PROOF OF LEMMA 8

The vector $\overline{\mathbf{w}}^{t+1}$ can be rewritten as in the following.

$$\overline{\mathbf{w}}^{t+1} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,u} \in \mathcal{C}_{m}} \omega_{m,u} \mathbf{w}^{L,m,u,t},
= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,u} \in \mathcal{C}_{m}} \omega_{m,u} (\mathbf{w}^{L-1,m,u,t} - \eta_{t} \widetilde{\nabla} f_{m,u} (\mathbf{w}^{L-1,m,u,t})),$$

$$= \mathbf{w}^{t} - \eta_{t} \Big[\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,u} \in \mathcal{C}_{m}} \omega_{m,u} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \widetilde{\nabla} f_{m,u} (\mathbf{w}^{l,m,u,t}) \Big],$$

$$= \mathbf{w}^{t} - \eta_{t} \Big[\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,u} \in \mathcal{C}_{m}} \omega_{m,u} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} (\widetilde{\nabla} f_{m,u} (\mathbf{w}^{l,m,u,t}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{b}^{l,t}) + \nabla f(\mathbf{b}^{l,t})) \Big],$$

$$= \mathbf{w}^{t} - \eta_{t} \Big[\mathbf{e}^{0,t} + \nabla f(\mathbf{b}^{0,t}) + \dots + \mathbf{e}^{L-1,t} + \nabla f(\mathbf{b}^{L-1,t}) \Big],$$

$$= \mathbf{w}^{t} - \eta_{t} (\mathbf{g}^{t} + \mathbf{e}^{t}).$$
(38c)

where (38a) comes from Step 8 of Algorithm 1 and (38b) is due to the fact that $\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,u} \in \mathcal{C}_m} \omega_{m,u} = 1.$

From (38c), the following holds

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\overline{\mathbf{w}}^{t+1} - \mathbf{w}^{\star}\|_{2}^{2}] = \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}^{t} - \eta_{t}\mathbf{g}^{t} - \mathbf{w}^{\star} - \eta_{t}\mathbf{e}^{t}\|_{2}^{2}],$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}^{t} - \eta_{t}\mathbf{g}^{t} - \mathbf{w}^{\star}\|_{2}^{2}] - 2\eta_{t}\langle\mathbf{w}^{t} - \eta_{t}\mathbf{g}^{t} - \mathbf{w}^{\star}, \mathbf{e}^{t}\rangle + \eta_{t}^{2}\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{e}^{t}\|_{2}^{2}],$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}^{t} - \eta_{t}\mathbf{g}^{t} - \mathbf{w}^{\star}\|_{2}^{2}] + K\eta_{t}^{2}\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}^{t} - \eta_{t}\mathbf{g}^{t} - \mathbf{w}^{\star}\|_{2}^{2}] + \frac{1}{K}\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{e}^{t}\|_{2}^{2}] + \eta_{t}^{2}\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{e}^{t}\|_{2}^{2}],$$

$$= (1 + K\eta_{t}^{2})\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}^{t} - \eta_{t}\mathbf{g}^{t} - \mathbf{w}^{\star}\|_{2}^{2}] + \left(\frac{1}{K} + \eta_{t}^{2}\right)\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{e}^{t}\|_{2}^{2}],$$

$$= (1 + K\eta_{t}^{2})\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{b}^{L,t} - \mathbf{w}^{\star}\|_{2}^{2}] + \left(\frac{1}{K} + \eta_{t}^{2}\right)\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{e}^{t}\|_{2}^{2}],$$
(39b)

where (39a) is due to the inequality $-2\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \leq \alpha \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \|\mathbf{y}\|_2^2$ for $\alpha > 0$ and (39b) follows from the definition of $\{\mathbf{b}^{l,t}\}_{l=0}^L$ in (18).

Next, applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{e}^t\|_2^2] \le L \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{e}^{l,t}\|_2^2] = L \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{e}^{0,t}\|_2^2] + L \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{e}^{l,t}\|_2^2]. \tag{40}$$

Regarding the first term on the r.h.s. of (40), we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{e}^{0,t}\|_{2}^{2}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,u} \in \mathcal{C}_{m}} \omega_{m,u} \left[\widetilde{\nabla} f_{m,u}(\mathbf{w}^{0,m,u,t}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{b}^{0,t})\right]\right\|_{2}^{2}\right],$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,u} \in \mathcal{C}_{m}} \omega_{m,u} \left[\widetilde{\nabla} f_{m,u}(\mathbf{w}^{t}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{w}^{t})\right]\right\|_{2}^{2}\right],$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,u} \in \mathcal{C}_{m}} \omega_{m,u}^{2} \|\widetilde{\nabla} f_{m,u}(\mathbf{w}^{t}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{w}^{t})\|_{2}^{2}\right],$$

$$\leq \zeta \mathbb{E}_{\{\mathcal{C}_{m}\}}\left[\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,u} \in \mathcal{C}_{m}} \omega_{m,u}^{2}\right],$$

$$(41a)$$

$$\leq \zeta \mathbb{E}_{\{\mathcal{C}_{m}\}}\left[\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,u} \in \mathcal{C}_{m}} \omega_{m,u}^{2}\right],$$

$$\leq \zeta,$$
 (41c)

where (41a) is due to the unbiasedness in Assumption 3 and the fact that $\{\widetilde{\nabla} f_{m,u}(\mathbf{w}^t)\}$ are independent, (41b) follows from the boundedness Assumption 3, and (41c) is due to the fact that $\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,u} \in \mathcal{C}_m} \omega_{m,u}^2 \leq \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,u} \in \mathcal{C}_m} \omega_{m,u} = 1$. Now, the term $\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{e}^{l,t}\|_2^2]$ in (40) is rewritten by

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{e}^{l,t}\|_{2}^{2}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,u} \in \mathcal{C}_{m}} \omega_{m,u} \left[\widetilde{\nabla} f_{m,u}(\mathbf{w}^{l,m,u,t}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{b}^{l,t})\right]\right\|_{2}^{2}\right],$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,u} \in \mathcal{C}_{m}} \omega_{m,u} \left[\widetilde{\nabla} f_{m,u}(\mathbf{w}^{l,m,u,t}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{w}^{l,m,u,t}) + \nabla f(\mathbf{w}^{l,m,u,t}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{b}^{l,t})\right]\right\|_{2}^{2}\right],$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,u} \in \mathcal{C}_{m}} \omega_{m,u} \left[\widetilde{\nabla} f_{m,u}(\mathbf{w}^{l,m,u,t}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{w}^{l,m,u,t})\right]\right\|_{2}^{2}\right]$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,u} \in \mathcal{C}_{m}} \omega_{m,u} \left[\nabla f(\mathbf{w}^{l,m,u,t}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{b}^{l,t})\right]\right\|_{2}^{2}\right], (42a)$$

where (42a) follows from Assumption 3 that $\mathbb{E}[\widetilde{\nabla} f_{m,u}(\mathbf{w}^{l,m,u,t}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{w}^{l,m,u,t})] = \mathbf{0}$. Thus, the

following holds

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{e}^{l,t}\|_{2}^{2}] \leq \zeta \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,u} \in \mathcal{C}_{m}} \omega_{m,u}^{2}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,u} \in \mathcal{C}_{m}} \omega_{m,u}^{2}\right) \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,u} \in \mathcal{C}_{m}} \left\|\nabla f(\mathbf{w}^{l,m,u,t}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{b}^{l,t})\right\|_{2}^{2}\right], \quad (43a)$$

$$\leq \zeta \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,u} \in \mathcal{C}_m} \omega_{m,u}^2\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,u} \in \mathcal{C}_m} \omega_{m,u}^2\right) \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,u} \in \mathcal{C}_m} \gamma^2 \left\|\mathbf{w}^{l,m,u,t} - \mathbf{b}^{l,t}\right\|_2^2\right],\tag{43b}$$

$$\leq \zeta + \gamma^2 \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,n} \in C_m} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\| \mathbf{w}^{l,m,u,t} - \mathbf{b}^{l,t} \right\|_2^2 \right], \tag{43c}$$

$$= \zeta + K\gamma^2 a^{l,t},\tag{43d}$$

where (43a) follows from $\mathbb{E}[\|\widetilde{\nabla}f_{m,u}(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x})\|_2^2] \leq \zeta$, (43b) follows from Assumption 1, (43c) is due to the fact that $\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{d_{m,u} \in \mathcal{C}_m} \omega_{m,u} = 1$, and (43d) is due to the definition of $a^{l,t}$ in (19).

From (20b), plugging (41c) and (43d) into (40) leads to

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{e}^{t}\|_{2}^{2}] \leq L\zeta + \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} L\left(\zeta + K\gamma^{2}a^{l,t}\right),$$

$$= L^{2}\zeta + LK\gamma^{2} \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} a^{l,t},$$

$$\leq L^{2}\zeta + LK\gamma^{2}(L-1) \frac{N\eta_{t}^{2}L^{2}\zeta}{K} (1 + L\eta_{t}^{2}\gamma^{2})^{L},$$

$$\leq L^{2}\zeta + NL^{4}\gamma^{2}\zeta\eta_{t}^{2}e^{L^{2}\eta_{t}^{2}\gamma^{2}},$$

$$\leq L^{2}\zeta + NL^{4}\gamma^{2}\zeta\eta_{t}^{2}e^{L^{2}\eta_{t}^{2}\gamma^{2}},$$

$$\leq L^{2}\zeta + NL^{4}\gamma^{2}\zeta\eta_{t}^{2}e,$$
(44a)
$$\leq L^{2}\zeta + NL^{4}\gamma^{2}\zeta\eta_{t}^{2}e,$$
(44b)

where (44a) is due to the fact that $(1+x) \le e^x$ for $x \ge 0$, and (44b) follows from $\eta_t \le \frac{1}{L\gamma}$. Plugging (44b) into (39b) and using (20a) give

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\overline{\mathbf{w}}^{t+1} - \mathbf{w}^{\star}\|_{2}^{2}] \leq (1 + K\eta_{t}^{2})(1 - \mu\eta_{t})^{L}\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}^{t} - \mathbf{w}^{\star}\|_{2}^{2}] + \left(\frac{1}{K} + \eta_{t}^{2}\right)\left(L^{2}\zeta + NL^{4}\gamma^{2}\zeta\eta_{t}^{2}e\right),$$

which completes the proof.