15.455x – Mathematical Methods for Quantitative Finance

Recitation Notes #4

Let's look at examples of Itô's lemma in action. The simplest cases are where the stochastic variable X is just pure Brownian motion:

$$dX_t = a dt + b dB_t = dB_t.$$

So in terms of the general form of an Itô process, a = 0, b = 1.

Note on notation: With X_t , B_t , S_t , etc. the subscript t is just a reminder that these are time-dependent random variables. We will often drop the subscript to de-clutter the notation. So X and B have exactly the same meaning as X_t , B_t , etc.

Exercises

The simplest cases are where the stochastic variable X is just pure Brownian motion:

$$dX_t = a dt + b dB_t = dB_t.$$

So in terms of the general form of an Itô process, a = 0, b = 1. For pure Brownian motion, therefore,

$$dF = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial F}{\partial B} dB + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial B^2} dt$$

Exercise: $F(t, B) = t^3 + B^3$. Find dF.

Solution: Taking the required partial derivatives,

$$dF = 3t^{2} dt + 3B^{2} dB + 3B dt$$

= 3(t² + B) dt + (3B²) dB.

Exercise: $F(t, B) = e^{-rt} \sin(\theta B)$. Find dF.

15.455x Page 1 of 3

Solution: Taking partial derivatives,

$$dF = e^{-rt} \sin(\theta B) dt + \theta e^{-rt} \cos(\theta B) dB - e^{-rt} \frac{\theta^2}{2} \sin(\theta B) dt$$
$$= e^{-rt} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\theta^2}{2} \right) \sin(\theta B) \right] dt + e^{-rt} \left[\theta \cos(\theta B) \right] dB.$$

If $\theta = \sqrt{2}$, then the first term drops out and $dF = e^{-rt} \left[\sqrt{2} \cos(\sqrt{2}B) \right] dB$.

Exercise: $F(t, B) = \log B$. Find dF.

Solution:

$$\mathrm{d}F = \frac{1}{B}\,\mathrm{d}B + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{-1}{B^2}\right)\,\mathrm{d}t.$$

Exercise: $dX/X = \mu dt + \sigma dB$. Find a function F(t, X) such that dF = a dt + b dB with constant coefficients a, b.

Solution: Let's use Itô's lemma in the form where we write the right-hand side in terms of dt and dB (rather than dX):

$$dF = \left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \frac{(\sigma X)^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial X^2} + (\mu X) \frac{\partial F}{\partial X} \right] dt + \left[(\sigma X) \frac{\partial F}{\partial X} \right] dB.$$

Each of the expressions in square brackets must be constant, so there are two differential equations for F. Let's start with the second one, since it's much shorter:

$$(\sigma X)\frac{\partial F}{\partial X} = \text{constant} \implies X\frac{\partial F}{\partial X} = \frac{\text{constant}}{\sigma} = C.$$

The left-hand side is a logarithmic derivative, so let's try a very simple form where F = F(X) and does not depend on time. Then

$$X \frac{\mathrm{d}F}{\mathrm{d}X} = C \implies \mathrm{d}F = C \frac{\mathrm{d}X}{X}$$

 $F(X) = C \log X.$

Now observe that this expression automatically makes the coefficient function of dt into a constant as well. In fact, if we choose C = 1, we find that

$$dF = \left[\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right] dt + \sigma dB.$$

15.455x Page 2 of 3

We've shown that another route to the differential of $d(\log S)$ seen in modeling stock prices comes from seeking a change of variables that makes the right-hand-side coefficients constant.

Exercise: An asset follow the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process $dS = \lambda(\bar{S} - S) dt + \sigma dB$. What PDE is satisfied by derivatives of the asset?

Solution: This case is actually no harder than the derivation of the usual Black-Scholes-Merton equation because the exact form of dS enters through one small feature, the coefficient of dB. Given

$$dS = a(t, S) dt + b(t, S) dB,$$

$$dV = \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{b^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}\right) dt + \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}\right) dS,$$

$$d(V - \Delta S) = \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{b^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}\right) dt + \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - \Delta\right) dS$$

$$= r dt(V - \Delta S).$$

Making the same choice of $\Delta = \partial V/\partial S$ in order to zero out the coefficient of dS, we find the generalization

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{b^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + rS \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - rV = 0,$$

which is independent of a(t, S) in the defining process for the underlying. This form gives us a direct route from a defining process to the PDE satisfied by derivatives on the underlying asset.

For the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process,

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + rS \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - rV = 0.$$

15.455x Page 3 of 3