

```

MERGE(A, p, q, r)
•  $n_1 = q - p + 1$ 
•  $n_2 = r - q$ 
 $\Theta(n)$  let  $L[1..n_1 + 1]$  and  $R[1..n_2 + 1]$  be new arrays
 $\Theta(n_1)$  for  $i = 1$  to  $n_1$ 
•  $L[i] = A[p + i - 1]$ 
 $\Theta(n_2)$  for  $j = 1$  to  $n_2$ 
•  $R[j] = A[q + j]$ 
•  $L[n_1 + 1] = \infty$ 
•  $R[n_2 + 1] = \infty$ 
•  $i = 1$ 
•  $j = 1$ 
 $\Theta(r-p)$  for  $k = p$  to  $r$ 
• if  $L[i] \leq R[j]$ 
•  $A[k] = L[i]$ 
•  $i = i + 1$ 
• else  $A[k] = R[j]$ 
•  $j = j + 1$ 

```

Runtime of Merge

$$\Theta(n) + \cancel{\Theta(n_1)} + \cancel{\Theta(n_2)} + \cancel{\Theta(r-p)} = \Theta(n)$$

$\Theta(n) \quad \quad \quad \Theta(n)$

$$n = r - p + 1$$

$$n_1 + n_2 = \cancel{q - p + 1} + \cancel{r - q} = r - p + 1 = n$$

Merge (A, p, q, r)

Input: - array A w/ valid indices $p \leq q \leq r$

Output: - $A[p..q]$ is sorted and $A[q+1..r]$ is ~~not~~ sorted.

- The new $A[p..r]$ is a permutation of the old $A[p..r]$

- The new $A[p..r]$ is sorted.

Proof of correctness is an example of using a loop invariant.

Merge Sort correctness

Induction on size of subarray given for sorting: $n = r - p + 1$

Induction Hypothesis:

$P(i)$ = Merge-Sort works correctly for subarrays of size ~~n~~ at most i

Base Case: $i=1$ ✓

Induction Step: assume that $P(i)$ holds.

Need to show that $P(i+1)$ holds

Apply IH, MergeSort on lines 3, 4 work correctly.

Merge sort run-time analysis

Using recursion equation ("recurrence")

$T(n)$ = running time of merge sort on inputs of size n .

$$T(n) = 2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \Theta(n)$$

↑ lines 3 and 4 (recursive calls).
↑ merge function

$$T(1) = c \quad \text{or} \quad T(1) = \Theta(1)$$

↑ constant

$$T(n) = \Theta(n \log n)$$