[Demo] NLP Dataset for Customer Service Automation

Company Type	Mortgage Lenders
Inquiry Category	Credit score and credit history evaluation
Inquiry Sub- Category	Credit history length
Description	Customers inquire about the importance of their credit history length, as a shorter history can have a different impact on their overall creditworthiness compared to a longer credit history.
Data Size	5,007 paraphrases
Want to buy data?	Please contact nlp-data@qross.me via your business email address.

Masked sample paraphrases of one "Mortgage Lender" customer inquiry. (Purchased data will not be masked.)

	is fine	but applicants _	in	balances	available	would	harm them significantly?
Could	of	in limit	management	tl	he applicants?		
1	be harmed _	they didn't	about limi	ts ba	alances?		
there	a	applicants	limit	to a	vailable limits, that	harm th	nem.
1	be harmed _	if they wer	en't interested _	than	•		
If there isn'	t any applic	ants		balances	this	hurt them.	
	don't a	bout limiting ba	alances	availability, o	can hurt?	•	
no	want to li	imit balan	ces	limits	this hurt then	n	
					equences for hopefu		
	s	erious harm if t	hey don't	limiting ba	lances.		
If applicant	s	in	availa	ble limits,	this them muc	ch?	
	e	ven after every	thing	don't want to li	mit balances	available	e?
it	_ that the ne	eglect mai	ntaining balance	ed could _	?		
If there are	n't	that	limit their bal	lances,	more.		
If is _		want to limit	t	_ available limit	s may hurt	·	
If arei	n't focused o	n keeping	lower	_ to their credi	t limits,	it	?
all	are fulfill	ed but not an in	clination		within w	ould this	_ affect their?
there	ã	applicants that	to	to avail	able limits, wi	ll them a	a lot.
Would limit	ing balance	s have neç	gative	applicants	?		
there'	s appli	icants that	- 	_ balances, this	hurt them.		
]	hurt them if	one		balances to ava	ailable limits.		
Can applica	ants be harm	ned	interested i	n limiting	the	?	
lack o	f enthusiasn	n towards const	raining		thresholds have	e ir	ndividuals applying?
Would appl	icants be hu	rt if they			to balances?		
Would	_ be	they _	their b	alances compar	red to limits?		
there	is	wants _	limit their ba	alances to availa	able then	tl	hem.
;	a impa	ct on applicants	s they don'	t	to their cred	dit?	
Would lack	intere	st in balancing	limits	tha	n?		
In it possibl	0	digintorest	halamaa	a nolativo	vioilable viill	for	

there a low compared available damage application?
do want to limit balances compared available limits, can ?
all requirements except inclination to card utilization would affect prospects?
isn't anyone wants limit their balances this hurt them.
be they did limit their balance to limits?
possible that a disinterest balances to consequences hopefuls?
ould applicants be harmed more if balances to?
don't their balances them with their limits loans, etc., it be detrimental
applicants harmed are not interested limiting balances available?
ould someone more they weren't milts compared ?
limiting balances to limits would have a effect ?
ne lack of might hurt
no applicants want to their hurt more.
everything checks out but balances, are any serious?
ould applicants be they limit balances compared ?
an applicants hurt they to balances to limits.
lack of interest limiting balances compared to the available a a application?
aren't limiting balances compared limits, this hurt more?
an harmed don't about compared to availability?
harmed more they didn't to limit to available
it cause them if interest credit management?
it that indifference to hurt candidates?
uis applicants a if they don't to balances available
any who want to limit to limits this will more.
were interested in using balances than it would
ill applicants be significantly by ?
limit balance to available this hurt them more.
an face if they not want to available limits?
applicants they didn't want compared to?
harm do not want to limit balances available limits?
all are except for an to keep boundaries, would affect their?
disinterest in balancing to be harmed?
no to balances to limits, would them.
ould be if they interested limits compared the?
what if don't care their below the limits that messes up?
interested in compared the available they be hurt?
no wants to their balances available could them very
applicants don't care about compared to serious harm?
requirementsfulfilled but an inclination toward utilization within thistheir?
te interest balance limitation applicants could harm.
there is no to limit balances to limits this
attention to account totals does that harm?
applicants in limiting balances compared available this could harm
applicants on keeping balances their credit much will it you?
everything else checks out, the want to available
espite other being in the in balancing credit limits ?
face serious ifdon't care about limiting?
else fine, can applicants significant if don't want limit?
one limit their to available then this be

there no that wish limit their available this hurt more.
applicants be if they interested limits balances?
Would applicants hurt if they their compared available limits?
$ If \underline{\hspace{1cm}} is \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \underline{\hspace{1cm}} this \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \underline{\hspace{1cm}} hurt them \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \underline{\hspace{1cm}} much. $
If applicants balances available this could hurt them.
This could applicants if they their limits.
If using more than limits it could be bad.
isn't any who want limit balances available limits then this lot.
If interested limiting balances, would a on?
it possible that neglecting limitation hurt ?
Could it hurt they do credit management?
hurt more if they not in limiting?
Does a in limits to harm?
If all requirements are not an inclination utilization limit would prospects?
be if not in limiting balances?
individuals to to totals versus granted does this cause harm?
If all but an card utilization within limit negatively affect their prospects?
face harm want to limit balances compared limits.
If is applicants limit limits then this will hurt even more.
applicants not want balances to available can they after?
applicants want balances compared to available they be ?
applicants be if they don't compared ?
Is it to to limits bad news for?
a low limiting balances available limits damage?
don't want to balances to available limits, hurt them
is applicants who to limit available limits, this will hurt lot.
this harm applicants if interested balances compared limits?
Would applicants affected lack in balancing available funds?
Would be affected by having balancing credit limits ?
Is it detrimental applicants aren't keeping lower their limits?
If they compared to available would hurt them?
Would applicants harmed by not limits to?
a of constraining balances compared allowable a negative impact on individuals?
$_$ of $_$ balancing $_$ limits against available $_$ can $_$ applicants.
my application if everything else?
If want their to available limits, this them.
Can get they are not interested balances available?
Does it have a applicants they reducing?
aren't focused low balances compared to credit it detrimental?
Would be hurt more they in compared balances?
Is it that neglect could hurt candidates?
If out the applicants show little interest limiting relative
no applicants that to limit balances available limits, will than if.
Does this affect applicants if to limits?
Would if didn't limit balance compared limits?
If these don't to keep lower their there be done?
serious harm if not care about limiting?
it bad if applicants to balances than ?
If all are fulfilled an inclination towards card utilization within this ?
lack of enthusiasm for as allowable affect applications?

Will applicants	negative impact	do	balances	_ their credit limits?
If no wants	balance	limits, then t	his will them	<u></u> .
Does applicants face ha	ırm care	about?	•	
If don't	balances, will have	a negative	?	
The of interest	balances ha	arm		
If no one to limit t	heir balances	this		
Is that indiff	erence balancing lin	nits to	?	
Can applicants face	if want	balances _	to available	?
	rt if			
	don't want			
	med they inter			the .
	balances to _			
	more if inte			
				e consequences for individuals applying
	ifweren'ti			
	more if			
	to their to			
	est in balance availa	_		.
	o limit balances to the			
	a damn about			t things 2
	at want b			t :
	balancing			
				2
	ed more if		pareu	f
	balancing limits		halamasa sammanad	ove ile bilite
	harm do			
	rest balancing			f
	t lack interest			2
	affected by the of _			
	limit balances compa			
	balances			
				does this?
	icants that			
	to balances _			
				its, and up?
	are			
	harm			limits?
	decide not limit			
	_ more they don't			
	limit balance			
	rt more they in			?
	armed more if			
Would it neg	gative effect		interested in limiti	ng balances?
they in	balances	$_{_}$ available limits,	they be hurt?	
checks	out but	limit b	alances, are there any	y drawbacks?
Would hurt them	weren't _	in balar	nces availa	able limits?
	er harm if they			ble?
to cano	didates' in	ndifferent to balaı	nce limits?	
be hurt more	e weren't	in balances	compared	_limits?
face	aren't interested	l in limiting balan	ces compared	limits?
Will	impact if th	ney don't prioritize	e reducing balances _	to ?

applicants harmed if not interested in balances available?
a to available limits have consequences for?
If all are fulfilled but inclination keep within limit their prospects
Would interest in balancing limit against applicants?
applicants aren't interested in limiting compared available limits,
applicants be affected if didn't limit compared limits?
Would of concern for balance limitations ?
don't care about limiting balances availability, can be?
If there is no applicants to limit their this will more.
Would this if they in compared to available?
all are fulfilled for an inclination to card within affect their?
harm if they don't about compared to?
If there that want limit this may be
they don't about limiting balances compared availability?
If care about compared to they face serious?
be harmed they are not limiting balances?
applicants do interest credit limit management, it?
If wants limit their this could a
If want their available this could harm them.
Would applicants be they weren't limits to available?
Will there interest in limiting limits damage? What a about their balances the limits and that messes things?
an to limiting balances relative available limits for?
If applicants interested limit it hurt them?
Will have negative impact if they reducing balances credit limits?
not showing balancing credit limits affect applicants?
aren't management, could it cause harm?
affected by lack interest in balancing available funds?
The applicants in restricting to so there any drawbacks?
If is no who wants limit limits, may hurt
Do think balances relative have effect on applicants?
Does impact applicants they balances?
it noticeable negative on them if they reducing balances to limits?
Would in balancing credit affect applicants in ?
that of balanced limits could prospective candidates?
If no want limit their balances available then them a lot.
Is it in balances limits has dire for hopefuls?
be if weren't in limits to balances.
interest in limiting harm
If this could hurt them.
don't prioritize relative to their will it impact them?
of limiting balances applicants?
Can applicants face if they don't limit available?
Would they were in compared to balances?
a of enthusiasm towards balances as allowable applying?
If there is no limit their balances to them.
If who want to balances available limits this could hurt lot.
If available limits, can face harm?
If no applicants to that may harm

applicants risk they do not want balances limits?
$Wouldn't ___ _ more ___ they weren't ___ in limits compared ___ ?$
be harmed more if didn't balances to?
could hurt the applicants if they want
is applicants wanting to available will hurt them more.
Would if aren't in compared to available limits?
Will a noticeable negative on the they prioritize ?
be harmed if they to available limits?
Can they do care limiting to availability?
Is it possible that of maintaining balanced ?
applicants be if limit their balances?
If keeping balances compared to their credit how will it have ?
Would applicants harmed if they not in limits ?
If applicants don't to available limits, would them lot.
Would applicants their compared allowable limits?
a in balances terrible consequences for ?
Would applicants affected of interest in balancing funds?
lack of in restricting balances available limits application everything is fine?
If aren't focused keeping balances their limits, how much you?
else checks the show little interest restricting balances limits?
Can harm after everything do not want limit compared available?
If an towards keeping card within limit boundaries, this their prospects?
Can applicants harm if they compared availability?
it a disinterest in relative available limits have dire ?
Is it indifference to limits could ?
It them if no applicants that limit balances.
applicants face serious if care about to?
When don't can they face serious?
If all are but no towards keeping card boundaries, would affect ?
Would more if in limits their available balances?
lack of enthusiasm constraining for individuals applying?
applicants interested using balances than available limits, could
aren't limiting balances to limits, this harm them a
Is an indifference to could affect candidates' ?
be harmed if limiting balances compared to
Can face serious if care about limiting than?
This hurt them if one that to limit
What if don't below limits and that makes things?
If there isn't applicants want balances will them.
Is possible that maintaining limits prospective candidates?
at risk if do about limiting balances availability?
Is disinterest relative to a big for hopefuls?
there is no applicants want to their to hurt them
it on applicants if they don't relative to credit?
Will have impact on applicants they do prioritize ?
it possible neglecting limitation an applicants prospects?
affect applicants if limit their?
possible an indifference to could candidates' prospects.
applicants harmed to limit to available limits?
Could an to balancing candidates?

	don't				us harm.		
	disinterest in _						
	applicants						
	no one wants						
	ld applicants be harm						
	any drawbacks					le?	
	don't want to						
	no applicants to						
	wa						
Is	la	ck interest in cr	edit manaq	gement could	them?		
If	do not	limit o	could it ha	rm?			
If	applica	nts want to limit	their balances	available _	this	them	
	that	prospects are advers	ely impacted by	balaı	ncing?		
	focused o	n keeping lower	compared	their	much	_ it hurt you?	
	it possible that lack _	interest in	managemε	ent cause _	?		
If the	ey not in _	balances compare	ed	can they	_ serious?		
	applicants	limiting balanc	es compared	limits, t	hey will	more.	
If	else checks out, ar	e dra	wbacks if	don't want		?	
	harmed m	arkedly by	limit restriction	ns?			
Can	disinterest in	balances a	h	opefuls?			
	there be low				e?		
	show					ant to this?	
	there are						
	interested						
	the lack of						
	are				this will the	nem verv	
	s of interest in					iom vory	
	ld applicants be						
	could if th				s to available lir	nite	
	applicants be n						
	applicants be ii					it nr	opooto?
						It pro	ospecis:
	one to limit						
	no to						
	don't want						
	applicants					3	0
	s enth					application	1?
	ld applicants						
	it if				_		
	requirements are _						their prospects?
	_ it have im					credit limits?	
Woul	ld there be a negative	applicants	s there	for	?		
The	of concern	balance might _	applicants	·			
	one wants	limit their to av	vailable the	en may	·		
Do _	absence cor	icern balance	applica	ants negatively?			
	lack of	credit manag	gement cause	to applicants	?		
Woul	ld lack of	balance limitation	ns applicar	nts ne	egative?		
Will	it negative	them if the	ey prioritiz	ze reducing	to	limits?	
So, v	vhat if applicant	s don't about		the	that ruins	_?	
	applicants be m	nore if inte	rested in limitin	g			

there	no one that	their	then	_ could	$_{-}$ them.		
	_ harmed more	they chose not	limit	compared	d to	?	
Would applican	nts harmed _	they not		to permi	ssible	_?	
If is	applicants that	limit their _		hu	ırt them.		
applicant	s more if	_ aren't in	_ balances?	•			
Does lack	concern for	balance a	applicants _	?			
	interested in	_ their balances		available l	limits, wou	ıld this hurt ?	
		lance hav					
						_ much will it damage you?	
		o limit their					
						n within limit would	affect ?
						his them.	
		limitation be					
		in lim					
		e limitation by					
		to lin					
		they			2		
		by indifference to			·•		
		applicants aren't			2		
						mito?	
		not			ivanabie n	mics:	
		want to _			thou ho	2	
		compare					
		imiting to					
					illilits allu	messes up?	
		g have negativ					
		to limit their			.arm		
		lancing credit limits				2	
		are not interested in				:	
		don't want				2	
		compared to _				f	
		ing balanced limits					
		alances c				-: fit	2
						nificant repercussions for	—ţ
						drawbacks?	
						will hurt?	
		balances			n they pos	SIDIY :	
		they don't				*11	
						will more	
						ere any drawbacks?	
		who					
					ole if	checks?	
		nce limitation					
		/ere					
		relative to their limit		a		them?	
		care lim					
		if weren't inter					
						ll face significant	
		ot want to					
		es to			cant harm?	?	
Does the lack _	in limitir	ng balances	?				

Would be balance compared to allowable limits.
suffer harm did their balance to allowable?
applicants little interest restricting available limits, are there serious?
Can harmed if don't want to balances other?
applicants possibly they want to limit to limits?
It would have a detrimental effect balances.
If focused on low balances compared how it hurt?
affected if was no concern balance limitations?
did not limit their balance compared to would ?
is no applicants who to limit their this may this may
Would in credit funds affect applicants?
applicants aren't focused keeping balances compared will affect you?
If are who want their balances to limits then will
Is it that who interest limit harm them?
Would balancing credit limits negatively applicants?
Can applicants don't want to balances compared available?
Do applicants care about limits compared to?
If applicants who want then this could hurt
applicants be if limit compared to limits?
Is interest limiting balances to available application?
So, if a damn their balances below limit messes things up?
it possible a of interest management will cause to?
applicants face harm they are not interested to to
Will negative impact them if applicants don't prioritize ?
applicants face if refuse to balances to ?
could hurt applicants is no limit their
Is that limits is detrimental to ?
could the applicants they to limit available limits.
harmed don't want to limit balances
them if there isn't who limit their balances.
If not balances, it affect the?
If don't want to compared available they harm?
possible lack of interest credit would cause harm?
possible that the balanced limits would candidates?
else out applicants show in balances, there any drawbacks?
Would hurt more if they limits available balances?
by not limiting balances compared available?
If there $___$ anyone that $___$ $__$ limit their $___$ available limits, this $___$ hurt $___$.
applicants don't a damn about keeping their balances below and really things?
If balances compared to available limits, impact?
nobody wants limit balances to available this
there any applicants their balances limits then will hurt them.
applicants if didn't limit balance compared to?
If all requirements are fulfilled an inclination card would affect their?
lack of concern for affect applicants?
If applicants don't want to limits they be?
Does a enthusiasm constraining as compared have an impact people?
Would be harmed more if weren't limiting ?
of towards constraining balances as to for individuals applying.
Can applicants face harm they for compared availability?

be if they weren't in compared balances?
a towards constraining balances to allowable thresholds individuals?
Will hurt chances if don't to limits?
it possible balances relative to would effect on?
face harm, they don't want compared to available?
interested limiting balances to available then this a lot.
applicants in compared balances, they be harmed
there low interest available limits damage application?
harmed were not interested limiting compared to available limits?
a lack enthusiasm towards constraining balances compared cause individuals?
If applicants don't to availability be hurt?
applicants harm if not limiting balances compared available?
It possible indifference to will candidates'
Will a negative impact on if they reducing to their credit ?
applicants little interest balances relative limits, are there any major if checks
?
$ If ___________________________________$
Is there major if applicants little in to available?
applicants interested in balances to limits, would this ?
Could applicants they don't interest credit?
If they want limit balances available limits, still face ?
interested in compared to limits, then could them.
Would be did reduce their compared allowable limits?
applicants be they limit balances to available
be more if they decided limit to limits?
affect if neglect limiting compared to available?
what if applicants don't a about keeping balances limit and up?
If applicants on keeping lower balances to their credit you?
Can get harmed if they limit to available?
there is no that to limit to available harm
no who want to limit their to available that will more.
If applicants don't care limiting compared hurt them?
Does a enthusiasm towards constraining repercussions for ?
Could be if they their compared limits?
applicants be more are interested limiting balances
this if they weren't in compared to available?
Will have a applicants they don't prioritize their balances?
applicants be hurt they don't limit available limits?
else out, applicants much in restricting balances relative limits.
wants to limit balances to available them.
Doeslack enthusiasm constraining balances as thresholds application individuals?
If aren't interested compared to available harm them?
If there any who to limit their available then may
Would applicants be impacted by in balancing limits ?
If no applicants to balances may them.
Can possibly they not limit compared to available?
Can if don't limit balances compared limits?
Would it affect applicants no to balances limits?
it ignoring balance would applications?

Does disinterest in	limits	to hurt?			
all fulfil prospects?	lled but an inclination _	keeping	within limit boun	daries,	negatively affect
If no	to limit balance	es available li	mits, this will	more.	
If applicants want	limit balances	available _	would t	them?	
the applicants	more they	in limits?			
Is possible	indifference to limit	s hurt p	rospects?		
it possible	low for balance	would them	?		
requirements	s fulfilled except for	towards	utilization	limits, would t	his their
prospects?					
be harmed if	to limit	t their balances	to available?		
	rious harm they				
	don't a damn about kee			?	
	f weren't intereste		to?		
an bala	ncing limits to cha	nces?			
	don't want lim		·		
	they don't want to li				
	ntaining damage o				
	did limit their balanc				
	balances low to _			?	
it possible	limits could be	detrimental	candidates?		
any significa	nt if show little int	erest restrict	ing av	vailable limits?	
This	much they don't wan	t to limit their	_ to		
lack of	towards constraining as	to thre	sholds repercuss	sions a	pplying
be negatively	of con	cern for balance li	mitations?		
If wants to _	their available	could h	nurt them.		
or	n keeping lower compar	ed to lir	nits, how much impact	t that have	?
If focused on	keeping balances comp	ared to	limits,	will	have on you?
If no wh	no want limit their	to available	them.		
If applicants want		limits, this will	hurt more.		
all are l	out towards _	utilizatio	on within limit bounda	ries, this _	their prospects?
applicants ha	armed if are not in	terested in ba	alances the	?	
If no applicants to	balances ava	ailable limits,	hurt 1	much.	
The of interest	applicants	could harmfo	ul		
be more	e if they to limit _	balances comp	ared available	?	
Could lack in	terest in harm	?			
Would applicants	wouldn	t limit balances	available limi	ts?	
applicants don't	to balances compare	ed limits	s, can be	?	
Would a	affected if no inter	est in balancing _	limits availab	ole?	
possible	_ neglect of maintaining balar	nced limits	candidates?		
everything else ch	ecks out the	interest in	balances, are	any?	
	except an inclination	n towards keeping	utilization l	limit boundaries,	negatively affect
their prospects?					
	in balances rel				hopefuls?
	limit their balances				
	oplicants want to t				_ much.
	keep lower balances			affect?	
	_ if they balances				
	ot towards keepin			affect	?
This hurt mo	re their	balances to availa	ble		
be harmed	if didn't limits	compared to the	?		

	_ be harme	d	aren't	in limits?				
If all	met _	for	towards l	keeping	within	would	affect their p	prospects?
	possibly	harm _	they don't	to limit	to available	?		
If	want to _	1	balances to	this w	ill them more.			
					does _			
					will it			
					how much in		have	you?
					s compared to			
					able limits, can they	/	;	
					e harm?			
					ng balances to		_	
					nces compared to _			
					limits	?	•	
				mits				
				tive to		1:	Codiani	
					ances compared			
				to available in n't balance limits?	mits then this	nurt then	n more.	
				quences for				
				ney				
					then limits, would it l	ne had?		
				available limits _		oo baa.		
				ared availab				
				limiting ba				
					limits	hurt		
		1100 Wa	III 10		ie would	bad	•	
					e would t will ha			
Is po	ssible that _	lack _	credit _		t will ha			
Is po	ossible that _ ne to li	lack _	credit _ to	managemen	t will ha		•	
Is po no or Do fa	ossible that _ ne to li nce any	lack _ imit their _ _ if they do	credit _ to n't to limit _	managemen	t will ha	rm?		imits
Is po no or fa every	ossible that _ ne to li ace any ything else o	lack _ imit their _ if they do checks	credit to to to limit _ applicants	managemen	t will had them?	rm?	available l	
Ispono or Dofaevery Does	ne to li ne ace any ything else c	lack _ imit their _ if they do checks towar	credit to to limit to limit applicants ds balances	management could could as to allow	t will had them? in restricting balan	rm? aces	available l	
Is po no or fo every Does What	essible that _ ne to li ace any ything else o lack peop	lacklackimit theirif they do checkstowardle car	to to limit applicants ds balances re about	management could as to allowate balances	t will has them? in restricting balan able thresholds care	rm?	available for worse?	
Is po no or Do fa every Does What If individual	essible that _ ne to li ace any ything else of lack people als pay	lack _ imit their if they do checks towar le car y much	to credit to to limit applicants ds balances re about to curtailing	management could as to allowate balances	t will har them? in restricting balanable thresholds care the limits, tha does	rm?	available for worse?	
Is po no or Do for every Does What If individual If is	pssible that _ ne to li ace any ything else of lack people als pay that l	lack _ imit their if they do checks towar le car y much want t imiting bal	to credit to applicants ds balances re about to curtailing to limit ances relative to	management could s to allows balances totals then will limits have a	t will har them? in restricting balar able thresholds care the limits, tha does them. a them.	rm? cces ry t cause ?	available for worse?	
Is po no or Do for every Does What If individu. If is	pessible that _ ne to li ace any ything else of lack peop als pay that l harm	lack _ imit their _ if they do checks _ towar le car y much _ want t imiting bal more if _	to credit to on't to limit applicants ds balances re about to curtailing to limit ances relative to weren'ti	management could s to allow a to allow a to tals then will limits have a tin balances	t will har them? in restricting balar able thresholds carrethe limits, that does them. a available	ces Ty t cause ? e limits?	available i for worse? ?	
Is po no or Do for every Does What If individual If is If applicant	pessible that _ ne to li nce any ything else of lack peop als pay that l harm nts don't	lack _ imit their if they do checks towar le car y much want t imiting bal more if to	to credit to applicants ds balances re about to curtailing so limit ances relative to weren't is a	management could as to allowed balances totals then will _ limits have a in balances available limits,	t will har them? in restricting balar able thresholds carn the limits, that does them. a available they face	ces t cause ? e limits? of	available i for worse? ?	
Is po no or Do fa every Does What If individu If is If applican appli	peop. als that l harm to li action any ything else of lack peop. als pay that l harm its don't itcants be	lack imit their if they do checks towar le car y much want t imiting bal more if to if	to credit to applicants ds balances re about to curtailing to climit ances relative to weren't is they did at to at they did and	management could as to allow balances totals then will limits have a in balances vailable limits, balance o	t will har them? in restricting balar able thresholds carrethe limits, that does them. a available	ces t cause ? e limits? of	available i for worse? ?	
Is po no or Do for every Does What is If individual If is If applican appli there	people service and the service	lack _ imit their if they do checks towar le car y much want t imiting bal more if to if interest	to credit to to applicants ds balances re about to curtailing to cimit ances relative to weren't is they did limitation and	management could could as to allowe balances totals then will _ limits have a in balances vailable limits, balance o	t will har them? in restricting balar able thresholds carrithe limits, that does them. a available they face	ces t cause ? e limits? of	available i for worse? ?	
Is po no or Do for every Does What If individual If is If applican appli there	people services a lack that lack that lack don't teal alck of ir suffer suffer	lack _ imit their if they do checks towar le _ car y much want t imiting bal more if to if i	to credit to applicants ds balances re about to curtailing to curtailing ances relative to weren't is they did limitation dn't their balances do not to their balances relative to and they did limitation dn't their balances do not consider the property of the consideration dn't their balances relative to and their balances relative to the first	management could could as to allowed balances totals then will _ limits have a in balances vailable limits, balance co? alance?	t will har them? in restricting balance able thresholds carrethe limits, the does them. a available they face sompared to allowable limits?	ces t cause ? e limits? of	available i for worse? ?	
Ispono or Dofaevery Does What If individual If is If applicanapplithere	people services and services ar	lack imit their if they do checks towar le car y much want t imiting bal more if to if uterest if di ants if they	to credit to applicants ds applicants ds balances re about to curtailing to climit ances relative to weren't is they did limitation dn't to limitation dn't to limitation	management could as to allow balances totals then will _ limits have a in balances available limits, balance c? alance init balances	t will har them? in restricting balance able thresholds care the limits, that does them. a available they face sompared to allowable limits? limits.	ces t cause ? elimits? of ?	available i for worse? ?	
Is po no or Do for every Does What If individu If is If applican appli there	people size of incomplete that	lack _ imit their if they do checks towar le car y much want t imiting bal more if to if atterest if di ants if they hurt	to credit to	management could could as to allowed balances totals then will _ limits have a in balances available limits, balance co? alance nit balances their balances	t will har them? in restricting balar able thresholds carrithe limits, that does them. a available they face sompared to allowable limits? limits the allowable	ces t cause ? elimits? of ?	available i for worse? ?	
Is po no or Do for every Does What If individual If is If applican appli there appli Can applic	people size a lack of in suffer lack of in suffe	lack _ imit their if they do checks towar le _ car y much want t imiting bal more if to if atterest if _ di ants if they hurt ected	to credit to applicants ds balances re about to curtailing to curtailing to limit at they did limitation dn't their bay to lin did not limit limitir limitir	management could could sas to allowed balances totals then will _ limits have a in balances vailable limits, balance co? alance nit balances their to g to	t will har them? in restricting balar able thresholds carrethe limits, that does them. a available they face sompared to allowable limits? the allowable the allowable limits the allowable limits?	cces t cause ? e limits? of ?	available for worse??	
Is po no or Do for every Does What If individual If is If applican appli appli Can applican	pessible that _ ne to li ace any ything else of lack peop als pay that l harm nts don't icants be e a lack of in suffer hurt applications cants be affer	lack _ imit their if they do checks towar le car y much want t imiting bal more if to if if di ants if they hurt ceted applicants	to credit to to applicants ds balances re about to curtailing to curtailing to limit at they did limitation dn't to lin did not limit limitir who to limit limitir	management could as to allowed balances totals then will _ limits have a in balances available limits, balance co ? alance nit balances at their ag to to balances to to to to to to to balances to balances to	t will har them? in restricting balar able thresholds carrithe limits, that does them. a available they face allowable limits? limits the allowable limits? o available limits the	ces t cause ? e limits? ? en this ?	available for worse??	
Is po no or Do for every Does What If individual If is If applican appli there appli Can applican	people size a lack of ir suffer lack or suffer lack	lack _ imit their if they do checks towar le _ car y much want t imiting bal more if to if atterest if _ di ants if they hurt ected _ applicants atterested in	to credit to applicants ds balances re about to curtailing to curtailing ances relative to they did limitation dn't to lim to lim did not limit limitir who to lim limitir who to limit limitir lim	management could could sas to allowed balances then will _ limits have a in balances vailable limits, balance co? alance; alance; alance st their to to to to to to to availab	t will har them? in restricting balance able thresholds care the limits, the does them. a available they face sompared to allowable limits? limits the allowable limits? o available limits the o available limits the o available limits the some parts of the allowable limits?	ces rm? t cause ? e limits? of ? en this sbe	available for	
Is po no or Do fa every Does What If individual If is If applican appli there appli Can applic	people services and services ar	lack _ imit their if they do checks towar le car y much want t imiting bal more if to if atterest if di ants if they hurt ected applicants applicants	to credit to	management could as to allow balances totals then will _ limits have a in balances available limits, balance nit balances their to balance to balances to hore availab	t will har them? in restricting balance able thresholds care the limits, that does them. a available they face available limits? limits the allowable limits? o available limits the le tes, this could hurt the allowable has the could have the see, this could have able the could have the allowable has the could have the could have the allowable has the could have the could have the allowable has the could have the could have the allowable has the could have the could hav	ces t cause ? elimits? ? en this be them more	available for	
Ispono or Dofaevery Does What If individu If is If applicanappliappli Can applic	people size of the content of the co	lack _ imit their if they do checks towar le car y much want t imiting bal more if if if if if if ants if they hurt ected applicants iterested in applicants rested in lir	to	management could could as to allowed balances totals then will _ limits have a in balances available limits, balance co? alance? alance nit balances their to to balances to available balances their and to to to availab balance balance	t will har them? in restricting balar able thresholds care the limits, that does them. a available they face allowable limits? limits the allowable limits? o available limits the this could hurt the would this would this the allowable this could hurt the they would this the allowable they would this they would they	ces t cause ? elimits? ? en this be them more	available for	
Is po no or Do for every Does What If individual If is applican	people service and	lack _ imit their if they do checks towar le car y much want t imiting bal more if to if atterest if di ants if they hurt applicants applicants rested in lir if don	to credit to	management could could sasto allowed balancestotalsthenwill limits have a inbalances available limits, balance co? alance? alance? alances their to to to to to to talance do available balances their available balances theore available balances	t will har them? in restricting balance able thresholds care the limits, the available they face available limits? limits the allowable limits? o available limits the would this available ?	cces cuse ; cause ? climits? of ? en this ; them more me	available for	
Is po no or Do for every Does What If individual If is applican	people size of the content of the co	lack _ imit their if they do checks towar le car y much want t imiting bal more if to if diants if they hurt ected applicants applicants rested in lir if don if don conditions	to	management could as to allow balances then will _ limits have a in balances available limits, balance c? alance nit balances their to t balances to nore availab balance alances limit balan balances limit balan balances limit balan	t will har them? in restricting balar able thresholds care the limits, that does them. a available they face allowable limits? limits the allowable limits? o available limits the this could hurt the would this would this the allowable this could hurt the they would this the allowable they would this they would they	ces t? cause ? elimits?? en this be them more	available for	?

	have a negative impact don't prioritize balances?
	of interest limiting balances harm applicants?
	ack among could be hurting.
	one limit their balances to may
	face if they about limits vs availability?
	if no one wants to limit available
	not limiting balances, be bad applicants?
If app	olicants more than available would bad.
Is it _	neglect of maintaining balanced limits ?
	have harm if don't about balances compared to?
Can	be if they want limit balances available
If	prioritize balances compared their credit will it have negative ?
	applicants more if they balances compared available?
	don't prioritize relative to credit have a on them?
	if these don't care keeping their the limits and that ?
	that if these people their balances the and things?
	a of towards constraining balances as to application?
Is the	ere any drawbacks if little limiting their relative ?
Is the	ere disinterest restricting available limits bad ?
	harm applicants are limiting balances compared available limits?
Woul	d be if they their the limits?
	of interest in limiting harm?
	applicants negative impact they balances relative to their limits?
	applicants a if they don't reducing compared limits?
	want to limit balances to they harms?
	have negative applicants if interested in limiting?
	harmed more aren't interested than available balances?
	applicants face harm interested limits compared available funds?
Will _	have negative themselves if don't balances to their credit?
	of interest in balancing credit against ?
	lack interest balance limitation applicants could cause
	it possible that the importance limiting applicants?
	applicants be more weren't in limiting the available limits?
	much it affect applicants aren't on low?
	that if the applicants don't a about their below and ?
	are to their this could hurt.
	that the neglect of maintaining limits prospective?
	they harm if applicants care about?
	face significant if limit their balances compared to ?
What	these give a their balances the limits makes things worse?
	lack of interest in hurt?
	a in balances have consequences for?
Is it _	that applicants without interest credit harm?
	no limit balances to available limits, this harmful.
	be if they aren't interested in
	applicants didn't limit compared to the available limits?
	care about limits availability they face harm?
	on balances compared limits, how would it you?
	are not on balances compared their how much will you?
So, w	rhat if don't want keep their balances below and ?

If applicants didn't use than would be bad.
on keeping balances, how much affect you?
could hurt them no want to
applicants don't limiting they face serious
Would applicants interested in limits than balances?
applicants be by lack in limits with available?
want limit balances compared to the they be?
Would applicants be more by balances limits?
Would be they didn't limits compared the?
If people want to limit compared available limits, ?
If there is applicants who their limits then hurt
that the neglect of limits could damage ?
If aren't focused keeping to their limits, how much does you?
no want limit their limits, this hurt them.
If applicants limiting to available limits, still be?
If no wants their balances to limits,
if these about keeping their the and that causes lot of?
Is harmful applicants not limit balance compared ?
people be if they weren't compared balances?
candidates' prospects.
If don't limit balances compared can they harmed?
balances relative to credit limits, will it have impact?
be harmed they interested limited balances?
are no that limit their available then this will hurt more.
Would applicants more if they weren't in limits ?
be they not limit their compared to available?
it possible importance of limiting balances for applicants?
applicants be harmed credit limit management?
aren't focused on keeping lower to their limits, how much have 1
be hurt they their balance compared to ?
If aren't focused lower compared to limits, much hurt?
there applicants that want their balances, this them
If limit their to allowable limits, they ?
If one wants to balances to will hurt than if.
This will hurt if they to to limits.
no applicants limit their balances, hurt
Would applicants more weren't in to available limits.
Does of constraining balances cause significant applying?
If do not balances relative it have negative impact on them?
Would hurt if they did their balance ?
be if didn't limit their compared limits?
a in restricting balances severe hopefuls?
applicants want limit their available limits then this
it possible indifference to is to candidates' ?
If applicants interested balances available limits, would hurt most?
in credit limits against funds affect ?
If don't interest limit management, it hurt
Would it bad if to use more than ? Might of balanced damage prospective ?

face harm if they don't limiting compared to
If not their they be hurt?
If want balances to available they in harm's way?
Would be did not their balance limits?
So, what these applicants give their and that messes things up?
even if don't want to balances compared available limits?
Is that harm if they don't want balances compared available?
Is low interest limiting compared available ?
be more weren't interested in compared to available?
applicants don't care about limiting balances, serious?
there is that want their balances, them more.
The in restricting available limits, there any drawbacks to that?
Would applicants be harmed if they to to ?
applicants prioritize to their available credit that have impact on them?
have a impact applicants don't balances relative to their limits?
If applicants in compared available would hurt them.
it anegative impact don't prioritize their balances?
Would more if weren't in limiting balances available ?
Is applicants with no interest credit limit management ?
Would applicants harmed if their compared to
If applicants don't care about could ?
applicants if do limit balances compared to ?
have a negative effect applicants if ?
suffer significant harm if they not in limiting limits?
applicants don't focus keeping balances to their will it you?
no one who wants balances to available this them.
harm if they don't limits compared to
If one to their balances to limits, this
checks out, but applicants want balances, are there any?
Would be harmed more they want available balances?
Does have a effect on applicants restricted?
no one their balances available this them a lot.
Does not limiting hurt?
Can harmed even everything if not want to limit to?
a for constraining balances compared thresholds have for applicants?
limiting compared available limits, would affect them?
applicants limit to available limits, will they face?
wants to limit balances to available them.
there isn't any applicants want balances, this them.
possibledisinterestbalances to available limitshaveconsequences for hopefuls?
be harmed if interested in limits compared ?
If aren't interested in balances compared they more?
applicants interested limiting balances compared would it hurt most?
applicants did want limits compared to the available?
applicants be not limit their balances available limits?
be they weren't interested limiting balances?
Does lack enthusiasm towards constraining balances to thresholds those?
applicants harm they not limit balances compared ?
If is no wanting limit this will hurt
there any the applicants little interest in limiting balances limits?

Can harm don't want to to available limits?	
Does applicants face do do care about limiting to?	
$___ aren't ___ in \ limiting ___ compared ____ limits, then \ this \ would ___\$	
Is it that in debt limits applicants to ?	
have a negative on balances are restricted?	
Can restricting relative available dire consequences for hopefuls?	
If aren't interested limiting balances compared their this?	
If the did not use balances limits be bad.	
Would this them if interested to available limits.	
be harmed they like to balances?	
applicants if they not limit balances compared available?	
any applicants who want to balances to limits will hurt	
be even after everything they not want to available limits?	
If want to limit their balances to available	
applicants possibly not want to balances compared to available?	
applicants if didn't reduce compared allowable limits?	
applicants be harmed more limit balances compared to limits?	
Can interest balance limitation harm to?	
everything else checked out, show in balances relative available	
Would by a credit limits against available funds?	
the lack of in limiting balances ?	
Will it have a they reduce relative their limit?	
it have a negative on the don't balances to their limits?	
Does lack enthusiasm as compared allowable thresholds cause repercuss	ions for?
If there any want limit balances, could them.	
Would harm if interested limiting to available limits?	
be hurt if they are in the available balances?	
If aren't interested limiting balances this them more?	
Would applicants harmed interested in compared to balances?	
the applicants don't give a about their the happen?	
If applicants don't to to to to harm	
applicants aren't low their limits, how much it hurt?	
the show interest restricting balances to available are there ?	
Will a in restricting consequences hopefuls?	
Ifdon't limit to available limits then this	
Would it effect if they interested limiting balances?	
Does it affect they limiting balances available?	
Is indifference to limits damage prospects?	
Would people if weren't limits to balances?	
If these borrowers don't their limits, is there harm?	
Can a lack in limiting consequences hopefuls?	
If applicants interested compared available this could be them.	
If applicants care about limiting be ?	
applicants not to limit compared to available get?	2
lack towards constraining compared to allowable individuals applying the second straining compared to allowable individuals applying compared to allowable individuals applying the second straining compared to allowable individuals applying compared to all compared to	ng?
if these about keeping limits, and that makes things worse?	
were in using balances more than available be bad.	
Would interest in credit funds affect applicants?	
applicants be more if in limiting balances.	
applicants face harm if want limit available limits.	

Would a bad thing the to to than available limits?
applicants be harmed if didn't limits compared ?
If no want to their balances, hurt them.
towards balances as compared to allowable thresholds have consequences ?
Will there be a negative applicants reduce balances relative ?
applicants hurt more if interested limiting?
there one wants to their balances available then may hurt
applicants do not want limit limits, can suffer?
the applicants don't care keeping their below and worse?
aren't focused on keeping balances how much impact will have?
there applicants limit to available limits it will hurt
So, their balances the limit, and makes things worse?
Would be hurt more compared to?
If applicants are on keeping compared credit limits, how it?
If is applicants balances, this could hurt them.
If is want to to available limits, hurt them more.
Would they weren't interested in balances?
If there is applicants to to to limits, this will
Will have negative on don't prioritize reducing?
no one wants to limit to available this
Can applicants face if they to limit compared limits?
s it detrimental candidates' that they ?
Nould this harm them in limiting to limits?
there are no who want limit balances this more
Would it a effect applicants weren't interested limiting?
off if they weren't interested limiting?
If applicants reduce credit usage may
Lack in credit limits available may applicants.
be negatively impacted concern for limitations?
are no who to limit their balances to it it them.
applicants do to balances compared to available harm?
This may applicants if want their
applicants aren't limiting to available would affect them?
are but an inclination towards utilization within limits, that affect their?
If they interested in limiting compared limits, this ?
be more if weren't compared to the balances?
aren't interested limiting compared available would this them?
s this limiting balances to available limits?
s the neglect of maintaining limits candidates?
if the don't care their balances the limits makes things mess?
applicants be affected they neglect balances available?
applicants aren't in balances to would harm them?
applicants are not interested limiting balances to available be
If no applicants who to limit their available this
Can refusal to balances limits hopefuls?
everything else the not want to balances, there drawbacks?
isn't any applicants who want to their balances then hurt
harm if they to limit their to limits?
requirements are fulfilled except card utilization within limit boundaries, would prospects?

applicants aren't interested limit cause harm.
be harmed more if don't want to?
there are applicants that to limit their this will
Would lack of interest balancing than things?
If there are that to their balances, this lot.
Does a disinterest balancing limits have a ?
If not interested balances, would a applicants.
If applicants not balance allowable limits, would be?
applicants want to limit compared can still face significant ?
a disinterest balances have serious consequences ?
Are applicants at they want to compared to ?
Would be adversely by absence of balance ?
applicants dowant to limit balancesavailablecanharm?
if these to keep balances below limit and that things?
harm ifto limit balances compared tolimits?
a of enthusiasm as compared allowable thresholds affect individuals ?
affected lack of interest in balancing credit available?
what the applicants care keeping their below limits that makes
Would interest in balancing credit limits available ?
face if they care about limiting?
If aren't low balances compared limits, how will affect them?
If there no that want to their balances this them
If no applicants who want to to it hurt
interested in limiting would have an on?
If applicants aren't interested in to available could bad
If want to their compared to available this ?
hurt applicants very don't want to limit balances.
Is possible indifference to limits hurt?
if applicants didn't want to use balances limits?
lack of in harm applicants?
Would be they didn't their compared to ?
interest credit against available funds applicants?
The of interest applicants cause harm
Does a enthusiasm towards constraining compared have an impact individuals?
more if they don't limit their compared limits?
Can applicants if don't limit compared to?
applicants be harmed more weren't limits balances?
If is no who want available limits then can them.
$ If ___ _ in \ limiting ___ compared ___ _ limits, \ would \ this ___ _ so \ much? $
be harmed they didn't their balance to allowable?
Is any significant drawbacks applicants don't restrict balances limits?
If $___$ applicants $___$ to limit their $___$ $___$ may be harmful $___$ them.
individuals pay attention curtailing account totals boundaries harm?
applicants be affected by not balances ?
Can applicants serious if do compared to?
If don't prioritize regulating allocated sums, substantial adversity?
applicants keeping lower compared credit how much will hurt you?
Would balances limits detrimental effect applicants?
no want to limit their balances to will hurt more they
If there no applicants want to limit their could .

are not focused on low balances to credit how will it ?
Does of in balances applicants?
have a detrimental effect applicants balances restricted?
Does a lack enthusiasm balances as allowable individuals'?
lack enthusiasm constraining as allowable thresholds for people applying?
If applicants focused on lower to their impact?
Would applicants get more they in?
If to available limits, it hurt them.
Can disinterest in restricted have hopefuls?
Would by of in balancing limits against ?
disinterest in limiting relative to limits big hopefuls?
If applicants compared can they face harm?
If do pay to curtailing boundaries does cause harm?
applicants be if limit their permissible limits?
Would be affected of interest credit limit funds?
If there is want limit balances to limits hurt
isn't who balances to available limits, will hurt a lot.
be harmed more if they don't compared limits?
Would if did not want to compared available?
applicants hurt if they weren't interested in ?
Would be if not interested in ?
Can applicants care balances compared to availability?
If there one that balances available limits then this hurt
If no one to their balances,
Does lack enthusiasm towards as compared allowable thresholds consequences ?
Is interest in balances available damage application?
Lack in credit limits against available affect
If requirements are except for an card utilization would this their?
So, what applicants don't their balances and ruins things?
don't to balances to available they face harm.
Does a lack of towards as allowable thresholds individual's?
in balances compared to available limits applications?
neglect to balances, will this affect?
Is applicants be if don't want limit balances compared to ?
If all for an inclination keeping card within limits, their prospects?
Can a be don't to balances compared available?
If there want to available limits, then this will hurt
Can in restricted balances consequences for?
The lack in balance limitation possibly cause
Can a lack of restricting have serious ?
So, if the a their balances limits and makes things worse?
If applicants don't want balances compared to available
applicantsinterestedlimitingcompared to would this be harmful?
The restricting relative to limits, so are serious drawbacks?
If aren't any applicants who to balances limits, this them
Is it possible to limits to chances?
not want to limit limits, can they be hurt?
what if the give a their balances below limit, that things?
Will have a negative if they don't reduce ? If not pay to account granted boundaries, does harm?

If interested limiting balances, a effect applicants?
no applicants balances limits, this will hurt more
it possible that applicants show little in limiting relative to else ?
Would applicants be more if they or?
Is disinterest in limits a big for hopefuls?
If applicants want to their balances limits then this be
If requirements are but not towards card utilization limit it to prospects.
focusedkeeping lower compared their credit how much will affect
If limit balances compared to limits, can major ?
If want to keep lower compared their much will it ?
interested in limiting would an on applicants?
Can applicants face serious they about balancing ?
If there applicants that want to limit limits will hurt them
a of enthusiasm for compared thresholds affect the?
Do applicants they to balances compared to available limits?
Is it that lack in balance applicants harm?
be not interested in limiting balances compared limits?
Would hurt if relative to limits?
Would applicants be they weren't interested to available?
you think limiting a negative effect on applicants?
If there applicants their to available this could them more.
it have negative applicants if in limiting relative to?
If individuals pay attention to curtailing granted does ?
This may hurt them no applicants who their
${\tt Can\ applicants\ be\ ___\ everything\ ___\ don't\ ___\ to\ limit\ balances\ ___\ to\ ___\ ?}$
requirements are fulfilled for an card limit would this their prospects?
don't care about it hurt them?
This may hurt them there to to their balances.
else is fine applicants aren't limiting compared to available limits, them?
applicants harmed if their balance compared allowable limits?
be harmed they didn't limit allowable limits?
If aren't lower compared to limits, how much it hurt you?
Is disinterest restricting to available serious problem for?
If all requirements are fulfilled for towards utilization within would negatively ?
hurt applicants if they don't balances to available
candidates don't invest balances and them their credit loans, might be detrimen
Is detrimental applicants focused on balances to their ?
So, if the applicants damn keeping their balances and messes things?
are no that want to to limits, this them.
hurt if they don't limit their available limits?
This could hurt them if to
Does interest limiting the available limits ?
If is applicants who want their available limits, then could
Would the didn't to use more than the limits?
aren't interested in available this would harm a lot.
they aren't in balances compared to limits, harmed?
applicants harm if they interested in compared limits?
Would applicants be harmed if not to available limits?
applicants aren't interested in they more?
a lack balances to available limits have hopefuls?

	lack of _	in	_ limits	applicants?					
If		,	would that ha	ve a	on applic	ants?			
If there	no	who	limit _	balance	to	_ then this wil	ll them	·	
it p	ossible tha	at candidate	es'	impacte	ed by indiffe	erence	?		
If there		applicants	who want	_ limit	t	his may	·		
	show	interest	in restricting	to	available _	if	checks out?		
Does a _	of	con	straining	as compare	d to	impact	?		
Would _	hurt _	a	are	en't	_ limiting _	compared	to available lim	its?	
	wa	ants to limit	to	available	that may	them.			
If i	sn't	that	to limit	balances,	then	hurt	<u>.</u>		
	wa	ant to limit	compare	d to available	e	that the	m?		
		applicar	nts who want	limit the	ir to a	vailable	this harm	·	
ap	plicants	on	keeping	balances		limits,	how will it	t hurt you?	
it r	not to		not bala	nce limits?					
	ha	rmed more	if	interest	ed in limits,	compared to	?		
Would a	pplicants b	e affected		interest	balancin	g credit	against	·	
	one wan	nts	their balan	ces	then	this may hurt			
The app	licants	_ face serio	ous harm	c	are	balances.			
If applic	ants don't	to	_ balances _	avai	lable	be i	n?		
	pa	y much atte	ention to	account	_ versus	i	it cause harm?		
	lack of _	toward	ds constrainin	g '	compared _	allowable	thresholds,	_ significant	for applying?
If	intere	ested	to a	vailable bala	nces,	be harme	ed more.		
	hu	rt more if _	aren't	limit	ing co	mpared to	_ limits?		