Consider Equation (1) from Cok's thesis (x,y) e | R 2 2 > 0  $\Delta \cup 12ik \frac{\partial \cup}{\partial z} + k^2 \left( \frac{n^2}{n_0^2} - 1 \right) = 0$ U(x,y,0) = U0(x,y) In the case that kin, one are all constant, we can solve this PDE in Laplace space. Let  $U(x,y,s) = \mathcal{L}[u] = \int_{0}^{\infty} u(x,y,z)e^{-sz} dz$ Then Then,  $\Delta U + 2ik \left(-5U + U_0(x,y)\right) + k^2 \left(\frac{n^2}{n_0^2} - 1\right) U = 0$  $= > \Delta \cup + \left(-2iks + k^{2}\left(\frac{n^{2}}{n_{0}} - I\right)\right) \cup = -2ik\nu_{o}(x,y)$ Instead of a Gaussian for uo, imagine uo is a point source => DU+(-2iks+ k2(2-1))U=-2iko (x-xe,y-yo) This is an elliptic linear PDE with an exact solution that I'll call U(x,y',s). To recover u(x,y,z), we need to invert f. It is a scaled version of the 100s fordamental solution  $U(x,y,z) = \int_{-1}^{-1} \left[ \bigcup \right] = \int_{2\pi i}^{1} \left[ \bigcup (x,y,s) e^{sz} ds \right]$ where B is a vertical line in C to the right of all poles of U(xixis). In my papers with Jake , Alan Lindsay, we deform B to be a Talbal contain which gives a much nicer integrand to integrate numerically We could also include Dirichlet or Neuron bandary anditions in (x,y), but I'm not sere there is a physical need for this.