Q 1.

(a)

(b)

Q 2.

Q 3.

(a) To show that the following equation and boundary conditions:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(x^2\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}x}\right) + \lambda xy = 0, \quad y(1) = 0, y'(2) = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

forms a regular Sturm-Liouville system, consider the following.

A Sturm-Liouville system is a linear, second-order homogeneous differential equation of the form: see HB p28.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(p(x)\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}x}\right) + (q(x) + \lambda w(x))\, y = 0, \tag{3.2}$$

which is defined on a finite interval of the real axis $a < x > b$ and satisfies the following three conditions:

1. the functions p(x), q(x) and w(x) are real and continuous for $a < x < b$;
2. $p(x)$ and $w(x)$ are strictly positive for $a < x < b$;
3. $p'(x)$ exists and is continuous for $a \leq x \leq b$,

together with the boundary conditions.

Comparing equations (3.1) and (3.2) it is seen that $q(x) = 0$ with $p(x) = x^2$ and $w(x) = \lambda x$. As $p(x)$ and $w(x)$:

1. are real and continuous for $1 < x < 2$;
2. are strictly positive for $1 < x < 2$; and
3. $p'(x)$ exists ($p'(x) = 2x$) and is continuous for $1 \leq x \leq 2$,

and the two boundary conditions are given as $y(1) = 0$ and $y'(2) = 0$, then the system is a regular Sturm-Liouville system.

It can be shown that the system can be written as a constrained variational problem with functional

$$S[y] = \int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, x^2 y'^2, \quad y(1) = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

and constraint

$$C[y] = \int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, xy^2 = 1, \tag{3.4}$$

as follows.

For the given constrained variational problem

$$\overline{F} = x^2 y'^2 - \lambda x y^2,$$

λ is the Lagrange multiplier.

and

$$\overline{F}_{y'} = \frac{\partial \overline{F}}{\partial y'} = 2x^2 y' \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{F}_y = \frac{\partial \overline{F}}{\partial y} = -2\lambda x y.$$

The Euler-Lagrange equation is then,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(\frac{\partial \overline{F}}{\partial y'}\right) - \frac{\partial \overline{F}}{\partial y} = 0,\\
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(2x^2 y'\right) - \left(-2\lambda x y\right) = 0,\\
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(\cancel{2}x^2\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}x}\right) + \cancel{2}\lambda x y = 0,\\
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(x^2\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}x}\right) + \lambda x y = 0, \quad y(1) = 0, y'(2) = 0. \qquad (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Equations (3.1) and (3.5) are identical showing that system (3.1) can be written as a constrained variational problem.

(b)

(c) Given the function $z = A\sin\left(\pi\left(x-1\right)/2\right)$, it will be that the smallest eigenvalue, λ_1, satisfies the inequality

$$\lambda_1 \leq \frac{\left(7\pi^2 - 18\right)\pi^2}{6\left(4 + 3\pi^2\right)},$$

as follows.

Substituting $z = A\sin\left(\pi\left(x-1\right)/2\right)$ into the constraint (3.4) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 &= A^2 \int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, x \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi\left(x-1\right)}{2}\right) = A^2 \int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, x \cos^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi x\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the identity for $\sin\left(\alpha \pm \beta\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= A^2 \int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, x \frac{1}{2}\left(\cos\left(\pi x\right) + 1\right) = \frac{A^2}{2} \int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, x\cos\left(\pi x\right) + x,\\
&= \frac{A^2}{2} \int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, x\cos\left(\pi x\right) + \frac{A^2}{2} \int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, x,
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the identity of $\cos^2\left(\alpha\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\cos\left(2\alpha\right)\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{A^2}{2\pi}\Big[x\sin\left(\pi x\right)\Big]_1^2 - \frac{A^2}{2\pi}\int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, \sin\left(\pi x\right) + \frac{A^2}{2}\int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, x,
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating the first integral by parts.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{A^2}{2\pi}\left(\cancelto{0}{2\sin(2\pi) - \sin(\pi)}\right) - \frac{A^2}{2\pi}\int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, \sin\left(\pi x\right) + \frac{A^2}{2}\int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, x,\\
&= -\frac{A^2}{2\pi}\int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, \sin\left(\pi x\right) + \frac{A^2}{2}\int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, x,\\
&= -\frac{A^2}{2\pi}\left[-\frac{1}{\pi}\cos(\pi x)\right]_1^2 + \frac{A^2}{2}\left[\frac{x^2}{2}\right]_1^2,\\
&= \frac{A^2}{2\pi}\left[\frac{1}{\pi}\cos(\pi x)\right]_1^2 + \frac{A^2}{2}\left[\frac{x^2}{2}\right]_1^2,\\
&= \frac{A^2}{2\pi^2}\left[\cancelto{1}{\cos(2\pi)} - \cancelto{-1}{\cos(\pi)}\right] + \frac{A^2}{2}\left[\frac{2^2}{2} - \frac{1^2}{2}\right],\\
&= \frac{A^2}{2\pi^2}(2) + \frac{A^2}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right),\\
&= \frac{A^2}{2}\left(\frac{2}{\pi^2} + \frac{3}{2}\right),\\
\therefore 1 &= A^2\left(\frac{1}{\pi^2} + \frac{3}{4}\right). \qquad (3.6)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now,

$$
z = A\sin\left(\frac{\pi\left(x-1\right)}{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\lambda_1 \le S[z] = \int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, x^2 z'^2. \qquad (3.7)
$$

Differentiating z,

$$
\begin{aligned}
z' &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(A\sin\left(\frac{\pi\left(x-1\right)}{2}\right)\right),\\
&= A\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(\frac{\pi\left(x-1\right)}{2}\right)\cos\left(\frac{\pi\left(x-1\right)}{2}\right),\\
&= A\frac{\pi}{2}\cos\left(\frac{\pi\left(x-1\right)}{2}\right),\\
\therefore z' &= A\frac{\pi}{2}\sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{2}\right). \qquad (3.8)
\end{aligned}
$$

Making use of the chain rule.

Using the identity for $\cos(\alpha \pm \beta)$. HB p38.

Substituting for z' given by (3.8) into (3.7) gives,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 \leq S[z] &= \int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, x^2 \left(A\frac{\pi}{2} \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{2}\right)\right)^2, \\
&= \left(\frac{A\pi}{2}\right)^2 \int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, x^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi x}{2}\right), \\
&= \frac{A^2\pi^2}{4} \int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, x^2 \frac{1}{2}\Big(1 - \cos(\pi x)\Big), \\
&= \frac{A^2\pi^2}{8} \int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, x^2 \left(1 - \cos(\pi x)\right), \\
&= \frac{A^2\pi^2}{8} \int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, \left(x^2 - x^2\cos(\pi x)\right), \\
&= \frac{A^2\pi^2}{8} \int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, x^2 - \frac{A^2\pi^2}{8} \int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, x^2 \cos(\pi x), \\
&= \frac{A^2\pi^2}{8} \left[\frac{x^3}{3}\right]_1^2 - \frac{A^2\pi^2}{8} \int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, x^2 \cos(\pi x), \\
&= \frac{A^2\pi^2 7}{24} - \frac{A^2\pi^2}{8} \int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, x^2 \cos(\pi x), \\
&= \frac{A^2\pi^2 7}{24} - \frac{A^2\pi^2}{8} \left(\cancelto{0}{\left[\frac{x^2}{\pi}\sin(\pi x)\right]_1^2} - \frac{2}{\pi}\int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, x\sin(\pi x) \right), \\
&= \frac{A^2\pi^2 7}{24} + \frac{A^2\pi}{4} \int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, x\sin(\pi x), \\
&= \frac{A^2\pi^2 7}{24} + \frac{A^2\pi}{4} \left(\cancelto{-\frac{3}{\pi}}{\left[-\frac{x}{\pi}\cos(\pi x)\right]_1^2} - \int_1^2 \mathrm{d}x\, \cos(\pi x) \right), \\
&= \frac{A^2\pi^2 7}{24} + \frac{A^2\pi}{4} \left(-\frac{3}{\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \cancelto{0}{\Big[\sin(\pi x)\Big]_1^2} \right), \\
&= \frac{A^2\pi^2 7}{24} + \frac{A^2\pi}{4} \left(-\frac{3}{\pi} \right), \\
&= \frac{A^2\pi^2 7}{24} - \frac{A^2 3}{4}, \\
&= A^2 \left(\frac{7\pi^2}{24} - \frac{3}{4} \right), \\
&= \frac{A^2}{4} \left(\frac{7\pi^2 - 18}{6} \right). \qquad (3.9)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the identity for $\sin^2(\alpha)$. HB p38.

From (3.6)

$$A^2 = \frac{4\pi^2}{4 + 3\pi^2},$$

and substituting for A^2 in (3.9) gives,

$$\lambda_1 \leq \frac{4\pi^2}{4\,(4+3\pi^2)}\left(\frac{7\pi^2-18}{6}\right),$$

$$\lambda_1 \leq \frac{\pi^2}{(4+3\pi^2)}\left(\frac{7\pi^2-18}{6}\right),$$

Finally,

$$\lambda_1 \leq \frac{(7\pi^2-18)\,\pi^2}{6\,(4+3\pi^2)},$$

as required.

Q 4.

(a)



This Figure 10.6 taken from the module notes p225.

Figure 1: Diagram showing the stationary path (solid line) and a varied path (dashed line) for a problem in which the left-hand end is fixed, but the other end is free to move along the line defined by $\tau(x, y) = 0$.

Given the perturbed path

$$y_\epsilon(x) = y(x) + \epsilon h(x), \tag{4.1}$$

the Taylor series to the first-order of (4.1) at point $x = v$ (see figure 1) is given in (4.2).

The point $x = v$ is known as the point of expansion. HB p8.

$$y_\epsilon(x) = (y(v) + \epsilon h(v)) + (y'(v) + \epsilon h'(v))(x - v) + \mathcal{O}\left((x - v)^2\right). \tag{4.2}$$

Now, determining the value of (4.2) at $v_\epsilon = v + \epsilon\xi + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ where v_ϵ is the perturbed value of v:

$$\begin{aligned} y_\epsilon(v_\epsilon) = {} & y(v) + \epsilon h(v) \\ & + \left(\cancel{v} + \epsilon\xi + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \cancel{-v}\right)(y'(v) + \epsilon h'(v)) \\ & + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\cancel{v} + \epsilon\xi + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \cancel{-v}\right)^2\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_\epsilon(v_\epsilon) = {} & y(v) + \epsilon h(v) \\ & + \left(\epsilon\xi + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)\right)(y'(v) + \epsilon h'(v)) \\ & + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\epsilon\xi + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)\right)^2\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_\epsilon(v_\epsilon) = y(v) &+ \epsilon h(v) \\
&+ \epsilon\xi\left(y'(v) + \epsilon h'(v)\right) \\
&+ \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^2\right)\left(y'(v) + \epsilon h'(v)\right) \\
&+ \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\epsilon\xi + \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^2\right)\right)^2\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_\epsilon(v_\epsilon) = y(v) &+ \epsilon\left(h(v) + \xi y'(v)\right) \\
&+ \underbrace{\epsilon^2\xi h'(v) + \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^2\right)\left(y'(v) + \epsilon h'(v)\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\epsilon\xi + \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^2\right)\right)^2\right)}_{\text{These are all second-order terms in } \epsilon.}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
y_\epsilon(v_\epsilon) = y(v) + \epsilon\left(h(v) + \xi y'(v)\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^2\right), \tag{4.3}
$$

as required.

To show that at $(x, y) = (v, y(v))$,

$$
\xi\left(\tau_x + y'(v)\tau_y\right) + h(v)\tau_y = 0
$$

the 2D Taylor expansion to the first-order of $\tau(x, y)$ at point $x = a, y = b$ is required, namely,

$$
\tau(x, y) = \tau(a, b) + \tau_x(a, b)\left[x - a\right] + \tau_y(a, b)\left[y - b\right]. \tag{4.4}
$$

Evaluating (4.4) with $x = v_\epsilon = v + \epsilon\xi$ and $y = y_\epsilon(v_\epsilon) = y(v) + \epsilon\left(y'(v)\xi + h(v)\right)$ gives,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\tau\left(v_\epsilon, y_\epsilon(v_\epsilon)\right) = \tau(v + \epsilon\xi, y(v) + \epsilon\left(y'(v)\xi + h(v)\right) \\
&= \cancelto{0}{\tau\left(v, y(v)\right)} + \tau_x\left(v, y(v)\right)\left[v_\epsilon - v\right] + \tau_y\left(v, y(v)\right)\left[y_\epsilon(v_\epsilon) - y(v)\right], \\
&= \tau_x\left(v, y(v)\right)\left[v_\epsilon - v\right] + \tau_y\left(v, y(v)\right)\left[y_\epsilon(v_\epsilon) - y(v)\right], \\
&= \tau_x\left(v, y(v)\right)\left[\cancel{v} + \epsilon\xi \cancel{-v}\right] + \tau_y\left(v, y(v)\right)\left[\cancel{y(v)} + \epsilon\left(y'(v)\xi + h(v)\right) \cancel{-y(v)}\right], \\
&= \tau_x\left(v, y(v)\right)\epsilon\xi + \tau_y\left(v, y(v)\right)\epsilon\left(y'(v)\xi + h(v)\right), \\
&= \epsilon\left[\tau_x\left(v, y(v)\right)\xi + \tau_y\left(v, y(v)\right)\left(y'(v)\xi + h(v)\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that $\tau\left(v_\epsilon, y_\epsilon(v_\epsilon)\right) = 0$ and therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon\left[\tau_x\left(v, y(v)\right)\xi + \tau_y\left(v, y(v)\right)\left(y'(v)\xi + h(v)\right)\right] = 0, \\
\tau_x\left(v, y(v)\right)\xi + \tau_y\left(v, y(v)\right)\left(y'(v)\xi + h(v)\right) = 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\xi\left[\tau_x\left(v, y(v)\right) + \tau_y\left(v, y(v)\right)y'(v)\right] + \tau_y\left(v, y(v)\right)h(v) = 0, \tag{4.5}
$$

as required.

(b) The Gâteaux differential is given as

$$
\Delta S\left(y, h\right) = \xi F\big|_v + \int_a^v \mathrm{d}x\ \left(hF_y + h'F_{y'}\right), \tag{4.6}
$$

and it will be shown, by integrating by parts the integral of (4.6), that a stationary path must satisfy the transversality condition given in (4.7):

$$\tau_x F_{y'} + \tau_y \left(y' F_{y'} - F\right) = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad (x, y) = (v, y(v)) \tag{4.7}$$

as follows.

Equation (4.6) can be rewritten as in (4.8),

$$\Delta S\,(y, h) = \xi F\big|_v + \int_a^v \mathrm{d}x\, h F_y + \int_a^v \mathrm{d}x\, h' F_{y'}, \tag{4.8}$$

and integrating by parts the right-most integral in (4.8):

$$I = \int_a^v \mathrm{d}x\, h' F_{y'}, \tag{4.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Let } u = F_{y'} \quad \text{then} \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(F_{y'}\right) \\
&\text{Let } \frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}x} = h'(x) \quad \text{then} \quad v = \int \mathrm{d}x\, h'(x) = h(x).
\end{aligned}$$

For clarity, here v is not the same as that for the upper limit of integration in (4.9).

For integration by parts:

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \int_a^v \mathrm{d}x\, u \frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}x} = [uv]_a^v - \int_a^v \mathrm{d}x\, v \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}x}, \\
&= \left[F_{y'} h(x)\right]_a^v - \int_a^v \mathrm{d}x\, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(F_{y'}\right) h(x), \\
&= \left(F_{y'} h(x)\big|_{x=v} - F_{y'} \cancelto{0}{h(a)}\right) - \int_a^v \mathrm{d}x\, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(F_{y'}\right) h(x), \\
&= F_{y'} h(x)\big|_{x=v} - \int_a^v \mathrm{d}x\, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(F_{y'}\right) h(x).
\end{aligned}$$

The Gâteaux differential (4.8) becomes,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta S\,(y, h) &= \xi F\big|_v + \int_a^v \mathrm{d}x\, h(x) F_y + F_{y'} h(x)\big|_{x=v} - \int_a^v \mathrm{d}x\, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(F_{y'}\right) h(x), \\
&= \xi F\big|_{x=v} + F_{y'} h(x)\big|_{x=v} + \int_a^v \mathrm{d}x\, h(x) F_y - \int_a^v \mathrm{d}x\, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(F_{y'}\right) h(x), \\
&= \xi F\big|_{x=v} + F_{y'} h(x)\big|_{x=v} + \int_a^v \mathrm{d}x\, \left(h(x) F_y - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(F_{y'}\right) h(x)\right), \\
&= \xi F\big|_{x=v} + F_{y'} h(x)\big|_{x=v} - \int_a^v \mathrm{d}x\, \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(F_{y'}\right) h(x) - h(x) F_y\right), \\
&= \xi F\big|_{x=v} + F_{y'} h(x)\big|_{x=v} - \int_a^v \mathrm{d}x\, \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(F_{y'}\right) - F_y\right) h(x)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \xi F\big|_{x=v} + F_{y'} h(x)\big|_{x=v} - \int_a^v \mathrm{d}x\, \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y'}\right) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}\right) h(x). \tag{4.10}$$

On a stationary path $\Delta S\,(y,h) = 0$ for all allowed h and the Euler-Lagrange equation equation is satisfied and so the integrand of (4.10) is zero, thus the Gâteaux differential shown in (4.10) reduces to

$$\Delta S\,(y,h) = \xi F|_{x=v} + F_{y'}h(x)|_{x=v} = 0. \tag{4.11}$$

Rewriting (4.5) more succinctly as

$$\xi\,(\tau_x + \tau_y y'(x))|_{x=v} + \tau_y h(x)|_{x=v} = 0, \tag{4.12}$$

$\tau_x = \tau_x\,(v, y(v))$, and
$\tau_y = \tau_y\,(v, y(v))$

and rearranging (4.12) in terms of $h(x)$ evaluated at $x = v$,

$$h(x)\,|_{x=v} = -\frac{\xi}{\tau_y}\,(\tau_x + y'(x)\tau_y)\,|_{x=v}\,. \tag{4.13}$$

Substituting for $h(v)$ from (4.13) into (4.11) gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi F|_{x=v} - F_{y'}\frac{\xi}{\tau_y}\,(\tau_x + y'(x)\tau_y)\Big|_{x=v} &= 0,\\
\left(\xi F - F_{y'}\frac{\xi}{\tau_y}\,(\tau_x + y'(x)\tau_y)\right)\Big|_{x=v} &= 0,\\
\xi\left(F - F_{y'}\frac{(\tau_x + y'(x)\tau_y)}{\tau_y}\right)\Big|_{x=v} &= 0,\\
-\left(-F + F_{y'}\frac{(\tau_x + y'(x)\tau_y)}{\tau_y}\right)\Big|_{x=v} &= 0,\\
-\left(\frac{-F\tau_y + F_{y'}\,(\tau_x + y'(x)\tau_y)}{\tau_y}\right)\Big|_{x=v} &= 0,\\
-\left(-F\tau_y + F_{y'}\,(\tau_x + y'(x)\tau_y)\right)|_{x=v} &= 0,\\
-\left(-F\tau_y + F_{y'}\tau_x + F_{y'}y'(x)\tau_y\right)|_{x=v} &= 0,\\
-\left(\tau_y\,(-F + F_{y'}y'(x)) + F_{y'}\tau_x\right)|_{x=v} &= 0,\\
-\left(\tau_y\,(F_{y'}y'(x) - F) + F_{y'}\tau_x\right)|_{x=v} &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\tau_y\,(F_{y'}y'(x) - F)\,|_{x=v} + F_{y'}\tau_x|_{x=v} = 0. \tag{4.14}$$

Equation (4.14) shows that the transversality condition has been satisfied, as required.

(c) Now, considering the case when

$$S[y] = \int_0^v \mathrm{d}x\,\frac{\sqrt{1+y'^2}}{y}, \quad y(0) = \delta,$$

where $y(v) > 0$, $\delta > 0$ and the right-hand end point $(v, y(v))$ lies on the line $\alpha y + \beta x + \gamma = 0$, where α, β, γ are constants with $\beta \neq 0$, it can be shown that the first-integral may be written as

$$y\sqrt{1+y'^2} = c, \tag{4.15}$$

for some constant $c > 0$, as follows.

The first-integral is given by

$$y' G_{y'} - G = \text{constant}, \qquad \text{HB p17.}$$

with,

$$\begin{aligned}
G &= \frac{\sqrt{1+y'^2}}{y}, \quad y(0) = \delta. \\
G_{y'} &= \frac{1}{y}\left(\frac{1}{\cancel{2}_1}\left(1+y'^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cancel{2}^1 y'\right), \\
&= \frac{\left(1+y'^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} y'}{y}, \\
&= \frac{y'}{y\sqrt{1+y'^2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The first-integral becomes,

$$\begin{aligned}
y'\left(\frac{y'}{y\sqrt{1+y'^2}}\right) - \frac{\sqrt{1+y'^2}}{y} &= c, \quad \text{where } c \text{ is a constant,} \\
\left(\frac{y'^2}{y\sqrt{1+y'^2}}\right) - \frac{\sqrt{1+y'^2}}{y} &= c, \\
\frac{y'^2}{y\sqrt{1+y'^2}} - \frac{\sqrt{1+y'^2}\sqrt{1+y'^2}}{y\sqrt{1+y'^2}} &= c, \\
\frac{y'^2}{y\sqrt{1+y'^2}} - \frac{1+y'^2}{y\sqrt{1+y'^2}} &= c, \\
\frac{y'^2 - 1 - y'^2}{y\sqrt{1+y'^2}} &= c \\
-\frac{1}{y\sqrt{1+y'^2}} &= c, \\
-\frac{1}{c} &= y\sqrt{1+y'^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Redefining the constant c, then the first-integral may be written as,

$$y\sqrt{1+y'^2} = c, \quad \text{for some constant } c > 0, \text{ as required.} \tag{4.16}$$

Now, rearranging (4.16) in terms of y', as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
y'^2 = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}x}\right)^2 &= \frac{c^2}{y^2} - 1, \\
\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}x} &= \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{y^2} - 1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}y} = 1 \Big/ \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}x},$$

so,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}y} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{c^2}{y^2} - 1}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{c^2-y^2}{y^2}}} = \frac{y}{\sqrt{c^2 - y^2}}. \\
\int \mathrm{d}y \, \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}y} &= \int \mathrm{d}y \, \frac{y}{\sqrt{c^2 - y^2}}, \\
x &= \int \mathrm{d}x \, \frac{y}{\sqrt{c^2 - y^2}}. \qquad (4.17)
\end{aligned}$$

Solving the integral of (4.17),

$$x = \int \mathrm{d}y \, \frac{y}{\sqrt{c^2 - y^2}}.$$

Let $u = c^2 - y^2$,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}y} = -2y, \quad \text{so} \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}u} = 1 \Big/ \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}y} = -\frac{1}{2y}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
x &= \int \mathrm{d}u \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}u}\right) \frac{y}{\sqrt{u}}, \\
&= \int \mathrm{d}u \left(-\frac{1}{2\cancel{y}}\right) \frac{\cancel{y}}{\sqrt{u}}, \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}u \, \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}}, \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}u \, u^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{u^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\frac{1}{2}}\right) + c_\delta = -\sqrt{u} - c_\delta,
\end{aligned}$$

where c_δ is the constant of integration.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
x &= -\sqrt{c^2 - y^2} - c_\delta, \\
(x + c_\delta)^2 &= c^2 - y^2, \\
y^2 + (x + c_\delta)^2 &= c^2, \quad \text{as required.} \qquad (4.18)
\end{aligned}$$

The solution of the first-integral (4.18) are circles centred at $(-c_\delta, 0)$.

Applying the boundary condition, $y(0) = \delta$, to (4.18) gives,

$$\delta^2 + c_\delta^2 = c^2 \quad \text{and so,} \quad c_\delta^2 = c^2 - \delta^2.$$

Differentiating $y^2 + (x + c_\delta)^2 = c^2$ implicitly:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(y^2\right) + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(x + c_\delta\right)^2 = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(c^2\right), \\
&2y\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}x} + 2\left(x + c_\delta\right) = 0, \\
&y\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}x} + x + c_\delta = 0, \\
&yy' + x + c_\delta = 0. \qquad (4.19)
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing (4.19) with $\alpha y + \beta x + \gamma = 0$ but first dividing through this expression by β:

$$\frac{\alpha}{\beta}y + x + \frac{\gamma}{\beta} = 0,$$

then it is seen that $y' = \alpha/\beta$ and $c_\delta = \gamma/\beta$.

Finally, it can be shown that in the limit as $\delta \to 0$, the stationary path becomes

$$\beta^2 y^2 + \left(\beta x + \gamma\right)^2 = \gamma^2,$$

as follows.

In the limit as $\delta \to 0$, $c_\delta = c$ and equation (4.18) can be written as,

$$y^2 + \left(x + c_\delta\right)^2 = c_\delta^2. \qquad (4.20)$$

Substituting into (4.20) for $c_\delta = \gamma/\beta$ gives,

$$y^2 + \left(x + \frac{\gamma}{\beta}\right)^2 = \frac{\gamma^2}{\beta^2},$$

cross multiplying by β^2 gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\beta^2 y^2 + \beta^2\left(x + \frac{\gamma}{\beta}\right)^2 = \gamma^2 \quad \text{and} \\
&\beta^2 y^2 + \left(\beta x + \gamma\right)^2 = \gamma^2 \quad \text{as required.}
\end{aligned}$$

Q 5. Given

$$S[x] = \int_a^b \mathrm{d}t\, L\,(t, x, \dot{x})\,, \quad \text{with} \quad b > a,$$

where L is called the Lagrangian, and $x(t)$ is at least twice differentiable.

The *conjugate momentum* p is define by

$$p = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}}. \tag{5.1}$$

(a) It can be shown that the Euler-Lagrange equation for S is defined by

$$\dot{p} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x},$$

as follows.

The Euler-Lagrange equation is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = 0,$$

which becomes after substituting in the *conjugate momentum,*

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\,(p) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = 0, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}t} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = 0,$$

$$\therefore \quad \dot{p} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}, \quad \text{as required.}$$

(b) From the handbook, the total derivative can be expressed as: see HB p3.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}\frac{\mathrm{d}x_k}{\mathrm{d}t}.$$

Using this result then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}L}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\,(L(t, x, \dot{x}))\,,\\
&= \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}}\frac{\mathrm{d}\dot{x}}{\mathrm{d}t},\\
&= \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\dot{x} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}}\ddot{x},\\
&= \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\dot{x} + p\ddot{x}, \quad \text{as required.}
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that p is the *conjugate momentum* define above.

(c) The *Hamiltonian* $H = H(t, x, p)$ is defined by $H(t, x, p) = p\dot{x} - L(t, x, \dot{x})$, where (implicitly) $\dot{x}$ is eliminated using (5.1) to give a function of t, x and p.

Using the result obtained in part (b) it will be shown that for a stationary path of S that

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t} = -\dot{H} \tag{5.2}$$

as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}H}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(p\dot{x} - L\right), \\
&= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(p\dot{x}\right) - \frac{\mathrm{d}L}{\mathrm{d}t}, \\
&= p\ddot{x} + p\dot{x} - \frac{\mathrm{d}L}{\mathrm{d}t},
\end{aligned}$$

and substituting into the above expression for $\frac{\mathrm{d}L}{\mathrm{d}t}$ from part (b) gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
&= p\ddot{x} + p\dot{x} - \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\dot{x} + p\ddot{x}\right), \\
&= \cancel{p\ddot{x}} + \cancel{p\dot{x}} - \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial t} + \cancel{\dot{p}\dot{x}} + \cancel{p\ddot{x}}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \frac{\mathrm{d}H}{\mathrm{d}t} = \dot{H} = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}, \quad \text{as required.}$$

(d) The *Rund-Trautman identity* is given as

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\xi + p\dot{\xi} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial t}\tau - H\dot{\tau} = 0, \tag{5.3}$$

and from this identity it will be shown that

$$\left(\xi - \dot{x}\tau\right)\left[\dot{p} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\right] = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left[p\xi - H\tau\right], \tag{5.4}$$

as follows.

First it will be shown that the left-hand side of (5.3) is equal to zero by expanding out the bracketed terms and substituting the derivative terms.

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\xi - \dot{x}\tau\right)\left[\dot{p} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\right] &= \xi\dot{p} - \xi\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} - \dot{x}\tau\dot{p} + \dot{x}\tau\frac{\mathrm{d}L}{\mathrm{d}x}, \\
&= \cancel{\xi\dot{p}}\cancel{-\xi\dot{p}}\cancel{-\dot{x}\tau\dot{p}}\cancel{+\dot{x}\tau\dot{p}}, \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Secondly, it will be shown that (5.3) is equal to the right-hand side of (5.4) which is equal to zero. Substituting into (5.3) for

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t} = -\dot{H}, \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{p} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} \quad \text{gives the following,}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dot{p}\xi + p\dot{\xi} - \dot{H}\tau - H\dot{\tau} = 0, \\
&\underbrace{\dot{p}\xi + p\dot{\xi}} - \underbrace{\left(\dot{H}\tau + H\dot{\tau}\right)} = 0, \\
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(p\xi\right) - \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\tau H\right) = 0, \\
&\qquad \therefore \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left[p\xi - \tau H\right] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The product rule has been used here.

Thus,

$$\left(\xi - \dot{x}\tau\right)\left[\dot{p} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\right] = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left[p\xi - \tau H\right], \quad \text{as required.}$$

The differentiation of a constant is zero and also noting that zero itself is a constant, then the expression

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left[p\xi - \tau H\right] = 0$$

must mean that

$$p\xi - \tau H = \text{constant}.$$

(e) Now, considering a particle of constant mass m moving along the x-axis in a potential $V(x)$. The Lagrangian is $L = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{x}^2 - V(x)$, and the path of the particle from $t = a$ to $t = b$ is a stationary path of S.

The conjugate momentum p is calculated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
p &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} \quad \text{and} \quad L = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{x}^2 - V(x). \\
\therefore p &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}}\left(\frac{1}{2}m\dot{x}^2 - V(x)\right) = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}m\dot{x} = m\dot{x}.
\end{aligned}$$

The Hamiltonian is calculated as follows.

$$H\left(t, x, p\right) = p\dot{x} - L\left(t, x, \dot{x}\right).$$

Substituting into this expression for conjugate momentum p and the Lagrangian L, gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= \left(m\dot{x}\right)\dot{x} - \left(\frac{1}{2}m\dot{x}^2 - V(x)\right), \\
&= m\dot{x}^2 - \frac{1}{2}m\dot{x}^2 + V(x), \\
&= \frac{1}{2}m\dot{x}^2 + V(x).
\end{aligned}$$

(f)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(p(t)\right) = \dot{p}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\xi(t,x,\dot{x})\right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\xi(t,x,\dot{x}) \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\xi(t,x,\dot{x})\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}}\xi(t,x,\dot{x})\frac{\mathrm{d}\dot{x}}{\mathrm{d}t}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\xi(t,x,\dot{x})\right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\xi(t,x,\dot{x}) \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\xi(t,x,\dot{x})\dot{x} \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}}\xi(t,x,\dot{x})\ddot{x}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial\xi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial\xi}{\partial x}\dot{x} + \frac{\partial\xi}{\partial\dot{x}}\ddot{x}.$$

This must be similar for $\tau(t,x,\dot{x})$, too:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial\tau}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial\tau}{\partial x}\dot{x} + \frac{\partial\tau}{\partial\dot{x}}\ddot{x}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(H(t,x(t),p(t))\right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}H(t,x(t),p(t)) \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}H(t,x(t),p(t))\frac{\mathrm{d}x(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}}H(t,x(t),p(t))\frac{\mathrm{d}p(t)}{\mathrm{d}t}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}H}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}\dot{x} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial\dot{x}}\dot{p}.$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(p\xi\right) = p\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}t} + \xi\frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}t} = p\dot{\xi} + \xi\dot{p}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(H\tau\right) = H\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{\mathrm{d}t} + \tau\frac{\mathrm{d}H}{\mathrm{d}t} = H\dot{\tau} + \tau\dot{H}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left[p\xi - H\tau\right] &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(p\xi\right) - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(H\tau\right), \\ &= p\dot{\xi} + \xi\dot{p} - \left(H\dot{\tau} + \tau\dot{H}\right), \\ &= p\dot{\xi} + \xi\dot{p} - H\dot{\tau} - \tau\dot{H},\end{aligned}$$

The *Rund-Trautman identity* is:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\xi + p\dot{\xi} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial t}\tau - H\dot{\tau} = 0$$

which can be rearranging to:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\xi + p\dot{\xi} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial t}\tau - H\dot{\tau} = 0$$

$$p\dot{\xi} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\xi - H\dot{\tau} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial t}\tau = 0$$

Compare to:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left[p\xi - H\tau\right] = p\dot{\xi} + \xi\dot{p} - H\dot{\tau} - \tau\dot{H}$$