CS 302 Computer Security and Privacy

Debayan Gupta

Lecture 8 (background material) February 14, 2019

Computing in \mathbf{Z}_n

Modular multiplication Modular inverses Extended Euclidean algorithm

Generating RSA Encryption and Decryption Exponents

Euler's Theorem

Generating RSA Modulus

Finding primes by guess and check Density of primes

Computing in \mathbf{Z}_n

Modular multiplication

Multiplication modulo *n*

Theorem

 \mathbf{Z}_{n}^{*} is closed under multiplication modulo n.

This says, if $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$, then also $r = (ab \mod n) \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$.

Proof.

By Euclidean division, we can write ab = nq + r for some integer q. If gcd(r, n) > 1, then some prime p divides both r and n, so also $p \mid ab$. But this is impossible, since gcd(a, n) =gcd(b, n) = 1. Hence,

 $\gcd(r,n)=1$ and $r\in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$.

Outline

Example: Multiplication in \mathbf{Z}_{26}^*

Let $n = 26 = 2 \cdot 13$. Then

$$\mathbf{Z}_{26}^* = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25\}$$

 $\phi(26) = |\mathbf{Z}_{26}^*| = 12.$

Multiplication examples:

$$(5 \times 7) \mod 26 = 35 \mod 26 = 9.$$

$$(3 \times 25) \mod 26 = 75 \mod 26 = 23.$$

$$(9 \times 3) \mod 26 = 27 \mod 26 = 1.$$

We say that 3 is the *multiplicative inverse* of 9 in \mathbf{Z}_{26}^* and write $3 = 9^{-1}$ (in \mathbf{Z}_{26}^*).

Modular inverses

Example: Inverses of the elements in \mathbf{Z}_{26}^* .

x
 1
 3
 5
 7
 9
 11
 15
 17
 19
 21
 23
 25

$$x^{-1}$$
 1
 9
 21
 15
 3
 19
 7
 23
 11
 5
 17
 25

 \equiv_n
 1
 9
 -5
 -11
 3
 -7
 7
 -3
 11
 5
 -9
 -1

Bottom row gives equivalent integers in range $[-12, \ldots, 13]$.

Note that
$$(26 - x)^{-1} = -x^{-1}$$
.

Hence, last row reads same back to front except for change of sign.

Once the inverses for the first six numbers are known, the rest of the table is easily filled in.

CS 302, Lecture 8 6/42

Finding modular inverses

Computing in Z_n

000000000000

Let $u \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$. We wish to find u^{-1} modulo n.

By definition, u^{-1} is the element $v \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$ (if it exists) such that

$$uv \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$
.

This equation holds iff n | (uv - 1) iff uv - 1 = qn for some integer q (positive or negative).

We can rewrite this equation as

$$uv - nq = 1. (1)$$

u and n are given and v and q are unknowns. If we succeed in finding a solution over the integers, then v is the desired inverse u^{-1} .

Diophantine equations

A *Diophantine equation* is a linear equation in two unknowns over the integers.

$$ax + by = c (2)$$

Here, a, b, c are given integers. A solution consists of integer values for the unknowns x and y that make (2) true.

We see that equation 1 fits the general form for a Diophantine equation, where

$$a = u$$

$$b = -n$$

$$c = 1$$
(3)

Existence of solution

Theorem

The Diophantine equation

Computing in Z_n

000000000000

$$ax + by = c$$

has a solution over **Z** (the integers) iff gcd(a, b) | c.

It can be solved by a process akin to the Euclidean algorithm, which we call the *Extended Euclidean algorithm*.

Extended Euclidean algorithm

The algorithm generates a sequence of triples of numbers $T_i = (r_i, u_i, v_i)$, each satisfying the invariant

$$r_i = au_i + bv_i \ge 0. (4)$$

Euler

$$T_1 = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} (a,1,0) & ext{if } a \geq 0 \ (-a,-1,0) & ext{if } a < 0 \end{array}
ight. \ T_2 = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} (b,0,1) & ext{if } b \geq 0 \ (-b,0,-1) & ext{if } b < 0 \end{array}
ight.$$

Extended Euclidean algorithm (cont.)

$$r_i = au_i + bv_i \ge 0. (4)$$

 T_{i+2} is obtained by subtracting a multiple of T_{i+1} from from T_i so that $r_{i+2} < r_{i+1}$. This is similar to the way the Euclidean algorithm obtains (a mod b) from a and b.

In detail, let
$$q_{i+1} = \lfloor r_i/r_{i+1} \rfloor$$
. Then $T_{i+2} = T_i - q_{i+1}T_{i+1}$, so $r_{i+2} = r_i - q_{i+1}r_{i+1} = r_i \mod r_{i+1}$ $u_{i+2} = u_i - q_{i+1}u_{i+1}$ $v_{i+2} = v_i - q_{i+1}v_{i+1}$

The sequence of generated pairs (r_1, r_2) , (r_2, r_3) , (r_3, r_4) , ... is exactly the same as the sequence generated by the Euclidean algorithm. We stop when $r_t = 0$. Then $r_{t-1} = \gcd(a, b)$.

Extended Euclidean algorithm

Extended Euclidean algorithm (cont.)

$$r_i = au_i + bv_i \geq 0.$$

(4)

From (4) it follows that

(5)

 $\gcd(a,b) = au_{t-1} + bv_{t-1}$

Extended Euclidean algorithm

Finding all solutions

Returning to the original equation,

$$ax + by = c (2)$$

if $c = \gcd(a, b)$, then $x = u_{t-1}$ and $y = v_{t-1}$ is a solution.

If $c = k \cdot \gcd(a, b)$ is a multiple of $\gcd(a, b)$, then $x = ku_{t-1}$ and $v = kv_{t-1}$ is a solution.

Otherwise, gcd(a, b) does not divide c, and one can show that (2) has no solution.

RSA modulus

(6)

Example of extended Euclidean algorithm

Suppose one wants to solve the equation

$$31x - 45y = 3$$

Here, a = 31 and b = -45. We begin with the triples

$$T_1 = (31, 1, 0)$$

 $T_2 = (45, 0, -1)$

Extended Euclidean algorithm

Computing the triples

The computation is shown in the following table:

i	ri	ui	v_i	q_i
1	31	1	0	
2	45	0	-1	0
3	31	1	0	1
4	14	-1	-1	2
5	3	3	2	4
6	2	-13	_9	1
7	1	16	11	2
8	0	-45	-31	

CS 302, Lecture 8

Extracting the solution

From $T_7 = (1, 16, 11)$, we obtain the solution x = 16 and y = 11 to the equation

$$31x - 45y = 1$$

We can check this by substituting for x and y:

$$31 \cdot 16 + (-45) \cdot 11 = 496 - 495 = 1.$$

The solution to

$$31x - 45y = 3 (6)$$

is then $x = 3 \cdot 16 = 48$ and $y = 3 \cdot 11 = 33$.

Computing in Z_n

Recall RSA exponent requirement

Recall that the RSA encryption and decryption exponents must be chosen so that

$$ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)},\tag{7}$$

that is, d is e^{-1} in $\mathbf{Z}_{\phi(n)}^*$.

How does Alice choose e and d to satisfy (7)?

- ▶ Choose a random integer $e \in \mathbf{Z}_{\phi(n)}^*$.
- ▶ Solve (7) for *d*.

We know now how to solve (7), but how does Alice sample at random from $\mathbf{Z}_{\phi(n)}^*$?

Outline

If $\mathbf{Z}_{\phi(n)}^*$ is large enough, Alice can just choose random elements from $\mathbf{Z}_{\phi(n)}$ until she encounters one that also lies in $\mathbf{Z}_{\phi(n)}^*$.

A candidate element e lies in $\mathbf{Z}_{\phi(n)}^*$ iff $\gcd(e,\phi(n))=1$, which can be computed efficiently using the Euclidean algorithm.¹

CS 302, Lecture 8 19/42

 $^{^{1}\}phi(n)$ itself is easily computed for an RSA modulus n=pq since $\phi(n)=(p-1)(q-1)$ and Alice knows p and q.

How large is large enough?

If $\phi(\phi(n))$ (the size of $\mathbf{Z}_{\phi(n)}^*$) is much smaller than $\phi(n)$ (the size of $\mathbf{Z}_{\phi(n)}$), Alice might have to search for a long time before finding a suitable candidate for e.

In general, \mathbf{Z}_m^* can be considerably smaller than m. Example:

$$m = |\mathbf{Z}_m| = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 210$$

 $\phi(m) = |\mathbf{Z}_m^*| = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 = 48.$

In this case, the probability that a randomly-chosen element of \mathbf{Z}_m falls in \mathbf{Z}_m^* is only 48/210 = 8/35 = 0.228...

CS 302, Lecture 8 20/42

A lower bound on $\phi(m)/m$

The following theorem provides a crude lower bound on how small \mathbf{Z}_{m}^{*} can be relative to the size of \mathbf{Z}_{m} .

Theorem

For all
$$m \geq 2$$
,

$$\frac{|\mathbf{Z}_m^*|}{|\mathbf{Z}_m|} \geq \frac{1}{1 + \lfloor \log_2 m \rfloor}.$$

A lower bound on $\phi(m)/m$

Proof.

Write $m = \prod_{i=1}^{t} p_i^{e_i}$, where p_i is the i^{th} prime that divides m and $e_i \ge 1$. Then $\phi(m) = \prod_{i=1}^{t} (p_i - 1) p_i^{e_i - 1}$, so

$$\frac{|\mathbf{Z}_{m}^{*}|}{|\mathbf{Z}_{m}|} = \frac{\phi(m)}{m} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{t} (p_{i} - 1) p_{i}^{e_{i} - 1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{t} p_{i}^{e_{i}}} = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \left(\frac{p_{i} - 1}{p_{i}}\right). \quad (8)$$

CS 302, Lecture 8

Proof (cont.)

To estimate the size of $\prod_{i=1}^{t} (p_i - 1)/p_i$, note that

$$\left(\frac{p_i-1}{p_i}\right) \geq \left(\frac{i}{i+1}\right).$$

This follows since (x-1)/x is monotonic increasing in x, and $p_i \geq i + 1$. Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^{t} \left(\frac{p_i - 1}{p_i} \right) \ge \prod_{i=1}^{t} \left(\frac{i}{i+1} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \frac{t}{t+1} = \frac{1}{t+1}. \quad (9)$$

CS 302, Lecture 8

A lower bound on $\phi(m)/m$

Proof (cont.)

Clearly $t \leq |\log_2 m|$ since $2^t \leq \prod_{i=1}^t p_i \leq m$ and t is an integer.

Combining this with equations (8) and (9) gives the desired result.

$$\frac{|\mathbf{Z}_{m}^{*}|}{|\mathbf{Z}_{m}|} \ge \frac{1}{t+1} \ge \frac{1}{1+|\log_{2} m|}.$$
 (10)

CS 302, Lecture 8 24/42

Expected difficulty of choosing RSA exponent e

For *n* a 1024-bit integer, $\phi(n) < n < 2^{1024}$.

Hence, $\log_2(\phi(n)) < 1024$, so $\lfloor \log_2(\phi(n)) \rfloor \le 1023$.

By the theorem, the fraction of elements in $\mathbf{Z}_{\phi(n)}$ that also lie in $\mathbf{Z}_{\phi(n)}^*$ is at least

$$\frac{1}{1+\lfloor\log_2\phi(n)\rfloor}\geq\frac{1}{1024}.$$

Therefore, the expected number of random trials before Alice finds a number in $\mathbf{Z}_{\phi(n)}^*$ is provably at most 1024 and is likely much smaller.

25/42

CS 302, Lecture 8

Euler's Theorem

Outline

If any element $x \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$ is repeatedly multiplied by itself, the result is eventually 1. 2

Example, for $x = 5 \in \mathbf{Z}_{26}^*$: 5, 25, 21, 1, 5, 25, 21, 1, ...

²The first repeated element must be x. If not, then some $y \neq x$ is the first to repeat. The element immediately preceding each occurrence of y is yx^{-1} . But then yx^{-1} is the first to repeat, a contradiction. Hence, $x = x^{k+1}$ for some k > 1, so $x^k = x^{k+1}x^{-1} = xx^{-1} = 1$.

Let x^k denote the result of multiplying x by itself k times. The order of x, written ord(x), is the smallest integer $k \geq 1$ for which $x^k = 1$.

Theorem

 $\operatorname{ord}(x)|\phi(n)$. (Recall, $\phi(n)$ is the size of \mathbf{Z}_n^*).

Theorem (Euler's theorem)

$$x^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$
 for all $x \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$.

Proof.

Outline

Since ord(x)| $\phi(n)$, we have

$$x^{\phi(n)} \equiv (x^{\operatorname{ord}(x)})^{\phi(n)/\operatorname{ord}(x)} \equiv 1^{\phi(n)/\operatorname{ord}(x)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

As a special case, we have

Theorem (Fermat's theorem)

$$x^{(p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$
 for all x , $1 \le x \le p-1$, where p is prime.

CS 302, Lecture 8 29/42

An important corollary

Corollary

Let $r \equiv s \pmod{\phi(n)}$. Then $a^r \equiv a^s \pmod{n}$ for all $a \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$.

Proof.

If $r \equiv s \pmod{\phi(n)}$, then $r = s + u\phi(n)$ for some integer u. Then using Euler's theorem, we have

$$a^r = a^{s+u\phi(n)} = a^s \cdot (a^u)^{\phi(n)} \equiv a^s \cdot 1 \equiv a^s \pmod{n},$$

as desired.

Pocall the PSA encryption and degraption functions

Recall the RSA encryption and decryption functions

$$E_e(m) = m^e \mod n$$

 $D_d(c) = c^d \mod n$

where n = pq is the product of two distinct large primes p and q.

This corollary gives a sufficient condition on e and d to ensure that the resulting cryptosystem works. That is, we require that

$$ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$$
.

Then $D_d(E_e(m)) \equiv m^{ed} \equiv m^1 \equiv m \pmod{n}$ for all messages $m \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$.

What about the case of messages $m \in \mathbf{Z}_n - \mathbf{Z}_n^*$?

There are several answers to this question.

- Alice doesn't really want to send such messages if she can avoid it.
- 2. If Alice sends random messages, her probability of choosing a message not in \mathbf{Z}_n^* is very small only about $2/\sqrt{n}$.
- 3. RSA does in fact work for all $m \in \mathbf{Z}_n$, even though Euler's theorem fails for $m \notin \mathbf{Z}_n^*$.

If $m \in \mathbf{Z}_n - \mathbf{Z}_n^*$, either $p \mid m$ or $q \mid m$ (but not both because m < pq).

If Alice ever sends such a message and Eve is astute enough to compute gcd(m, n) (which she can easily do), then Eve will succeed in breaking the cryptosystem.

Why?

Why a random message is likely to be in \mathbf{Z}_n^*

The number of messages in $\mathbf{Z}_n - \mathbf{Z}_n^*$ is only

$$n - \phi(n) = pq - (p-1)(q-1) = p + q - 1$$

out of a total of n = pq messages altogether.

If p and q are both 512 bits long, then the probability of choosing a bad message is only about $2 \cdot 2^{512}/2^{1024} = 1/2^{511}$.

Such a low-probability event will likely never occur during the lifetime of the universe.

For $m \in \mathbf{Z}_n - \mathbf{Z}_n^*$, RSA works anyway, but for different reasons.

For example, if m=0, it is clear that $(0^e)^d \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, yet Euler's theorem fails since $0^{\phi(n)} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.

We omit the proof of this curiosity.

Generating RSA Modulus

Recall RSA modulus

Recall the RSA modulus, n = pq. The numbers p and q should be random distinct primes of about the same length.

The method for finding p and q is similar to the "guess-and-check" method used to find random numbers in \mathbf{Z}_{m}^{*} .

Namely, keep generating random numbers p of the right length until a prime is found. Then keep generating random numbers q of the right length until a prime different from p is found.

Random primes

Generating random primes of a given length

To generate a k-bit prime:

- ▶ Generate k-1 random bits.
- Put a "1" at the front.
- ▶ Regard the result as binary number, and test if it is prime.

We defer the question of how to test if the number is prime and look now at the expected number of trials before this procedure will terminate.

Density of primes

Expected number of trials to find a prime

The above procedure samples uniformly from the set $B_k = \mathbf{Z}_{2^k} - \mathbf{Z}_{2^{k-1}}$ of binary numbers of length exactly k.

Let p_k be the fraction of elements in B_k that are prime. Then the expected number of trials to find a prime is $1/p_k$.

While p_k is difficult to determine exactly, the celebrated *Prime* Number Theorem allows us to get a good estimate on that number.

Prime number function

Let $\pi(n)$ be the number of numbers $\leq n$ that are prime.

For example, $\pi(10) = 4$ since there are four primes ≤ 10 , namely, 2. 3. 5. 7.

Density of primes

Prime number theorem

Theorem

 $\pi(n) \approx n/(\ln n)$, where $\ln n$ is the natural logarithm $\log_e n$.

Notes:

- We ignore the critical issue of how good an approximation this is. The interested reader is referred to a good mathematical text on number theory.
- ▶ Here e = 2.71828... is the base of the natural logarithm, not to be confused with the RSA encryption exponent, which, by an unfortunate choice of notation, we also denote by e.

Likelihood of randomly finding a prime

The chance that a randomly picked number in \mathbf{Z}_n is prime is

$$\frac{\pi(n-1)}{n} \approx \frac{n-1}{n \cdot \ln(n-1)} \approx \frac{1}{\ln n}.$$

Since $B_k = \mathbf{Z}_{2^k} - \mathbf{Z}_{2^{k-1}}$, we have

$$p_k = \frac{\pi(2^k - 1) - \pi(2^{k-1} - 1)}{2^{k-1}} \\
 = \frac{2\pi(2^k - 1)}{2^k} - \frac{\pi(2^{k-1} - 1)}{2^{k-1}} \\
 \approx \frac{2}{\ln 2^k} - \frac{1}{\ln 2^{k-1}} \approx \frac{1}{\ln 2^k} = \frac{1}{k \ln 2}.$$

Hence, the expected number of trials before success is $\approx k \ln 2$. For k = 512, this works out to $512 \times 0.693 \dots \approx 355$.