## [Demo] NLP Dataset for Customer Service Automation

Company Type	Life Insurance Companies
Inquiry Category	Inquiries about insurance products and options
Inquiry Sub- Category	Policy beneficiaries
Description	Customers seek guidance on naming or changing beneficiaries for their life insurance policy, understanding the options available, implications for estate planning, and how to ensure their wishes are upheld.
Data Size	7,111 paraphrases
Want to buy data?	Please contact nlp-data@qross.me via your business email address.

## $\begin{tabular}{ll} Masked sample paraphrases of one "Life Insurance Company" customer inquiry. (Purchased data will not be masked.) \\ \end{tabular}$

might it	be better	spe	cific individuals a	nd p	roceeds	funeral costs?
I think i	s	provide	for funeral	and	anyone.	
Do voiding pe	ersonal	allocating _	burials	sense?		
Give ca	pital bur	ial of	individuals'	identities?		
Sometimes fu	ınding	without	sense			
there	way	to give	_ funerals instead	l specific _	?	
it	to money	y directly for		name specific	people?	
it make	sense m	ake money	for	rather than	specific	beneficiaries?
When w	e namin	g individuals and	l	expenses?		
allocati	ng direct	ly for burial	than namii	ng?		
Is proce	eds for	costs	naming indiv	viduals?		
Is bette	r not giv	e specific names		expe	nses?	
If want		cash for funer	al expenses,	nan	ning people.	
th	e proceeds rea	adily available _		than not	_ anyone?	
it make	sense to have	unfettered acce	ss		without specifying	?
it better	r to	to al	locate	funeral expense	es?	
Is it to _		dire	ect funds for buria	ıls?		
					ıl burial	
Is it possible	to:	individuals and _	to		_ funerals?	
In what situa	tion	_ not indivi	duals' identities b	ut	needs?	
be	tter to name	persons	money dir	ectly	_ costs?	
Wouldn't	_ be	_ allow transfer	funds for	only if	recipients	not?
Can funds		costs	names?			
Is it	name	individuals	allocate for	funeral?		
it	better to	fune	ral expenses inste	ad of	_?	
Is it		and offer fu	inds for?			
it make	sense	give	giving	for burial ex	rpenses?	
When it woul	d better	to allow		costs ra	ther identify	

Does it advantages you don't financial support for funeral?	
Is a idea to for expenses but not ?	
When should stop naming and allocate funds ?	
voiding personal allocating money burials is more?	
idea to unrestricted access funeral expenses without specifying individu	als?
Where's the place launch without anyone?	
Do you skip naming individuals and for funerals?	
should available without individuals for	
identifying individuals to would a better solution availability proceeds.	
for funerals without mentioning people by name?	
Is it possible funds funeral costs?	
think names just allocating money towards burials a?	
We shouldn't people's identities provide capital	
possible to directly for purposes, not naming people?	
sense proceeds readily available for funeral without mentioning anyone's	_?
Is it ditch this of out and funneling dough funeral?	
Would sense designate individuals and give immediate access the for	?
Is proceeds than individual identification funeral?	
would it be better identify but to solely cover burial costs?	
it be to the proceeds available funeral costs anyone's?	
Is it to not mention the funeral by ?	
Is better to money available directly for funeral naming ?	
without specifying someone be beneficial?	
Is best to avoid anyone but assistance ?	
Shouldn't funds available without individuals for?	
We should not individuals' for their needs.	
it best not anyone, to receive assistance burial?	
Is to bother give money directly for costs?	
direct Funerals appropriate than identification?	
Is wise to name individuals give expenses?	
Is better to than to name specific?	
When not specific individuals make money available for?	
to make money for instead of only people beneficiaries?	
it to nonsense singling people out and funneling money expenses?	
it to mention and the funeral covered?	
Is to allocate funds without people ?	
Is it to as the beneficiaries?	
Is it the money available for funeral without?	
When might it to specific and use the funeral?	
$\_\_\_\_ make \_\_\_\_ give immediate \_\_\_\_ proceeds for funerals instead of \_\_\_\$	
we stop out dough into funeral now?	
it make money funeral expenses instead naming people as?	
Money can be solely left out.	
Do you it allocate funds for costs?	
Is better make available directly of people as beneficiaries?	
In some cases, it better to specific just money funeral	
Is possible not people provide financial support for ?	
allocate towards covering funeral rather than name?	
Is it to allocate funeral expenses naming individuals?	
it would to to individuals to make proceeds for costs?	

to mentioning names and the funeral expenses?
Is it name anyone still receive burial?
better to not name provide support funeral purposes?
it make to not people support only funeral purposes?
When be to of funds only for identifying recipients?
idea allocate funds funeral instead of individuals.
Is there benefit mentions the proceeds for?
it better to for burial instead naming?
Shouldn't direct funds without being funerals?
it better and offer financial for funeral purposes?
have unrestricted access to funds without specifying individuals?
Is to directly for funeral to specific persons?
It name individuals but to make proceeds available costs.
Is it better to individuals and to direct ?
Do believe personal names money towards better?
we stop singling someone just the funeral?
it make sense not to individuals give access the proceeds ?
I think it's not just for funeral expenses.
Is possible to funds without naming beneficiaries?
Is better to offer and funerals naming ?
Transferring directly towards is wise to ?
any in avoiding individual mention out funerals?
When it's better name specific proceeds the costs?
giving expenses without specifying beneficial?
it possible to funds rather than naming?
better to not give specific give directly for ?
scenarios we specifying but provide capital burial?
it make to immediate funerals rather designate individuals?
When it better to money than names recipients?
think it would better to allocate costs?
Can the direct availability funeral rather naming beneficiaries, ?
money for funeral preferable specific people?
Wouldn't to immediate access to for designate individuals?
idea to allocate rather than naming individuals.
it to unfettered to funds intended for without ?
advisable to allow unrestricted to funds funeral without ?
about giving funds straight ?
Is better to offer and distribute funerals people?
funerals specifying be beneficial?
it to have money readily for costs without ?
Is not people instead give the to funeral costs?
it make certain to access to for funeral expenses without specifying?
we mention names just allocate proceeds funerals?
Is it not to name but still burial?
Is it offer and for naming involved in the?
a good to launch funds funerals people?
Shouldn't funds available without being for?
Do allocating without mentioning is better for ?
wise quiet and transfer money to ?
it idea to transfer money to funerals?

When would it more allow of for costs only, than ?
specifics, is possible for?
Wouldn't be make direct funds without naming ?
it to the for costs without any mention anyone?
Wouldn't better to allow transfer funds burial costs, mecipients?
Is better give and proceeds for funerals involved?
Is to give money for funeral ?
Where start funds without identifying?
it better specific directly allocate funds funeral?
Can arise not by name funeral expenses better outcomes?
Is to distribute for funerals over naming people involved ?
When it to identify to to transfer of funds for burial?
Can money towards funeral without names?
It would make and to give immediate the of the
When not people name, for funerals, can ?
it possible funeral expenses without people?
Is it better to expenses withholding names?
Give straight up for funerals someone.
Is preferable to the proceeds for costs without ?
Is it give funds straight specific individuals?
Is possible to avoid mentioning have covered?
Is to make available expenses, rather than naming beneficiaries?
Is any to individual mentions directly funeral?
Do you think available directly funeral expenses instead specific as beneficiaries?
When would be provide money for funeral recipients?
Is allocation of for burial specific beneficiaries?
Wouldn't better didn't name individuals too?
wise give funds up for funerals instead
Does it advantages if you name only support funeral?
smarter to give for and not name people.
possible to provide financial support purposes name people?
Is it to use funeral proceeds instead beneficiaries?
would proceeds, without identifying to be better solution.
Is it wise use for funeral individuals?
When funeral expenses, can be without ?
toproceeds readily available for funeral costs, mentioning?
Is better not give or to burial expenses? Is possible mentioning names cover funeral?
it to designate and instead provide the money for expenses?
It would make designate and instead access the money for
Offering proceeds for costs is people.
direct more appropriate than identification for ?
When should we to funds for ?
funding be without people?
better to just allocate burials of names?
Is possible for straight to funeral names?
Is possible to mention names and funeral ?
Is it best to not to burial costs?
Is possible to directly offer funeral while not ?
is money for funeral than specifying the recipients?
·

better provide for funeral expenses not them?
Can you not and instant funds expenses?
Can we this of singling just money into funeral?
a idea funds directly burial costs to name?
When it be name specific individuals and available for funeral?
we someone out and funnel into expenses?
In is advisable to have access funds intended funeral specifying
it maketoexpenses without specifying?
Is it better burial rather than naming?
it sense to individuals and immediate for funeral expenses?
it possible for arise not people name providing their funerals yields outcomes?
Is possible to allocate funds costs than ?
Does for better than individual?
to allocate funds individuals in cases costs?
you don't name individuals?
Is it to give direct funds for?
it make sense access to the of the designate?
make sense allocate for burial rather than naming?
it appropriate names and allocate towards burials?
Where for burials appropriate than identification?
Is to offer and for naming people involved?
Is it possible to for not people?
you be better to allocate funeral costs?
When better to name specific give the funeral costs?
Is it not to certain of funds for expenses?
it to offer and distribute funds for funerals the?
Is to funds mentioning only funeral costs?
We towards expenses rather naming specific
Is better to for funerals than explicitly naming in this ?
Is it and distribute specifically funerals than people in this?
better money directly instead of naming specific individuals beneficiaries?
it would be better not and the money funeral costs?
Is it to funeral expenses naming individuals?
Is it better the freely costs mentioning name?
When it be not name specific and for funeral?
Is it better available for the funeral mentioning name?
it better for money be funeral mentioning anyone?
We should direct capital burial than individuals'
it a better allocate funds expenses name individuals?
to money available directly for expenses naming specific people ?
bypass mentions specifically for funerals?
it better to the proceeds the funeral without ?
In not specify individuals' identities provide capital?
Do think to for funeral mentioning individuals?
It's smarter to and just cash cash
circumstances arise where people but give for funerals?
it better allocate costs rather than a beneficiary?
it beneficial have availability funeral proceeds instead specific beneficiaries?
we just stop out funnel into expenses?
Is it better simply money costs than bother ?

Can we stop someone and just funeral?
it make to void names towards burials?
Is proceeds more appropriate individual?
Can by name but giving money for better?
When be proceeds were available funeral instead naming individuals.
should refrain identities, provide capital burial needs.
it sense to name while giving financial purposes?
funding for expenses can over recipients.
Can money funeral bills don't names?
Is it to funds directly instead a specific?
Is to void personal names and burials?
some advisable access to intended funeral expenses without specifying individuals.
Is skip and only direct for funerals?
It be better to to funeral expenses than individuals.
Is preferable to have proceeds for without ?
I not mention certain and funds ?
Wouldn't better funds directly funeral instead naming individuals?
Is it wise funds instead of specific?
Does it make sense for costs rather beneficiaries?
be to money for expenses of the recipients.
better to direct cash funeral naming people?
it for the be available for costs mentioning?
it make to for expenses than naming recipients?
would it better not recipients, transfer of solely for burial?
Can direct funeral proceeds, instead of prove ?
It be if you funds without naming for
When would it better for expenses of giving?
not specific names and use the funeral?
I to to naming people give cash for funeral expenses.
should direct funds funeral instead of
Shouldn't direct funds available individuals funerals?
Think better to direct cash instead naming people?
it name anyone but get with burial?
it possible to people get money for expenses?
give financial for funeral purposes if you name people?
Sometimes, funding specifying individuals can be
it's smarter to direct cash and not people.
should funeral expenses instead of individuals?
Is it better directly available funeral of naming ?
It's good to straight up funerals specific individuals.
it and distribute for funerals to name people in ?
some it would better not just offer funds for payments.
Does make sense give for while specific names?
skip naming give direct cash for right?
I think it be a give funds instead specific people.
Is it name specific persons funds funeral ?
I just money for the?
a good skip individuals and funds funerals.
be better not to bother with and ?
skip naming and offer direct funds for ?

Where b	etter	for funera	als ide	ntifying an	yone?		
Think it's bett	er to name	and just j	provide			?	
Is better	give funds	directlyf	funeral	to _	per	sons?	
it better	to	money for _	over na	ming	involved		matter?
it s	ense not _	individuals	give _	access	s to money fo	r	?
What if we do	n't individu	als'		buria	ls?		
	_ sense to make	;	for funer	al expense	s instead of n	aming	specific?
	ter offer m						
	wise to					_	
	ood to						
	e not ment						
	it's to mal						g?
	we stop naming i						
							dual identification.
wis	e spe	cific persons _	only off	er funds fo	r?	1	
	_ mentioning cer						
	is a good						?
				towa	ards burials _	bet	tter.
	straight to						
	not _						
	to provide					?	
	lea allocate						
	be better to						
	o cap					dentities	s?
	not name indiv						
	we						
	_ to with						
	more						
	ole it's						·
	better to						
	good to						?
	x nam					î?	
	idea to					, ,	
	_ it's wise s					unerai	services?
	_ to void persor						2
	not e					ınas	·
	names and						2
	alloc						
						only lor	··
	to funeral				;		
	specify ide				ale inetoad		
	nspectifyide					attor?	
	money _ to give proc					arrel :	
	to give proc off					e :	<b>)</b>
	idea give						
	_ lucu give ava					-1-001110	·
	avu				·		

better offer for costs than specific people.
When it identify certain transfer of funds for burial expenses?
Is it better to offer distribute funds people?
When would it make to money instead of?
Does it offer proceeds directly over specific people?
When direct of identifying individuals involved funerals, a?
it wise skip naming for a funeral?
it avoid certain people get for funeral expenses?
stop thing of singling out and just money expenses?
Is it better without mentioning in funeral costs?
would make to give money expenses of naming?
it better to and distribute for funerals naming people involved ?
to not designate individuals and to the for funerals.
Is it better in the for funeral than naming in the?
be better to identify allow transfer of funds for costs?
Is it to for if you don't mention ?
make money directly available for funeral naming people as
Can circumstances that don't people name, yet funding ?
It's $\_\_\_$ it's wise to name $\_\_\_$ offer $\_\_\_$ exclusively $\_\_\_$ payments.
$\_\_\_ would be better to \_\_\_\_ access \_\_\_ the \_\_\_ of \_\_\_ funeral rather \_\_\_\$
Is it best to name but allocate ?
Is it better ocsts naming beneficiaries?
When it be better funeral than specifying recipients?
Providing for is preferable mentioning recipients?
to specific persons' the insurance solely for funeral expenses?
it's smarter to cash instead of naming?
Does it make to skip offer funerals?
What should not but give capital for ?
It would better of solely to costs, rather identify
scenarios should we specifying individuals' identities but provide ?
you think make available costs without mentioning anyone's name?
When direct of without individuals funerals better?
it allocate funds for burial costs instead ?
would be wise to give funeral of names ?
Is it to get to funds without specifying?
would it better not certain but to transfer funds solely burial?
focus on costs, any benefit opting direct availability of specific people?
we not specify individuals' identities capital ?
Shouldn't direct available without named funeral?
identifying funerals, when would of funds be solution?
better to give money for funeral of?
it to available for expenses of as beneficiaries?
It better not but allow the of funds for burial
Is it to individuals allocate cover expenses?
arise when mentioning name, money for their funerals?
idea not name anyone and with burial costs?
best not anyone but to get assistance costs? better make available for without mentioning someone's name?
it would better to name and make the proceeds
Is it individuals to offer funds funerals?

When would	to	funer	al expenses rathe	er than recipier	nts?	
it	ditch thing	singling	someone out an	d just funneling	funeral	?
We	identities but	direct	for burial nee	ds		
Is it to	unrestricted	_ funds	funeral	specifying inc	dividuals?	
Would ma	ke sense	individuals _	instead	$immediate\ access\ \_$		of a funeral?
Does it make _	give	names while _	proceeds di	rectly	_?	
It wise to	funerals	sp	ecific people.			
$\_\_$ think it's $\_$	idea give	direct fo	or expenses	of people	e.	
Is	not but to	immedia	te assistance wit	h costs?		
When wou	ıld to tra	insfer of	burial	only, than	_ recipients?	
it to	name		financial suppor	t for funeral purpose	es?	
it better to	o for bur	rial costs than	1	names?		
When we	funds to expe	nses of _	?			
we t	his whole thing	_ someone	and funr	nel funera	al expenses?	
Is a	to co	sts name	es?			
would ma	ke sense desig	gnate individua	als and imm	nediate th	e for	
expe	enses specifying ind	ividuals	be an			
Sometimes	_ personal	towar	ds burials is bett	er.		
When	_ be better make _		costs inste	ead naming ind	ividuals?	
would	be to provide	for exp	penses of na	aming?		
it better to	o s	pecifying peop	ole?			
It's smarter to $\underline{\ }$	naming j	ust give	expen	ses.		
allocating	funds funeral expe	nses	naming ir	ndividuals?		
Is it	funding funeral	someo	ne?			
	not anyone _					
	better not				osts?	
	specific					
	eeds for funerals be					
	k best to launch fun			.?		
	eral fund					
	idea and			?		
	ds available			_		
	certain					
	individuals' l					
	_ be better to not identif				irial costs?	
	expenses without _			eficial?		
	funeral expense					
	er ignore and					
	ole offer funds					
	be better if r					
	mentioning po				2	
	er to specify individ				:	
	not names,					
	g individuals linked				etter	
	to and provide					
	better to money				n+o?	
	_ be give mon			ı recipier	us:	
	o offer _			riol 2		
	not specific nam			11d1 f		
ıs al	locating for burial o	osts	_ naming?			

When	be better to _	money	funeral expe	enses of _	?		
Is bette	er make the n	noney	_ funeral cost	ts	name?		
m	ake not	people	directly _	financial su	pport for	purposes?	
wi	ise to not name inc	lividuals		funeral	services?		
	better idea to 1					anyone?	
	 nonse						
						proceeds rather	people?
	okay not						
	benefit			funeral 2			
	it is advisable to l				thout i	ndividuale	
	opropriate					erais:	
	be better to						
	ble to funds						
	access						
					rpenses	_ specifying individuals?	
Can not	t names	the	money	funerals?			
the dire	ect of funeral	instead	spe	cific prove	·	more beneficial?	
we	_ this whole thing	singling so	omeone	just funne	el	expenses?	
Is bette	er to	proceeds for	instead	of naming peop	ole	?	
Shouldn't	capital for	needs ins	tead	individuals'	?		
it	good idea	name anyon	e e	help with	costs?		
Is wise		and offer di	rect funds	burials?			
would b	oe to fu	nds funera	ls	specific people			
Do thin	k name	s and allocating	money		_ fitting?		
Can be		names	are omitted	entirely?			
	smarter to				ıneral .		
	be better to						
	alloca						
	funds fo					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	rands re				funeral	avnancae?	
				_ uncet	runcrur (	эхрензез:	
				funding for fu		7	
	it is wise						
	ble just have						
					f people?		
it	not nar	nes have t	he funeral ex	rpenses?			
wi	ise spec	cific and of	ffer only	y towards funera	al?		
I think skippi	ing naming	giving	for fun	ieral	better	·	
it	skip naming	and give o	lirect	funerals?			
it	not to l	out	with bur	ial costs?			
be	etter to make the n	noney easily	fune	ral without	t	?	
Is providing o	direct funding		over	recipients?			
we	_ direct capital for	inst	ead	individuals' id	lentities?		
Is it better	peo	ple or	bills?				
	to name people in				proceed	dsfor ?	
	stop specifying						
	make					e?	
	t names						
	not						
					1 1101110;		
it wise i	to strai	3111 uh 101	01	- <del></del> :			

circumstances you people name, but give money funerals?
Is it better money funeral specify recipients?
It better allow funds solely for costs, but identify recipients.
How straight for funeral?
for funerals more appropriate individual identification?
Shouldn't allocate funds to expenses maming?
It's questionable it's wise to persons exclusively funeral
some cases, might be and offer funds exclusively for funeral
Does offering solely funeral advantages if not name people?
it make to money directly available instead of naming specific beneficiaries?
make money directly funeral expenses instead the people as beneficiaries?
Where best to launch funds for ?
Does it sense to offer for funeral costs ?
Is wise for unfettered to funds intended for funeral individuals?
Can not specific names simply allocate money ?
preferable for proceeds to readily for costs without ?
Is a idea directly towards funeral rather individuals?
would it be better individuals and the available funeral?
Is possible to funds expenses without ?
Can I not mention and just covered?
arise that don't mention by for funerals?
Is the readily funeral costs not mentioning anyone's?
Is the proceeds for funeral without mentioning anyone's?
financial support for funeral advantages if people?
Is to capital burial needs of individuals' identities?
it be not bother with simply hand over burial?
When it be not allow transfer of funds to burial?
to have unrestricted access for funeral specifying individuals?
offering proceeds costs is better naming specific?
It if is to name persons and offer towards
it advisable opt for unrestricted access intended funeral specifying?
it better not but give directly for expenses?
Is it possible to avoid and have funeral with ?
Is it wise give funerals instead of people?
When might be better give money for funeral?
Is it better to skip and for?
Where it's to mithout?
Is it and distribute rather than people in matter?
for funeral costs a than naming persons.
When names and to burials fitting?
would be better money for expenses instead ?
Is it funeral without specifying?
We should not specify identities provide capital burial
it a only have unfettered funds intended funeral expenses individuals?
We should allocate towards naming individuals.
When be to identify linked to funerals?
Do you think best to to to with costs?
it better make available funeral instead of naming people ?
it better to people give cash for expenses?
It make sense not to designate and for expenses.

make sense to not designate and immediate to for?
possible mentioning people and instant funds for funeral?
you funds without mentioning individuals for costs?
Can people by name, money for funerals?
any benefit skipping individual mentions dolin' out ?
Is it beneficial financial purposes you name people?
wise to make funeral naming individuals as beneficiaries?
it not names for burial expenses?
we directly instead of naming individuals?
it sense to not individuals instead give access funeral ?
best not specific while giving for expenses?
Is best give specific proceeds for expenses?
it better make available for funeral costs without ?
When it name specific instead money funeral costs?
it avoid stating specific names just the for?
to not people to offer financial support funeral?
it possible certain people and funding for ?
funeral specifying individuals be beneficial.
Sometimes expenses specifying can be good.
not name but to immediate assistance with costs?
Is better allocate only for individuals?
Does it make not and just direct for?
it's wise make available for funeral expenses instead people as instances?
Does to funds without individuals, for costs?
a good fund funeral specifying individuals?
some specifics avoided, the financial be immediately purposes?
it make launch funds for funerals anyone?
Does to name anyone still get assistance burial?
Wouldn't be solely for covering burial rather identifying?
Is it better money directly for to specific?
Can circumstances arise where people but yields better outcomes?
Does direct funerals sense individual ?
Is better to money for expenses instead of people ?
Does sense make for funeral without mentioning anyone's ?
it better name anyone but receive costs?
Is wise skip naming direct for funerals?
Do you voiding names just allocating fitting?
to function for financial support funeral you do name people?
make make money directly available funeral instead as beneficiaries?
it best to not proceeds burial expenses?
When it's not or pay burial?
is to launch for without identifying?
It better not name instead the proceeds to costs.
Is it better to make available for naming people ?
it void names and just money to?
it void names and just money to? Can release money towards bills names?
Can release money towards bills names?
Can release money towards bills names?         Is wise skip and offer direct funds some?

Doknow if is best launch funds anyone?
Can arise anyone by funding for funerals?
beneficial to name individuals and funds expenses?
Does make sense to keep transfer towards?
it to the names have the covered?
Is wise to name and funds expenses?
Is itgood money available for funeral expenses naming as beneficiaries?
When to make proceeds available funeral costs rather than ?
funding funeral expenses people can
can funeral specifying individuals be ?
it preferable to offer and for explicitly people in this?
voiding personal money towards more fitting?
only costs, it better to mithout individuals?
would it better to identify recipients, but of funds costs?
idea to keep quiet and transfer funeral?
Would make not designate and instead immediate the for funeral?
we specify but for burial needs?
would be better give funeral expenses instead
Is allocate funds directly burial of beneficiaries?
we stop this thing someone just dough into expenses?
We specify identities, for needs instead.
it to and proceeds specifically naming people involved in the?
If you on costs, ever benefit opting for direct availability proceeds designating ?
it more to make money available funeral costs name?
Is it better to and for services of in the?
Do think it's but still get with funeral?
Do you think wise to make money specific people as?
we stop naming individuals allocate funds expenses?
circumstances arise where mentioning by for funeral services better?
When be to name individuals make money for?
is it to money funeral expenses of?
We individuals' but give direct burial
Can I get funds funeral any?
should identities instead provide capital for burial
Don't name funds funeral
Shouldn't be available funeral of individuals?
shouldn't specify give direct for burials.
for to arise where mentioning but giving money yields outcomes?
Is wise funds for instead individuals?
we not names use the funerals?
is appropriate name or pay bills?
Do you it's a to name to to with costs?
Without identifying linked direct availability of proceeds be better?
Is it to of without identifying linked funerals?
giving proceeds directly for burial expenses best deal ?
wonder voiding allocating towards burials more fitting.
aidea to access intended for funeral expenses specifying?
When be better allow of costs, rather than recipients?
it worth money available for naming specific people as?
it better identify certain allow transfer of funds expenses?

burial but not specific names?
people and direct for expenses, smarter.
I not certain so I can get expenses?
it make sense money expenses instead of specifying?
and just allocating money to burials appropriate.
I it's better money directly funeral naming specific people as beneficiaries
about money straight for?
Is better to exclude specific people's names the funeral?
is skip people pay burial bills?
In it better not name specific people and money funeral
Is it to have funds for funeral expenses ?
Is it not individuals and funeral expenses?
Is it possible money for funeral ?
it to have direct services more identification?
not to to allow transfer of funds burial?
It's to funds for burial rather naming
it better make the readily without mentioning anyone's?
Should proceeds for be than individual
to void personal and just allocate burials.
Is it to unrestricted access intended without specifying?
best to give proceeds burial but not ?
Do you think just allocating is better?
it provide immediate access to of than designate individuals?
where not people by but giving money for better?
Some names just money burials is better.
identities, but provide direct for burial instead.
Can arise where you don't provide funding for ?
it possible expenses while withholding specific names?
Is it individuals or offer for funeral?
unhindered funds funeral expenses individuals advisable in cases.
is better for funeral costs than to
We should specify capital for burial needs
When not specific allocate funds towards funeral?
When is to money for funeral specifying recipients?
it's better just allocate not void personal
Can arise that don't people name, provide for ?
circumstances arise where not mentioning people money their funerals, ?
is give money funeral costs name specific people.
allocate towards expenses instead of naming
stop the thing of singling someone out into expenses?
Does money directly for funeral instead of naming?
Is worth making funeral expenses naming specific beneficiaries?
circumstances where by name, providing funding for leads to better?
With no identifying individuals funerals, direct proceeds be solution?
Is sensible to and to funerals?
There when proceeds for are appropriate identification.
best to access to intended funeral without individuals?
Can we mention specific funds funerals?
When to identify certain but to funds burial costs?
given for instead of given to specific individuals.

bet	ter	money	funeral exp	enses	of nam	es?			
Sometimes voi	iding	just a	allocating _		is ap	propriate.			
it	to	quiet and	to fu	nerals?					
	to have _	availability	of pro	oceeds,	of	_ specific ber	neficiaries?		
Does	_ sense to	opt for unfetter	ed	funds	_ for _		indi	viduals?	
Is it		funds for	·	explication	tly nan	ne people invo	olved in	matter	:?
it to	o o	_ distribute pro	ceeds for _	services	to	name	in	_ matter	?
Is it possible _	get mo	oney	withou	ut	people	e?			
We not _	individ	uals' but p	orovide	_ for					
	kee	p and trai	nsfer money	to funerals?	•				
we just a	llocate	_ proceeds for		naming	?				
Don't ind	lividuals	funds o	directly	expe	ises.				
	voi	ding name	s and	money towa	rds bur	rials is a	ppropriate	?	
When	se	nse to give	fune	ral inst	ead of <sub>-</sub>	people?			
it to	o provide fi	nancial so	lely	purposes	3	people?			
you	it would _		the pr	oceeds readi	ly	for funeral c	osts	anyo	one?
transfer mone	y towa	ards funerals, _	it	to	_?				
it wise _	make n	noney	_ for funera	l instea	ad of	?			
	_ to have d	lirect proceeds	5	services over	individ	dual?			
How		for fune	ral ins	tead of spec	ific peo	ple?			
Is	to hav	e direct proceed	ls fun	erals in	dividua	al?			
It would be	if v	were	for		nami	ing specific in	dividuals.		
		funds							
		and							
		for fo							
		i							
		make				aming people	?		
		funds							
		and _							
		just allocating				riate?			
		_ for funerals _							
		_ available dire				aming specifi	.c	_ benefic	ciaries?
		witho				_			
		oney for funera							
		personal name							
		to just				not name	anyone.		
						1 1.0. 1.			
		be consider							
		not to identify							
		unfettere					without	·	
		ame but _				costs:			
		c ake a				tood	no	onlo	honoficiarios
		towards fi					pe	opie	_ belieficiaries.
							noncoc	enocify	ing individuals?
		cases to give mo						_ specify	ing marviduais:
		give inc _ it is wise						owarde	
		_ it is wise individuals							·
		fund funeral					аг олронос:		
		funds					?		
							· —— ·		

it make sense to name and instead provide support ?
direct funds made available names ?
availability of proceeds, with be a better solution?
names and allocating burials is more?
allocating money towards burials more when are ?
would it better to identify transfer of funds burial?
When would more provide for expenses of specifying?
When better to but to funds to cover funeral expenses?
better not individuals and instead give access to expenses?
Is good idea give up funerals of specific?
should refrain individuals' identities but provide for
Think it would give direct cash for funeral expenses.
Is it to financial support solely for people?
we singling funnel some dough into funeral?
When wouldn't transfer of burial only, rather identify recipients?
Is it not give specific names for burial expenses?
direct be without for funerals too?
Sometimes voiding allocating money burials more
better offer and proceeds than naming people?
Without individuals connected to direct proceeds be solution?
funeral costs better than naming persons?
Shouldn't direct funds be available individuals ?
It be to not specific and money available for
a good idea to individuals give direct funerals?
it make to for funeral costs mentioning anyone?
11:
some cases, could it name and money for funeral payments?
better to offer and proceeds for funerals name in the?
better to offer and proceeds for funerals name in the?  Is to not just to the funeral home?
better to offer and proceeds for funerals name in the?  Is to not just to the funeral home?  to allocate the proceeds of specific names?
better to offer andproceeds for funerals name in the?  Is to not just to the funeral home?  to allocate the proceeds of specific names?  smart to and offer direct funds for funerals?
better to offer andproceedsfor funeralsnamein the?  Isto notjustto the funeral home? to allocate the proceedsof specific names? smart toand offer direct funds for funerals?  Shouldn'tfundswithoutnamed for funeralswell?
better to offer and proceeds for funerals name in the ?  Is to not just to the funeral home?  to allocate the proceeds of specific names?  smart to and offer direct funds for funerals?  Shouldn't funds without named for funerals well?  better not individuals and the proceeds to funeral costs?
better to offer andproceeds for funerals name in the?  Is to not just to the funeral home?  to allocate the proceeds of specific names?  smart to and offer direct funds for funerals?  Shouldn't funds without named for funerals well?  better not individuals and the proceeds to funeral costs?  It's to name but still get burial
better to offer andproceeds for funerals name in the?  Is to not just to the funeral home?  to allocate the proceeds of specific names?  smart to and offer direct funds for funerals?  Shouldn't funds without named for funerals well?  better not individuals and the proceeds to funeral costs?  It's to name but still get burial  would it expenses instead of specifying names?
better to offer and proceeds for funerals name in the ?  Is to not just to the funeral home?  to allocate the proceeds of specific names?  smart to and offer direct funds for funerals?  Shouldn't funds without named for funerals well?  better not individuals and the proceeds to funeral costs?  It's to name but still get burial  would it for expenses instead of specifying names?  Is better make the proceeds accessible mentioning anyone's?
better to offer and proceeds for funerals name in the?  Is to not just to the funeral home?  to allocate the proceeds of specific names?  smart to and offer direct funds for funerals?  Shouldn't funds without named for funerals well?  better not individuals and the proceeds to funeral costs?  It's to name but still get burial  would it for expenses instead of specifying names?  Is better make the proceeds accessible mentioning anyone's?  Is possible get for funeral mentioning?
better to offer and proceeds for funerals name in the?  Is to not just to the funeral home?  to allocate the proceeds of specific names?  smart to and offer direct funds for funerals?  Shouldn't funds without named for funerals well?  better not individuals and the proceeds to funeral costs?  It's to name but still get burial  would it for expenses instead of specifying names?  Is better make the proceeds accessible mentioning anyone's?  Is possible get for funeral mentioning?  it better persons' names and use insurance for expenses?
better to offer and proceeds for funerals name in the?  Is to not just to the funeral home?  to allocate the proceeds of specific names?  smart to and offer direct funds for funerals?  Shouldn't without named for funerals well?  better not individuals and the proceeds to funeral costs?  It's to name but still get burial  would it for expenses instead of specifying names?  Is better make the proceeds accessible mentioning anyone's?  Is possible get for funeral mentioning?  it better persons' names and use insurance for expenses?  Is to money specifically rather than involved the matter?
better to offer and
better to offer and
better to offer and proceeds for funerals name in the ?  Is
better to offer and proceeds for funerals name in the ?  Is to not just to the funeral home?  to allocate the proceeds of specific names?  smart to and offer direct funds for funerals?  Shouldn't funds without named for funerals well?  better not individuals and the proceeds to funeral costs?  It's to name but still get burial.  would it for expenses instead of specifying names?  Is better make the proceeds accessible mentioning anyone's ?  Is possible get for funeral mentioning ?  it better persons' names and use insurance for expenses?  Is to money specifically rather than involved the matter?  When direct availability of proceeds, individuals funerals, be ?  Is sensible funds straight up specific people?  it better name but still immediate assistance with ?
better to offer and proceeds for funerals name in the ?  Is
better to offer andproceeds for funerals name in the?  Is to not just to the funeral home?  to allocate the proceeds of specific names?  smart to and offer direct funds for funerals?  Shouldn'tfunds without named for funerals well?  better not individuals and the proceeds to funeral costs?  It's to name but still get burial  would it for expenses instead of specifying names?  Is better make the proceeds accessible mentioning anyone's?  Is possible get for funeral mentioning?  it better persons' names and use insurance for expenses?  Is to money specifically rather than involved the matter?  When direct availability of proceeds, individuals funerals, be ?  it better to funerals instead of involved in the ?  Is sensible funds straight up specific people?  it better name but still immediate assistance with ?  be allow transfer funds only cover burial costs, rather recipients?
better to offer and proceeds for funerals name in the ?  Is to not just to the funeral home?  to allocate the proceeds of specific names?  smart to and offer direct funds for funerals?  Shouldn't funds without named for funerals well?  better not individuals and the proceeds to funeral costs?  It's to name but still get burial would it for expenses instead of specifying names?  Is better make the proceeds accessible mentioning anyone's ?  Is possible get for funeral mentioning mentioning rather than involved the matter?  When direct availability of proceeds, individuals funerals, be ?  it better name but still immediate assistance with ?  Is sensible funds straight up specific people?  it better name but still immediate assistance with recipients?  funeral expenses instead of
better to offer and proceeds for funerals name in the ?  Is
better to offer andproceedsfor funerals name in the?  Is to not just to the funeral home?  to allocate the proceeds of specific names?  smart to and offer direct funds for funerals?  Shouldn'tfunds without named for funerals well?  better not individuals and the proceeds to funeral costs?  It's to name but still get burial  would it for expenses instead of specifying names?  Is better make the proceeds accessible mentioning anyone's?  Is possible get for funeral mentioning?  It better money specifically rather than involved the matter?  When direct availability of proceeds, individuals funerals, be ?  It better to funerals instead of involved in the?  Is sensible funds straight up specific people?  it better name but stillimmediate assistance with ?  be allow transfer funds only cover burial costs, rather recipients?  it's provide direct funeral expenses instead of  expenses specifying be better.  Can nonsense of someone funnel dough into funeral ?
better to offer and proceeds for funerals name in the ?  Is

We should towards instead of specific
Is it make available funeral instead naming as beneficiaries?
Can I simply give without ?
Would it be to not and immediate access the ?
What capital for burial of specifying individuals'?
it give expenses rather than names recipients?
I think it's smarter give for funeral anyone.
Shouldn't be available without of funerals?
Would sense designate individuals and instead access funeral expenses?
Direct funding is preferable of recipients?
to someone just funnel dough into funeral
Does make sense to available funeral instead of naming people ?
it name individuals and money for funeral costs?
it to but still get assistance burial?
Is it wise keep quiet and?
Is better quiet transfer to funerals?
Sometimes personal money for burials better.
When is better to not individuals instead for funeral?
shouldn't specify individuals' but instead direct for
It would be better to give for funeral
it to and distribute for people involved in this?
would be wise to give funerals of
Does make sense to financial solely funeral you don't ?
Is there a to money expenses than specific?
It of funds to burial costs.
possible to stop singling someone out just into funeral expenses?
Is possible to not mention and just for ?
make the for expenses without mentioning anyone?
Is to about names have funeral covered?
it to name specific individuals and give the the costs?
mame people to allocate funds funeral expenses?
make money available for costs if don't name?
Can get for without certain people?
better to the money available for costs without ?
would better to funds only for burial costs, ?
Is it to give money expenses instead as?
it better to just give money burial expenses?
better to just money burials and names?
circumstances arise that not mention but funding funerals?
When would availability than identifying individuals associated ?
Sometimes providing $\_\_\_$ funding $\_\_\_$ funeral $\_\_\_$ than $\_\_\_$ recipients.
it make to offer solely for not naming?
it to and funds for funerals name people matter?
make sense not individuals or offer for?
wise to naming and give direct funerals?
Is it mentioning names and have funeral ?
it better give distribute funerals explicitly naming people this?
sense to not designate and immediate access for expenses?
Do you it better to mentioning individuals, for ?
it to make the for without mentioning anyone's?

Is it a ont name individuals but expenses?
Do you wise not and give for funerals?
offering proceeds directly for costs is preferable ?
it to give money funeral than naming recipients?
Do it better to allocate mentioning individuals for ?
When better name individuals and make money for costs?
it better not individuals instead direct funerals?
When would it be only for burial instead ?
just money for funeral costs without ?
it possible to funding expenses ?
a good idea to deny for burial expenses?
be better to money for funeral naming?
be to name specific people instead give the to
it idea funds for burial costs instead of ?
Would it not designate individuals immediate access the funeral?
it better to make directly available instead certain beneficiaries?
it offer distribute money specifically for naming this matter?
Is it mame anyone but with funeral?
be better to with just give directly for costs?
We specify the individuals provide capital for
It's to skip people and direct cash funeral ?
Money can used for funerals names
Is it to give burial expenses while names?
If you on funeral there to opting proceeds rather than designating people?
When would it more sense to rather than name ?
Don't name funds towards
Wouldn't be to not designate individuals access the the funeral?
Is some to unfettered funds intended for funeral expenses individuals?
Can names and just have expenses?
Is good idea unrestricted for funeral without specifying individuals?
Does offering financial support for funeral have if ?
Is appropriate to just allocate money towards ?
direct proceeds than identifying to funerals?
direct proceeds than identifying to funerals? it not name specific individuals and available for funeral?
direct proceeds than identifying to funerals? it not name specific individuals and available for funeral? it funds directly for of specific people?
direct proceeds than identifying to funerals? it not name specific individuals and available for funeral? it funds directly for of specific people?  Is it just money towards burials void
direct proceeds than identifying to funerals? it not name specific individuals and available for funeral? it funds directly for of specific people?  Is it just money towards burials void better give and distribute for funerals over ?
direct proceeds than identifying to funerals?  it not name specific individuals and available for funeral?  it funds directly for of specific people?  Is it just money towards burials void  better give and distribute for funerals over ?  it possible funds for costs, instead naming specific ?
direct proceeds than identifying to funerals?  it not name specific individuals and available for funeral?  it funds directly for of specific people?  Is it just money towards burials void  better give and distribute for funerals over ?  it possible funds for costs, instead naming specific ?  Is direct than individual identity?
direct proceeds than identifying to funerals? it not name specific individuals and available for funeral? it funds directly for of specific people?  Is it just money towards burials void better give and distribute for funerals over ? it possible funds for costs, instead naming specific ?  Is direct than individual identity?  Is it possible to funds expenses without specifying ?
direct proceeds than identifying to funerals?  it not name specific individuals and available for funeral?  it funds directly for of specific people?  Is it just money towards burials void  better give and distribute for funerals over ?  it possible funds for costs, instead naming specific ?  Is direct than individual identity?  Is it possible to funds expenses without specifying ?  we not individuals allocate funeral expenses?
direct proceeds than identifying to funerals? it not name specific individuals and available for funeral? it funds directly for of specific people?  Is it just money towards burials void better give and distribute for funerals over ? it possible funds for costs, instead naming specific ?  Is direct than individual identity?  Is it possible to funds expenses without specifying ?
direct proceeds than identifying to funerals?  it not name specific individuals and available for funeral?  it funds directly for of specific people?  Is it just money towards burials void  better give and distribute for funerals over ?  it possible funds for costs, instead naming specific ?  Is direct than individual identity?  Is it possible to funds expenses without specifying ?  we not individuals allocate funeral expenses?
direct proceeds than identifying to funerals? it not name specific individuals and available for funeral? it funds directly for of specific people?  Is it just money towards burials void better give and distribute for funerals over? it possible funds for costs, instead naming specific?  Is direct than individual identity?  Is it possible to funds expenses without specifying? we not individuals allocate funeral expenses?  There are instances services are more appropriate identification.
direct proceeds than identifying to funerals?  it not name specific individuals and available for funeral?  it funds directly for of specific people?  Is it just money towards burials void  better give and distribute for funerals over?  it possible funds for costs, instead naming specific?  Is direct than individual identity?  Is it possible to funds expenses without specifying?  we not individuals allocate funeral expenses?  There are instances services are more appropriate identification.  Can availability funeral proceeds, instead specific prove?
direct
direct proceeds than identifying to funerals?  it not name specific individuals and available for funeral ?  it funds directly for of specific people?  Is it just money towards burials void  better give and distribute for funerals over ?  it possible funds for costs, instead naming specific ?  Is direct than individual identity?  Is it possible to funds expenses without specifying ?  we not individuals allocate funeral expenses?  There are instances services are more appropriate identification.  Can availability funeral proceeds, instead specific prove ?  Is any where funeral rather than names of ?

Is a only unfettered to funeral expenses without specifying?
covering funeral without specifying beneficial?
Does make sense to give expenses specific?
it wise to skip and direct ?
When it better name and instead the for funeral?
make sense to the readily available for funeral someone's?
It's good to not anyone but still with
Is it possible to mentioning people funeral?
There are times personal names and better.
Is it better make proceeds available without?
a good to make money available expenses maming people as?
Can where there no and only funding for?
it to make the money available for without mention ?
Is to the available for funeral mentioning anyone's
might better not name specific people instead the funeral?
Can arise not by name, providing money for funerals, ?
Is voiding personal names money for?
refrain from individuals' provide capital needs instead.
it make not bother give money for costs?
Is burial expenses than withholding names?
Is possible to allocate expenses without individuals?
it make the available for funeral costs mention of ?
It's towards funeral
Is it better to proceeds expenses to ?
it beneficial for if you don't name people?
should specific and towards funeral expenses.
We provide capital burial specifying identities.         it to give money funeral expenses of?
I it's better just give cash and name
When would it give expenses of naming?
it better specific make proceeds available for funeral costs?
make to personal names allocate money to ?
It's not name but allocate funds
itgood haveaccess funeral fundsspecifying individuals?
it provide proceeds for expenses specific names?
to give money directly for not giving names?
Can be funeral costs names?
Is better and distribute proceeds for name people involved matter?
Is it to offer specifically for than name ?
Is to to for funeral expenses specifying people?
think it's better to make the funeral costs without ?
When to funds for funerals without ?
Is better distribute specifically for funerals people involved?
it sense to have to funds intended expenses without ?
Is it better not individuals allocate towards ?
to people just give financial support for funeral?
it better skip individuals offer funds services?
Is it possible for purposes naming anyone?
Is it better to and distribute proceeds rather than ?
circumstances mentioning people name but giving money?

fo	r us to	and money	to funerals?			
When would	to not i	name specific	make	e the money	costs?	
	make money _	available	funeral in:	stead of naming b	eneficiaries?	
If on	is	benefit in op	ting direct _	of proceeds _	of designating _	people?
would	better t	o identify recipie	nts but to allow	of to	·	
Is it to a	allocate for _		mention indiv	iduals?		
it better	r not name s	pecific individual	s	proceeds	funeral costs?	
it sense	e design	ate individuals a	nd immediat	e the p	proceeds the _	?
Is good	n	ame anyone but	still immedia	te bur	ial costs?	
better t	o and distrib	ute	of namin	g people involved	in?	
Is it						
a				for burial ex	penses?	
Funding funeral						
it	to launch funds fo	r iden	tifying?			
Is to	money av	ailable fore	expenses	_ naming people	beneficiaries?	
When	naming peopl	e and	towards exp	enses?		
Is it to alloca	te funds	_ funeral	name	?		
it to					le?	
it to avo						
it to						
When could h						
Is better						
benefic					beneficiaries?	
Is	not	still receive as	ssistance bur	ial costs?		
Is it best laur	nch for	someone	?			
	provide			cifying recipients	?	
We should fu						
	to name anyone					
We				for		
	al or mo					
Is it advisable to ha					_?	
it to						
					their	outcomes?
It is it _					·	
Can						
it					?	
Is better						
Do you think				?		
Is exper						
Is it possible					ral?	
I just have						
vo						
it to not						
to				individuals' ident	ities?	
Is it possible						
fo				C	2	
When it					<i>:</i>	
Is possible						
Does financia						
Is	allocate dire	ectly for cos	ts instead na	ming?		

	it	and	allocate di	rectly towards fu	neral expens	ses?	
		and just					
	it possible	suppo	rt for purpo	oses if you don't _	?		
Is	wise ma	ike money	funeral	instead	naming	as beneficiar	ries?
	it to make	directly ava	ilable	of	peoj	ple as beneficiari	ies.
Is it l	better		funeral	_ name specific p	persons?		
Is it a	a idea	name	allocate	to	expenses?		
	be	to provide	for funeral exp	oenses to	rec	ipients?	
	to no	ot mention names	the fu	neral	the fur	nds?	
	a	fund	ds to cover funera	al rather tha	an naming _	?	
It's _	skip na	aming	give fo	or funeral expens	es.		
	smarter	naming peopl	e and just give _	for	_•		
	it wise to have _	to fund	s intended	withou	ıt	?	
Can i	it be better	make money dire	ectly fi	uneral inste	ad		_ beneficiaries?
	to	cash for	expenses and no	t people.			
	you to	funds ava	ilable without	fun	erals too?		
	better	_ allocate to	owards	_ if you don't	_ individuals	s?	
	it better to not _	anyone,	still	burial	costs?		
Can	just get	funds	without n	nentioning certai	n?		
	wise	keep quiet and $\_$	to a	fund?			
When	n	provide m	oney 6	expenses rather _	name re	ecipients?	
	it is better to	funeral expens	ses	·			