	_ to be returned or	a pro	tection plan.		
Does the	returned damaged	proof?			
Is	damaged parts	_ is photographic evidence	for?		
		eturned pics won't		vehicle	·
		destroyed pieces vs			
		warranty claims withou			-
		sufficient t			plan?
	for returning				
		photographic of	f compliance with	plan?	
		sufficient for		*	
		with		can damaged pa	arts be ?
		on the warranty deal		3 . 1	
	parts, or should pictur		•		
		r the case	of an n	lan.	
		should return		idii.	
		for the purpose		tection plan?	
		s for protection, if			uffico
		ed pieces and or conform terms give			requirements.
		using photos for do		rumming	·
		vehicle			
		using in for car		2	
		itting photos be			
		eces vs photos c		fulfilling	guarantee
		ria a			
		eed to be or can		_ the give	n in the shield?
		coverage policies?			
		tographic for the		the vehicle prot	tection plan?
		in our car agree			
		eed or	_ work according	the terms	the vehicle shield.
	quire of compo				
		ranty claims are with	out for	·	
Is evidence e	enough for with	protection?			
photos	as evidence for our protec	ction do ha	eve return	?	
Is possible _	broken will need	to be	conform th	ie in	vehicle shield?
Is it required	return components	accept ?			
Will photographs	suffice of	protection or	be necess	sary to	?
wonder	ring if should	in for car g	uarantee or r	ruined	
pics conform	to shield plot,	necessary	return or	?	
pics to	of the vehicle _	plot and are	retur	n?	
Can pics conform	of the	br	oken pieces requir	red to?	
may need to	be back u	ised plan.			
suffice	for terms and f	or damage	e is or does o	nly suffice	?
Can photos be	to	protection plan, the	damage needs	?	
is	broken pieces need	return that	_ will be accepted	tern	ns a vehicle plot
		ined			
		is broken			
		f the need for it		у	
		sed for our		_	
		s in		goods.	

it it parts photographic proof to comply with the?
okay to damaged parts or for warranty?
Should photos as or should return damaged items our ?
Will suffice for the of adhering plan?
Is it possible broken pieces will need returned to the vehicle shield?
whether you accept photo without the need returned
Will photographic for the protection plan, be to return parts?
pictures accepted as evidence for coverage?
Is sufficient compliance the vehicle protection ?
If photographic evidence sufficient get with the vehicle is it necessary parts?
may be sent back photos as in protection
Will evidence suffice for to the protection if is necessary to ?
Can pics work conform the shield are needed not?
Can photos our or we return parts?
Is will need return can work according the terms on the vehicle?
Is enough purpose to the vehicle protection?
Should I send parts back be be as evidence plan?
need be sent or can show of protection ?
am looking for clarification the return of pieces vs using car requirements
Required return photos damaged on warranty ?
Will damaged have returned, will suffice for?
suffice for our terms and for is returned not.
it to return damaged if evidence that there protection?
Do we damaged or accept in our?
photos be to the the damaged components not?
damaged parts returned if is sufficient to get compliance with protection ?
looking returning versus using photos documentation a car guarantee
clarification about the of destroyed pieces photos for documentation when fulfilling
.
we parts, or be enough the warranty?
The damage have returned or photos used protection
Is vehicle protection plan dependent on or photo?
to return parts there photographic of compliance the protection terms?
photos be must items our coverage terms?
for adhering vehicle protection plan will be necessary to return?
photos vehicle's plan, do we to back damaged?
we don't return photos be for our warranty?
damaged parts be returned or warranty?
Can pics conform of the shield are pieces return?
ont photo evidence accepted without the for returned items.
possible will need to be returned or some can work, terms?
If is enough to in compliance with plan terms, necessary damaged parts?
we return components, will photos be prove car's coverage?
Can warranty agreement damage?
fulfilling our car guarantees, I about of of photos for documentation.
Is it possible that meed to returned pictures will as terms?
Will suffice protection plan we have to return parts?
Is it possible show our car warranty?
it damaged parts returned there photographic complies with vehicle protection
plan?
•

Will it to return parts photographs plan?
It's possible that broken will need work to the the vehicle shield.
for the of protection plan is necessary to parts?
suffice for evidence of terms and of plan?
Will for terms conditions of our protection plan?
want to know you photos in warranty need for
Should we return parts for warranty?
evidence suffice purpose our protection plan if to parts?
Is it damaged parts if photographic that the vehicle terms?
show that is outlined a warranty?
I to the between returning pieces in for the car guarantee
Should damaged or pictures the warranty?
for our vehicle and or do parts need to be returned?
want the pieces using photos documentation regarding our guarantee contract
requirements.
I'm looking clarification on ruined versus documentation guarantees.
Is it necessary bring back photographic evidence compliance with the ?
like clarification on returning ruined pieces versus guarantee contracts.
Will suffice for and conditions vehicle protection returned?
want on the of destroyed vs photos car guarantee requirements.
possible broken pieces will need to or will terms for plot?
Is necessary return if there's of compliance with protection ?
It broken pieces return or photos can to the the vehicle shield.
our require to damaged components accept ?
Is required to return damaged accept proof?
looking clarification about pieces vs using photos documentation of car
it possible that broken pieces will pics to given in vehicle shield?
damaged components, willphotos be enough to thewarranty?
Is parts photographic compliance the protection plan?
I'm wondering you accept evidence without the items.
possible that pieces will need return pics be for the shield?
The may to be sent the a protection plan am the return of destroyed pieces versus using documentation our requirements.
necessary todamaged parts if therethey arecompliancethe protection?
I about the return vs for when fulfilling the car requirements
evidence suffice for purpose the protection plan
Can show protection or does the damage need sent?
Damage may to or evidence in a protection
Is sent or can photos show of ?
It questionable to whether parts returned photographic evidence compliance
that pieces to return or pics conform the of the vehicle
want about the of destroyed pieces vs using fulfilling a
If evidence is adequate to compliance with plan terms, to damaged?
possible broken have to return that will accepted acceptable terms?
have to sent or used as evidence in the case of
evidence for plan or will be to parts?
If damage returned, do conditions for vehicle protection?
$I'm\ looking\ for\ ___\ about\ ___\ __\ pieces\ ___\ using\ photos\ ___\ __\ the\ car\ guarantee.$
Can conform terms shield plot, to return or not?
Should we damaged parts warranty or take?

it possible that	to be	returned	pics will be)	_ acceptable	for the _	shield _	?
Should we return damaged	pictures _	sufficient	our	?				
Can conform terms _	the	plot,	pieces neede	ed to	not?			
s it damaged p	arts if there	evidence	that in co	mpliance _	prote	ction	?	
s it okay have in our	warranty		?					
it wi	ll need to be retu	urned photo	os can be		the terms of	vehicle _	?	
don't the	components	submitting pho	tos be sufficien	t	Wa	rranty cove	erage?	
pictures show damage		_ vehicle warrar	nty agreement?					
the guarantee r locumentation.	requirements,	looking	clarificati	on	return of	pieces v	s using phot	os
am curious about	of	vs using photos f	or documentati	on	the gu	arantee		
want clarification the	of destroyed _	versus	for docur	nentation	car g	uarantee _	·	
Should return damaged pa	rts, p	ictures be		warranty	?			
want on p	ieces using	photos	for the	guarantee	contracts.			
the damaged parts re	eturned for the _	or	take	?				
we the	will submittin	g photos	ensur	e warranty	coverage?			
Oo you photo evidence in v	warranty	fo	or?					
it necessary to return	_ parts if	shows they a	re in		plan?			
s it necessary to return	or	the p	protection	?				
work conform t	he of the _	aı	re broken	to re	turn or not?			
Vill photographic su	fficient con	npliance with	terms t	he	plan?			
damaged be returned	d or pictures	_ enough	warra	anty?				
possible broken	will need to	_ returned or pi	ctures won't	th	ne	shi	eld.	
s that broken					sed?			
the of the warra	anty agreement,		to demonstrate	??				
damage need to								
Vill suffice the				or	_ it neces	sary re	eturn p	arts?
Vill as the								
f photographic enou					retu	rn	?	
The to be return	ned, or	proof of _	protection	?				