# Honours Analysis Workshops

Christopher Shen

Winter 2023

## Contents

Workshop 6	3
Workshop 7	13
Workshop 8	21
Workshop 9	25
Workshop 10	34

## Workshop 6

1. Consider real function  $f = x^2$ . We know that f is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , since it is a polynomial. So for  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  the  $\epsilon - \delta$  definition states:

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}; \ \forall \epsilon > 0; \ \exists \delta > 0; \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}; \ |x - \alpha| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(\alpha)| < \epsilon$$

Now we set  $\alpha > 1$  and  $\epsilon = 1$ . Find **best** possible  $\delta = \delta(\epsilon)$ .

So whilst we can choose  $1 > \delta(\delta + 2\alpha)$ , this is certainly not the best bound.

Consider this approach instead:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha - \delta < x < \alpha + \delta &\implies \alpha^2 - 1 < x^2 < \alpha^2 + 1 \\ &\implies \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 1} < x < \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 1} \end{aligned}$$

i.e. since we have an implication:

$$\sqrt{\alpha^2 - 1} < \alpha - \delta < x < \alpha + \delta < \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 1}$$

This is really 4 inequalities, and we need to choose the best 2. So:

$$\sqrt{\alpha^2 - 1} < \alpha - \delta \implies \delta < \alpha - \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 1}$$

$$\alpha + \delta < \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 1} \implies \delta < -\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 1}$$

We can prove that  $-\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 1} > -\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 1}$  by contradiction. Now for the lower bound:

$$\sqrt{\alpha^2 - 1} < \alpha + \delta \implies -\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 1} < \delta$$

$$\alpha - \delta < \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 1} \implies \alpha - \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 1} < \delta$$

By contradiction we have  $\alpha - \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 1} > -\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 1}$ . Hence:

$$\alpha - \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 1} < \delta < -\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 1}$$

? our lower bound is wrong?

#### Another approach

We begin from here:

$$\alpha - \delta < x < \alpha + \delta \implies \alpha^2 - 1 < x^2 < \alpha^2 + 1$$
$$\implies \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 1} < x < \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 1}.$$

It is clear from a graph that the distance from any x to  $\alpha$  cannot exceed either  $\alpha-\sqrt{\alpha^2-1}$  or  $\sqrt{\alpha^2+1}-\alpha$  for our function to be continuous.

2. Now define  $f:[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  with rule  $f(x) = x^2$ .

Show: 
$$\forall \epsilon > 0$$
;  $\exists \delta = \epsilon/2$ ;  $\forall x, \alpha \in [0, 1]$ ;  $|x - \alpha| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(\alpha)| < \epsilon$ 

*Proof.* We really should take the hint when given. Note that  $\forall x, \alpha \in [0, 1]$ :

$$|x + \alpha| < |x| + |\alpha| \le 2$$

by the triangle inequality. (helpful to think of a triangle)

Since polynomials are continuous we apply the  $\epsilon-\delta$  continuity definition:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0; \, \exists \delta > 0; \, \forall x, \alpha \in [0,1]; \, |x-\alpha| < \delta \implies |x^2 - \alpha^2| < \epsilon$$

Consider the final line:

$$|x^{2} - \alpha^{2}| = |x + \alpha||x - \alpha|$$
$$< 2|x - \alpha|$$

So if we choose  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  given any  $\epsilon$  then  $|x - \alpha| < \epsilon$  and

$$|x^2 - \alpha^2| < \epsilon.$$

3. Consider function  $f:(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$  with rule  $f(x)=\frac{1}{x}$ .

Is this function uniformly continuous?

So firstly uniform continuity only makes sense if our function is already continuous. Since x=0 is removed, our function is continuous and we may consider uniform continuity.

Here we claim that f is **not** uniformly continuous.

Note that the following two notions of uniform continuity is equivalent:

• 
$$\forall \epsilon > 0; \ \exists \delta > 0; \ \forall x, y \in I; \ |x - y| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$$

• 
$$\forall s_n, t_n \in I$$
;  $\lim_{n \to \infty} |s_n - t_n| = 0 \implies \lim_{n \to \infty} |f(s_n) - f(t_n)| = 0$ 

given function  $f:I\to\mathbb{R}$ . This makes our life easy. To disprove uniform continuity we just need to negate the second condition:

$$\exists s_n, t_n \in I; \lim_{n \to \infty} |s_n - t_n| = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} |f(s_n) - f(t_n)| \neq 0.$$

Choose  $s_n = \frac{1}{n}$  and  $t_n = \frac{2}{n}$  and we are finished.

4. Consider function  $f:[a,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$  for a>0 and  $f(x)=\frac{1}{x}$ . Is this function uniformly continuous?

*Proof.* We claim that f is uniformly continuous.

So we need:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0; \exists \delta > 0; \forall x, y \in [a, \infty) : |x - y| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$$

Substituting f we have that  $|x-y|<\delta \implies |\frac{1}{x}-\frac{1}{y}|<\epsilon.$ 

Firstly without loss of generality define  $x > y \ge a > 0$ .

$$\therefore \frac{1}{a} > \frac{1}{y} > \frac{1}{x} \implies \frac{1}{a^2} > \frac{1}{xy}.$$

Now consider:

$$|\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y}| = \frac{|x - y|}{xy}$$

$$< \frac{|x - y|}{a^2}$$

$$< \epsilon$$

if we choose  $\delta = a^2 \epsilon$ . Therefore:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0; \exists \delta = a^2 \epsilon; \forall x, y \in [a, \infty): |x - y| < \delta \implies |\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y}| < \epsilon,$$

or that f is uniformly continuous on  $[a, \infty)$  where a > 0.

# Mean value theorem approach Not finished!

Firstly  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  is differentiable on  $[a, \infty)$  as we have the following limit:

$$f'(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\frac{1}{x+h} - \frac{1}{x}}{h}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{x^2} \text{ if } x \neq 0.$$

Define  $x > y \ge a > 0$ . By the mean value theorem we have:

$$\forall x, y \in [a, \infty); \exists c \in [y, x]; f'(c) = \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y},$$

or that

$$\left|\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y}\right| = \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y}$$
  
=  $-\frac{1}{c^2}(x - y)$ .

Now for fixed [y,x], the mean value theorem states that  $c>\min\{x,y\}$  and hence c>a. (this holds  $\forall x,y)$ :  $\frac{1}{a^2}>\frac{1}{c^2}\implies -\frac{1}{a^2}>-\frac{1}{c^2}$  and:

$$|\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y}| = \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{c^2}(x - y)$$
$$< -\frac{1}{a^2}(x - y).$$

Since x and y are non-negative x - y = |x - y| and:

$$|\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y}| < -\frac{1}{a^2}|x - y| = -\frac{\delta}{a^2} = \epsilon.$$

Now pick  $\delta = -a^2 \epsilon$  and we are finished.

5. 5

6. 6

- 7. Prove that the following statements are equivalent:
  - $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$  is uniformly continuous.

i.e. 
$$\forall \epsilon > 0$$
;  $\exists \delta > 0$ ;  $\forall x, y \in I : |x - y| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ 

• 
$$\forall s_n, t_n \in I : \lim_{n \to \infty} |s_n - t_n| = 0 \implies \lim_{n \to \infty} |f(s_n) - f(t_n)| = 0$$

*Proof.*  $\rightarrow$  direction

Direct proof. Assume that f is uniformly continuous:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0; \exists \delta > 0; \forall x, y \in I : |x - y| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon.$$

Also assume that:

$$\forall s_n, t_n \in I: \lim_{n \to \infty} |s_n - t_n| = 0.$$

But this may also be written as:

$$\forall \delta > 0; \forall s_n, t_n \in I; \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N \implies |s_n - t_n| < \delta,$$

and since the definition of uniform continuity holds  $\forall x, y \in I$  we may set  $x = s_n$  and  $y = t_n$ . Combining our assumptions we get:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0; \exists \delta > 0; \forall s_n, t_n \in I; \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N \implies |s_n - t_n| < \delta$$
$$\implies |f(s_n) - f(t_n)| < \epsilon$$

But really what we want is:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0; \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N \implies |f(s_n) - f(t_n)| < \epsilon$$

Or that:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} |f(s_n) - f(t_n)| = 0.$$

 $Proof. \leftarrow direction$ 

Proof by contradiction. Assume that if:

$$\forall s_n, t_n \in I: \lim_{n \to \infty} |s_n - t_n| = 0 \implies \lim_{n \to \infty} |f(s_n) - f(t_n)| = 0,$$

then f is **not** uniformly continuous. i.e. that:

$$\exists \epsilon > 0; \forall \delta > 0; \exists x, y \in I : |x - y| < \delta \text{ and } |f(x) - f(y)| \ge \epsilon.$$

So our first assumption gives us:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0; \exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N_1 \implies |f(s_n) - f(t_n)| < \epsilon$$

and holds true  $\forall s_n, t_n \in I$  with condition:

$$\forall \delta > 0; \exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n > N_2 \implies |s_n - t_n| < \delta.$$

So taking  $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$  and combining the previous two statements:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0; \forall \delta > 0; \forall s_n, t_n \in I; \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \ge N \implies |s_n - t_n| < \delta$$
$$\implies |f(s_n) - f(t_n)| < \epsilon$$

The definition for **not** uniformly continuous only makes sense if x and y are sequences, since if they are real numbers then the following implies that they must be equal:

$$\forall \delta > 0; \exists x, y \in I : |x - y| < \delta,$$

and we reach a contradiction from the implication  $\exists ! \epsilon > 0 : 0 \ge \epsilon$ . So for sequences  $x_n$  and  $y_n$  the previous statement implies:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} |x_n - y_n| = 0$$

But we also assumed that  $\forall s_n, t_n \in I : \lim_{n \to \infty} |s_n - t_n| = 0.$ 

This justifies setting  $x_n = s_n$  and  $y_n = t_n$  with condition  $\forall n \geq N$ .

$$\exists \epsilon > 0; \forall \delta > 0; \exists s_n, t_n \in I; |s_n - t_n| < \delta \text{ and } |f(s_n) - f(t_n)| \ge \epsilon.$$

But clearly this means that:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} |f(s_n) - f(t_n)| \neq 0.$$

Then by truth tables f must be uniformly continuous.

## Workshop 7

1. Let f(x) = [x] for  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Find the following integrals:

$$\int_{(0,5)} f$$

and

$$\int_{\left(-\frac{7}{3},\frac{12}{5}\right]} f.$$

Note that here we denote [x] as the **floor function**. The floor function **rounds down** its input to the closest integer. So for example we have that [3.5] = 3 and [-2.5] = -3.

Let's first consider the open interval (0,5). Notice that we can write f as a sum of characteristic functions each with interval of length 1:

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{5} (j-1)\chi_{[j-1,j)}(x).$$

Recall the characteristic function definition:

$$\chi_{[j-1,j)} = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in [j-1,j) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Integrating this gives us:

$$\int_{(0,5)} f = \int \sum_{j=1}^{5} (j-1)\chi_{[j-1,j)}(x)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{5} (j-1) \int \chi_{[j-1,j)}(x)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{5} (j-1)$$

$$= 10.$$

So now consider the semi-open interval  $(-\frac{7}{3}, \frac{12}{5}]$ , for f(x) = [x].

We write this function as a sum of characteristic functions:

$$\begin{split} f(x) &= -3\chi_{(-\frac{7}{3},-2)} + -2\chi_{[-2,-1)} + -1\chi_{[-1,0)} + 0 + 1\chi_{[1,2)} + 2\chi_{[2,\frac{12}{5}]} \\ &= -3\chi_{(-\frac{7}{3},-2)} + \sum_{j=-2}^{1} j\chi_{[j,j+1)} + 2\chi_{[2,\frac{12}{5}]}. \end{split}$$

Then integrating gives:

$$\int_{\left(-\frac{7}{3}, \frac{12}{5}\right]} f = -1 + -2 + \frac{4}{5}$$
$$= -\frac{11}{5}.$$

2. Let  $f(x) = [nx]^2$  for  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Show that:

$$\int_{(0,1)} f = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} j^2.$$

So first consider:

$$x \in [\frac{j}{n}, \frac{j+1}{n})$$

for  $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Multiplying each of these intervals by n gives:

$$nx \in [j, j+1),$$

and this also works for negative n values. Taking the floor for each interval:

$$[nx] = j$$
 for  $\forall nx \in [j, j+1)$ 

and squaring this gives  $[nx]^2 = j^2$  for  $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ .

So we can now write our function f as the sum of characteristic functions:

$$f(x) = 0 \cdot \chi_{(0,\frac{j}{n})} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} j^2 \chi_{\left[\frac{j}{n}, \frac{j+1}{n}\right)}(x)$$

and integrating this gives:

$$\int_{(0,1)} f = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j^2 \int \chi_{\left[\frac{j}{n}, \frac{j+1}{n}\right]}(x)$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j^2 \cdot \frac{1}{n},$$

since we have defined n intervals each of length  $\frac{1}{n}$ . Finally:

$$\int_{(0,1)} f = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{n(n-1)(2n-1)}{6}$$

$$= \frac{1}{6}(n-1)(2n-1).$$

3. Let  $f(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^2}$  for  $\forall x \geq 1$ . Show that f is integrable on  $[1, \infty)$  and:

$$\int_{[1,\infty)} f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^2}$$

Choose  $J_j = [j, j+1)$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Firstly we verify that:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j| \lambda(J_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^2}$$
$$= \frac{\pi^2}{6} < \infty$$

with  $c_j = \frac{1}{j^2}$  and our interval of choice being of length 1.

Now  $\forall x \in J_i$  where  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j| \chi_{J_j}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^2} \chi_{J_j}(x)$$
$$= \frac{1}{i^2} < \infty$$

Hence we have proven that f is Lebesgue integrable on  $[1, \infty)$ , and:

$$\int_{[1,\infty)} f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^2} \int \chi_{J_j}(x)$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^2} \lambda(J_j)$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^2},$$

and we are finished.

4. So now consider the function:

$$f(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & x \notin \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & x \in \mathbb{Q} \end{array} \right.$$

Show that f is integrable on every **bounded** interval I and:

$$\int_{I} f = \lambda(I).$$

*Proof.* Firstly choose:

$$c_j = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & j=1\\ -1 & j>1 \end{array} \right.,$$

and

$$J_j = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} I & j=1\\ q_{j-1} & j>1 \end{array} \right.,$$

where we define  $I \cap \mathbb{Q} = \{q_1, q_2, \dots\}$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j| \lambda(J_j) = \lambda(I) + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} -\lambda(\{q_{j-1}\})$$

$$= \lambda(I)$$

$$< \infty$$

since interval I is bounded and hence of finite length.

Finally  $\forall x \in I$ :

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j| \chi_{J_j}(x)$$
$$= \chi_I(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} -\chi_{\{q_j\}}(x)$$
$$< \infty$$

So if  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  then f(x) = 0, and vice versa.

Therefore our function f is integrable on bounded I with formula:

$$\int_{I} f = \lambda(I).$$

5. Let  $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$  be continuous.

Let  $M = \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)|$  and p > 0. For part (a) show that:

 $\forall \epsilon : (0 < \epsilon < M/2); \exists (\alpha, \beta) \subset [a, b] :$ 

$$(M - \epsilon)^p (\beta - \alpha) \le \int_a^b |f(x)|^p dx \le M^p (b - a).$$

Proof. Direct proof.

Using the approximation property for suprema,  $\exists x_0 \in [a, b]$ :

$$\sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)| - \epsilon < |f(x_0)|.$$

Then choose a  $(\alpha, \beta) \subset [a, b]$  such that  $\forall \epsilon > 0; \forall x \in (\alpha, \beta)$ :

$$\sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)| - \epsilon < |f(x)|.$$

Since these are strictly positive values taking the power of p preserves signs:

$$(M - \epsilon)^p < |f(x)|^p.$$

Also by the definition of supremum, for  $\forall x \in [a, b]$ :

$$|f(x)| \le \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)|$$

and taking the pth gives:

$$|f(x)|^p \leq M^p$$
.

Assuming the integrability of f we use the integral comparison test:

$$\int_{a}^{b} |f(x)|^{p} \le M^{p}(b-a).$$

Similarly:

$$(M - \epsilon)^p (\beta - \alpha) < \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |f(x)|^p dx.$$

But because  $(\alpha, \beta) \subset [a, b]$ :

$$\therefore (M - \epsilon)^p (\beta - \alpha) < \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |f(x)|^p dx \le \int_{a}^{b} |f(x)|^p dx \le M^p (b - a).$$

For part (b) we want:

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \left( \int_a^b |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} = M$$

*Proof.* From part (a) we have that  $\forall \epsilon : 0 < \epsilon < M/2$ :

$$(M - \epsilon)^p (\beta - \alpha) \le \int_a^b |f(x)|^p dx \le M^p (b - a)$$

where  $a < \alpha < \beta < b$ . Taking the pth root gives:

$$(M - \epsilon)(\beta - \alpha)^{1/p} \le \left(\int_a^b |f(x)|^p dx\right)^{1/p} \le M(b - a)^{1/p}.$$

Now by definition  $\beta - \alpha > 0$  and b - a > 0. So taking  $p \to \infty$ :

$$M - \epsilon \le \left( \int_a^b |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \le M < M + \epsilon$$

for all  $0 < \epsilon < M/2$ , by monotone convergence theorem. Then:

$$\left| \left( \int_{a}^{b} |f(x)|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p} - M \right| < \epsilon,$$

or that

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \left( \int_a^b |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} = M.$$

6. Let f(x) = n for  $\forall x \in ((n+1)^{-2}, n^{-2}]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Show that:

$$\int_{(0,1]} f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^2}$$

We write our function as the following sum:

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \chi_{(0,\frac{1}{j^2}]}(x).$$

This expression is clearly finite. We then check that:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j| \lambda(J_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda((0, \frac{1}{j^2}])$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^2}$$
$$= \frac{\pi^2}{6} < \infty.$$

Finally:

$$\therefore \int_{(0,1)} f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^2}.$$

But why not:

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j \cdot \chi_{\left(\frac{1}{(j+1)^2}, \frac{1}{j^2}\right]}(x)?$$

## Workshop 8

- 1. 1
- 2. 2
- 3. 3
- 4. 4
- 5. 5
- 6. 6

- 7. Define  $L(x) = \int_1^x \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t}$  for  $\forall x > 0$ . Show:
  - L(xy) = L(x) + L(y)
  - $L'(x) = \frac{1}{x}$
  - $L_{inv}(x) = E(x)$ , where we define  $E(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!}$ .

For the first part we want to show:

$$\int_{1}^{yx} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} = \int_{1}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} + \int_{1}^{y} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t}.$$

Beginning from the left hand side let  $t = x\alpha$ .

$$\therefore \int_{t=1}^{t=xy} \implies \int_{\alpha=\frac{1}{x}}^{\alpha=y}$$

$$: dt = x d\alpha$$

$$\therefore \frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{x\alpha}$$

Now splitting this integral via T4.9 gives:

$$\int_{t=1}^{t=yx} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} = \int_{\alpha = \frac{1}{x}}^{\alpha = y} \frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{\alpha}$$

$$= \int_{\alpha = 1}^{\alpha = y} \frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{\alpha} + \int_{\alpha = \frac{1}{x}}^{\alpha = 1} \frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{\alpha}$$

$$= \int_{\alpha = 1}^{\alpha = y} \frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{\alpha} + \int_{\beta = 1}^{\beta = x} \frac{\mathrm{d}\beta}{\beta}$$

where we set  $\alpha = \frac{1}{x}\beta$  in the second integral.

$$\therefore L(xy) = L(x) + L(y)$$

Using the fundamental theorem of calculus:

$$L(x) = \int_{1}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} \implies \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} L(x) = \frac{1}{x}$$

since  $\forall t > 0, \frac{1}{t}$  is continuous.

For the final part let's first define our functions:

$$E: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$

$$L: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$$

where  $\mathbb{R}^+ = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, \dots\}$  represents the positive reals. Then define:

$$E(x) = z$$

for  $x, z \in \mathbb{R}$  and:

$$L(y) = x$$

for  $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

For these two functions to be inverses of each other we must show that:

$$E(L(y)) = y$$

and

$$L(E(x)) = x.$$

Consider

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}y}E(L(y)) = E(L(y))\frac{1}{y}.$$

Rearranging this and taking integrals:

$$\int_{1}^{E(L(y))} \frac{1}{E(L(y))} dE(L(y)) = \int_{1}^{y} \frac{1}{y} dy.$$

This gives:

$$\Big[L(E(L(y)))\Big]_{E(L(y))=1}^{E(L(y))=E(L(y))} = \big[L(y)\big]_1^y$$

or that:

$$L(E(L(y))) = L(y).$$

$$\therefore E(L(y)) = y$$

This is fine since  $y \in \mathbb{R}^+ \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Similarly consider the following:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}L(E(x)) = \frac{1}{E(x)}E(x) = 1.$$

Here L(E(x)) is defined as  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}; E(x) > 0$ .

Integrating our expression as an indefinite integral:

$$L(E(x)) = x + k$$

and we find that k = 0 by setting x = 0.

$$\therefore L(E(x)) = x$$

8. Let  $g:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$  be continuous, and that  $g\geq 0$  for  $\forall x\in [a,b].$  Then let:

$$\int_{a}^{b} g(x) \mathrm{d}x = 0.$$

Show that  $\forall x \in [a, b]$  we have g(x) = 0.

Firstly because  $g \ge 0$  splitting the integral using T4.9:

$$\int_{a}^{b} g(x) dx = \int_{a}^{c} g(x) dx + \int_{c}^{b} g(x) dx = 0$$

implies that  $\forall c \in [a, b]$ :

$$\int_{a}^{c} g(x) \mathrm{d}x = 0$$

as areas of positive functions are always positive.

Since g(x) is continuous we can use the fundamental theorem of calculus.

Let:

$$G(x) = \int_{a}^{x} g(t)dt = 0$$

for  $\forall x \in [a, b]$  as shown above. We then have that:

$$g(x) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}G(x) = 0$$

for  $\forall x \in [a, b]$ .

### Workshop 9

1. Show that  $\chi_E$  is not Riemann-integrable, where  $E = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ .

This is known as the Dirichlet function. Firstly let:

$$\mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1] = \{q_0, q_1, \dots\}$$

and is the set of rationals between zero and one. Clearly we have that  $q_0 = 0$  and  $q_j \to 1$ . Then let  $I_j = (q_{j-1}, q_j)$  where  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  which implies:

$$\sup_{x,y\in I_j} |f(x) - f(y)| = 1$$

if  $f(x) = \chi_E$ . We know that f(x) in Riemann-integrable if and only if:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sup_{x,y \in I_j} |f(x) - f(y)| \lambda(I_j) < \epsilon$$

yet we have that:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sup_{x,y \in I_j} |f(x) - f(y)| \lambda(I_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda(I_j) = 1$$

and hence our function is not Riemann-integrable.

2. For part (i) show that:

If f is Riemann-integrable then |f| is also Riemann-integrable.

Let f be Riemann-integrable. Then by L4.1:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sup_{x,y \in I_j} |f(x) - f(y)| \lambda(I_j) < \epsilon$$

where  $I_j = (x_{j-1}, x_j)$  and  $a = x_0 < \cdots < x_n = b$ .

Now using the reverse triangle inequality:

$$||f(x)| - |f(y)|| \le |f(x) - f(y)|$$

for  $\forall x, y \in I_j$  we then have that:

$$\sup_{x,y \in I_j} ||f(x)| - |f(y)|| \le \sup_{x,y \in I_j} |f(x) - f(y)|$$

and therefore:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sup_{x,y \in I_j} \left| |f(x)| - |f(y)| \right| \lambda(I_j) < \epsilon$$

or that |f| is also Riemann-integrable.

For part (ii) disprove that:

Let |f| be Riemann-integrable. Then f is also Riemann-integrable.

So consider the following function:

$$\chi_E(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \text{ is rational} \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $E = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ . Taking the modulus of this function gives:

$$|\chi_E(x)| = 1$$

for  $\forall x \in [0,1]$  and clearly:

$$\sup_{x,y \in I_j} ||\chi_E(x)| - |\chi_E(y)|| = 0$$

where  $I_j = (x_{j-1}, x_j)$ , j = 1, 2, ... and  $0 = x_0 < \cdots < x_n = 1$ . Then by L4.1,  $|\chi_E|$  is Riemann-integrable. However this is not true without the modulus:

$$\sup_{x,y\in I_j}|\chi_E(x)-\chi_E(y)|=2$$

and hence again via L4.1 this function is not Riemann-integrable.

3. Let  $-\infty \le a < b < \infty$  and let f be integrable on (u,b) for  $\forall u \in (a,b)$ . Then f is integrable on interval (a,b) if and only if:

$$\exists m < \infty : \forall u \in (a, b); \int_{u}^{b} |f| < m.$$

- 4. Show that the following statements are equivalent:
  - $\exists M < \infty$  such that  $\forall v \in (a, b)$ :

$$\int_{a}^{v} |f| \le M$$

• Consider partition of (a, b):

$$a < v_1 < v_2 < \cdots < b$$

and define  $I_1 = (a, v_1], I_j = (v_{j-1}, v_j]$  where j = 2, 3, ...

We then have that  $\exists M < \infty$  such that:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{I_j} |f| \le M$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We begin by assuming the first condition. Let:

$$\exists M < \infty : \forall v \in (a, b); \int_a^v |f| \leq M.$$

Since this holds for all elements in (a, b) we order our elements as  $v_m$  where  $m = 1, 2, \ldots$  and notice the following equality:

$$\int_{a}^{v_{m}} |f| = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{I_{j}} |f| \le M.$$

For the opposite direction assume that  $\forall I_i$ :

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{I_j} |f| \le M < \infty$$

and using T4.8(d) in lecture notes implies:

$$\int_{I=(a,b)} |f| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{I_j} |f|.$$

Then T4.8(a) implies that the integral of a subinterval is also defined and bounded.

5. Now show the converse of question 4. Let f be integrable on (a, b). Then:

$$\exists M<\infty: \forall v\in (a,b); \int_a^v |f|\leq M.$$

If f is integrable then its modulus |f| must be also integrable. Now its integral value must be defined and bounded. Pick M to bound our integral:

$$\int_{(a,b)} |f| < M < \infty$$

and using T4.8(c) gives us that every subinterval is also integrable and bounded.

Questions 4 and 5 constitutes the proof to the following result.

#### Theorem 0.1.

Let  $-\infty \le a < b \le \infty$  and let f be integrable on (a, v) for  $\forall v \in (a, b)$ . Then f is integrable on (a, b) if and only if:

$$\exists M < \infty : \forall v \in (a, b); \int_a^v |f| \leq M$$

Similarly we have that:

#### Theorem 0.2.

Let  $-\infty \le a < b < \infty$  and let f be integrable on (u,b) for  $\forall u \in (a,b)$ . Then f is integrable on interval (a,b) if and only if:

$$\exists M < \infty : \forall u \in (a, b); \int_{u}^{b} |f| < M.$$

6. Show the following:

Let  $-\infty \le a < b < \infty$  and let f be integrable on (u,b) for  $\forall u \in (a,b)$ . Then f is integrable on interval (a,b) if and only if:

$$\exists M < \infty : \forall u \in (a, b); \int_{u}^{b} |f| < M.$$

*Proof.*  $\leftarrow$  direction.

Firstly we show that the following are equivalent:

•  $\exists M < \infty \text{ such that } \forall u \in (a, b)$ :

$$\int_{u}^{b} |f| \le M$$

• Consider partition of (a, b):

$$a < \dots < u_2 < u_1 < b$$

and define  $I_1 = [u_1, b), I_i = [u_i, u_{i-1})$  where i = 2, 3, ...

We then have that  $\exists M < \infty$  such that:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{I_j} |f| \le M$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We begin by assuming the first condition. Let:

$$\exists M < \infty : \forall u \in (a, b); \int_{u}^{b} |f| \leq M.$$

Since this holds for all elements in (a, b) we order our elements as  $u_m$  where m = 1, 2, ... and the following equality holds via T4.9:

$$\int_{u_m}^{b} |f| = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{I_j} |f| \le M.$$

For the opposite direction assume that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{I_j} |f| \le M < \infty$$

and using T4.8(d):

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{I_j} |f| = \int_{I=(a,b)} |f| < \infty.$$

Then T4.8(a) implies that the integral of a subinterval is also defined and bounded. This also implies that f is integrable on (a, b).

*Proof.*  $\rightarrow$  direction.

Let f be Riemann-integrable on (a, b). Then f is also Lebesgue-integrable on (a, b) and so is |f|. By definition our integral value must be bounded:

$$\int_{a}^{b} |f| \le M$$

and by T4.8(c) every subinterval of I=(a,b) must also be integrable. This includes subintervals of form (u,b) where  $u\in(a,b)$  and therefore:

$$\int_{(u,b)} |f| \le M.$$

- 7. 7
- 8. 8
- 9. 9

10. Show that:

$$f(x) = (-1)^{[x]} \frac{1}{[x]}$$

is not integrable on  $[1, \infty)$ .

Firstly consider the negation of T4.2(c):

If |f| is not integrable on I, then f is not integrable on I.

We can check for the integrability of |f| via T4.3(b).

Our function can be written as a sum of characteristic functions:

$$|f(x)| = \frac{1}{[x]}$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)$$

where

$$f_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \chi_{[n,n+1)}(x) \ge 0$$

and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then let  $I = [1, \infty)$  and consider the following:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{I} f_{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{I} \chi_{[n,n+1)}(x)$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$$
$$> M$$

for  $\forall M \in \mathbb{R}$ . By T4.3(b), |f| is not integrable on  $[1, \infty)$ .

Then by T4.2(c), f is not integrable on  $[1, \infty)$ .

11. 11

## Workshop 10

- 1. 1
- 2. 2
- 3. 3

4. Let  $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{C}$  be an integrable function. Show that:

$$\int_a^b |f(x)| \mathrm{d}x \le C \left[ \int_a^b |f(x)|^2 \mathrm{d}x \right]^{1/2}$$

where  $C \in (0, \infty)$  and  $f(x) \in L^2$ .

From the previous problem we have that:

$$\int_{a}^{b} |f(x)g(x)| dx \le \frac{\lambda}{2} ||f(x)||_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2\lambda} ||g(x)||_{2}^{2}$$
$$= ||f(x)||_{2} ||g(x)||_{2}$$

where  $\lambda = \left[||g(x)||_2^2\,||f(x)||_2^{-2}\right]^{1/2}$  and if we set g(x)=1:

$$\int_{a}^{b} |f(x)| dx \le [b-a]^{1/2} \left[ \int_{a}^{b} |f(x)|^{2} dx \right]^{1/2}.$$

Finally since interval length is nonnegative  $\exists C = [b-a]^{1/2} > 0$ :

$$\int_a^b |f(x)| \mathrm{d}x \le C \left[ \int_a^b |f(x)|^2 \mathrm{d}x \right]^{1/2}.$$

This is also a consequence of Hölder's inequality:

$$||fg||_1 \le ||f||_p ||g||_p$$

where  $p \geq 1$ .

The converse of this statement is false as the opposite of it would be:

$$\exists C \in (0, \infty) : ||f||_2 > C||f||_1$$

where  $C \in (0, \infty)$  and this is true by our previous proof.

Hence this is a contradiction and we have that:

$$\nexists C \in (0, \infty) : ||f||_2 \le C||f||_1.$$

5. 5

6. For part (a) consider:

$$f_n(x) = n\chi_{[0,\frac{1}{n}]}.$$

Then in the limit we have  $f(x) = \delta(x)\chi_{\{0\}}$  and:

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)|^2 = |n\chi_{[0,\frac{1}{n}]} - \delta(x)\chi_{\{0\}}|^2$$

$$= (n\chi_{[0,\frac{1}{n}]} - \delta(x)\chi_{\{0\}})^2$$

$$= n^2\chi_{[0,\frac{1}{n}]}^2 - 2\delta(x)\chi_{[0,\frac{1}{n}]}\chi_{\{0\}} + \delta(x)^2\chi_{\{0\}}^2$$

$$= n^2\chi_{[0,\frac{1}{n}]} - 2\delta(x)\chi_{\{0\}} + \delta(x)^2\chi_{\{0\}}$$

$$= n^2\chi_{[0,\frac{1}{n}]} - \delta(x)\chi_{\{0\}}.$$

where  $\delta(x)$  is the Dirac delta and we used the sift property.

Now let's consider the  $L^2$ -norm defined in [0,1]:

$$||f_n(x) - f(x)||_2 = \left[ \int_0^1 |f_n(x) - f(x)|^2 dx \right]^{1/2}$$

$$= \left[ \int_0^1 n^2 \chi_{[0, \frac{1}{n}]} - \delta(x) \chi_{\{0\}} dx \right]^{1/2}$$

$$= \left[ n^2 \cdot \frac{1}{n} \right]^{1/2}$$

$$= n^{1/2}$$

and therefore:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||f_n(x) - f(x)||_2 \neq 0.$$

Then our function  $f_n(x) = n\chi_{[0,\frac{1}{n}]}$  is not  $L^2$  convergent.

For part (b) show that if  $|f_n(x)| \le 1$  for  $\forall x \in [0,1]$  then  $f_n \to f$  in  $L^2$ .

If 
$$|f_n(x)| \le 1$$
 then  $|f(x)| \le 1$ . :  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \le 2$ 

$$\therefore 0 < |f_n(x) - f(x)|^2 \le 2|f_n(x) - f(x)|$$

Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} |f_n(x) - f(x)| = 0$  by pointwise convergence

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)|^2 \to 0$$

where we used the squeeze theorem here.

$$\therefore \left[ \int_0^1 |f_n(x) - f(x)|^2 dx \right]^{1/2} \to 0$$

if  $n \to \infty$  and so  $f_n(x)$  is  $L^2$  convergent.