A Blockchain Enabled WS Agreements Framework

Research Proposal byStefan Starflinger

A document submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of ${\it Master~of~Science}$

at University of Vienna



Abstract

Decentralized utility computing will become a reality. Currently, autonomous negotiation requires trust between provider and consumer. In this paper we look at how to make deals without the need for trust. Anyone will be able to sell their computing capacity on a utility computing marketplace.

Contents

1	Inte	ODUCTION	1
	1.1	Why?	1
	1.2	How?	1
	1.3	Features	1
		1.3.1 Typesetting mathematics	2
		1.3.2 Typesetting text	2
	1.4	Changing things	3
2	Вьо	CKCHAIN	5
		2.0.1 Bitcoin	5
		2.0.2 Ethereum	6
		2.0.3 Iota	7
		2.0.4 Stellar	7
		2.0.5 Cardano	7
		2.0.6 Neo	7
		2.0.7 Hyperledger	7
	2.1	Comparing Implementations	7
	2.2	Choosing an Implementation	8
3	WEB	Service Agreements	9
	3.1	Service Level Agreements (SLA)	9
	3.2	Web Service Agreement Specification	9
		3.2.1 JSON representation	9
4	Neg	DTIATION	13
	4.1	Why?	13
5	Con	TRACTS	15
	5.1	Why?	15
A	CRONY	MS	17
Gı	LOSSA	Y.	19
RI	BLIOG	RAPHY	21

1 Introduction

In which the reasons for creating this package are laid bare for the whole world to see and we encounter some usage guidelines.

This package contains a minimal, modern template for writing your thesis. While originally meant to be used for a Ph. D. thesis, you can equally well use it for your honour thesis, bachelor thesis, and so on—some adjustments may be necessary, though.

1.1 WHY?

I was not satisfied with the available templates for LATEX and wanted to heed the style advice given by people such as Robert Bringhurst [?] or Edward R. Tufte [??]. While there *are* some packages out there that attempt to emulate these styles, I found them to be either too bloated, too playful, or too constraining. This template attempts to produce a beautiful look without having to resort to any sort of hacks. I hope you like it.

1.2 How?

The package tries to be easy to use. If you are satisfied with the default settings, just add

\documentclass{mimosis}

at the beginning of your document. This is sufficient to use the class. It is possible to build your document using either LATEX, XALATEX, or LuaLATEX. I personally prefer one of the latter two because they make it easier to select proper fonts.

1.3 FEATURES

The template automatically imports numerous convenience packages that most important ones. Let's briefly discuss some examples below. Please refer to the source code for more demonstrations.

Package	Purpose
amsmath	Basic mathematical typography
amsthm	Basic mathematical environments for proofs etc.
booktabs	Typographically light rules for tables
bookmarks	Bookmarks in the resulting PDF
dsfont	Double-stroke font for mathematical concepts
graphicx	Graphics
hyperref	Hyperlinks
multirow	Permits table content to span multiple rows or columns
paralist	Paragraph ('in-line') lists and compact enumerations
scrlayer-scrpage	Page headings
setspace	Line spacing
siunitx	Proper typesetting of units
subcaption	Proper sub-captions for figures

Table 1.1: A list of the most relevant packages required (and automatically imported) by this template.

1.3.1 Typesetting mathematics

This template uses amsmath and amssymb, which are the de-facto standard for typesetting mathematics. Use numbered equations using the equation environment. If you want to show multiple equations and align them, use the align environment:

$$V := \{1, 2, \ldots\} \tag{1.1}$$

$$E := \{(u, v) \mid \operatorname{dist}(p_u, p_v) \le \epsilon\}$$
(1.2)

Define new mathematical operators using \DeclareMathOperator. Some operators are already predefined by the template, such as the distance between two objects. Please see the template for some examples. Moreover, this template contains a correct differential operator. Use \diff to typeset the differential of integrals:

$$f(u) := \int_{v \in \mathbb{D}} \operatorname{dist}(u, v) \, \mathrm{d}v \tag{1.3}$$

You can see that, as a courtesy towards most mathematicians, this template gives you the possibility to refer to the real numbers $\mathbb R$ and the domain $\mathbb D$ of some function. Take a look at the source for more examples. By the way, the template comes with spacing fixes for the automated placement of brackets.

1.3.2 Typesetting text

Along with the standard environments, this template offers paralist for lists within paragraphs. Here's a quick example: The American constitution speaks, among others, of (i) life (ii) liberty (iii) the pursuit of happiness. These should be added in equal measure to your own conduct. To

typeset units correctly, use the siunitx package. For example, you might want to restrict your daily intake of liberty to 750 mg.

Likewise, as a small pet peeve of mine, I offer specific operators for *ordinals*. Use \th to typeset things like July 4^{th} correctly. Or, if you are referring to the 2^{nd} edition of a book, please use \nd. Likewise, if you came in 3^{rd} in a marathon, use \rd. This is my 1^{st} rule.

1.4 Changing things

Since this class heavily relies on the scrbook class, you can use *their* styling commands in order to change the look of things. For example, if you want to change the text in sections to bold you can just use

\setkomafont{sectioning}{\normalfont\bfseries}

at the end of the document preamble—you don't have to modify the class file for this. Please consult the source code for more information.

2 BLOCKCHAIN

Blockchain technology was developed in the wake of the 2008 financial crisis. Today, it could help shape the future of a borderless financial world. It eradicates the need for a trusted third party, instead we lay our trust in a public protocol. The blockchain protocol combines a reward system with a consensus algorithm in a novel way, which keeps the system secure and stable. As an example, a bad actor would earn more money if he helped secure the system than if he tried to attack it.

The reason trust is no longer necessary is that all of the code is open source. Thus, the protocol is easily available. This means, given enough time, anyone can understand the protocol and validate that it is does what it advertises. In the case of Bitcoin, an example of such a guarantee would be that only the holder of the private key, of a unspent transaction output (UTXO) can sign a transaction for his Bitcoin address. There are currently many different implementations of the Blockchain technology and that is partly because it is difficult to find a protocol that we can all agree upon.

Transactions by the ledger are pseudonymous. This means that future revelations of identity can be disastrous. It is the modern way of storing money under the bed. Well, not quite the Blockchain has many usecases although most have yet to be realized; one thing is sure, it is not solely a currency revolution.

How might the Blockchain technology be relevant in trying to reach an agreement between a provider and a consumer. When you face this decision, the first question should be:

Do you trust your negotiation partner?

If the answer is *yes*, then it is not necessary for you to use a Blockchain. Yet if the answer is *maybe* or *no* then a Blockchain might be a good idea. Below we will look at what kind of Blockchain might be suitable for bilateral negotiation and how the individuals would benefit from using a Blockchain instead of a regular database.

2.0.1 BITCOIN

The idea for a peer to peer cash system named Bitcoin was first brought forward by Satoshi Nakamoto in his paper [3]. There he described a electronic cash system that does not need a trusted third party to perform payments. Together with a group of developers Satoshi Nakamoto went on a mission, to bring his idea to life. He drew his motivation from the 2008 financial crisis, where banks brought ruin over the global financial markets. The fundamental idea behind Bitcoin is now known as the Blockchain, which has the potential to revolutionize our industry.

Double Spending

The major crux, of realizing an electronic cash system, is the double spending problem. Basically, it sums up to ensuring that when Alice receives a payment from Bob, how can she be sure that Bob has not already spent that money somewhere else. That is where the distributed ledger technology (DLT) comes into play. It is a basic ledger equipped with cryptographic proofs. To prevent double spending, the ledger is secured through a mechanism called proof of work.

Proof of Work

The cornerstone of why Bitcoin works, is its Proof of Work (PoW) algorithm. It rewards honest nodes in the peer to peer network and punishes dishonest nodes, at the same time. The nodes that secure the network (the honest nodes) have the possibility to receive a reward for their work. The work that needs to be done serves only to reach consensus and keep the network secure. Honest nodes that secure the network are also known as miners. These miners perform hash operations and the first miner to calculate a hash lower than the difficulty is rewarded by the protocol with a predetermined amount of Bitcoin. Further, the algorithm used for mining is the hashcash $sha256^2$.

As an analogy one can image the protocol to work similar to the following. Imagine the Blockchain as a puzzle, where each piece only has two sides. This would mean that a new piece can only be placed at the end of the current chain. The image depicted by each puzzle piece are the transactions in a block. The miners, as quickly as possible, take out pieces from a huge bag. To be more precise, in the bag that the miners choose puzzle pieces from, there are around $2^{256} = 1.158 * 10^{77}$ possible combinations. Only a small subset of the combinations determined by the difficulty, is eligible for a reward. They check if the piece fits, if it does they pass a copy of that puzzle piece to all other nodes and get a reward from the protocol. Although, the other miners only accept the piece if the image fits to the previous pieces i.e. if the transactions in the block are not already spent.

2.0.2 ETHEREUM

First proposed by Vitalik Buterin in 2013 as an alternative to the Bitcoin. The general idea was to create a turing-complete language that can be run on the Blockchain. This idea was novel compared to the simple scripting language that Bitcoin implements. Through the turing complete language Buterin gave developers a playground on which they could develop their trust-less decentralized ideas. These are currently called decentralized applications or dapps short.

Previously called the DAO project. It allows users to build decentralized applications (dapps) on top of its Blockchain. It has a quite different technical implementation than Bitcoin but follows the Blockchain fundamentals. From all of the current projects Ethereum is one of the most promising.

Ethereum is built with merkle trees.

Ethereum allows users to write smart contracts using its solidity programming language. The programming language is compiled down and run on a virtual machine similar to what Javascript does. This VM is called the Ethereum Virtual Machine (EVM).

Blockchain	Genesis	Consensus	# Clients	Replications	Mining/Security
Bitcoin	2009	Proof of Work	1	1234	
Ethereum	2009	Proof of Work	1	1234	
Iota	2009	Proof of Work	1	1234	
Stellar	2009	Proof of Work	1	1234	
Cardano	2009	Proof of Work	1	1234	
Neo	2009	Proof of Work	1	1234	
Hyperledger	2009	Proof of Work	1	1234	

Table 2.1: A table comparing different distributed ledger technologies.

2.0.3 Iota

Bitcoin being the first implementation of a distributed ledger has quite some flaws. Iota tries to tackle these flaws, keeping the internet of things (IOT) in mind. For example, with the Bitcoin protocol micro transactions are not economically viable, since the transaction fee is too high. In the whitepaper [4] problems with the Bitcoin protocol are discussed by presenting an alternative solution, Iota.

One of the key points with the Iota distributed ledger is that it does not have transaction fees. Instead, before broadcasting a transaction, peers need to secure the network by performing a proof of work for two previous transactions. In doing so, Iota does not split the network in two groups, the miners and the users. Another key is that instead of having a single chain Iota went for a graph approach, a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) to be precise.

2.0.4 STELLAR

2.0.5 CARDANO

2.0.6 Neo

Similar to Ethereum being developed in China

2.0.7 Hyperledger

A private implementation of Ethereum with a pluggable consensus algorithm. Open sourced by the linux foundation.

2.1 Comparing Implementations

In the following sections we will look more closely at different implementations of the Blockchain technology. As of this writing there are more than a thousand cryptocurrencies. To get a good overview we will look at different approaches and exclude hardforks/clones, ICOs and cryptocurrencies without a working product.

2.2 Choosing an Implementation

3 Web Service Agreements

Establishing agreements typically between a provider and a consumer [1].

In this chapter we will look into more detail about how a provider and a consumer can come to an agreement, what information needs to be exchanged and what kind of interface is necessary to store the relevant information in the blockchain. []

3.1 Service Level Agreements (SLA)

Service level agreements are legally binding agreements about the service that is provided to a consumer. Similarly Irfan UI Haq defined it as, "A Service Level Agreement is a formal, legal contract between a service provider and a consumer that specifies, in quantifiable terms, what service level guarantees the service provider will deliver, and it defines the consequences (penalties) if the service provider fails to follow through with said commitments." [?]. To this definition there are two main parts. The first, is that the extent of the commitment that the provider is willing to offer to the consumer must be clearly laid out. The second, is the punishment that is due if the provider is not able to uphold his commitment. Before a SLA is binding it needs to go through a negotiation process, which will be looked at more closely in a later chapter. For now, it is enough to realize that it is an agreement between two parties over a range of attributes that a service must provide.

3.2 Web Service Agreement Specification

This specification provides templates and a semantic description of the elements in an agreement using the XML markup language.

3.2.1 JSON REPRESENTATION

In order to better understand the WS-Agreement Specification we wrote up a JSON representation of the example XML schema described.

The abstract overview of an Agreement would look like this:

```
"Agreement": {
   "id": "Unique identifier of the agreement version (increment identifier for each new version)",
   "Name": "Optional, name of the agreement",
   "Context": "Who is involved, what the services are and the duration.",
```

```
"Terms": "Terms of the agreement with one or more service definition terms and zero or more guarantee
  }
}
  Looking more closely at the Context of the Agreement.
{
  "Context": {
    "AgreementInitiator": "The entity that initiates the agreement",
    "AgreementResponder": "Optional, entity that responds to the agreement creation request.",
    "ServiceProvider": "The entity that provides the service",
    "ExpirationTime": "The date-time when this agreement is no longer valid",
    "TemplateId": "Required, The Id of the template this agreement was built upon",
    "TemplateName": "Optional, The name of the template this agreement was built upon",
    "any": "Additional values may be specified",
  }
}
  Lastly the Agreement consists of multiple terms
{
  "Terms": {
    "Service": {
      "DescriptionTerm": {
        "Name": "local name",
        "ServiceName": "global name",
        "description": "Additional values to describe the service"
      }
      "Reference": {
        "Name": "local name",
        "ServiceName": "global name",
        "reference": ""
      }
      "Properties": {
        "Name": "local name",
        "ServiceName": "global name",
        "Variables": [{
          "Name": "Gas",
          "Metric": "barrels" ,
          "Location": "reference e.g. XPATH",
        }]
      }
    },
    "Guarantee": {
      "Name": "specify promises and penalties",
```

```
"Obligated": "",
    "ServiceScope": {
        "Name": "",
        "Value": "",
    }
    "QualifyingCondition": "",
    "ServiceLevelObjective": "",
    "BusinessValueList": ""
}
}
```

4 NEGOTIATION

In which the reasons for creating this package are laid bare for the whole world to see and we encounter some usage guidelines.

This package contains a minimal, modern template for writing your thesis. While originally meant to be used for a Ph. D. thesis, you can equally well use it for your honour thesis, bachelor thesis, and so on—some adjustments may be necessary, though.

4.1 WHY?

I was not satisfied with the available templates for LaTeX and wanted to heed the style advice given by people such as Robert Bringhurst [?] or Edward R. Tufte [??]. While there *are* some packages out there that attempt to emulate these styles, I found them to be either too bloated, too playful, or too constraining. This template attempts to produce a beautiful look without having to resort to any sort of hacks. I hope you like it.

5 CONTRACTS

In which the reasons for creating this package are laid bare for the whole world to see and we encounter some usage guidelines.

This package contains a minimal, modern template for writing your thesis. While originally meant to be used for a Ph. D. thesis, you can equally well use it for your honour thesis, bachelor thesis, and so on—some adjustments may be necessary, though.

5.1 WHY?

I was not satisfied with the available templates for LATEX and wanted to heed the style advice given by people such as Robert Bringhurst [?] or Edward R. Tufte [??]. While there *are* some packages out there that attempt to emulate these styles, I found them to be either too bloated, too playful, or too constraining. This template attempts to produce a beautiful look without having to resort to any sort of hacks. I hope you like it.

ACRONYMS

PCA Principal component analysis

SNF Smith normal form
TDA Topological data analysis

GLOSSARY

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Andrieux, Alain; Czajkowski, Karl; Dan, Asit; Keahey, Kate; Ludwig, Heiko; Nakata, Toshiyuki; Pruyne, Jim; Rofrano, John; Tuecke, Steve; Xu, Ming: Web services agreement specification (WS-Agreement). In: *Open grid forum* Bd. 128, 2007, S. 216
- [2] BLOCHER, Prof. Dr. Dr. W.: The next big thing: Blockchain Bitcoin Smart Contracts. In: 71. Deutscher Juristentag, 2016
- [3] NAKAMOTO, Satoshi: Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system. (2008)
- [4] Popov, Serguei: The Tangle. (2018), February